MARCH 1979

FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN

Recent Developments in Mortgage and Housing Markets Monetary Policy Report to Congress Treasury and Federal Reserve Foreign Exchange Operations

A copy of the FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN is sent to each member bank without charge; member banks desiring additional copies may secure them at a special \$10.00 annual rate. The regular subscription price in the United States and its possessions, and in Bolivia, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Haiti, Republic of Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, El Salvador, Uruguay, and Venezuela is \$20.00 per annum or \$2.00 per copy; elsewhere, \$24.00 per annum or \$2.50 per copy. Group subscriptions in the United States for 10 or more copies to one address, \$1.75 per copy per month, or \$18.00 for 12 months.

The BULLETIN may be obtained from the Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, and remittance should be made payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in a form collectible at par in U.S. currency. (Stamps and coupons are not accepted.)

VOLUME 65 | NUMBER 3 [] MARCH 1979

FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Washington, D.C.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE

Joseph R. Coyne, *Chairman* □ Stephen H. Axilrod □ John M. Denkler Janet O. Hart □ James L. Kichline □ Neal L. Petersen □ Edwin M. Truman

Michael J. Prell, Staff Director

The Federal Reserve Bulletin is issued monthly under the direction of the stall publications committee. This committee is responsible for opinions expressed except in official statements and signed articles. Direction for the art work is provided by Mack R. Rowe. Editorial support is furnished by the Economic Editing Unit headed by Mendelle T. Berenson.

Table of Contents

173 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN MORTGAGE AND HOUSING MARKETS

After almost three years of strong growth, homebuilding activity became stable at a high rate in 1978 in an environment of sharply rising market interest rates.

185 Monetary Policy Report to Congress

Submitted pursuant to the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978, the Board's first monetary policy report presents recent economic and financial developments, objectives and plans of the Federal Reserve, and the relationship of the Federal Reserve's plans to the administration's economic goals.

201 TREASURY AND FEDERAL RESERVE FOREIGN EXCHANGE OPERATIONS

Following the series of actions announced on November 1, 1978, by the President, the Treasury, and the Federal Reserve, the foreign exchange market came into greater balance, according to the semiannual report covering the period August 1978 through January 1979.

221 STAFF STUDY

Summary of "Geographic Expansion of Banks and Changes in Banking Structure" points out that geographic expansion of banking organizations does not have a measurable effect on local market structure but does tend to increase statewide concentration.

222 Industrial Production

Output expanded an estimated 0.3 percent in February.

223 STATEMENTS TO CONGRESS

Governor Nancy H. Teeters gives the board's recommended schedule for implementing the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, which would move up the effective date for publication of the final regulations by the board from June 10, to December 1. 1979; Mrs. Teeters also discusses alternatives regarding the timing of and the entity responsible for providing the general statement of customer rights required under section 1104(d) of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978, before the Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs and the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, February 20, 1979.

- 225 Chairman G. William Miller presents the views of the Federal Reserve on the formulation of the First Concurrent Budget Resolution for fiscal year 1980 with the overall recommendation that fiscal policy be directed toward the easing of inflationary pressures through reducing the federal deficit and the size of the government sector in the economy and through improving the environment for capital spending, before the Senate Committee on the Budget, February 22, 1979.
- 229 Chairman Miller discusses the board's recommendations with regard to the Monetary Policy Improvement Act of 1979 in light of the decline in the proportion of bank deposits subject to federal reserve requirements, before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, February 26, 1979.

- 236 Governor J. Charles Partee states that passage of S. 332, a bill to consolidate the bank supervisory functions of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the bank and bank holding company supervisory functions of the Federal Reserve into a newly created Federal Bank Commission would not, in the view of the Federal Reserve Board, be in the public interest, before the Senate Committees on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs and on Governmental Affairs, February 28, 1978.
- 239 Governor Teeters gives her opinions and analyses of administration proposals for improving control over federal credit programs including the recommendation that formal rules be established to require the reconvening at regular intervals of a budgetary commission to review new federal credit programs and activities, before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, March 2, 1979.
- 242 Governor Partee comments on two bills that deal with the authority of the U.S. Treasury to borrow directly from the Federal Reserve System and offers the judgment of the board that the present direct-borrowing authority has functioned effectively and that the Federal Reserve should be empowered to continue lending directly to the Treasury under the constraints of the current authority, before the Subcommittee on Domestic Monetary Policy of the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, March 5, 1979.

245 Announcements

Issuance of final Regulation O establishing requirements for loans by member banks to bank officials or their related interests.

Amendment to Regulation Y permitting bank holding companies to sell money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds to the public at their nonbank offices. (See Law Department.)

Simplification of regulation on loan guarantees for defense production (V-loan program).

Issuance of staff paper by the government agencies supervising federally insured depositary institutions to answer frequently received queries about the Community Reinvestment Act.

Change in rules under which financial institutions issue six-month money market certificates.

Proposed amendments to regulations governing corporations engaged in international banking and financial operations; proposed regulations to implement the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978; and withdrawal of proposed Statement of Customer Rights under the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978.

Admission of five state banks to membership in the Federal Reserve System.

249 LAW DEPARTMENT

Amendments to Regulations D, M, and Y, revision of Regulation V, various rules and bank holding company and bank merger orders, and pending cases.

- 282 Directors of Federal Reserve Banks and Branches
- A1 FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS STATISTICS
- A3 Domestic Financial Statistics
- A46 Domestic Nonfinancial Statistics
- A54 International Statistics
- A70 Board of Governors and Staff
- A72 OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE AND STAFF; ADVISORY COUNCILS
- A73 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS, BRANCHES, AND OFFICES
- A74 Federal Reserve Board Publications
- A76 Index to Statistical Tables
- A78 Map of Federal Reserve System

Inside Back Cover:

Guide to Tabular Presentation and Statistical Releases


Recent Developments in Mortgage and Housing Markets

This article was prepared by David F. Seiders of the Mortgage and Consumer Finance Section of the Division of Research and Statistics.

Following nearly three years of strong growth, homebuilding stabilized at a high level during 1978 in an environment of sharply rising market interest rates. Construction was started on slightly more than 2 million private housing units for the year as a whole. Moreover, sales of single-family homes reached the highest rates on record, and average prices of homes sold continued to climb rapidly. Accompanying these developments, formation of residential mortgage debt rose to a record volume in 1978.

Housing starts and home sales have slackened somewhat in recent months, partly because of weather (chart 1). Tightening conditions in financial markets also have had a constraining effect, although housing markets have been less responsive to general monetary restraint than in the past. During previous periods with similar increases in market interest rates, residential mortgage lending contracted markedly and housing activity was sharply curtailed. While

1. Private housing starts



Census Bureau seasonally adjusted monthly data at annual rates converted to quarterly averages by the Federal Reserve. "Multifamily" includes structures with two or more units. Latest data, January February average.

the mortgage and housing markets still are likely to be more responsive to changes in general credit conditions than are other major sectors of the economy, the effect now appears to be related more to the interest rate sensitivity of borrowers and less to shifts in the availability of funds at depositary institutions.

Although nominal interest rates have risen sharply since late 1977, demands for mortgage credit have remained substantial. Demographic developments have bolstered demands for housing services. Moreover, homes have become even more attractive investments in the recent inflationary environment, and accrued capital gains have provided homeowners with resources for trading up to better housing and with collateral to support mortgage borrowing for nonhousing purposes. At the same time, new types of mortgage instruments have made home purchase more feasible for some first-time buyers. Federal subsidy programs have buoyed the demand for multifamily dwellings and mortgage funds.

On the supply side, more mortgage funds have been raised through the securities markets than before. Various types of mortgage-backed passthrough securities have enhanced the appeal of mortgage assets to diversified private institutions and have facilitated interregional flows of funds for housing. Greater amounts of capital have also been funneled into residential mortgages through tax-exempt securities.

At the nonbank thrift institutions, net deposit flows have become less sensitive to changes in market interest rates, and thus the predominant source of residential mortgage credit has been less volatile than in the past. Regulatory changes during the 1970s permitted some lengthening of the maturity structure of deposits and established substantial penalties for early withdrawal

from longer-term accounts. The most striking innovation in federal regulations occurred in June 1978, when depositary institutions were permitted to issue six-month savings certificates, the so-called money market certificates (MMCs), with ceiling rates tied to Treasury bill yields. This instrument has significantly improved the ability of thrift institutions to compete for funds in periods of rising interest rates. After the introduction of the certificates, deposit growth at savings and loans surged during the third quarter of last year, even in the face of further increases in short- and intermediate-term market interest rates, and a downswing in mortgage commitment and lending activity at the associations was reversed.

Thrift institutions have not been completely insulated from the effects of high yields on market instruments. With a further rise in market rates since the third quarter of 1978, the growth of deposits at savings and loan associations has slowed, and mortgage commitments outstanding as well as net mortgage acquisitions have declined moderately from highs registered in November.

Net inflows to fixed-ceiling accounts at the thrift institutions have fallen sharply in recent months, while net sales of MMCs have remained large. In order to help reduce cost pressures on the institutions and to moderate further the flow of funds into the thrift institutions in the current inflationary environment, the federal regulatory agencies have made some adjustments to the rules governing issuance of MMCs, effective March 15.

In addition to the deposit slowdown, other financial factors have tended to restrain the expansion in mortgage and housing activity in the past few months. Legal ceilings on home mortgage rates have limited the ability of households to compete for funds in a number of states. Moreover, the overall debt levels and repayment obligations of the household sector have reached record proportions relative to income. As a result, some households may be less willing to take on more mortgage debt in view of the uncertain economic outlook.


In the short-term construction loan market, sharp increases in interest rates have discouraged some building, particularly of unsubsidized multifamily projects. High short-term interest rates have also affected the profits and activity of institutions that specialize in originating mortgages for sale. Since last fall, the cost of carrying loan inventories has been above coupon rates on long-term mortgages held in inventory.

A potential physical imbalance has also emerged, as stocks of unsold new homes have approached their 1973–74 peaks. In the multifamily sector, on the other hand, overbuilding does not appear to be a current or potential problem in most areas.

Position in Aggregate Activity


Residential investment expenditures increased significantly last year in current-dollar terms and remained virtually flat in constant dollars. During 1978 these investment expenditures accounted for about 5 percent of the gross national product, close to the peak shares in the 1972–73 period (chart 2).

2. Residential investment expenditures



Commerce Department data on the current dollar value of gross residential investment expenditures, at seasonally ad justed annual rates. "Total" includes mobile homes, non housekeeping units, and brokers' commissions, not shown separately. "Share of GNP" based on current dollar values for both total residential and gross national product.

3. Residential mortgage debt



Quarterly mortgage debt by type of structure estimated—and converted to seasonally adjusted annual rates—by the Federal Reserve as required to supplement reports of federal agencies and private sources. "Total funds raised in credit markets" refers to all funds raised in U.S. credit markets—excluding equities—by all nonlinancial sectors, both private and foreign.

The real value of new construction put in place, including additions and alterations to existing housing as well as new dwellings, held up well during 1978, while investment in mobile homes remained near the low levels registered in the three previous years. Sales commissions associated with transactions in new and existing homes accounted for a record share of total residential investment expenditures in 1978.

On the financial side, residential mortgages claimed almost one-third of total funds raised in U.S. credit markets in 1978, despite substantial increases in market interest rates and rising demands for credit by nonfinancial businesses (chart 3). In the face of increases in other types of credit demands and constraints posed by ceilings on mortgage interest rates, the strength of mortgage lending has been striking.

Formation of residential mortgage debt exceeded residential investment expenditures by a substantial amount in 1978. The margin of difference was, however, somewhat narrower than in the latter part of 1977, partly because

of reductions in loan-to-value ratios on home mortgages as credit market conditions tightened. The excess of debt formation over residential investment, which first appeared in the early 1970s, has been associated entirely with the home mortgage component.

Single-Family Sector

Single-family homes accounted for about 70 percent of total private housing starts during 1978 and early 1979. In terms of mortgage debt formation, the single-family sector has been even more dominant: last year, home mortgages accounted for more than nine-tenths of the increase in residential mortgage debt outstanding. Some of the strength of this component has been associated with borrowing against equity in the stock of housing, largely in connection with transactions in existing homes at inflated prices.

Sales and Prices

In late 1978, total sales of new and existing homes reached the highest rates on record (chart 4). They then declined somewhat as mortgage market conditions stiffened and unusually severe weather limited sales activity in some areas. Prices of homes sold rose at an extremely rapid pace throughout 1978 and early 1979. Since the early 1970s, price increases for both new and existing homes have far exceeded increases in broad-based indexes of consumer or producer prices. Moreover, average prices of new homes sold have risen somewhat faster than those of existing homes, reflecting an ongoing rise in quality, in terms of size and amenities.

Sales, prices, and quality of homes have reflected the strengthening of underlying demands because of demographic forces and a variety of socioeconomic developments. The number of households has been increasing rapidly, owing both to the growth in population and to the trend toward smaller households. Moreover, the proportion of households with a head in the prime homebuying years—25 to 39—has been rising as a legacy of the postwar baby boom. The incidence of two-earner households in this group has also increased.

In addition to these factors, the strengthening

of demand for homes has apparently been associated with the rapid inflation of recent years. Since a house is a real asset providing services over a long period, it serves as a hedge against inflation. Housing also carries a number of income tax advantages that are not shared by other types of assets available to consumers, and the degree of favorable tax treatment for homeownership is tied to inflation—a phenomenon that is accentuated when inflation moves homeowners into higher marginal tax brackets. In 1978 the federal tax treatment of capital gains was liberalized, further enhancing the attractiveness of homes as investments.

Affordability of Homes


Average downpayments on conventional home loans have increased substantially because of the rapid acceleration in home prices during the past several years and rising equity requirements by lenders in recent quarters (chart 4). Rising home prices have also been largely responsible for rapid increases in monthly payments on newly

originated mortgages, although the rise in mortgage interest rates since late 1977 also has been a significant factor (chart 4). Increases in interest rates accounted for about 30 percent of the rise in average monthly payments on newly originated conventional mortgages during 1978.

Household financial assets and income flows also have been rising on average, but at less rapid rates than average downpayments and monthly mortgage payments in recent years. Obviously, households differ in income and wealth, and homes vary widely in size and price. That qualification apart, it seems paradoxical that the demand for houses has been quite strong (as reflected in home sales and prices) while the standard aggregate indicators of the ability to afford homes have deteriorated.

This apparent paradox fades in the light of the substantial capital appreciation of houses. Home occupancy appears much more affordable when accrued capital gains are viewed as current additions to household resources. Nevertheless, a house is an illiquid and indivisible asset, and a homeowner may not be able to make other

4. Sales of single-family housing



Merchant builder sales of new homes as reported monthly by the Census Bureau, and existing home sales as reported by the National Association of Realtors, both at seasonally adjusted annual rates. Average prices of new and existing homes sold, also reported by these sources, seasonally adjusted

by the Federal Reserve. Average downpayments and monthly payments on newly originated conventional home mortgages calculated by Federal Reserve from monthly data reported by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and converted to three month moving averages.

adjustments in his balance sheet—by using financial asset balances or by borrowing—to convert accrued capital gains into current cash flow without jeopardizing liquidity or incurring large interest or transactions costs. Furthermore, potential capital appreciation does not help a would-be homebuyer to meet the large downpayment ordinarily required in today's market.

The capital gains aspect of houses suggests that the financial constraints on home purchase and occupancy are quite different for those who already own homes and for those who are just entering the market. Repurchasers recently have been accounting for nearly three-fourths of new homes sold and about three-fifths of existing homes that have changed hands. The windfall capital gains realized by sellers of existing homes often have been used to finance large downpayments on housing of equivalent or higher quality.

First-time buyers have not had such opportunities, and they have faced problems associated with inflation. The appreciation in home prices recently has exceeded the rate of increase in virtually all sources of income, and thus many potential buyers have had difficulty accumulating funds to meet downpayment requirements. In addition, increases in mortgage interest rates have exacerbated the cash-flow problem that younger, first-time buyers often encounter in the early years of the standard level-payment mortgage.

Some first-time buyers have obtained government-underwritten loans with relatively low downpayment requirements, and others have been able to secure high loan-to-value conventional mortgages by purchasing private mortgage insurance. In addition, graduated-payment mortgages, which provide for relatively low monthly payments in the early years of the contract and relatively high payments later, have helped alleviate the cash-flow problems for a number of borrowers. More than \$11/4 billion of graduated-payment mortgages insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) were originated in 1978. Late last year, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board adopted regulations that authorize federally chartered savings and loan associations to make conventional graduated-payment home loans.

Borrowing against Housing Equity

The capital gains associated with the rapid appreciation in home prices not only have provided homeowners with resources to help buy equivalent or better housing, but also have generated collateral for mortgage credit that may be used for other purposes. During 1978, the household sector raised an estimated \$42 billion of mortgage funds against equity in the stock of existing homes. This represented about two-fifths of total net home mortgage borrowing—down somewhat from 1977, but still well above the share registered in earlier years.

Some of these funds have been raised apart from sell-buy transactions, primarily by homeowners' taking out junior mortgages or increasing the size of outstanding first mortgages through refinancing. The volume of junior mortgages, or "home equity" loans, has picked up substantially in some areas during the past few years, particularly where increases in prices of homes have been relatively rapid, as on the West Coast. Although interest rates charged on junior mortgages generally are significantly higher than those on first mortgages, junior mortgages are often more economical than refinancing, particularly when rates are appreciably higher on new than on outstanding first mortgages, and balances on the outstanding loans are large.

Although borrowing against housing equity by nonsellers has increased in recent years, the bulk of the mortgage funds raised by the household sector against inflated housing equity has been generated in connection with sales of existing homes. This practice has occurred as many sellers of existing homes have "monetized" accumulated equity through highly leveraged purchases of their next homes. According to survey data for 1977, repurchasers generally have raised funds in this way, and households in the latter stages of the life cycle—who have stopped trading up and need more financial


assets—have monetized relatively large amounts of equity.

The opportunity to monetize housing equity in connection with transactions in existing homes has probably encouraged some households to substitute relatively long-term, low-cost borrowing on first mortgages for consumer credit. However, aggregate statistics suggest that much of this mortgage borrowing has represented a net addition to the level of household sector debt. The volume of funds raised against housing equity, of course, is contingent upon the availability of mortgage credit, regardless of the trend in home prices and homeowner equity.

Sources of Home Mortgage Credit

The strong demands for home mortgage credit, whether or not associated with demands for housing services, have exerted considerable upward pressure on mortgage interest rates. As a result, such rates have risen to record levels

5. Yields on home mortgages and bonds



"Conventional mortgages" refers to monthly average contract interest rates on new commitments for conventional new home mortgage loans in the primary market, based on HUD (FHA) field-office reports. "Corporate bonds" refers to monthly average implied yields on newly issued Aaa-rated utility bonds with five year call protection, estimated by the Federal Reserve. "Yield spread" is mortgage yield less bond yield.

despite developments that have tended to bolster the supply of mortgage funds. Even though bond rates have increased markedly since the fall of 1977, gross yields on long-term home loans have remained well above yields on corporate bonds (chart 5), and expected net yields on mortgages have risen further during this period as nonrate loan terms have tightened. Moreover, rapid appreciation in prices of homes has helped to sustain the quality of mortgage credit on single-family homes.


Because of favorable expected net yields, various private institutions have been willing to make commitments to acquire long-term home mortgages, either directly or indirectly through mortgage-backed securities. Such commitments have provided the backup needed by builders to secure short-term funds for the construction of homes.

Private financial institutions. Savings and loan associations supplied about half, and commercial banks more than a third, of all short-term mortgage credit for the construction of homes in 1978 (chart 6). In addition, banks extended a significant volume of construction loans not collateralized by real estate. Average effective rates on home construction loans at banks, whether or not secured by real estate, increased about 3 percentage points during the year.

In the markets for permanent financing, savings and loans accounted for nearly half of total net acquisitions of home mortgages in 1978 (chart 6), although their share declined significantly in the latter half of the year in lagged reaction to the earlier slowdown in deposit flows. The share of commercial banks in long-term lending for home mortgages has continued to rise from the low level in 1975 and was more than 20 percent in 1978. Reflecting the attractive yields available on mortgages, the proportion of total assets of commercial banks invested in home loans increased in 1978 despite heavier demands for bank loans by nonfinancial businesses.

Life insurance companies, which have had large and growing cash flows, sharply increased their commitments to acquire long-term home mortgages during 1978, and their holdings of

6. Home mortgages Construction loans



Based on data for 11 major lender groups accounting for about 93 percent of total one- to four family mortgage debt outstanding, as reported by HUD. "Construction loans" are in terms of originations; "long term loans" are in terms of net acquisitions—originations plus purchases less sales.

such assets rose somewhat in the latter half of the year, for the first time in more than a decade. The relatively high yields on home mortgages have drawn a number of life insurance companies back into direct mortgage acquisitions—the so-called whole-loan market—and their investments generally have involved the purchase of blocks of conventional loans with servicing responsibilities retained by the originators.

Mortgage-backed securities and federally related agencies. Mortgage-backed passthrough securities, representing ownership interests in pools of mortgage loans, have become an important element of the long-term home mortgage financing system. New issues of federally

guaranteed passthrough securities accounted for one-eighth of total net acquisitions of home mortgages in 1978. Issues of privately insured passthrough securities, first offered publicly in 1977, amounted to less than \$1 billion last year. The privately insured securities ordinarily involve pools of seasoned mortgages, and issuance may not be attractive to financial institutions in periods of rising interest rates if capital losses have to be booked under such conditions.


The federally related passthrough securities, generally issued against pools of newly originated mortgages, include those guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), and the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA). In recent years such securities issued and insured by FmHA have been sold exclusively to the Federal Financing Bank (FFB), and thus funds raised by the Treasury for the FFB have been flowing into FmHA's rural home loan programs through this channel. In 1978 the amount of home loans in FmHA pools increased about \$2 billion.

Securities issued and guaranteed by FHLMC represent interests in pools of conventional loans acquired by FHLMC, primarily from savings and loans, through its various purchase programs. Issues of FHLMC securities increased to a record \$6 billion in 1978. With a number of security dealers making a secondary market in the instruments, investors other than the nonbank thrift institutions acquired about two-thirds of the amount issued last year.

GNMA-guaranteed passthrough securities (GNMAs), issued by private mortgage originators against pools of government-underwritten loans, are the predominant type of passthrough security in the market. Yields on these instruments move closely with rates on intermediate-term Treasury securities, and the participation by diversified private investors, including pension funds, has expanded markedly as the primary and secondary markets have developed. Issues of GNMAs amounted to \$15 billion in 1978, down somewhat from the previous year and well below the record annual rate of \$20 billion in the last half of 1977 (chart 7).

New issues of GNMAs typically contract when long-term market interest rates rise rap-

7. FNMA and GNMA-security activity



Monthly data from the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Government National Mortgage Association, converted to three month moving averages by Federal Reserve. Issues of GNMA-guaranteed securities and FNMA purchases of FHA and VA loans include small amounts of multifamily mortgages.

idly. Especially at these times, many potential issuers of GNMAs, primarily mortgage companies, sell government-underwritten loans to the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) under optional-delivery commitments secured in previous periods of lower interest rates (higher mortgage prices). Together, GNMA issues plus FNMA purchases have absorbed a predominant share of the volume of FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed home loans originated in the last few years. FNMA has been the primary investor in FHA-insured, graduated-payment loans, which were ineligible for pooling during this period.

FNMA, the only federal or federally related agency to increase significantly its holdings of home mortgages in 1978, added some \$9 billion to its portfolio. The other major agencies operating in the secondary markets, GNMA and FHLMC, reduced somewhat their holdings of home mortgages.

Tax-exempt financing. Funds have been flowing into home mortgages through the municipal securities markets in recent years, and amounts have tended to increase as market interest rates have risen. About three-fourths of the states have housing finance agencies that use issues of tax-exempt bonds to provide long-term mortgage funds at below-market rates to low-and moderate-income borrowers. Most agencies supply funds to these borrowers indirectly via mortgage purchase or loan-to-lender programs,

although a few have direct single-family loan programs.

Since mid-1978, some municipal governments have been issuing tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds to provide funds to local financial institutions for relending at below-market rates to families meeting criteria set by the issuers. Some of these subsidized loans have been provided to borrowers in the middle- and upper-income groups. The President's recent budget message proposed to limit the beneficiaries of tax-exempt funds for mortgage financing to lowand moderate-income families.

Mortgage Interest Rate Ceilings

Federal and state ceilings on rates that may be charged for long-term home mortgage credit have posed some constraints on borrowing. Although the ceiling rate for FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed home loans was adjusted upward a full percentage point in the first half of 1978, by early 1979 lenders required about 5 discount points, on average, on 9½ percent contracts. To the extent that such discounts are not absorbed by home sellers, they are shifted through increased home prices to buyers, who must pay them indirectly at the loan closing.

State-imposed ceilings on interest rates for conventional home mortgages have also constrained lending in some areas. Some states recently eliminated or raised ceilings, and some have converted to floating-rate ceilings. Even so, in early March depositary institutions (excluding national banks) and other lenders in about a third of the states were subject to rate ceilings below national-average mortgage yields. In those states in which usury ceilings are binding and discount points are prohibited or limited, lenders may adjust nonrate terms in order to raise expected net yields. Such adjustments, however, can ration many borrowers out of the market.

While state usury limits undoubtedly have reduced home mortgage and building activity within some states, the impact on aggregate levels of activity apparently has been alleviated to some extent by the flow of mortgage credit across state lines. Lenders in low-rate states may buy loans at competitive yields in the secondary

mortgage markets, which are immune from the direct effects of usury ceilings, and thus increase the supply of mortgage credit in areas without binding ceilings. Recent developments in the secondary markets for conventional whole loans and passthrough securities backed by pools of conventional mortgages have helped facilitate such adjustments.

Builder Inventories

In general, the prices of new homes sold have been rising more rapidly than building costs, even with the sharp increases in construction loan rates since late 1977, and this differential has provided considerable stimulus to home-building. In such an environment, inventories of unsold homes at merchant builders have risen considerably (chart 8). At the end of 1978 the inventory level was near the peak reached in 1973, before the major contraction in new housing activity.

The high inventory levels apparently have not yet significantly discouraged starts of single-family homes. Most newly completed units have sold quickly, and the inventory build-up has represented primarily units still under construction. Moreover, the inventory-to-sales ratio for new single-family homes has increased only a little in the past two years and has remained

8. Home stocks at builders

Unsold units

400

300

Number of months

10

Months' supply

6

1972

1974

1976

1978

Merchant-builder stocks of unsold single-family homes are seasonally adjusted end of month figures reported by the Census Bureau. "Months' supply" is the ratio of end of month stocks to seasonally adjusted sales during that month.

well below the highs reached in 1974 and early 1975. This ratio could increase if new home sales should falter, and the inventories could then present a greater deterrent to starts.

Multifamily Sector

Multifamily housing starts have picked up significantly from their trough in 1975. Even so, the number of units started in 1978 was less than half the unprecedented rates recorded in the peak years 1973 and 1974. Although rental markets have tightened, the expected profitability of rental properties has been insufficient to spur new construction of unsubsidized projects on a wide scale. In this environment, the recovery in multifamily starts has been associated in part with federal subsidy programs for rental projects. The condominium market also has shown some strength, apparently related in part to the investment aspects of homeownership.

Unsubsidized Multifamily Activity

The supply of new multifamily units has been trending upward since early 1976, but the number of completions still amounts to less than half the peak of 1973 (chart 9). A significant portion of newly completed multifamily units has been destined for condominium ownership rather than for rental occupancy, and the sales rate for new condominium units has been quite rapid. Indeed, the strength of this market in some areas of the country has stimulated conversions of rental projects to condominiums.


With increases in the total supply of rental units apparently small, the overall rental vacancy rate has fallen to the lowest level on record—5 percent in the second half of 1978 (chart 9). The substantial decline in the national vacancy rate since 1975 has resulted in large part from a reduction of 3 percentage points in the South, although vacancy rates also have declined elsewhere except the Northeast.

As vacancy rates have declined, new multifamily rental units coming onto the market typically have been leased quickly, and the rise in average rents has picked up despite rent controls in various parts of the country, particularly the Northeast. At the same time, delinquency and foreclosure rates on long-term conventional multifamily mortgages have declined from the extremely high levels recorded several years ago.

Despite these developments, investors have had only limited interest in unsubsidized multifamily rental properties. Interest rates on multifamily construction loans have risen sharply, and those on long-term multifamily mortgage loans are also up substantially—by about 150 basis points since late 1977 to nearly 11 percent. Moreover, the costs of operating rental projects have continued to rise more rapidly than average rents (chart 9). The prospect for rent increases may also have been depressed by threats of rent controls in some areas, such as California, and by the demographic and economic forces that have been strengthening the demand for homeownership.

Some federal tax advantages have been available to investors in multifamily projects. For tax purposes, owners of new rental

9. Rental market indicators



Multitamily units completed and under construction are Census Bureau seasonally adjusted data with completions at annual rates. "Rental vacancy rate," as reported by Census Bureau, is the percentage of all year-round rental units in all types of structures that are vacant and available for rent. "Operating costs" index calculated by the Federal Reserve as a weighted average of various consumer and wholesale price indexes related to apartment owner costs; weights based on expense data for multitamily structures published by the Institute of Real Estate Management. "Rents" index is the rental tate component of the consumer price index.

properties may depreciate the cost of the structure at an accelerated rate, involving deductions in the early years substantially above those available for other income-producing assets. Preferential treatment as a tax shelter for limited partnerships also gives real estate some edge over other types of investment in competing for private capital. Also available in recent years has been a front-end subsidy, associated with the treatment of interest and property tax payments as current business costs during the construction period, although this provision has been phased down under the Tax Reform Act of 1976.

Federally Subsidized Activity

Federally subsidized units have become a larger share of total multifamily construction as uncertainties have clouded the profitability of rental projects. In 1978 about one-fourth of the total units started were under the section 8 rental subsidy program of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the cornerstone of federal housing assistance during the past few years. Remaining budget authority for the fiscal year 1979, as well as amounts in the proposed 1980 federal budget, suggests that this program will continue to provide substantial support to multifamily construction.

The section 8 program is directed toward lower-income families and to a certain extent generates effective demand for new rental units that otherwise would have been lacking. Moreover, the program guarantees private developers fair market rents, as determined by HUD offices, and provides for periodic reviews of rental rates. The review process is intended to preclude the squeeze on net income that might arise because of escalating operating expenses.


Private developers of section 8 projects may also secure permanent mortgage financing at interest rates significantly below market yields. In many cases, the mortgage financing has been provided by state housing finance agencies, which raise funds through issuance of tax-exempt bonds and pass their low borrowing rates through to the developers. Interest rate subsidies may also be obtained through a GNMA "tandem plan" for multifamily projects. Under tan-

dem plans, GNMA purchases low-rate, FHA-insured multifamily mortgages from private lenders at prices close to par and resells them at market prices. Tandem plans for multifamily projects have been available since early 1976, and in 1978 one specifically tied to section 8 projects was created.

Sources of Multifamily Mortgage Credit

Commercial banks accounted for more than half of the total volume of multifamily construction loans made last year, while the share for savings and loan associations fell to about a fourth (chart 10). The real estate investment trusts (REITs),

10. Multifamily mortgages Construction loans



Based on data for 11 major lender groups accounting for about 95 percent of total mortgage debt outstanding on structures with five or more units, as reported by HUD. "Construction loans" are in terms of originations: "long-term loans" are in terms of net acquisitions—originations plus purchases less sales.

which grew rapidly during the last housing boom and accounted for nearly one-third of the multifamily construction loans made in 1973, were only a minor source of supply in 1978. Indexes of share prices for the REIT industry have recovered somewhat from the extremely low levels reached in 1975, but access to funds through the money and capital markets has continued to be severely restricted for most mortgage-oriented trusts.


In the markets for permanent financing, savings and loans accounted for about two-fifths of total net acquisitions of multifamily mortgages in 1978, down somewhat from the two previous years (chart 10). Life insurance companies increased their investments in long-term multifamily mortgages to some extent last year, and their commitments outstanding to acquire such loans rose about a third during 1978. State and local government credit agencies supplied a relatively large amount of long-term multifamily mortgage credit last year, reflecting to a considerable extent loans acquired in connection with rental projects subsidized under HUD's section 8 program.

GNMA purchased \$1.3 billion of long-term, FHA-insured multifamily mortgages in 1978 under its tandem plans—the only type of loan it acquired during this period—and had nearly \$5 billion of purchase commitments outstanding at the end of the year. Net acquisitions of multifamily mortgages by other federal or federally related agencies were negligible in 1978. Issues of federally guaranteed passthrough securities backed by pools of multifamily loans increased about \$1.4 billion during the year.

MOBILE HOMES

Shipments by manufacturers of mobile homes to dealers and developers, which are excluded from the series on housing starts, have been increasing gradually from the trough in mid-1975 (chart 11). In 1978 mobile home shipments accounted for only an eighth of all types of housing units completed, compared with more than a fifth in the boom years 1972 and 1973. Sales of new mobile homes have roughly paralleled the modest growth of shipments during the past few years, and dealer inventories

11. Mobile home shipments



Private domestic shipments of new mobile homes as reported monthly by the Manufactured Housing Institute, seasonally adjusted and converted to annual rates by the Census Bureau and to quarterly averages by the Federal Reserve. Latest data, January February average

of unsold units have remained well below their 1974 highs.

Relative weakness in the mobile home market has been associated with a number of factors, both nonfinancial and financial. Zoning restrictions have been a persistent problem. In addition, in an inflationary environment, the investment appeal of site-built, single-family homes and condominium units in multifamily structures has been greater than that of mobile homes. Survey data for 1977 suggest that about half of mobile home owners perceived a decline

in the value of their homes since purchase, compared with less than 5 percent of the owners of single-family homes conventionally built on lots.

In the wake of the serious loan delinquency and repossession experience in 1974 and 1975, many lenders withdrew from mobile home financing completely, and others became more selective in granting uninsured loans for the purchase of mobile homes. While delinquency and repossession rates declined significantly in 1978, interest rates on mobile home loans have held near the highs reached in 1975. Average contract maturities, which had lengthened considerably in the early 1970s, increased only a little further in 1978.

The sources of supply for mobile home credit have shifted significantly since 1975, as commercial banks and finance companies have reduced such lending and savings and loan associations have expanded it. The associations have found the financing of double-width units to be particularly compatible with their traditional activities in home financing, and some have shortened the average maturity of their asset portfolios by acquiring more mobile home loans.

Monetary Policy Report to Congress

Report submitted to the Congress on February 20, 1979, pursuant to the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978

RECENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENTS

Overview

The current economic expansion is about to enter its fifth year. It thus outranks in longevity every prior cyclical upswing of the postwar era with the exception of that in the 1960s. Yet it has maintained considerable vigor, with real gross national product rising more than 4 percent during the past year. The attendant increases in employment and industrial capacity utilization have reduced considerably the margin of unutilized productive resources in the economy.

The narrowing of the gap between actual and potential output implies that a tighter hold on the nation's aggregate demand for goods and services is necessary if inflationary forces are to be contained. The urgency of such restraint is reinforced by the fact that there has already been an acceleration in the rise of wages and prices. Aggregate measures of unit labor costs and prices advanced around 9 percent in 1978, appreciably more than in the preceding years of this economic expansion.

Apart from the hardship that this large and generally unanticipated surge in inflation created for many families and business enterprises, the behavior of prices deepened concerns around the world regarding the stability of the U.S. economy and the soundness of the dollar. The value of the dollar on foreign exchange markets de-

clined through most of 1978, exacerbating domestic inflationary pressures in the process. To prevent a serious disruption of the international financial system, a broad program of corrective actions was initiated last November. The dollar has since strengthened but remains vulnerable to shifts in sentiment among exchange-market participants.

The longer-range strength of the U.S. economy and of the dollar depends greatly on our success in retarding inflation. This was recognized during the past year in actions taken to reduce the size of the federal budget deficit, in the establishment of voluntary wage-price standards, and in efforts to curtail the inflationary impact of federal regulation. In the monetary sphere, too, there was movement toward moderation of growth in aggregate demand and restraint of inflation as the Federal Reserve acted to prevent excessive growth of money and credit.

Aggregate Economic Activity

The current economic upswing, which began in the spring of 1975, ranks among the most durable in this nation's history. In the period since World War II, only the expansion in the 1960s was longer, and it was marked by massive increases in military outlays associated with the Vietnam War.

The past four years have seen sizable gains in production and employment. Between the first quarter of 1975 and the fourth quarter of 1978, real gross national product rose more than 20 percent. By last month, industrial production had increased about 35 percent and nonfarm payroll employment more than 14 percent from their levels at the cyclical trough in March 1975.

The momentum of expansion, furthermore, has been well maintained. Real GNP increased 4.3 percent from the fourth quarter of 1977 to

^{1.} The charts for this report are available from Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

the fourth quarter of 1978—a bit slower than the average pace over the earlier part of the expansion, but still well above the trend growth of potential output in the economy. The persistent strength of aggregate demand was demonstrated by the surge in activity during the final quarter of last year, when GNP grew at an annual rate in excess of 6 percent. Available indicators suggest that the economy has remained generally strong in the opening months of 1979.

Residential construction, which provided a good deal of impetus to the early recovery, stayed on a high plateau last year in the face of rising interest rates and a continued rapid escalation in building costs. Household demands for shelter have been bolstered by demographic trends as well as by an inflation-hedging motive. The sustained advance in economic activity also has been fostered in good part by strength in consumer spending. A marked turnaround in the willingness of consumers to spend--reflected in a sharp drop in the personal saving rate-provided much of the impetus to overall expansion in the early stages of the economic recovery, and consumption expenditures have remained unusually robust throughout the upswing.

In the business sector, spending on new plant and equipment has continued to rise, but there have not as yet been the large increases seen in some earlier cycles. Business fixed investment actually declined during the initial quarters of the economic expansion as firms concentrated on the repair of strained financial positions in an environment of low capacity utilization. Capital spending policies have continued to be characterized by considerable caution, and it was not until mid-1978 that the previous peak level of real outlays was reattained. Firms also have exercised caution in managing their inventory positions, and stocks generally have remained lean relative to sales.

Government purchases of goods and services rose briskly at both the federal and state and local levels during the second half of 1978 but have been a moderating influence on overall activity during most of the cyclical upswing. The overall budgetary position of the government sector, including transfer payments and

revenues, has remained stimulative throughout the expansion, albeit in diminishing degree. An improving net export position contributed to the expansion of GNP during the early recovery phase, but deterioration in the trade balance was a decidedly negative factor from 1976 to early 1978. The U.S. trade deficit did narrow over the course of 1978, however, owing in part to the strengthening of economic expansion in other major industrial countries.

Personal Consumption Expenditures

Consumer outlays grew 3.8 percent over 1978 after averaging 5½ percent, at an annual rate, earlier in the economic recovery and expansion. The slower growth of spending reflected relatively smaller recent gains in real disposable income; increases in real personal income were eroded by larger tax burdens related to higher contributions for social security and the interaction of inflation and a progressive tax system.

The proportion of consumption in gross national product has held at a high level over the course of this upswing. In prior cycles this share typically fell as the expansion matured. In particular, household spending for durable goods has hovered at around 10 percent of GNP throughout the past three years, while during other economic expansions it accounted, on average, for about 7½ percent. This exceptional strength in consumption and the associated rapid increase in installment credit and low saving rates can be attributed, in part, to the higher relative number of younger households. But it also appears to be in some degree a reaction of households to persistently high inflation rates. For example, opinion surveys suggest that many consumers have been buying durable goods in anticipation of price increases.

Business Fixed Investment

Real business fixed investment rose 8¼ percent over 1978. This was nearly the same pace of advance as in the two previous years and almost twice the rate of expansion in aggregate activity. Recently, nonresidential construction activity has become an important source of business investment growth. In 1978, real spending for

such structures increased 12½ percent as outlays for commercial and industrial buildings showed particularly impressive gains. On the other hand, investment in producers' durable equipment grew about 6½ percent in real terms during 1978 compared with increases of more than 10 percent in each of the previous two years. Demands for motor vehicles, which were exceptionally strong earlier in the expansion, began to tail off in 1978, while machinery outlays continued to advance at about the same moderate pace experienced since early 1976.

Inventory Investment

Investment in business inventories was characterized by caution in 1978, as it generally was in the three previous years. As a result, aggregate inventory-sales ratios remained at or below historical averages. This caution, which can be traced back to the severe inventory cycle of 1974–75, appears to have been responsible for the avoidance of the types of overhangs that preceded several prior cyclical downturns. Incipient buildups of stocks have been met with prompt increases in sales promotion or curtailments of orders and production. Most recently, overhangs that developed at general merchandise retail outlets in the fall apparently were corrected by the sharp rise in sales during the holiday season and a slowing of production of durable home goods.

Residential Construction

The rate of private housing starts advanced briskly during the 1975-77 period and in 1978 they were sustained at the high annual rate of 2 million units. Spending for residential construction in real terms increased at an average annual rate of 21 percent from the 1975 trough before leveling off in 1978. In addition to constraints on production capacity, the recent developments in housing activity reflect the tightening in financial markets. Interest rates on both construction loans and long-term mortgages rose appreciably in 1978 and by year-end they had reached usury ceilings in a number of states and record postwar highs in many other areas. Even so, the variable-ceiling, six-month time ac-

counts introduced in June of last year buoyed deposit growth at key mortgage lenders and helped maintain the high rate of housing construction.

Within the housing sector, the rise in single-family starts led activity early in the recovery. More recently, multifamily starts—supported by an increase in federally subsidized rental units—have increased while single-family starts have remained above their 1972-73 peak levels. Indeed, in the fourth quarter of 1978, total housing starts averaged an annual rate of 2.1 million units, the same as a year earlier.

International Trade

After providing some initial stimulus to economic growth during the early recovery period in 1975, the U.S. balance of trade began deteriorating. In large part this reflected the relatively stronger rate of economic expansion in the United States compared with our major trading partners. The deficit in net exports narrowed during 1978, however, as activity abroad picked up in contrast to the moderation in the U.S. expansion. In addition, the more favorable trade balance reflected a 20 percent rise in agricultural exports last year, associated with unusually poor harvests of wheat and soybeans in the Southern Hemisphere.

Government

Growth of purchases by the federal government has been uneven in this expansion. In real terms, such purchases increased little during 1975 and 1976, rose substantially in 1977, and then—despite a surge in the second half of the year-declined slightly in 1978. Total expenditures, however, have risen consistently, reflecting increased grants to state and local governments and transfers to individuals for social security, food stamps, and retirement benefits. Revenues have increased even more than outlays over the past several years, so that the federal budget deficit has declined from \$66.4 billion in fiscal year 1976 to a projected \$37 billion for the current fiscal year that ends next September.

State and local government purchases also have grown irregularly over the past four years.

In real terms, outlays by this sector for goods and services expanded at a 21/4 percent annual rate during the second half of 1978, matching the average pace over the expansion as a whole. This is well below the trend rate of increase experienced during the 1960s and early 1970s. The slowing of growth reflects changing reguirements for services, associated with demographic developments, and a degree of fiscal conservatism prompted partly by the financial difficulties encountered by some communities in recent years. In 1978, however, a tendency toward tax relief —occasioned in part by voter preferences expressed in California's Proposition 13 and like measures elsewhere--outweighed the impact of spending economies on budgets. As a result, although the aggregate operating surplus of state and local governments totaled \$6 billion for the year, this was only half the size of the 1977 surplus.

Labor Markets

Labor demand has been strong throughout the current economic expansion. During the three years following the cyclical trough in early 1975, nonfarm payroll employment advanced at an average annual rate of 3.7 percent --- compared with a 2.8 percent median rate of gain during the five previous postwar expansions. During the past year—at a stage when in earlier cycles employment levels had begun to level off or even to fall—payroll employment has continued to advance at a 4.2 percent annual rate. Over the almost four years of expansion, employment has increased by 12 million, and today the ratio of employment to total civilian population aged 16 and over stands at the highest level on record.

Employment in the goods-producing sector of the economy rose rather slowly early in this recovery, reflecting in part the sluggish behavior of business fixed investment. It was not until late 1978—as a result of large hiring increases in the hard goods industries—that factory employment reached its prerecession peak. Similarly, construction hiring showed only small increases for nearly three years after the trough.

During 1978, however, employment in contract construction surged ahead to record levels.

In the private service-producing sector, employment dipped only briefly in early 1975 and has been on a steady uptrend since then-far exceeding the gains of previous expansions. The trade and service industries have continued to grow faster than other sectors, and by the end of 1978 they accounted for more than 4 of every 10 jobs in nonfarm establishments. In contrast to the private sector, government hiring has been modest. Federal government civilian employment has been fairly stable at around 24/4 million over the past four years, about the same level that has prevailed since the late 1960s. State and local government employment has risen, but growth has been slowed substantially in recent years as a consequence of reduced needs for education personnel and fiscal retrenchment by many units.

The reduction of demand for labor in education reflects the shift in the age structure of the population that has been affecting not only school enrollments but also the size of the work force. Growth of the teenage population (ages 16 to 19) in the late 1960s and early 1970s was exceedingly large, reflecting the attainment of working age by the postwar baby boom cohort. At the same time, labor force participation rates for teens rose sharply. In the mid-1970s, growth of the 16 to 19 age group slowed, and in 1978 the teenage population actually began to contract. Nonetheless, with participation rates still rising rapidly, the teenage labor force continued to grow at a rapid pace (up 3.2 percent in 1978) compared with 1.6 percent on average in the preceding four years).

An even more significant factor in the expansion of the work force has been the continued rise in the participation rates of adult women. The longer-run trend, which reflected low birth rates as well as changing attitudes and social trends, apparently was augmented in the 1970s by a desire of families to maintain their material living standards in the face of rapid inflation. As a result of these participation-rate patterns, the total civilian labor force grew 3 percent during 1978—about the same as in 1977, but

up considerably from the 2¼ percent annual rate during preceding years of the decade.

With the growth of employment outstripping even the large increase in the size of the labor force, the unemployment rate fell 1/2 percentage point over the course of 1978 to just under 6 percent. Labor market conditions improved significantly for most groups of skilled and experienced workers. For example, unemployment rates for workers 25 to 54 years old, skilled blue collar workers, and workers seeking full-time employment all were at or near the levels reached in 1972 when labor and product markets were beginning to tighten noticeably. While there was as yet no general shortage of skilled workers during 1978, many firms reportedly were finding it increasingly difficult to fill certain job vacancies at prevailing wage rates.

The improvement in employment conditions during the current expansion has not been uniform. Despite the gains made by many groups, unemployment rates for younger workers, minorities, and the unskilled were still very high at the end of 1978. For example, the unemployment rate for teenagers at the end of 1978 was 16¼ percent, more than four times the rate for workers 25 to 54 years old; for minority youth the rate was over 35 percent. Younger workers between 16 and 24 years of age accounted for about half of all joblessness in the fourth quarter of 1978.

The enlarged proportion of the labor force accounted for by teenagers and women means that the overall unemployment rate does not imply the same degree of labor force pressure that it would have in past years. These groups tend to have relatively high rates of joblessness for a number of reasons, including generally more limited training and work experience. As a rough adjustment for such structural influences, the average unemployment rate can be recomputed using the age-sex composition of the labor force in the mid-1950s. The result of such a calculation is an unemployment rate about one percentage point below its current level, which vividly illustrates that the level of labor utilization consistent with price stability may change considerably over time. To enhance

the possibility of simultaneously achieving low unemployment and price stability, it may be necessary to augment monetary and fiscal policies with carefully focused programs to facilitate job placement and to provide skill training.

Productivity

The 3.5 million increase in payroll employment during 1978 was much larger than would have been expected on the basis of the historical relationships between output changes and labor demand. Although growth in real GNP decelerated from 5½ percent in 1977 to 4¼ percent in 1978, businesses added to their payrolls at almost the same rate. Output per hour of work rose only slightly over the four quarters of 1978.

Much of the slowdown in productivity growth last year occurred outside the manufacturing sector; output per hour in manufacturing increased 3½ percent during 1978. Normally, productivity growth slows as labor markets tighten and capacity constraints are approached, but the falloff in productivity gains in the past two years has been particularly sharp.

This poor performance of labor productivity continues a trend toward slower growth evident since the late 1960s. During the period from 1947 to 1967, productivity in the nonfarm business sector rose on average by 2½ percent per year, and accounted for almost 70 percent of the gain in output for this sector. Since 1967 the rise in output per hour has slowed, with average annual gains of only 1.2 percent recorded since 1973. As a result, less than 50 percent of output growth over the last five years can be attributed to gains in efficiency.

The deterioration of productivity performance in recent years is a complex phenomenon that is not completely understood. It appears, however, that a crucial factor has been the failure to maintain an adequate rate of capital formation. Indeed, the nation's stock of capital has shown little growth relative to the size of the labor force over the past decade; in contrast, the capital-labor ratio trended upward rapidly in the preceding 20 years. Other factors that may have contributed to reduced productivity growth

in recent years are the influence of environmental and safety regulations that divert resources to uses not measured in the national income and product accounts and the increase in the proportion of young and inexperienced workers in the labor force.

Investment

Since the early 1960s there has been a marked trend toward slower growth of the stock of business capital in the United States. Although real gross business fixed investment last year surpassed the 1973 record, still stronger investment activity will be needed if there is to be a sustained reversal of this trend. In part this merely reflects the arithmetic truth that unchanged absolute amounts of investment translate into declining percentage increases in a growing stock of plant and equipment. Also important, however, is the fact that it is net investment-that is, gross investment less the depreciation of existing capital goods -- that adds to the capital stock, and real net investment has yet to reach its previous peak level. Because the fraction of the capital stock in the form of relatively short-lived equipment has been increasing in recent years, a higher level of gross investment is now needed simply to maintain the existing capital stock.

It also must be noted that even the figures for net investment probably overstate the contribution that capital outlays have been making recently to the expansion of productive capacity. A significant share of plant and equipment spending has been undertaken to meet government pollution, health, and safety regulations. During the past several years roughly 5 percent of total capital spending has been for the purpose of pollution abatement, and some estimates suggest that perhaps an additional 2 percent of investment has been for improvements in health and safety conditions. Although these outlays may well yield important benefits to society, they do not directly enhance productive capacity.

When an economy is near full employment, the commitment of additional resources to capital formation will require some near-term sacrifice of consumption by individuals or govern-

ment. However, there is ample evidence that higher levels of investment effort can enhance long-range economic growth and raise living standards. The increase in U.S. capital spending last year raised the ratio of real gross business fixed investment to GNP to 10.2 percent—the first time since 1974 that it reached the 10 percent level, but still somewhat below the average of the late 1960s and early 1970s. Although international comparisons must be made with caution, owing to differences in accounting and other technical problems, it is clear that other major industrial nations have allocated greater shares of GNP to investment and, as a result, have enjoyed substantially faster increases in productivity and output. While this does not lead to the conclusion that the United States should attempt to achieve the same investment-GNP ratios as prevail elsewhere, it tends to confirm the proposition that this nation would benefit from higher proportions of capital spending to GNP than have been experienced in recent years.

International Trade and Payments

From the mid-1960s through the early 1970s, the U.S. merchandise trade balance moved gradually from surplus to deficit. Then, during the 1974–75 worldwide economic slowdown the United States suffered a disproportionately sharp contraction, so that—despite an enormous increase in our outlays for imported oil—the U.S. trade balance swung into surplus in 1975. The surplus proved temporary, however; the subsequent economic recovery was stronger here than abroad, and this played a major role in the steep increase of our trade deficit from 1976 through early 1978.

The trade deficit in 1978 was \$34 billion, slightly larger than in 1977. But the deficit peaked at an annual rate of \$45 billion in the first quarter of 1978, and developments in both exports and imports contributed to a narrowing of the imbalance to a rate of about \$30 billion in each of the subsequent quarters.

The growth of exports accelerated in the second quarter. The step-up was partly attributable to temporary causes—for example, demand for U.S. agricultural commodities was

stimulated by poor harvests in the Southern Hemisphere. More important was a strengthening of economic activity abroad and the improved competitiveness of U.S. goods resulting from the substantial depreciation of the U.S. dollar that had begun in the fall of 1977. The real volume of nonagricultural exports increased 6 percent in 1978, and growth picked up strongly in the second half of the year. Prices of exports increased in line with the general pace of domestic inflation, and the total value of merchandise exports rose 17 percent from 1977.

The relatively moderate rise in the volume of imports in 1978, following two years of very large increases, resulted primarily from a slower increase in nonoil imports, but it was reinforced by some decline in petroleum imports. Although total U.S. petroleum consumption is estimated to have increased 3 percent, the higher demand was more than met by the increased Alaskan production and by a drawing down of inventories from unusually high levels. The total value of imports increased 16 percent in 1978 with the gain spread over most major commodity categories. Almost half of this increase was in volume terms as imports responded to the continuing strength in U.S. economic activity. Prices of nonoil imports were boosted by the decline in the international value of the dollar.

The current-account deficit in 1978, estimated at \$17 billion, was slightly larger than in 1977. As in other recent years, net receipts from service transactions provided a substantial offset to the merchandise trade deficit. Earnings, fees, and royalties from foreign direct investments have shown a strong uptrend during the 1970s.

In the period between the onset of generalized floating of currencies in March 1973 and September 1977, the exchange value of the dollar went through several phases of appreciation and depreciation. The average value of the dollar increased sharply (nearly 15 percent) from October 1973 to January 1974, despite large sales of dollars by foreign central banks. Continued large sales of dollars by foreign central banks in 1974, later reinforced by the easing of domestic interest rates associated with the U.S. recession, contributed to a decline in the dollar that began in the first quarter of 1974 and did not end until the spring of 1975. Thereafter,

the emergence of a large current-account surplus and a relative firming of U.S. interest rates led to a substantial appreciation of the dollar until the spring of 1976. The dollar subsequently held relatively steady until the fall of 1977.

The dollar began to depreciate markedly against most major foreign currencies in late September 1977 as forecasts for 1978 suggested that the U.S. trade deficit would be no smaller than in 1977. The decline continued through the end of 1977, despite large intervention purchases of dollars by foreign central banks. An announcement in January 1978 that the U.S. Treasury would join the Federal Reserve in exchange-market intervention in German marks, followed by an increase in the discount rate, improved market sentiment only temporarily, and by early April the dollar had declined about 10 percent on a weighted-average basis. Between early April and mid-May, a relative firming of U.S. interest rates contributed to a recovery, but the dollar declined fairly steadily thereafter in response to continuing concerns about the size of the U.S. trade deficit and increasing fears that U.S. price performance was deteriorating.

Although some depreciation of the dollar was justified by the need to restore external balance in the face of differential growth rates in the United States and major foreign economies and a relative worsening of U.S. inflation, by midsummer it was clear that the dollar's decline was becoming excessive in trading that was increasingly disorderly. Consequently, in August the Federal Reserve announced a 1/2 percentage point increase in the discount rate and reduced to zero the reserve requirements on borrowings by member banks in the Eurodollar market. The Treasury later announced that it would increase the size of its regular monthly gold auctions. These measures produced a brief rally and then a few weeks of stability for the dollar. However, the dollar's slide soon resumed. After the President announced his wage-price program on October 24, the decline steepened alarmingly, threatening to undercut the anti-inflation effort at home and to lead to further erosion of confidence abroad. By late October, the dollar had fallen 21 percent from its September 1977 level.

Under these circumstances, more forceful action was required. On November 1, the Federal Reserve increased the discount rate by 1 percentage point and imposed a supplementary reserve requirement of 2 percentage points on large time deposits. To increase the availability of foreign currencies for exchange-market intervention, enlarged swap lines were arranged with the central banks of Germany, Japan, and Switzerland. The U.S. Treasury simultaneously announced its intention to draw on its reserve position in the International Monetary Fund, to sell special drawing rights, and to issue foreign-currency-denominated securities. In addition, the Treasury announced a doubling in its rate of gold sales.

The aim of these measures was to correct the excessive depreciation of the dollar and thereby to counter upward pressures on the domestic price level. When viewed in its entirety, the policy initiative of the administration and the Federal Reserve System indicated that the United States recognized the need for an integrated approach in addressing domestic and international economic concerns. The announcement of these measures on November 1 produced a dramatic jump in the dollar's exchange value. On that day alone the dollar advanced by 5 percent on a weighted-average basis. Heavy cooperative central bank intervention over the following few weeks provided support for the dollar as market participants tested the authorities' resolve, but the need for such intervention abated in January. As of mid-February of this year, the dollar was more than 7 percent above its October low on a weightedaverage basis.

Prices

Inflation typically has accelerated over the course of cyclical expansions in economic activity, and this upswing has proven no exception. However, the marked increase in the pace of price advance during the past year was in large measure a consequence of forces not directly related to an intensification of general demand pressures on available productive resources. Government-mandated increases in costs and special developments in the agricul-

tural and international sectors contributed substantially to the pickup in inflation during 1978.

Inflation moderated during the first stages of the cyclical recovery in 1975 and 1976. The earlier extraordinary pressures (associated with the rise in oil prices, the sharp escalation in food prices, a worldwide boom in other commodities, and domestic price decontrol) subsided, and the considerable slack in labor and product markets restrained wages and prices. Inflation began to speed up again in 1977, however, and prices then surged in 1978. The consumer price index, the producer price index, and the fixed-weight price index for gross business product all registered increases of around 9 percent during 1978, about 2 percentage points more than in the preceding year.

The acceleration of inflation last year reflected importantly the pressure of rising labor costs. Wage rates in the private nonfarm sector increased 8½ percent, compared with about 7½ percent in each of the preceding two years. A boost in the federal minimum wage contributed appreciably to the accelerated rise of wages; the impact was especially noticeable in the trade sector, which has the largest concentration of lower-wage workers and had average wage increases of more than 9 percent last year.

Hourly compensation, which includes, in addition to wages, the costs to employers of social insurance contributions and of privately negotiated fringe benefits, rose 9% percent—about 2 percentage points faster than in 1977. About one-quarter of the acceleration resulted from increased social security taxes and unemployment insurance contributions. In addition, private fringe benefits continued to rise faster than wages.

Given the weak performance of labor productivity, the larger compensation gains were translated into rapid increases in unit labor costs. Unit labor costs in the nonfarm business sector rose 9 percent during 1978 versus 6½ percent in 1977. As 1979 began, labor costs again were given an upward jolt by further increases in the minimum wage and social security taxes.

Apart from the broad pressures exerted by rising unit labor costs, the general level of prices was affected considerably in 1978 by develop-

ments in the farm and food sector. Retail food prices rose 12 percent over the year—the largest increase since 1974. The increases at the retail level reflected a rise of almost 20 percent in farm prices during 1978 following little change in the preceding year. Meat price increases were particularly rapid, as beef production continued to decline.

The decline in the foreign exchange value of the dollar also aggravated inflation. Aside from the direct impact of higher prices for imported merchandise, the price-restraining pressure of foreign competition was weakened for many domestic products. Large price increases for domestically produced automobiles and other durable goods reflected both of these effects. The inflationary pressures associated with the steep depreciation of the dollar that had begun in September 1977 appear to have accounted for about 1 percentage point of last year's rise in the consumer price index.

At the producer level, the inflation of prices of capital equipment accelerated considerably less than that for consumer finished goods. But crude materials prices, for both food and nonfood items, increased sharply, and prices for construction materials also rose rapidly. In the first month of this year the continuing strength of inflationary forces was demonstrated by a 1.3 percent jump in the producer price index; although consumer foods posted an especially large increase, all of the major groupings of finished goods and materials showed accelerated advances.

Financial Markets

Interest Rates. Interest rates generally declined during the early part of the current economic expansion. This departure from usual cyclical patterns probably was attributable in part to a diminution of inflation expectations associated with the observed slowing in the advance of prices and to the limited credit needs of businesses, which were pursuing cautious capital spending policies. Interest rates began to move upward in the spring of 1977, however, as the Federal Reserve acted to restrain accelerating growth in money and credit. Over the course of 1977, yields on short-term market

instruments generally rose about 2 percentage points, while corporate and Treasury bond yields increased around 3/4 percentage point.

With inflation picking up, the margin of unutilized resources narrowing, and the dollar under downward pressure in foreign exchange markets, the Federal Reserve applied increasing restraint to the expansion of money and credit in 1978. This was reflected in further increases of 3 to 4 percentage points in most short-term rates over the course of the year. The combination of rising short-term rates and heightened inflation expectations resulted in increases of roughly 1 percentage point in bond yields. By year-end, a number of interest rates were near or above the peak levels of 1974.

Monetary Aggregates. The monetary aggregates have exhibited some unusual patterns of behavior during the past several years. This has been especially true with respect to the narrow money stock, M-1. During 1975 and 1976, growth in M-1 averaged just over 5 percent per year. Given the concurrent decline in interest rates, the sizable increases in M-1 velocity that is, the ratio of GNP to M 1—were much larger than would have been predicted on the basis of previous historical relationships among money, income, and interest rates.

The moderation of the public's demand for M-1 may have reflected to a degree an unusually strong cyclical swing in confidence and increased willingness to spend out of existing cash balances as the economy recovered from a severe recession. However, there is also considerable evidence that other factors played an important role. The unprecedentedly high level reached by interest rates in 1974 stimulated the creation and adoption of new cash management techniques that permitted individuals and busi nesses to economize on nonearning demand deposits. This development apparently continued to exert a significant influence even after interest rates turned downward, and it was reinforced by several important legislative and regulatory developments and innovations affecting the payments system. These included the authorization of negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts in all of New England, of savings accounts for businesses and governmental units, and of preauthorized third-party and telephone transfer privileges for personal savings accounts.

By the beginning of 1977, the level of M-1 was well below that predicted by most standard econometric models of the demand for money. This downward shift in money demand abated in early 1977, however, and growth of M-1 generally conformed to historical patterns until the final months of 1978. M-1 expanded 8 percent during 1977 and at about the same pace over the first three quarters of 1978; rising interest rates and slowing economic expansion worked to moderate M-1 growth over this span, but these influences were offset by the effect of accelerating inflation on transactions requirements.

On a quarterly average basis, M-1 growth in the fourth quarter of 1978 was at a 4.4 percent annual rate, but the average level of the money stock in January was slightly below that for October. A portion of this weakness is the direct consequence of the introduction of automatic transfer services (ATS) last November 1; many individuals have shifted their transactions balances from checking accounts to savings accounts from which funds are automatically transferred to cover checks. These shifts appear to have reduced M-1 growth rates by roughly 3 percentage points per month, on average. Even after allowance for this, however, growth in M-1 has been weaker than might have been expected in light of the recent expansion of income and spending. It may be that, as in 1974, interest rates have reached a high threshold level at which households and businesses are induced to seek out and adopt cash management techniques that permit major economies in demand deposit holdings. The advent of ATS-which occasioned basic changes in the checking account pricing policies of many banks-undoubtedly has caused many individuals to assess more carefully the opportunity costs of holding non-interest-earning demand deposit balances as compared not only with ATS accounts but also with other highly liquid interest-earning assets.

The behavior of the interest-bearing components of the broader monetary aggregates—M-2 and M-3--was generally in line with historical patterns during the first three years of the eco-

nomic upswing, but there has been a marked deviation since last June. Commercial banks and thrift institutions experienced rapid growth of savings and small-denomination time deposits until the latter part of 1977. At that point a gap began to develop between interest rates on shortand intermediate-term market securities and the rates permitted on insured deposits by federal regulations. As the gap grew, inflows to savings and small time accounts gradually diminished through the spring of 1978. Commercial banks found it necessary to rely more heavily during this period on large time deposits and other managed liabilities to fund their lending activities, and savings and loan associations borrowed heavily from Federal Home Loan Banks.

To prevent a repetition of past episodes when markedly reduced deposit inflows led to an abrupt curtailment of credit to homebuyers and others reliant on the depositary institutions for credit, the federal regulatory agencies authorized two new time deposit categories effective June 1. One was an 8-year account paying up to 7-4 percent at commercial banks and 8 percent at thrift institutions. The other was a 6month "money market certificate" (MMC) whose maximum rate varies weekly with the average yield on newly issued 6-month Treasury bills. Given rate relationships, the 8-year certificate has not added significantly to overall deposit flows, but quite the contrary is true of the MMCs. During the first 5 months of 1978, time and savings deposits subject to rate ceilings at commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual banks grew at a 7.9 percent annual rate; since the beginning of June, these deposits have grown at a 10.3 percent rate despite substantial further increases in market interest rates. MMC balances at the end of January totaled about \$105 billion and accounted for 7½ percent of savings and small time deposits at banks and almost 13 percent at thrift institutions.

The MMCs have greatly reduced the sensitivity of time and savings deposit growth to changes in market interest rates, but they have not eliminated it. Indeed, inflows have moderated during the past few months, at least partly in response to the substantial further rise in interest rates. Increased noncompetitive tenders

in auctions of Treasury securities and record growth of money market mutual funds are indications that recent interest rate levels have been inducing some diversion of funds from savings and small time accounts subject to fixed rate ceilings.

Credit Flows. Although accelerating inflation has tended to dampen the impact of rising nominal interest rates on credit demands, there has been a perceptible flattening of the overall pace of borrowing in the economy over the past year. Total funds raised in credit markets by the private domestic nonfinancial sectors have expanded only moderately since the second half of 1977 after having risen rapidly during the earlier part of the economic expansion. Although the liquidity of depositary institutions has declined over the past two years, the introduction of the MMC has prevented the disintermediation that accompanied previous interest rate cycles and has permitted banks and thrift institutions to continue to account for a very large share of the funds advanced to ultimate borrowers.

Households, in particular, are heavily reliant on depositary institutions for credit, and their demands for funds have remained strong. Home mortgage borrowing in 1978 was slightly larger than in 1977, and consumer installment borrowing rose to a new record as households financed purchases of autos and other large ticket items. The aggregate flow of credit to households in 1978, at more than \$160 billion, was 15 percent greater than in 1977 and three times the volume recorded in 1975.

The buildup of indebtedness by households over the last three years has outstripped both the growth of this sector's financial asset holdings and of disposable income. Repayment burdens have reached record proportions. Although loan delinquency data indicate that families have not as yet encountered significant difficulty in meeting their obligations for debt service, the diminished liquidity of household financial positions suggests a greater fragility and vulnerability to any deterioration of income flows.

The nonfinancial business sector also experienced some decline in liquidity in the past year. The gap between corporate capital spending and

internal cash flow widened, and firms met a substantial portion of their external financing needs through short-term borrowings—particularly from commercial banks. While commercial mortgage borrowing increased and private bond placements remained large, many of the big, highly rated industrial firms that have ready access to the public bond markets evidently preferred to defer long-term financings in the expectation that long-term rates would eventually decline. As a consequence, the aggregate ratio of liquid assets to short-term liabilities in the nonfinancial corporate sector declined over the course of 1978, to a level only slightly above the 1974 low.

State and local borrowing was about the same in 1978 as in 1977. Advance refundings again accounted for a sizable share of tax-exempt bond issuance, but such operations virtually ceased after August owing to the combination of restrictive Internal Revenue Service regulations and rising interest rates. Despite some rise in the past few months, the ratio of yields on municipal bonds to those on taxable obligations has remained relatively low by historical standards, reflecting in part the continued demand for tax-exempt securities by casualty insurance companies, by commercial banks, and by individuals.

Borrowing by the U.S. Treasury has declined over the past year, reflecting the diminution of the federal budget deficit. Government borrowing from the public totaled \$59 billion in fiscal year 1978, but is projected by the administration at about \$40 billion in the current fiscal year. The preponderance of the increase in outstanding Treasury debt during 1978 was absorbed by state and local governments, which purchased a large volume of nonmarketable Treasury securities with proceeds of advance refundings, and by foreign official institutions, which invested dollars obtained in exchangemarket intervention.

Commercial banks satisfied a substantial proportion of the credit demands of households, businesses, and state and local governments during 1978. Total bank credit expanded 10.9 percent over the course of the year, with loan portfolios increasing by 14.6 percent. To meet loan demands many banks had to liquidate

holdings of Treasury securities and to borrow either from correspondents or in the open market through the issuance of large CDs or nondeposit liabilities such as federal funds and repurchase agreements. Aggregate bank liquidity ratios declined appreciably, especially among the smaller and regional institutions that have experienced the strongest business loan growth during this expansion.

Thrift institutions experienced considerable cash-flow pressure during the first half of 1978, but they have been able to rebuild their liquid asset positions since the MMCs began to bolster deposit growth. Thrift institution mortgage lending declined moderately during 1978, although there was some upturn in the final quarter in lagged reaction to the midyear pickup in deposit inflows. Outstanding loan commitments also rose during the second half but in December were slightly below the year-earlier level.

Life insurance companies and pension funds have continued to experience large inflows of investable funds. In 1978 as in previous years of the economic expansion, these institutions absorbed the bulk of the net issuance of corporate bonds. The insurance companies also have supplied a large share of commercial mortgage credit.

Objectives and Plans of the Federal Reserve

The Objective of Monetary Policy in 1979

The objective of the Federal Reserve is to foster financial conditions conducive to a continued, but more moderate, economic expansion during 1979 that should permit a gradual winding down of inflation and the maintenance of the stronger position of the dollar in international exchange markets. Given the limited margin of unutilized labor and industrial resources remaining in the economy, it is critically important to avoid strong aggregate demand pressures that would aggravate our already serious inflation problem. At the same time, the current condition of general balance in the economy suggests that it should be possible to continue restraint to

relieve inflationary pressures without triggering a recession.

Growth of Money and Credit in 1979

The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) has selected growth ranges for the monetary aggregates that it believes will bring to bear an appropriate degree of restraint in light of the current outlook for fiscal policy and the underlying strength of private demand in the economy. Over the year ending with the fourth quarter of 1979, M-1 is expected to grow between 1½ and 4½ percent; M-2, 5 to 8 percent; and M-3, 6 to 9 percent. Commercial bank credit has been projected to increase between 7½ and 10½ percent during the year.

The growth range for M-1 calls for a marked deceleration from the pace of recent years. This reflects in part an expectation that the shifting of funds to savings accounts with automatic transfer facilities and to the NOW accounts recently authorized in New York State will continue to depress the growth of demand deposits throughout 1979. The board's staff has projected that such shifting will damp growth in M-1 this year by around 3 percentage points. Because there has been only a brief period of experience upon which to base an analysis of the attractiveness of the ATS accounts, this projection carries a broad range of uncertainty.

The unexplained flatness of M-1 in recent months introduced another uncertainty in the FOMC's deliberations regarding the monetary growth ranges. At this stage it is impossible to tell whether the weakness of M-1 relative to what would have been expected on the basis of historical relationships among money, income, and interest rates is a transitory phenomenon or one that is likely to persist for some time. The range for M-1 assumes that the recent weakness does in some degree reflect a change in the public's desired allocation of funds among various financial assets that may persist for some time ahead, though not so strongly as in recent months.

The breadth of the specified growth range for M-1 recognizes the considerable uncertainties that currently exist. As subsequent information

begins to resolve those uncertainties, the range may be adjusted. In the meantime, M-1 may continue to be a somewhat ambiguous indicator of monetary policy, and it will be especially important to monitor carefully the behavior of other financial variables.

It may be noted that the Federal Reserve is studying possible redefinitions of the monetary aggregates. Among the proposals made in a staff paper published for public comment in the January FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN is that M-1 be redefined to encompass ATS, NOW, and other similar transactions accounts.² While such a redefinition would not eliminate the need to understand the behavior of the various financial assets, it might produce an aggregate that is more reflective of the public's need for transactions balances in light of ongoing institutional changes.

The behavior of M-1 was not the only puzzling development confronting the FOMC early this month as it considered the appropriate ranges for monetary growth during 1979. There were questions as well regarding the movements of the interest-bearing components of the broader aggregates—especially the time and savings deposits at commercial banks that, along with M-1, constitute M-2. Bank savings deposits have declined appreciably in the past few months, despite the influx of funds to ATS savings accounts. While savings deposit inflows might be expected to exhibit weakness when market interest rates are so far above regulatory ceilings, a large gap had existed for a considerable time and it might have been expected that most of the interest-sensitive funds had already moved into other instruments. It is possible, however, that —as perhaps with demand deposits—the recent further sharp increase in interest rates to historically high levels has prompted many people to seek out alternative assets carrying market yields more aggressively. The M-2 range adopted by the FOMC reflects an expectation that growth of the interest-bearing component will be somewhat stronger in the months

ahead, buttressed by further sizable increases in the large-denomination time deposits included in the total and by abatement of the recent unusually large withdrawals of funds from savings deposits.

The range for M-3 implies a continued substantial growth of deposits at nonbank thrift institutions. The money market certificates have proven a reliable source of funds. While some institutions have reduced their promotion of MMCs, the certificates have continued to be widely offered at ceiling rates—although there has been some crosion of earnings at thrift institutions since mid-1978 as these relatively high cost deposits have taken a growing share of thrift institution liabilities.

The projected range for bank credit expansion reflects an expectation that loan demands will be less intense in 1979 than in 1978, in line with the prospect of more moderate growth of economic activity. Banks likely will have to continue to rely heavily on large time deposits and other money market liabilities to fund asset growth, and this implies some further decline in traditional measures of institutional liquidity.

The Economic Outlook

Despite the surge in real GNP during the fourth quarter, it appears that underlying economic and financial conditions will lead to a moderation of economic growth in the year ahead. The absence of the sorts of distortions and imbalances that have often precipitated economic downturns in the past indicates that it should be possible to slow the pace of expansion—and thereby relieve inflationary pressures—without prompting a recession. However, any further acceleration of inflation or the occurrence of severe shortages of critical commodities, such as oil, would imperil this outcome.

The monetary restraint applied over the past year by the Federal Reserve is expected increasingly to affect the residential construction sector. Higher costs of credit will cause land developers and builders to put aside marginally profitable projects, and the combination of higher house prices and mortgage rates will lead some families to defer home purchases. None-

^{2. &}quot;A Proposal for Redefining the Monetary Aggregates," Federal Reserve Bullium, Vol. 65 (January 1979), pp. 13–42.

theless, owing to the MMCs and various institutional developments that have broadened the sources of mortgage funds, as well as to the strong underlying demand for shelter, the decline in housing activity should be moderate by comparison with past cycles.

Business fixed investment likely will continue to grow during 1979, but at a slower rate than in 1978. There has been some indication in the past few months of a slowing in the steep upward trend of contracts and orders for plant and equipment, and this is generally consistent with surveys of capital spending plans, which point to smaller gains in outlays this year than last. On the other hand, the climate for investment can be expected to improve as business managers begin to perceive some progress in retarding inflation and become more confident about the sustainability of expansion.

Government spending probably will post only a small increase in real terms this year. Indeed, real federal purchases could decline during the first half due partly to expected repayments of Commodity Credit Corporation loans (which are, in effect, sales of agricultural stocks). At the state and local level, slower growth of federal financial aid and the pressure for tax relief will tend to hold spending increases to small proportions.

Foreign demand for U.S. exports should tend to strengthen during 1979. Economic expansion abroad is generally expected to continue at its recent more rapid pace, and the effects of the substantial depreciation of the dollar on the U.S. trade position should become more evident as the year progresses.

On balance, the aforementioned sectors are likely to provide a reduced impetus to income growth during the year ahead. As a consequence, consumer spending is likely to grow less vigorously. Moreover, the substantial debt repayment burdens faced by many households and generally reduced liquidity of the household sector could prompt households to increase their recent relatively low saving rate. The demand for imports also should moderate this year, not only because of the slower expansion of domestic income and production but also because of the lagged effects of the 1977–78 decline in the international exchange value of the dollar.

Inventory investment is likely to be relatively flat in the projected economic environment.

With a slower growth of activity, pressures on productive capacity should ease a bit. Industrial capacity utilization rates, which in the manufacturing sector are not now far below past cyclical peaks, should decline slightly. In labor markets, the growth of employment should moderate from its recent rapid pace. Labor force increases also are likely to diminish, as the growth of the working age population slows slightly and as labor force participation rates—especially for youth—respond to the slackening in economic expansion. Together, the prospective changes in employment and the labor force point to a small increase in the overall unemployment rate during 1979.

The moderation of demand pressures in labor and product markets will tend to slow the advance of wages and prices and thus to reduce the present, unacceptable rate of inflation. However, uncertainties will remain as a result of highly volatile and largely exogenous influences such as farm prices and oil prices. It now appears that food prices will increase somewhat less this year than last. Unfortunately, the price of imported oil will be boosted substantially this year as a result of the decisions taken by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries in December, and the unsettled situation in Iran raises the possibility of even larger price increases.

Setting aside these special factors, a key determinant of the rate of inflation this year will be the performance of unit labor costs. Although there may well be some improvement in productivity in the next few years as the work force tends to become, on average, somewhat older and more experienced, there is little reason to expect any marked acceleration of productivity growth during 1979. Consequently, if there is to be a noticeable slowing in the rise of unit labor costs, compensation gains will have to moderate significantly.

Toward this end, the administration's wageprice program can play an important role. By providing a standard for constructive behavior on the part of both business and labor, the program can be a vehicle for helping to brake the wage-price spiral. Broad compliance with the administration's standards would make a significant contribution to the slowing of inflation. Of course, the wage-price program can be successful only if there is complementary restraint in monetary and fiscal policy—to contain aggregate demand pressures and to assure the public of the government's commitment to the restoration of price stability.

The Short-Term Goals in the Economic Report of the President

As specified by the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act, the President's *Economic Report*, transmitted to the Congress last month, lays out a detailed set of economic goals for 1979 and 1980. The discussion of the act's requirements points out that the administration's "short-term goals for [1979] and 1980 represent a forecast of how the economy will respond over the next 2 years not only to the budgetary policies proposed by the President for fiscal 1979 and 1980 but to the anti-inflation program announced on October 24."³

The administration's goals, along with the comparable figures for 1978, are summarized in the following table:

Item	1978 Q4	Level 1979 Q4	1980 Q4
Employment (millions)	95.6	97.5	99.5
Unemployment rate (percent)	5.8	6.2	6.2
	Percentag	e change,	Q4 to Q4
Consumer prices Real GNP Real disposable income Productivity	8.9	7.5	6.4
	4.3	2.2	3.2
	3.3	2.8	2.3
	.2	.4	1.1

The Relationship of the Federal Reserve's Plans to the Administration's Goals

The Relationship of the Federal Reserve's Monetary Growth Ranges to the Short-Term Goals in The Economic Report

The Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act directs the Federal Reserve to assess the relationship of its plans for monetary growth to the short-term goals in the *Economic Report*. This task is complicated by the fact that goals are specified for a variety of economic variables, and monetary policy does not affect each of them separately. Monetary policy has its most direct short-term impact on aggregate nominal GNP. Within the context of a particular nominal GNP outcome, the mix of real output gains and inflation, the growth of employment, and the movements in other variables are influenced importantly by conditions at the beginning of the period, by other governmental policies, by the structural and behavioral relationships in the economy, and by developments outside the domestic economy.

As required by the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act, the Federal Reserve at this time has established ranges for monetary growth through the end of 1979. It will reassess these and report preliminary ranges for 1980 in July, unless developments in the months ahead necessitate earlier reconsideration. At this juncture, the monetary growth ranges and the administration's 1979 economic goals appear reasonably consistent. The administration's forecast implies an expansion in nominal GNP of around 94 percent from the fourth quarter of 1978 to the fourth quarter of 1979. The midpoint of the FOMC's growth range for M-1 is about 6 percent after adjustment for the expected impact of shifts of funds to ATS and NOW accounts. This suggests an increase of M-1 velocity on the order of 3½ percent, a figure somewhat above the longer-term trend, but reasonable in light of the lagged effects of the recent substantial increases in interest rates and the downward shift in money demand that has been occurring. The upper and lower boundaries of the M-1 range, of course, allow for the possibility of smaller or faster increases in velocity over the year.

The output-price mix in the administration's 1979 forecast appears attainable if there is reasonable compliance with the wage-price standards and as long as there are no untoward shocks such as an unanticipated surge in food or energy prices. The employment and productivity forecasts appear consistent with the output goal, and the unemployment rate forecast seems consistent with reasonable assumptions about labor

^{3.} Economic Report of the President, p. 108.

force growth in the projected economic environment.

Considerably greater uncertainties naturally are encountered with respect to the administration's goals for 1980, a period that is still rather distant. Nothing in the monetary or economic projections for 1979 suggests to us that conditions prevailing at year-end will bar the achievement of the administration's forecasted 9½ percent growth in nominal GNP during 1980. At this time, however, the achievement of the output-price mix projected for 1980 appears to be more difficult.

The administration has forecast a marked acceleration of growth in real GNP in 1980 and a marked deceleration of inflation. Such an outcome is certainly attainable, but given the projected levels of resource utilization—with the unemployment rate remaining around 6¼ percent—this result will require considerable progress in the lowering of inflation expectations. There will have to be broad conformance

to the administration's wage-price standards, and the government will have to give careful attention to the potential cost-raising impacts of its regulatory and legislative actions. Continued budgetary restraint also will be necessary, both to build confidence in the government's commitment to avoid fiscal excesses and to minimize pressures on the capital markets.

Recognizing the risks and uncertainties that currently exist, the administration's 1980 forecast can serve as an appropriate goal for the Congress as it considers its budgetary plan for fiscal 1980. If inflationary pressures subsequently should prove stronger than the administration has projected, then the prudent course for government policy would be to exercise a substantial degree of restraint even if it risks less real growth in 1980 than the 3.2 percent goal. Such a policy would lay the foundation for balanced economic growth over the years to come and would help to maintain the integrity of the dollar.

Treasury and Federal Reserve Foreign Exchange Operations

This thirty-fourth joint interim report reflects the Treasury–Federal Reserve policy of making available from time to time additional information on foreign exchange operations. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York acts as agent for both the Treasury and the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System in the conduct of foreign exchange operations.

This report was prepared by Alan R. Holmes, Manager, System Open Market Account, and Executive Vice President in charge of the Foreign Function of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and by Scott E. Pardee, Deputy Manager for Foreign Operations of the System Open Market Account and a Vice President in the Foreign Function of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. It covers the period August 1978 through January 1979. Previous reports have been published in the Butletin for March and September of each year beginning with September 1962.

On November 1, 1978, President Carter, the U.S. Treasury, and the Federal Reserve announced a series of actions to correct what had become an excessive decline of the U.S. dollar in the exchange market. Between early August and the end of October, the dollar had fallen sharply against most major foreign currencies, including net depreciations of 18 percent against the German mark, 17 percent against the Swiss franc, and 7 percent against the Japanese yen. As was true of earlier periods of decline in 1977 and 1978, the renewed selling pressure on the dollar had largely been in reaction to the persistence of the large U.S. trade and current-account deficit, compared with surpluses in several other industrial countries, and to a quickening of inflation in the United States, as against steady or slowing rates of inflation elsewhere. By late October the selling pressure had gathered such force that movements in dollar exchange rates had gone beyond what could be justified by underlying economic conditions and were threatening to undermine U.S. efforts to curb inflation.

In fact, the U.S. trade deficit had begun to narrow, as manufacturing exports in particular were expanding sharply, and this trend was expected to continue. Economic growth in the United States was expected to moderate in 1979, while more rapid expansion was already under way in several other major countries. The sharp rise of U.S. interest rates over the course of 1978 while interest rates elsewhere were steady or rising more slowly had opened up substantial interest differentials in favor of placements in the United States. But the market atmosphere had become so extremely bearish that few expected the dollar's slide to stop or to be reversed on its own.

The November 1 program, developed in close cooperation with the governments and central banks of three major foreign countries, was linked closely to the broader anti-inflation policies of the U.S. government. It featured a further tightening of monetary policy, including an increase of 1 percentage point in the Federal Reserve discount rate to an historic high of 9½ percent. Also, it provided for additional foreign currency resources totaling up to \$30 billion equivalent to finance U.S. participation in coordinated intervention in the exchange markets. For the Federal Reserve, this involved a \$7.6 billion increase in the swap network through increases in the swap arrangements with the German Federal Bank, the Bank of Japan, and the Swiss National Bank to a total of \$15 billion.

For its part, the Treasury announced that it

would draw \$3 billion in marks and yen from the U.S. reserve position with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and sell \$2 billion equivalent of special drawing rights (SDRs) to mobilize additional balances of German marks and Japanese yen, as well as Swiss francs. The Treasury also announced that it would issue securities denominated in foreign securities up to \$10 billion equivalent. In addition, the Treasury announced it would substantially increase the amounts of gold to be offered at its monthly auctions.

The U.S. authorities followed up the announcements by intervening massively in the New York market in German marks, Swiss francs, and Japanese yen, through the Foreign Exchange Trading Desk of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. These operations were fully coordinated with intervention by other central banks in their own markets and in some cases in New York. The dollar rebounded sharply, and reactions were similarly favorable in U.S. financial markets. Thereafter, the exchange market gradually came into better balance with good two-way trading at levels well above the lows of late October, and the authorities were able to scale back their intervention. By late November and early December, the dollar had advanced nearly 12 percent against the German mark, 15 percent against the Swiss franc, and 13 percent against the Japanese yen.

Despite the improved outlook, however, the dollar's recovery rested on fragile footing for the time being. Many market participants remained pessimistic about the prospects for bringing inflation under control in the United States and continued to question whether the authorities would succeed in their efforts to halt the erosion of the dollar's value in the exchange markets. The dollar therefore remained vulnerable to potentially adverse political and economic shocks around the world. In early December the political upheavals in Iran, coupled with a stoppage of that country's production and export of oil, prompted a burst of dollar selling. The mid-December announcement by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) of a greater-than-expected rise in oil prices of some 14.5 percent over the course of 1979 triggered additional selling of dollars. The U.S. authorities, along with the other central banks, again intervened in substantial amounts to blunt these selling pressures on the dollar without holding rates at any particular level. But bearish sentiment deepened, and dollar rates slipped back some 2 to 5½ percent from their highs in early December.

From November 1 to the end of December, the U.S. authorities had sold a total of \$6,659.4 million of foreign currencies. Net of repayments. Federal Reserve commitments under the swap lines with the German Federal Bank, the Swiss National Bank, and the Bank of Japan rose to a peak of \$5,456.9 million in early January. U.S. Treasury drawings under its swap arrangement with the Federal Bank stood at \$889.4 million equivalent, and the Treasury had used \$1,820.4 million of the \$4.4 billion equivalent of currencies obtained through IMF and SDR transactions in November and through the issuance of \$1.6 billion equivalent of markdenominated securities in the German capital market in December.

Though many market participants expected further downward pressure on the dollar in January, renewed selling failed to materialize and the dollar gradually regained resiliency in the exchanges. In fact, the dollar had been heavily oversold in late 1978. Moreover, on those occasions when the dollar did come on offer, the authorities quickly met the pressures, helping to restore a greater sense of two-way risk in the market.

As the market thus came into greater balance, traders began to respond more positively to the thrust of U.S. policy. The Carter administration opened the new congressional session by calling for austerity in fiscal policy to deal with inflation and the dollar problem. Federal Reserve spokesmen continued to emphasize the need for monetary restraint. The Federal Reserve provided tangible evidence of this determination by keeping domestic interest rates firm even as the growth of monetary aggregates slowed. With the dollar taking on a firmer tone in the exchanges, the German Federal Bank was able to begin to absorb some of the excess mark liquidity created in 1978, and Switzerland and Japan lifted temporary barriers to capital inflows.

In late January the demand for dollars picked

up further, as market participants began to view the Iranian situation and possible oil shortages as a potentially serious problem for Western Europe and Japan, as well as for the United States. The dollar's surge caught some market participants by surprise, prompting a sudden scramble for dollars toward the month-end. The central banks stepped in to avoid an outbreak of disorderly conditions in the upward direction. On such occasions, the U.S. authorities purchased a total of \$188.8 million equivalent of German marks, Swiss francs, and Japanese yen. At the end of January, dollar rates stood some 9 to 14 percent above lows at the end of October 1978.

As the dollar improved in early 1979, the U.S. authorities were able to step up efforts to clear away swap indebtedness, repaying a net \$1,118.3 million equivalent of commitments. These repayments were effected by purchases of currencies mainly from correspondents but also in the market. By the end of January, the Federal Reserve had reduced total swap drawings outstanding to \$4,615 million equivalent and the U.S. Treasury had reduced its swap drawings in German marks to \$613.0 million equivalent. Also, in January, the Treasury issued in the Swiss market \$1,203 million equivalent of medium-term notes denominated in Swiss francs. Foreign currency securities issued during the period were thereby increased to a total of \$2,798.2 million valued on the dates of issue. As of January 31, 1979, the value of these liabilities amounted to \$2,821.8 million.

In all, during the six-month period from August 1978 through January 1979, the intervention sales of foreign currencies by the U.S. authorities totaled \$9,359.1 million. In German marks, sales over the six-month period amounted to \$8,122.9 million, of which \$4,939.2 million was for the Federal Reserve and \$3,183.7 million for the Treasury. In Swiss francs, the Federal Reserve sold a total of \$1,029 million over the six-month period. Sales of Japanese yen totaled \$207.3 million, of which \$160.8 million was for the System and \$46.5 million for the Treasury.

In other operations, the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury continued to repay pre-August 1971 liabilities with the Swiss National Bank denominated in Swiss francs. The Federal Reserve bought sufficient francs directly from the Swiss National Bank to liquidate \$139.6 million equivalent of special swap debt with the Swiss central bank. The Treasury used Swiss francs purchased directly from the Swiss central bank to repay \$319.2 million equivalent of franc-denominated securities. As of January 31, \$139.3 million equivalent of the Federal Reserve's special swap debt and \$531.2 million equivalent of the Treasury's obligations remained outstanding.

GERMAN MARK

Since the mid-1970s, the German mark had been caught up in recurrent heavy inflows of speculative and investment funds, leading to a sharp appreciation of the rate against the dollar as well as against most other major currencies. The underlying strength of the mark reflected Germany's large current-account surplus. German industry had successfully weathered the previous substantial appreciations of the mark and had maintained, if not improved, its competitiveness in many markets. Moreover, the German government, mindful of the broad public concern over inflation, had been cautious about providing stimulus to the economy. As growth in Germany lagged behind the expansion under way elsewhere, particularly in the United States, the current-account surplus mounted.

In fact, the mark's appreciation had temporarily added to the size of the current-account surplus even more, through terms-of-trade effects. It also allowed Germany to make further progress in curbing inflation, to the extent that prices rose by only 21/2 percent in 1978. Even so, the cumulative rise in the mark exceeded relative inflation differentials with many trading partners, including the United States, and was clearly having a depressing effect on the German economy. By 1978 the German government had moved gradually to provide more stimulus to the domestic economy through fiscal measures, but the market remained doubtful that the underlying differences in economic performance were likely to change very quickly.

Under these circumstances, trading conditions in the exchange market between the mark

and the dollar had occasionally become extremely disorderly. In late 1977 and early 1978, German and U.S. authorities had intervened heavily in the exchanges to settle the market and to reestablish a sense of two-way risk. For the United States, the Federal Reserve and the Treasury had both intervened, mainly using marks drawn under swap arrangements with the German Federal Bank. In March, the United States had announced its preparedness to sell SDRs to Germany and to draw marks from the IMF, but such operations were not necessary at the time. The dollar firmed over the next months, and the U.S. authorities were able to unwind a sizable part of the swap debt.

By the end of July, the Federal Reserve's swap debt to the German Federal Bank had been reduced to \$650.5 million equivalent and the Treasury's to \$197.0 million equivalent. Meanwhile, in view of the recurrent strains in the exchange markets, the governments of the European countries decided to work toward linking their currencies together under common intervention arrangements. At a summit meeting in Bremen in July, the European Community (EC) governments made a formal commitment to establish a European Monetary System (EMS) along these lines with the specifics to be negotiated by the year-end.

A sense of deep frustration nevertheless prevailed in the exchange markets about the kind of underlying adjustments that would be necessary to establish stability in the dollar-mark relationship for some time. At the Bremen and Bonn summit meetings in July, the German government had promised to take additional stimulative measures, which were subsequently implemented. But by early August, both the Swiss franc and the Japanese yen had come into strong demand, and the unsettled conditions in those markets triggered renewed demand for marks against dollars. The spot mark, which had been trading at DM 2.0330 at the end of July, was bid up to DM 1.9370 by August 15, a rise of 5 percent. The German Federal Bank and the Federal Reserve intervened to temper the

On August 16, President Carter expressed his deep concern over the decline of the dollar,

asking Secretary of the Treasury Michael W. Blumenthal and Federal Reserve Chairman G. William Miller to seek ways to stem the decline. Over subsequent weeks, the U.S. authorities followed up with a series of measures. The Federal Reserve tightened money market conditions, hiked the discount rate 3/4 of a percentage point in two stages to 8 percent by late September, and eliminated reserve requirements on member bank Eurodollar borrowings. The Treasury announced that it would triple the amount of gold at its monthly auctions. Moreover, the administration pressed the Congress to seek means of reducing the budget deficit even further and to resolve the remaining issues that held up passage of an energy bill. The market responded favorably to these initiatives, and the mark fell back to trade at about DM 1.9850 in early September.

The extreme pessimism in the market toward the dollar did not lift completely, however, and trading remained volatile. Consequently, the German Federal Bank and the New York Federal Reserve Bank intervened on several occasions in late August. For the month, the sales of marks by the Trading Desk for the Federal Reserve and the Treasury amounted to \$285.1 million equivalent and \$278.4 million equivalent, respectively; these sales were financed partly out of balances and partly by additional drawings under the swap lines with the German Federal Bank. At the same time, the Trading Desk was able to acquire marks, largely through nonmarket transactions with correspondents, to repay swap drawings.

During September a number of potentially favorable developments emerged for the dollar. U.S. trade figures showed that, following the bulge in the deficit earlier in the year, import demand was beginning to slacken while exports were expanding rapidly. With economic expansion in Germany and other countries now more solidly based, official projections pointed to a further and substantial narrowing of the deficit for 1979. The Senate passed the long-awaited energy bill. And the Camp David accord generated hopes of an easing of tensions in the Middle East. But these developments were largely ignored by the exchange markets, where

traders were expressing concern over the resurgence of inflationary pressures in the United States.

By that time, the negotiations over the EMS were the subject of extensive press and market commentary. Many market participants came to expect that, before the new arrangements could be introduced, which was scheduled for January 1, a generalized realignment would be necessary to revalue the mark substantially relative to the other currencies. As these expectations spread, heavy demand for marks built up, particularly before weekends. The German Federal Bank and the other EC central banks had to intervene with progressively larger amounts to maintain the margin of 24 percent between participating currencies. From the July Bremen summit to mid-October, some \$5 billion equivalent of marks was pumped into the market by participating central banks. Then on October 15 the mark was revalued by 2 percent against the Netherlands guilder and the Belgian franc and by 4 percent against the Norwegian krone and the Danish krone. This stopped the immediate speculative pressure on the snake but did not generate significant reflows.

Instead the bidding for marks continued. With the focus now shifting back to the dollar, a massive amount of hot money flowed out of dollars and into marks. Corporate treasurers, investment managers, central banks, and other dollar holders around the world sought to diversify their portfolios by buying marks and other currencies. The Federal Reserve's decision on October 13 to raise the discount rate another 1/2 of a percentage point to 8½ percent was ignored, as were the generally favorable interest rate differentials for the dollar.

As the selling pressure on the dollar moved with the time zones around the clock, intervention by the German and U.S. authorities in creased both in size and in scope. On the night of October 24, when President Carter announced his new anti-inflation program calling for voluntary price and wage guidelines, the Federal Reserve, operating through U.S. banks with branches in Hong Kong and Singapore, intervened in marks in the Far Eastern market to counter speculative pressure on the dollar.

The market was well aware of this very forceful approach by the authorities, but demand for marks continued to build, and on October 31 the rate was pushed to a record high of DM 1.7050. At this level, the mark had risen almost 20 percent since early August, 23 percent since the beginning of the year, and 34 percent since late July 1976.

In all, the Trading Desk sold \$1,641.4 million equivalent of German marks during September and October, of which \$976.7 million was in the last four trading days in October. Of these totals, \$1,033.2 million equivalent was for the Federal Reserve and \$608.2 million equivalent was for the Treasury. Net of further repayments during those months, swap drawings on the German Federal Bank had mounted to \$1,256.1 million by the Federal Reserve and \$650.4 million by the Treasury. Meanwhile, net purchases of dollars, together with the much larger intervention in EC snake currencies, had swollen Germany's international reserves by \$8 billion from July to the end of October, when the total outstanding was \$49.5 billion.

On November 1, President Carter announced, as a major step in the U.S. anti-inflation program, that it was now necessary to correct the excessive decline in the dollar. In this connection, the Federal Reserve's swap line with the German Federal Bank was raised to \$6 billion, along with increases in the system's swap lines with the Swiss National Bank and the Bank of Japan. The Treasury announced it would draw German marks on its reserve position in the IMF, sell SDRs to Germany for marks, and issue mark-denominated bonds in the German capital market.

The Trading Desk followed up the announcements with highly visible and forceful intervention in the New York market in German marks, as well as in Swiss francs and Japanese yen. These operations were fully coordinated with those of other central banks in their own markets. In response, many market professionals moved quickly to dump their long mark positions. As the dollar rebounded, the spot mark fell sharply to as low as DM 1.9030 on November 6, down 10½ percent from its record high just four trading days earlier. But sporadic

bidding for marks by the banks' commercial customers and by central banks shifting funds out of dollars continued for some time. Consequently, as the market sought to establish a new trading range following the November 1 announcements, both the German and the U.S. authorities continued intervening openly and vigorously in their respective markets. These operations gradually brought the market into better balance, and by November 20 the mark declined to as low as DM 1.94.

In all, the Trading Desk intervened on twelve trading days during November, selling \$2,920.8 million equivalent of marks in the market, of which \$1,976.1 million equivalent was done for the system account and the remaining \$944.7 million equivalent was on behalf of the Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF). Meanwhile, Germany's foreign currency reserves increased \$500 million more, which, together with the purchase of SDRs from the U.S. Treasury and the increase in its reserve position with the IMF resulting from the U.S. drawing, contributed to the \$3 billion increase in overall reserves for the month.

During December, however, the dollar's recovery lost momentum. With the latest statistics showing the U.S. economy even more buoyant than expected just a month before—with prices, production, and employment all expanding rapidly—the market worried that the anticipated slowing of inflation and narrowing of the U.S. trade deficit was now delayed. Moreover, with economic activity in Germany picking up, reports circulated that the German Federal Bank was increasingly concerned over the buildup of mark liquidity in domestic money markets. As a result, dealers watched closely the German Federal Bank's weekly reserve figures for any indication that it had acted to offset earlier intervention by selling dollars, and grew cautious about the implications of its December 14 announcement of a reduction in commercial bank rediscount quotas and a monetary growth target of 6 to 9 percent for 1979. In addition, with the approach of its starting date, the new EMS was still a source of uncertainty, as traders remained doubtful even that the new exchange rate relationships would prevail in the proposed new joint arrangement.

Against this background, the outbreak of a political crisis in Iran and a larger-than-expected increase in OPEC oil prices helped trigger another burst of demand for the German mark before mid-December. As the mark advanced, many corporations joined in the bidding to cover accounting as well as economic exposures before the rate rose too far. Moreover, the central banks of some developing countries proceeded further with their efforts to diversify portfolios by buying marks. This demand for marks came at a time when many of the dealing banks were reluctant to undertake new transactions that would significantly alter their accounts for the year-end. Consequently, the market was even less resilient than usual, and the heavy bidding for marks propelled the rate up to as high as DM 1.8070 on January 2, almost 7½ percent above its November lows.

To moderate this advance, the U.S. and German authorities again intervened forcefully throughout December. Operating on 14 trading days, the Trading Desk sold \$2,796.5 million equivalent of marks, including \$1,575.8 million equivalent for the system and \$1,220.7 million equivalent for the Treasury. These operations brought total U.S. intervention sales over the last two months of 1978 to \$5,717.3 million equivalent of marks. As a result, total drawings outstanding on the swap line of the Federal Reserve with the German Federal Bank stood at \$4,558.0 million by the year-end. The Treasury's outstanding drawings on its swap line with the German central bank stood at \$889.4 million equivalent.

But the bulk of its intervention after November I had been financed out of ESF balances obtained from the U.S. drawings on the IMF and the proceeds of the Treasury's first issue of mark-denominated securities. This issue, which was floated in the German capital market on December 15, consisted of \$931.1 million equivalent of three-year securities at 5.95 percent per annum and \$664.1 million equivalent of four-year notes at 6.2 percent. The \$1,595.2 million of proceeds was warehoused by the Treasury with the Federal Reserve.

Meanwhile, the surge in the mark ahead of the year-end had led many market participants to believe that it would advance much further in early January. In fact, the commercial demand for marks that had been expected for January had largely been met. The German Federal Bank stepped in and intervened in volume as soon as trading resumed in the new year. Also, rumors circulated that new measures in defense of the dollar would quickly be announced should the mark rise strongly against the dollar. Traders then found they had few outlets for the marks they had accumulated or had just received from the German central bank, and some moved to cover their positions. The mark thus fell back quickly, to around DM 1.85, an unexpected turnaround that had a sobering impact on market psychology. As a result, after some initial nervousness, the market took in stride the January 18 decision of the German authorities to increase minimum reserve requirements and to raise the Lombard rate 1/2 of a percentage point to 4 percent. Sentiment toward the dollar was also helped by the stress on fiscal restraint in the President's budget and state of the union message, and the adherence by the Federal Reserve to a restrictive monetary policy. A scramble for oil by many countries seeking to prepare themselves for a protracted disruption of production in Iran prompted additional demand for dollars.

The mark thus came increasingly on offer toward the month-end. It fell sharply on the final day of the period under review, prompting the Trading Desk to buy \$70 million equivalent of marks in the market to cover outstanding Federal Reserve and Treasury swap debt and to maintain orderly trading conditions. The mark thus ended the period at DM 1.8720, some 9 percent below its peak at the end of October but still up $8\frac{1}{2}$ percent over the six-month period under review.

Compared with the preceding two months, central bank support for the dollar was modest during January. The Federal Reserve Trading Desk intervened to sell \$68.9 million equivalent of marks for the system and \$132.3 million equivalent for the Treasury over the course of the month. Meanwhile, it took advantage of opportunities to acquire marks, largely through nonmarket transactions with correspondents, which were used to repay swap debt.

Thus, by the end of January, the system's

outstanding swap indebtedness to the German Federal Bank was down \$389.8 million net over the month to stand at \$4,168.2 million, and that of the Treasury had been reduced by \$276.4 million to \$613.0 million. These operations, repayments of swap debt by the German Federal Bank's partners in the EC snake, and that central bank's own operations in the market were reflected in the \$1.9 billion net decrease in Germany's official reserves over the month to \$52.1 billion by January 31, 1979. But for the sixmonth period as a whole, Germany's reserves rose a net \$11 billion.

Japanese Yen

In late 1977 and early 1978, the Japanese authorities faced three mutually reinforcing problems: economic growth had fallen short of the government's target, the current-account surplus remained excessive at an annual rate of nearly \$16 billion, and the Japanese yen was appreciating rapidly, rising 30 percent in two years to ¥227.00. Since each of these problems significant international implications, foreign authorities were pressing Japan to hasten the adjustment process. In late 1977 the government had provided more fiscal stimulus to promote domestic growth and boost imports. In addition, Japan encouraged imports by relaxing the remaining trade restrictions on certain kinds of goods. But the impact of these measures was blunted by the persistent rise in the Japanese yen, which exerted a drag on the domestic economy. Moreover, the current-account surplus widened even farther as the yen appreciated. This unexpected result reflected in good measure the terms-of-trade effects of the yen's rise. It also reflected the fact that Japan's exports remained highly competitive.

With the yen's appreciation serving as a further brake on domestic inflation, Japanese firms in several key industries were able to take advantage of declining costs of imported raw materials and products, such as oil. Moreover, because of the more rapid inflation under way in many foreign markets, Japanese exporters did not have to absorb the full effect of the rise in the yen on their prices. By mid-1978 the underlying adjustment to the previous appreci-

ation of the yen was finally beginning to show through in the trade figures, as export volumes started to decline and import volumes started to rise. But, in view of the experience of the previous two years, the market was still skeptical about the chances of early success for Japan's efforts to reduce its current-action surplus significantly—or the U.S. efforts to reduce its current-account deficits.

Against this background the yen had come into demand, buoyed by heavy inflows of funds again in late July and early August. The yen rate was bid up by 20 percent to Y188.6 at the end of July and advanced a further 33/4 percent to a peak of ¥181.8 in mid-August. By that time, selling pressure on the dollar again spread to the markets for major European currencies. On August 16 President Carter expressed his deep concern over the dollar's decline, initiating a series of actions by the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury to deal with the problem. These actions included intensive discussion between the U.S. and the Japanese authorities on means of hastening the adjustment process. In late August the Japanese government introduced a supplementary budget that included additional stimulus, mainly through public works projects and credit availability for housing, which was expected to increase GNP by some Y2.7 trillion. The Japanese authorities also pressed ahead on a program of emergency imports to reduce the immediate current-account surplus. The market responded positively to these various official actions, and the yen settled back in late August to Y188, where it held steady in balanced trading through mid-October.

By that time, Japan's current-account surplus was more clearly on a narrowing trend. But the dollar had again come under heavy selling pressure against Western European currencies and that pressure again spilled over into the yen, which rose to a new record high of ¥176.45 by October 31. Under these circumstances, the Japanese authorities became concerned that the new appreciation of the yen might thwart even the modest progress toward internal and external balance that had just begun. The U.S. authorities were also concerned that the decline of the dollar was becoming excessive and threatening

to undermine the efforts to curb inflation in the United States.

Consequently, in further discussions in late October, U.S. and Japanese authorities agreed that an important element of any broader package of initiatives to strengthen the U.S. dollar would be a commitment by the United States to intervene in Japanese yen, backed up by substantial resources, along with intervention in German marks and Swiss francs. For some time, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York had been intervening in the New York market for the account of the Japanese authorities. It was agreed that this would continue and that the U.S. authorities would join in this intervention using their own resources. As the \$30 billion of foreign currency resources was assembled, therefore, the Federal Reserve swap arrangement with the Bank of Japan was raised from \$2 billion to \$5 billion, and the U.S. Treasury agreed to draw \$1 billion equivalent of yen from the IMF and to sell \$641.7 million equivalent of SDRs to Japan.

The announcement on November 1 of these measures had a profound effect on yen trading in New York, and the yen rate fell back sharply with only modest intervention on that day. Heavy demand for yen developed the next day in Tokyo. But the Bank of Japan countered vigorously, and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York maintained a forceful presence in the New York market on that and subsequent days. In response, the pressure quickly abated and there was little need for further intervention. In all, sales of yen by the Federal Reserve's Trading Desk in early November amounted to \$196.7 million. Of the Federal Reserve sales, \$151.7 million equivalent of yen was financed by drawings under the system's swap arrangement with the Bank of Japan and \$2.8 million equivalent of Japanese yen was drawn from balances. U.S. Treasury sales of \$42.3 million of yen were financed entirely out of proceeds of IMF and SDR drawings.

Once the sense of two-way risk was reestablished, market sentiment began to shift against the yen. Traders took account of the narrowing of Japan's current-account surplus. Moreover, the wide interest differentials favoring the U.S.

dollar over the yen generated capital outflows as foreign governments and international institutions stepped up new borrowings in the Tokyo market and nonresidents liquidated earlier investments. Consequently, the yen rate dropped back to as low as ¥202.45 in early December, some 13 percent below the peak in late October. By that time the Federal Reserve had begun to acquire modest amounts of yen to repay the swap drawings of early November.

The yen then became caught up in the renewed upsurge of the European currencies against the dollar in mid-December. But while regaining 5 percent to a high of ¥192.45, the yen lagged behind the rise of the other currencies. Consequently, intervention to check the rise was relatively modest, with the U.S. authorities selling a total of \$10.6 million equivalent of yen. Of this amount the Federal Reserve sold \$6.4 million equivalent financed by a \$4.8 million equivalent drawing on the swap line with the Bank of Japan and other balances. The remaining \$4.2 million equivalent was sold by the Treasury out of balances. By midmonth, market sentiment turned hesitant toward the yen once again, as major events of concern to the market at the time- the political and economic disturbances in Iran and the jump in OPEC oil prices---were viewed as potentially serious to Japan as well as to the United States. Consequently, the yen rate began to ease through the month, even as other currencies continued to advance.

In January, the yen softened further as Japanese trading companies bid strongly for dollars. The Japanese authorities took the opportunity to dismantle some of the barriers to capital inflows, cutting in half the marginal reserve requirement on free yen deposits and relaxing the restrictions on purchases by nonresidents of Japanese securities. On several days the yen declined sharply enough on the New York market that the Federal Reserve stepped in as a buyer of yen to maintain orderly trading conditions. On this basis it purchased \$98.8 million equivalent for the account of the U.S. authorities over the course of the month. By the month-end, the yen rate had fallen back to $\mathbf{Y}201.70$, for a net decline of $6\frac{1}{2}$ percent for

the six-month period under review. By that time, the Federal Reserve had acquired sufficient amounts of yen from transactions with correspondents and from the market to repay in full the swap drawings on the Bank of Japan. The ESF's purchases of yen were added to balances.

SWISS FRANC

By midsummer 1978, the Swiss franc was rising sharply, reaching new highs against the dollar and other major European currencies. Switzerland's inflation rate was running at 1.4 percent per year, the lowest of all industrial countries. Switzerland's current account for the year, forecast to show a surplus of SF 9 billion, was expected to be second only to Japan's. Also, many in the market had come to question whether the authorities would continue to resist upward pressure on the franc, since intervention in the exchanges earlier in the year had already contributed to an explosion in the monetary aggregates well above the central bank's target of 5 percent.

Indeed, since midyear the Swiss National Bank had been able to absorb some of the excess liquidity through domestic monetary operations and by selling dollars to nonresident borrowers under the official capital export conversion requirement. As a result, Switzerland's reserves had declined somewhat in July to \$12.8 billion. But in the exchange market the Swiss franc was swept up in a burst of bidding to \$F 1.7099 against the dollar and \$F 0.8458 against the German mark by August 2. At these levels, the Swiss franc had gained 16 percent and 12 percent, respectively, since the beginning of the year.

In view of the franc's rapid advance, the central bank moved early in August to make more liquidity available to the market by open market operations, the placement of government deposits with commercial banks, and one-year swaps against dollars. These operations triggered a sharp decline in domestic and Euro-Swiss franc interest rates and succeeded for a while in blunting the franc's rise. But, in the generally unsettled markets at the time, the franc

once again began to rise against the dollar, along with other major currencies. It rose as high as SF 1.5451 by mid-August before falling back by some 8½ percent in reaction to President Carter's expression of deep concern over the dollar's decline and the follow-up measures by the Federal Reserve and the Treasury.

By September, however, the Swiss franc was again on the rise. As before, the appreciation was for the most part caused by commercial and official shifts of funds out of the dollar. But the franc was also buoyed by flows of funds out of other European currencies, including the mark, in response to market concern that an expanded joint float arrangement to include all EC countries would leave the franc isolated and hence more vulnerable to even stronger upward pressures.

In this environment, the rate was propelled by late September to a new record high of SF 1.4510 against the dollar and SF 0.7547 against the mark. To moderate this advance, the Swiss National Bank had gradually increased its dollar purchases in Zurich and New York, while the Federal Reserve joined in these operations on twelve trading days in August and September, selling \$147.7 million equivalent of francs. These sales were financed by drawings under the swap arrangement with the Swiss National Bank, which raised the total from \$22.9 million equivalent to \$170.5 million.

Meanwhile, the franc's unrelenting advance in the exchanges raised the risk of severe repercussions on the Swiss economy. Producers of goods for both foreign and domestic markets were concerned about a loss of competitiveness, falling profit margins, and declining sales. But the trade balance remained strong because of the favorable price effects of the franc's appreciation on the terms of trade. Concerned that an excessive appreciation of the franc might drive the economy into recession, the Swiss authorities took the initiative in the exchange markets in late September and early October. The Swiss National Bank intervened massively to reverse the rise in the rate against both the dollar and the German mark. Although the bulk was done in Zurich, the Federal Reserve followed up in New York, for the account of the Swiss National Bank and for the Federal Reserve account. System sales of francs amounted to \$100 million equivalent.

Also, to signal a desire for the franc to decline against the mark, the Swiss central bank bought modest amounts of snake currencies other than the mark to give the mark more room to appreciate within the joint float. Finally, to increase the depth of the Swiss franc market, the authorities raised the limit on nonresident participation in Swiss franc bond issues by foreign borrowers from 35 percent to 50 percent and allowed 50 percent of borrowings of francs by private nonresidents to be converted in the market.

In response to these actions, the franc initially fell back sharply, dropping to a low of SF 1.63 against the dollar and to SF 0.8350 against the mark. Later on as trading conditions deteriorated and the dollar plummeted across the board, the franc rose again, moving back to its record high on October 31. But, in the wake of the earlier intervention by the Swiss National Bank and the highly publicized concern of the Swiss authorities over the franc's relationship to the mark, the Swiss franc lagged behind the rise in the mark. As a result, although the Federal Reserve supplemented its intervention in marks with sales of Swiss francs, in late October it sold only a modest \$46.5 million equivalent of francs financed by drawings on the swap line with the Swiss National Bank. Meanwhile, the heavy intervention by the Swiss National Bank earlier in October accounted largely for the \$4.7 billion increase in Switzerland's external reserves during the first three months of the period under review.

The franc's advance was abruptly reversed in response to the November 1 announcement of steps to correct the excessive decline of the dollar. These included an increase in the Federal Reserve's swap line with the Swiss National Bank from \$1.4 billion to \$4 billion, indications by the Treasury that it would sell SDRs for Swiss francs, and plans for the Treasury to place franc-denominated securities in the Swiss capital market. On November 1 the franc fell sharply, dropping off some 8½ percent from its highs of the previous day. Thereafter, the franc, along with the mark and the yen, came into heavy demand in a test of the U.S. resolve to follow through on the November 1 program.

But the earlier forceful intervention by the Swiss authorities had already made traders more cautious, and the Swiss National Bank did not have to intervene as heavily as before.

For its part the Federal Reserve sold \$351.6 million equivalent of francs on six trading days during November, financed entirely by new drawings on the swap line with the Swiss central bank. This intervention helped to settle the market, and by November 20 the franc had declined another 9 percent to SF 1.7640. Thereafter, the rate fluctuated fairly narrowly through early December, requiring only occasional light support from the Swiss National Bank and sales of just \$29.0 million equivalent of francs by the Federal Reserve on November 21 and 29, which were financed by further swap drawings.

During December the franc came under renewed upward pressure amid uncertainties ahead of the scheduled introduction of the EMS at the month-end, growing political instability in Iran, and the announcement by OPEC of a larger-than-expected increase in oil prices. As the franc rose, many multinational corporations sought to cover both economic and accounting exposures. For a while the franc outstripped the mark in its advance against the dollar, rising 9 percent by the year-end. In response, the Swiss National Bank intervened heavily and the Federal Reserve sold \$354.3 million equivalent of francs through the month-end, of which \$33.8 million were for value in early January. These operations contributed to a further rise of \$4.1 billion equivalent in Switzerland's external reserves from October 31 to \$21.6 billion at the year-end.

This intense bidding tapered off quickly in early January once it became clear that most companies had satisfied their near-term need for francs before the year-end. Also, timely and forceful intervention by the Swiss National Bank led the market to expect that the central banks would step in quickly to counter a renewed rush into francs. Even so, the market was aware that the heavy intervention by the Swiss National Bank had generated an increase of 16.2 percent in the Swiss money supply in 1978, a rate of increase that was far above the target.

To ease concerns that it might suddenly tighten liquidity, the Swiss National Bank announced that, since priority was still being given to the stabilization of exchange rate relationships, it would not set a money supply target for 1979. Moreover, when the U.S. Treasury announced plans to sell bonds denominated in Swiss francs, the Swiss National Bank followed up with an assurance that the authorities would offset the liquidity drain caused by this issue. At mid-January, the U.S. Treasury placed a total of \$1,203 million equivalent of franc-denominated securites in the Swiss capital market. Of this amount \$744.5 million equivalent was borrowed over 2½ years at 2.35 percent per year. The remaining \$458.5 million equivalent was a four-year placement at 2.65 percent. The proceeds of these securities were then warehoused by the Treasury with the Federal Reserve.

In this better atmosphere for the dollar, traders began to perceive a downside risk in the Swiss franc. In view of the differential between U.S. and Swiss interest rates, it became immensely costly to remain short of dollars once the franc began to ease. The franc thus fell back sharply from its year-end highs, and this decline accelerated following the announcement of the new anti-inflation policies in the United States. With the exchange market now in better balance, the Swiss government announced on January 23 the removal of the February 1978 ban on purchases by nonresidents of foreign securities. Caught by surprise, the market's initial reaction was to bid heavily for francs. But the Swiss National Bank reacted immediately with forceful intervention, and this flurry of demand quickly subsided. Thus, the franc continued to ease, enabling the Swiss National Bank to sell some of its earlier dollar purchases. The franc closed at SF 1.700 on January 31, off 14 percent from the peak in late October.

For its part, the Federal Reserve did not intervene in the franc market during January. In fact, at one point, when the franc was easing at a particularly sharp rate, the system was able to buy \$20.0 million equivalent of francs in the market. This purchase, together with much larger amounts purchased directly from the Swiss National Bank, enabled the

system to repay \$605.1 million of its current swap debt, leaving \$446.7 million equivalent outstanding as of January 31. For the six-month period as a whole, the franc was virtually unchanged on balance, while Switzerland's external reserves rose a net of \$8.9 billion to \$21.7 billion.

During the period, the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury continued with the program agreed to in October 1976 for an orderly repayment of pre-August 1971 liabilities denominated in francs. The Federal Reserve repaid \$139.6 million equivalent of special swap indebtedness, while the Treasury redeemed \$319.2 million equivalent of securities denominated in Swiss francs by the end of January. Most of the francs for these repayments were acquired directly from the Swiss National Bank against dollars, but the Federal Reserve also bought francs from that bank against the sale of \$118.2 million equivalent of German marks, which, in turn, were either covered in the market or drawn from existing balances. By the end of January, the Federal Reserve's special swap debt to the Swiss National Bank stood at \$139.3 million equivalent, while the Treasury's obligations denominated in Swiss francs had been reduced to \$531.2 million equivalent.

EC SNAKE

In the mid-1970s, divergencies in the performances of the major European economies had forced a number of important currencies to drop out of the EC snake, leaving the remaining currencies highly exposed to the volatility of the exchange markets. As a result, over the past three years the currencies remaining inside the joint float had advanced more rapidly against the dollar than those that had left the band, even though the differences in economic performance among all EC countries had begun to narrow. Against this background, the EC heads of state and government reached agreement at Bremen in July 1978 to create a zone of monetary stability via a new joint floating to include all EC members.

News of the agreement prompted some bidding for the currencies outside the snake as the market took the view that these currencies

would henceforth trade more in line with those now in the EC band. But within that arrangement enough divergence between price and trade performances remained to raise expectations in the market that a realignment might take place among those currencies before the introduction of the EMS. Once the mark began outpacing the advances of other major currencies against the dollar in late July and early August, participants doubted that other participating currencies could keep pace with the mark. As a result, the Dutch guilder, Belgian franc, Norwegian krone, and Danish krone all fell to the bottom of the joint float.

Against this background, all four currencies were subjected to outflows into the mark. In some cases, the pressures were aggravated by local considerations. The Norwegian krone was beset by commercial and professional selling pressure as the market reacted to Norway's loss of competitiveness vis-a-vis its major trading partner, Sweden, whose currency had been withdrawn from the EC band a year before. Evidence of deterioration in Belgium's trade position during the summer generated continued large sales of Belgian francs. A large-scale buildup in commercial leads and lags also weighed on the Netherlands guilder.

As the movement of funds into the mark gathered momentum, the five EC central banks stepped up their intervention to keep the joint float within its 2½ percent limits, buying large amounts of guilders, Belgian francs, Danish krone, and Norwegian krone against sales of German marks provided by the German Federal Bank. By mid-October the total amount of marks created by the German Federal Bank to meet these pressures had mounted up to \$5.1 billion equivalent since the end of June and was contributing to a strong expansion in Germany's monetary aggregates. By contrast, this intervention drained large amounts of liquidity out of the other four snake currencies. Interest rates rose steeply in each of the money markets, while the monetary authorities reinforced the squeezes still further by raising official lending and penalty rates at the various central banks.

Finally, in mid-October, the EC monetary authorities agreed to a realignment that upvalued the mark by 2 percent against the Dutch guilder

and Belgian franc and by 4 percent against the Danish krone and the Norwegian krone. Following this announcement, the heavy selling within the snake came to an end, and all the joint-float currencies advanced on their own to record highs against the dollar at the month-end. But reversals of the commercial leads and lags or speculative positions in favor of the mark were modest. Consequently, part of the indebtedness built up while defending the snake was settled at the month-end by transfers of dollar assets to Germany.

The November 1 announcement of the new U.S. measures to support the dollar triggered a sharp fall in the mark, well in excess of the declines in the other snake currencies. The mark thus dropped to the bottom of the joint float, and strains on the band eased generally. Under these conditions, market participants began to reverse the highly expensive long mark positions they had been maintaining against the weaker snake currencies.

This unwinding proceeded slowly, however. Many uncertainties remained over the outlook for the snake in view of the scheduled introduction of the new EMS on January 2. Some participants still wondered if another realignment might not occur prior to the starting date then only a few weeks away. Many traders thus continued to roll over short positions against the mark. To clear the air, the monetary authorities of the EC snake countries let it be known that the bilateral central rates then in force between the snake currencies would be maintained in the new system. This announcement contributed further to a reduction of tensions within the snake. But Norway decided it could not risk having its currency pulled up further against the Swedish krona. Therefore, following Sweden's decision not to enter the new system, Norway announced on December 12 that it could not join the arrangement and that the krone would be withdrawn from the snake effective immediately.

The decision at year-end to delay the implementation of the new monetary arrangement had no discernible impact on trading relationships within the snake. Instead, as the mark eased back against the dollar, the process of unwinding positions taken up before the mid-October

realignment accelerated. Benefiting from high domestic interest rates, the Dutch guilder and the Danish krone were buoyed by these reflows and traded firmly in the snake. The commercial Belgian franc was also bolstered by reflows, but amid concerns over the persistent sluggishness of the Belgian economy and the size of the government deficit, the return of funds took place more slowly and the franc stayed near the bottom of the joint float.

FRENCH FRANC

During the spring of 1978, the French government had reaffirmed its commitment to give priority to the fight against inflation and the maintenance of a sound balance of payments, while boosting employment largely through selective measures. By midyear, the economy was beginning to respond to the modest stimulus that had been provided earlier, spurred by an upturn in domestic consumption. Also, the current account had settled into strong surplus and the French franc had strengthened in the exchanges. It rose against the dollar to trade around FF 4.36 by early August. It also gained against the German mark, and the Bank of France had taken in reserves. Progress on the inflation front, however, had not yet met expectations.

Looking ahead, the market was uncertain about the prospect for an early further decline in inflationary pressures. One of the reasons was that, as part of its longer-term strategy to reduce the growth of public financing needs and to channel more personal savings into business investment, the government had embarked on a program to increase charges for public services and gradually to relax longstanding controls on industrial prices. Also, by midsummer, the market had become sensitive to the implications of any deterioration in economic performance relative to Germany, in view of the possibility that France might join in the expanded EC currency arrangement that was scheduled for implementation on January 1, 1979.

Against this background, news during August of a quick upsurge in consumer prices, an acceleration of wage increases, and a temporary slippage in France's trade account back into deficit had a dampening effect on market psy-

chology for the French franc. Market participants began to question whether the franc could hold its own against the German mark, and commercial leads and lags started to move against the franc. Also, since short-term interest rates had been gently declining as the franc had strengthened during previous months, some investors took advantage of a narrowing of favorable interest differentials to shift funds into other currencies. As a result, the franc lost some of its earlier buoyancy. Although at times it was bid up as the dollar came on offer in August and September, it posted little advance on balance over the two months, trading around FF 4.37. Meanwhile it eased back about 4 percent against the mark by the end of September. Under these circumstances, the inflows to France's reserves tapered off and the Bank of France provided some support for the rate through sales mostly of German marks but also of dollars.

In the increasingly unsettled markets that developed during October, the French franc joined to a greater degree in the rise of foreign currencies against the dollar. By this time, also, the authorities had reinforced the relatively restrictive monetary stance by reducing to 11 percent the target for monetary growth in 1979 and by tightening somewhat the ceilings on bank credit expansion. They also doubled the reserve requirements against sight deposits to 4 percent to absorb liquidity generated by the balance of payments surplus and the financing of the government deficit. Thereafter, an abrupt tightening in the Eurofranc market prompted importers who previously had been borrowing to bid instead for francs in the spot market in order to meet their month-end payment needs. These factors combined to push up the French franc, which rose to a record high of FF 3.97 against the dollar on October 31, some 9-4 percent above levels in early August. But the franc continued to lag behind the German mark; and as a result, the Bank of France at times still provided occasional support for the franc by selling marks while otherwise taking in dollars to limit the franc's rise.

Following the announcement of the November I package in support of the dollar, the French franc plummeted along with other cur-

rencies, dropping back below early-August levels to FF 4.3490 by midmonth. Initially, it fell back less rapidly than the mark, which had been the center of speculation against the dollar. But by late November, as the market focused on the coming negotiation over the EMS at an EC council meeting on December 5 and 6, the franc became subject again to bouts of selling pressure on expectations that it would decline against the mark before entering the new joint floating arrangement. As a result, the franc eased back against the mark to a low on December 4, while moving back up to FF 4.45 against the dollar.

Before long, however, the earlier concerns about the prospects for the French franc began to lift. Doubts about France's trade performance faded inasmuch as a surplus of around FF 2.5 billion was emerging for 1978. The market's previous fears that price decontrol would trigger an accelerated spiral of price increases no longer seemed justified in view of the more moderate rise in consumer prices reported for November. The December announcement of an agreement that all currencies coming into the EMS would enter at prevailing cross rates dispelled some of the uneasiness about implementation of the new arrangement. Also, inclusion of the Italian lira and the Irish pound in the new arrangement alleviated concerns in the market that the franc would be the only additional currency. Against this background, funds began flowing from the mark back into the franc and the previously adverse commercial leads and lags started to be reversed. Moreover, since the dollar had started declining again, market participants scrambled to cover exposures and year-end needs in francs as well as in other currencies. The franc thus recovered to as high as FF 4.1200 at the Paris opening on January 2.

That day the decision not to proceed with the EMS until EC members had concluded new agricultural financing arrangements was announced. Also, forceful intervention in other markets helped to blunt any further rise in European currencies against the dollar. Thereafter, the franc began to ease back, and this tendency continued as the dollar strengthened generally during the month. Thus, the franc, closing at FF 4.2905 on January 31, was up

only 134 percent on balance for the six-month period. Against the mark, however, the franc remained relatively firm during January, with the result that it recovered to just about the levels of six months before. In view of the franc's buoyancy generally in December and against the mark during January, the Bank of France continued to buy both dollars and German marks in the market. These operations contributed to a further rise in France's foreign exchange reserves, which increased \$1.6 billion over the period to \$8.7 billion on January 31.

ITALIAN LIRA

Under Italy's comprehensive stabilization program, further progress was made during the first half of 1978 in strengthening the balance of payments and reining in the rate of domestic inflation. By midyear, the inflation rate had been brought down to 12 percent, and the current account had registered a comfortable surplus of \$2.1 billion over the first six months. Early in the summer, imports remained sluggish while exports continued to be buoyed by the existence of excess industrial capacity and by the competitive effects of the lira's decline of earlier years. With the seasonal bulge in tourist receipts adding strength to Italy's current account, the stage was set for a further widening of Italy's surplus position. Also, interest rates in Italy had been kept high, easing back less than the slowdown in the inflation rate might have suggested, in order to facilitate the financing of the large public sector deficit. Consequently, Italian residents continued to borrow abroad, and these capital inflows, on top of Italy's current-account surplus, bolstered the lira in the exchanges. As a result, the lira had come into heavy demand for several months and the authorities were able to buy substantial amounts of dollars to rebuild Italy's reserve position, while moderating the rise in the spot rate. By the end of July the lira, trading above LIT 841, was at its highest level against the dollar since October 1976. Moreover, Italy's foreign exchange reserves had increased to \$9.3 billion, even after the authorities had made sizable repayments of official debt to the IMF, the EC, and the German Federal Bank.

During August and much of September, the lira continued to benefit from Italy's strong balance of payments position. The announcement on August 1 of a seven-month extension in the ceiling on the growth of bank lending reassured the market that current policies were to be maintained. Against this background and with the Bank of Italy continuing to take large amounts of dollars into reserves, the authorities took the opportunity to follow through on initiatives earlier in the year to ease exchange controls regarding commercial payment terms.

Meanwhile, the minority government announced its three-year economic stabilization program, which, after extensive negotiation over the summer months, had received the tacit approval of the Communist Party. This program, which was to reduce significantly the proportion of gross domestic product taken up by the public sector deficit, included a shift of government spending from current expenditures to the promotion of investment, a freeze on real labor costs together with a gradual phasing-out of automatic wage rises under the *scala-mobile* program, improved incentives for labor mobility, and a rebuilding of the financial condition of Italian enterprises.

At the same time, the authorities acted to absorb some of the liquidity being created by Italy's balance of payments surplus. This objective was accomplished in part by extending the maturities of new government debt after the Bank of Italy confirmed an easing of money market rates by lowering its base rate for rediscounting and advances by 1 percentage point to 10½ percent. In addition, the government continued to use some of its additions to official reserves to repay outstanding debt to the IMF and the EC, both at and prior to maturity. Moreover, to keep Italy's inflation rate more in line with those of its trading partners over the long run, the Italian authorities indicated that they might be receptive to some form of association for the lira with the EMS, then under consideration within the EC.

In October, however, the increasingly unsettled conditions in the exchange markets began to affect the Italian lira as well. Although it, too, was pulled up against the dollar by the rise

of the strong continental currencies, the market began to question whether the lira would not be allowed to weaken vis-a-vis other EC currencies before being linked to the EMS. As a result, commercial leads and lags shifted modestly against the lira. In addition, Italian enterprises reacted to seemingly favorable exchange rates and the increases in Eurodollar interest rates by paying or prepaying their Eurocurrency debts and switching back into lira financing. Consequently, the lira lagged behind the mark during October while the Bank of Italy sold dollars to provide some support for the rate. Even so, by October 31 the lira had advanced 7 percent above levels in early August to LIT 787 against the dollar.

Then, following the November I announcement of U.S. measures to strengthen the dollar, the lira declined, along with other European currencies, to trade around LIT 851. Meanwhile, new figures showed that Italy's current account, remaining strong even after the passing of favorable seasonal factors, was amassing a surplus of some \$6 billion for the year. Also, the economy had begun to expand more rapidly, and with financing needs growing and interest rates still high, the inflows of capital resumed.

The Italian government had negotiated flexible terms for entry into the EMS, whereby the lira would be allowed to fluctuate as much as 6 percent around its central rate against each of the other currencies. Moreover, the market was reassured once prospects for a currency realignment prior to the introduction of EMS faded and numerous officials confirmed that the exchange rate relationships of that arrangement would be based on prevailing market rates. Thus, the lira came into heavy demand as the new year approached, and the news on January 2 of a delay in the implementation of EMS had little apparent impact on the exchange rate.

By January, the continuing strength of Italy's external position was again showing through in the exchange market. Although the lira tended to decline as the dollar recovered across the board, it eased back less rapidly than the German mark and other strong European currencies. In mid-January the authorities provided some relief to small firms with limited access to the

Eurodollar market, by raising slightly the ceiling on domestic credit expansion applicable through March. Nevertheless, funds continued to flow into the lira, thereby keeping the exchange rate buoyant even in the face of increasingly vocal opposition from the trade unions to the government's anti-inflation program and the withdrawal of Communist Party support of the Andreotti government at month-end. In fact, the lira closed the six-month period trading steadily at LIT 843.50 to show little net change on balance. Meanwhile, additional purchases of dollars by the Bank of Italy during January helped to provide a \$2 billion increase in foreign exchange reserves over the period to \$11.3 billion by January 31.

STERLING

In the United Kingdom, impressive progress had been made in 1977 in bringing down domestic inflation, swinging the balance of payments into surplus, and bolstering the international reserve position. Also, by late 1977 the British authorities had succeeded in gaining leeway, under the agreements with the IMF, for providing some modest stimulus to the economy. But by late spring 1978 the markets were becoming concerned that the sudden upsurge in demand in the United Kingdom was beginning to generate additional inflationary pressures and would weaken the payments position.

In June the authorities moved again to reinforce their broad anti-inflation effort through monetary restraints, including a hike in interest rates, and through a selective tightening of fiscal policy. Later, the government announced a 5 percent guideline for wage increases over the coming year beginning in August, down from the previous guideline of 10 percent. These measures helped to reassure the market. Thus the pound had advanced to \$1.95 against the dollar by early August and had firmed against other currencies as well. On the trade-weighted effective basis used by the U.K. authorities, the pound had reached 63 percent of its 1971 Smithsonian parity.

By early August, however, the market was again becoming concerned over the outlook for inflation in the United Kingdom. The Trades Union Congress voted to reject a continuation of an incomes policy, and highly visible wage negotiations kept the exchange market wary of a possible confrontation between the government and the unions over the 5 percent pay policy. The pound fell back somewhat and traded unevenly in the exchanges between early August and mid-October. But each time selling pressure mounted, the Bank of England responded quickly to show its resolve in defending sterling, both through intervention in the exchange market and through maintaining a taut money market.

In October, as market participants increasingly turned their attention to the accelerating slide of the dollar, sterling started to move up on hot-money inflows. Spot sterling soon moved above \$2.00, and a burst of buying in late October pushed the rate to as high as \$2.1050 by the month-end. During this upswing the Bank of England occasionally bought dollars to keep the trade-weighted effective rate from rising much above 63 percent.

In the wake of the U.S. measures of November 1, sterling dropped back 6 percent to fluctuate around \$1.98 against the dollar, without any appreciable change on an effective basis. Meanwhile, union opposition to the continuance of an incomes policy was hardening. Interest rates abroad were rising sharply, particularly in the United States. And as sterling came on offer, in response to these uncertainties the Bank of England again provided support in the exchange market to steady the effective rate. Short-term sterling interest rates were allowed to rise, and on November 9, the authorities hiked the minimum lending rate 2½ percentage points to 12½ percent, its highest level in two years.

Thereafter, sterling was buoyed by inflows of interest-sensitive funds. Also, with the spot rate holding firm in December, many multinational corporations bought pounds to cover accounting and economic exposures and to satisfy year-end payment needs. The political uncertainties in Iran and the mid-December increase in OPEC oil prices had little impact on sterling since the United Kingdom, as an oil producer itself, was seen as less vulnerable to a cutoff of oil supplies from Iran and as perhaps even

benefiting from the larger-than-expected rise in oil prices. As a result, when the dollar came on renewed offer during December, sterling was bid up to a high of \$2.0480 by early January. Meanwhile, the government had announced it would not join the EMS but would undertake, as it had in the past, to keep sterling relatively stable vis-a-vis its principal trading partners.

In view of the United Kingdom's comfortable reserve position and the high level of interest rates, sterling held firm in the exchanges in early 1979, despite a spate of highly disruptive strikes. Sterling eased off against the dollar as dollar rates generally improved, but it held steady in effective terms. By the close of the period the spot rate was at \$1.9872, up 3 percent on balance for the six months. Meanwhile, although the authorities had intervened on both sides of the market, these operations had largely netted out. Consequently, official reserves, which were \$17.6 billion at the end of January, were unchanged on balance over the six-month period.

CANADIAN DOLLAR

By late summer, the Canadian dollar had been declining almost without interruption for nearly two years. Even so, the current account remained in substantial deficit and long-term foreign borrowings by private interests and provincial authorities were not sufficient to close the overall payments gap. Moreover, the rate of domestic inflation remained high, aggravated partly by the depreciating currency, and unemployment continued at uncomfortably high levels.

Earlier in 1978, the authorities had moved cautiously to stimulate employment through selective fiscal policy measures while maintaining a firm monetary policy in light of concerns over inflation and the exchange rate. The authorities had also arranged for some \$7.7 billion of official long-term international borrowing, both to close the payments gap and to bolster reserves that had been depleted through intervention to cushion the decline of the exchange rate. Nevertheless, the deep-rooted pessimism toward the Canadian dollar persisted in the exchange market, as the prospect of a national election to

be held sometime within the year left the market uncertain about the outlook for the Canadian economy. Amid these uncertainties, sudden shifts of sentiment left the market subject to increased volatility. In addition, selling pressures were aggravated at times when the U.S. dollar was declining, because market participants would sell Canadian dollars against U.S. dollars either to finance acquisitions of currencies rising in the exchanges or to offset for internal accounting short-dollar positions against these currencies.

In August and September, after trading against the U.S. dollar at around Can.\$1.14, the Canadian dollar again came under heavy selling pressure in the exchanges following some disappointing trade and price figures. Also, the further rise in interest rates in the United States had prompted some outflows of interest-sensitive funds from Canada. In response, the Bank of Canada raised its discount rate to 9½ percent and announced a reduction of its monetary growth targets for the coming year. The authorities also arranged for a further \$750 million bond issue in the U.S. market. The selling pressure continued, however, with the Canadian dollar slipping a further 3 percent against the U.S. dollar. Meanwhile, a sustained intervention effort contributed to a decline in Canada's external reserves by a net \$924 million in August--September to \$3.7 billion.

In early October the spot rate dipped to as low as Can.\$1.1958 before bottoming out. The Canadian authorities hiked the discount rate further to 101/4 percent and operated in the bond market to lift long-term Canadian interest rates. Interest-sensitive funds thus began to move back into Canada. In addition, the trade figures for September were back in significant surplus and the rise in consumer prices slowed, giving a boost to market sentiment toward the Canadian dollar. Thus, the Canadian dollar moved back up from its lows in early October against the U.S. dollar, and the Bank of Canada intervened to moderate the rise. These official dollar purchases, combined with the receipt of proceeds from the New York bond issue and the takedown of additional credits on the facility with the chartered banks, were reflected in a \$1.4 billion rise in external reserves to \$5.1 billion at the month-end.

On November 1, when the United States announced its major support package and the U.S. dollar rose sharply against the currencies of Western Europe and Japan, the Canadian dollar eased only slightly vis-a-vis the U.S. dollar. But then, as interest rates in the United States rose by more than rates in Canada, interest incentives favoring Canada were nearly eliminated, even after the Bank of Canada raised its discount rate to 10\% percent on November 6. Moreover, the latest figures on Canada's price and trade performance released during the month were less encouraging. Bearish sentiment resurfaced for the Canadian dollar, and as selling pressure built up once again, the rate drifted downward in November and December.

In early January, the Canadian authorities announced plans for a new official borrowing abroad, a \$500 million equivalent issue denominated in yen, and the Bank of Canada raised its discount rate by 1/2 of a percentage point to 1114 percent. These initiatives helped to stabilize the exchange rate, but the latest round of trade figures announced in late January proved to be disappointing to the market. The rate thus dipped to Can.\$1.1989 at the monthend, down 5½ percent for the six-month period, before firming somewhat in February. Meanwhile, Canada's reserves declined by \$700 million from levels at the end of October; the net decline was \$200 million over the six-month period to \$4.4 billion as of January 31.

PROFITS AND LOSSES

The stepped-up intervention by the U.S. authorities beginning on November 1 involved a variety of financing techniques. In addition to use of the swap arrangements, the Treasury drew marks and yen on its reserve position with the IMF and sold SDRs to both Germany and Japan against their respective currencies. Also, the Treasury issued notes denominated in marks and Swiss francs in the German and Swiss capital markets, thereby raising foreign currency

assets against medium-term liabilities in those currencies. The acquisitions or borrowings of currencies and the sale and repayment of currencies took place at varying exchange rates. Thus, the profit and loss implications became much more complex.

At the same time, at the end of 1978 the Federal Reserve, in presenting its annual statement of condition, shifted to accounting practices under which all foreign currency assets and liabilities are periodically revalued in dollars at current spot market rates. The ESF had adopted this accounting practice in 1977. For both institutions this meant that, in addition to profits and losses actually realized on foreign exchange transactions, unrealized profits and losses are reported. New arrangements were also reached with foreign central banks to revalue, beginning in January, maturing swap drawings that were being renewed at current market rates. This practice generated realized profits or losses depending on whether the dollar rose or fell over the period of the swap drawing.

One of the tables presents the profit and loss data for the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury, separating out the results between the Treasury general account and the ESF. Losses on pre-August 1971 Swiss franc debt, undertaken to protect the U.S. gold stock, are presented separately.

In summary, Federal Reserve operations mainly reflect current swap operations, while ESF data also reflect foreign currency acquisitions from IMF drawings and SDR sales. The Treasury general account operations reflect the issuance of securities denominated in foreign currencies and sales of some of those proceeds in the market. Foreign exchange operations are closely coordinated between the Treasury and the Federal Reserve. The incidence of realized profit and loss, however, falls on the participants in the operations depending on the nature of the transaction and the exchange rate at the time.

The ESF, the Treasury general account, and the Federal Reserve had both profits and losses on individual transactions, but as the table indicates, losses exceeded profits on balance in 1978.

Federal Reserve—Treasury "Warehousing Arrangement"

During the six-month period, the Federal Reserve "warehoused" foreign currencies by taking foreign exchange acquired by the Treasury that was not immediately needed to finance foreign exchange intervention in return for dollars that were needed by the Treasury in its own domestic operations. In carrying out this exchange, the Federal Reserve operated as it did in the past to buy the foreign currency in a spot purchase from the Treasury and simultaneously sell it back to the Treasury at the same exchange rate for a future maturity date—three months or even one year later. A key aspect of this type of transaction is that, since the Federal Reserve and the Treasury agree to pay and to receive the same amount of foreign currency because the same exchange rate is used, neither party incurs any exchange rate risk from this transaction.

Between the time of the initial transaction and the maturity date, the Treasury has dollars that are credited initially to its account at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, while the Federal Reserve has foreign currency assets that it places with its central bank correspondent abroad to earn an investment return. As the dollars flow into the U.S. banking system, either by transfer to a Treasury tax and loan account at a commercial bank or by financing of domestic expenditures by the Treasury, member bank reserves increase. Under the operating procedures the domestic Trading Desk uses to carry out objectives set by the Federal Open Market Committee, however, it would typically respond by absorbing an equivalent amount of reserves in its day-to-day open market operations to neutralize any unwanted expansionary effect of the use of the Treasury's balance at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

^{1.} The attachments to this report are available on request from Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

A warehousing transaction is reversed when the Federal Reserve repays the foreign currency it has acquired from the Treasury and the Treasury repays dollars. This could occur before the original maturity date if the Treasury decides that warehoused foreign exchange balances will be used to finance its intervention (in which case the Treasury carries any exchange risk that may be involved) or upon maturity. Whether the Treasury acquires dollars to make the repayment to the Federal Reserve by purchasing them in the foreign exchange market, by borrowing in the domestic market, or from receipts from other sources, member bank reserves will decline. The domestic Trading Desk would offset any unwanted decline through open market operations. Thus, in practice, member bank reserves show no net effect from operations under the warehousing arrangements.

Staff Studies

The staffs of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and of the Federal Reserve Banks undertake studies that cover a wide range of economic and financial subjects, and other staff members prepare papers related to such subjects. In some instances the Federal Reserve System finances similar studies by members of the academic profession.

From time to time the results of studies that are of general interest to the professions and to others are summarized—or they may be printed in full—in this section of the FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN.

In all cases the analyses and conclusions set forth are those of the authors and do not necessarily indicate concurrence by the Board of Governors, by the Federal Reserve Banks, or by the members of their staffs.

Single copies of the full text of each of the studies or papers summarized in the BULLETIN are available in mimeographed form. The list of Federal Reserve Board publications at the back of each BULLETIN includes a separate section entitled "Staff Studies" that lists the studies for which copies are currently available in mimeographed form.

STUDY SUMMARY

GEOGRAPHIC EXPANSION OF BANKS AND CHANGES IN BANKING STRUCTURE

Stephen A. Rhoades—Staff, Board of Governors Prepared as a staff paper in early 1979

Various forces have provided impetus to geographic expansion in commercial banking since 1960. Because expansion could affect banking structure and competition, a study was made of the changes in local market structure over the period 1966–76 and in statewide structure for 1960-77.

To determine whether geographic expansion of banking organizations has a systematic effect on local market and statewide structure, an index of geographic expansion was constructed for local markets—154 standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs) and 129 non-SMSA counties—and for 48 states. The index is included in this study in a multivariate regression for testing purposes.

The data reveal that the great majority of local banking markets decreased in concentration, but test results provide no evidence that geographic expansion affects local market concentration. At the state level, the study shows that more than half the states increased in concentration, and statistical tests indicate that geographic expansion tends to increase statewide concentration. The data also reveal that overall the number of banking organizations in the United States has declined since 1970, a trend that has occurred in about half of the states. Finally, there has been a notable change in the size distribution of banking organizations in individual states the proportion of organizations with \$10 million or less in assets has declined dramatically.

Industrial Production

Released for publication March 16


Industrial production rose by an estimated 0.3 percent in February; revised figures showed no growth in the index for January. At 151.2 percent of the 1967 level, the index for February was 8.6 percent above that of a year earlier.

Increases in production in February were widespread and generally moderate; the largest gains were in equipment and nondurable goods materials. But sharp declines were again recorded in automotive products and coal and, to a lesser extent, in refined petroleum. Production of some items was again affected by adverse weather. The index now indicates a 0.6 percent gain in total output in November and a 0.8 percent gain in December.

Output of consumer goods was unchanged in February; there were moderate gains in home goods and some nondurable consumer goods, but further declines in auto and utility vehicle assemblies and a drop in the production of consumer fuel such as gasoline. Auto assemblies were at an 8.8-million-unit rate—almost unchanged from the 8.9-million-unit rate in January. Output of business equipment rose 0.5 percent further in February; the largest increases were in equipment used in manufacturing, power generation, and commercial enterprises. As in January, the growth in output of construction

supplies was small following the extremely sharp increase in December.

Production of materials advanced 0.3 percent in February, reflecting increases in the output of equipment parts and chemical and paper materials. Production of energy materials declined for the second successive month due mainly to reduced output of coal.



Federal Reserve indexes, seasonally adjusted. Latest figures: February. Auto sales and stocks include imports.

Industrial production	1967 100 1979		Percentage change from preceding month to						Percentage
			1978				1979		change 2/78
	Jan."	Feb.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	2/79
Total	150.8	151.2	.5	.6	.6	.8	.0	.3	8.6
Products, total	149.1	149.6	.2	.3	.5	.8	.1	3	7.2
Final products	145.6	146.0	3	.3	. 3	.7	.1	.3	7.0
Consumer goods	150.5	150.5	.4	. 1	3	.7	. 1	.0	4.7
Durable	160.7	160.4	.7	.8	.1	. 1	.7	. 2	6.1
Nondurable	146.3	146.6	.9	. 1	.3	1.0	.1	.2	4.1
Business equipment	168.9	169.7	. 2	.7	.2	.8	.2	.5	10.1
Intermediate products	162.6	162.9	.4	.6	.8	1.4	.6	. 2	7.6
Construction supplies	161.9	162.1	.6	.9	1.3	1.6	.2	. 1	9.1
Materials	153.4	153.8	.7	1.1	.9	.6	.1	3	11.0

Preliminary.

NOTE. Indexes are seasonally adjusted.

^{&#}x27;Estimated.

Statements to Congress

Statement by Nancy H. Teeters, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs and the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, February 20, 1979.

It is a pleasure for me to appear before both subcommittees. I have recently been designated to chair the board committee that has responsibility for consumer affairs, and I look forward to working with you in the future.

Section 1 of H.R. 1903 would change the effective date of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act from May 10, 1980, to June 10, 1979. The board recommends against adoption of this amendment. While we recognize the need for prompt implementation of the act, changing the effective date to June 10 would not leave sufficient time to accomplish this task. A June 1979 effective date would require the board to issue regulations without the degree of public participation that is essential for orderly implementation of this important new law. In addition, financial institutions would have fewer than four months to comply with the act.

I can assure you that the board shares your interest in quickly providing consumers who use electronic transfer services with the important protections offered by the act. We also wish to publish final regulations as soon as possible. The board's commitment to prompt action is illustrated by the speed with which the board acted in implementing sections 909 and 911 of the act. Those regulations were published for comment on December 26; the staff is analyzing the comments and preparing recommendations for the board. Final regulations are expected to be issued in a few weeks.

The board's schedule for implementing the remainder of the act is as follows: by April 1

publish draft regulations for a 60-day comment period, ending May 31; we have allowed 60 days for analyzing the comments and redrafting the regulations, bringing us to July 31; the revised regulations will be published for comment for a second 60-day period, running from August 1 to September 30; analysis of the comments and redrafting will be completed by November 30; the final regulations will be published about December 1.

We believe this is a realistic schedule that demonstrates the board's commitment to speedy and responsible implementation of the act. Meeting it will require considerable effort by the board and its staff. Our considerable experience in implementing consumer legislation suggests that a shorter rulewriting timetable would not be in the public interest.

One way in which the board's schedule could be shortened is by allowing 30 days instead of 60 days for public comment. We are concerned, however, that a period as short as 30 days would not be sufficient to allow all interested parties to express their views adequately. Our recent experience with the amendment to Regulation Z modifying the rescission requirements with respect to certain open-end credit plans is a good example. When the proposal was first published for comment, the comment period was 30 days. Many interested parties have objected strongly that this was not enough time, so the board recently decided to publish the amendment again. The board, in accordance with the spirit of Executive Order 12044, recently adopted a policy of allowing at least 60 days for public comment on regulations that implement a new law. We feel that adequate time for public comment is especially important in the case of a law, such as the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, that is highly technical and that confers significant consumer rights.

Our experience with implementation of other

legislation indicates that 60 days is essential for analysis of public comments, redrafting the regulations, and bringing them back to the board for comment. In 1976, when the amended Equal Credit Opportunity regulations were issued, the board received about 650 comments on the first proposal and about 500 comments on the second proposal. More recently, the board, along with the other financial supervisory agencies, received almost 1,000 comments on the Community Reinvestment Act regulations. Since there is great public interest in the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, I think we can expect to receive at least several hundred comments on proposed Regulation E.

Finally, the board's timetable calls for two public comment periods. I wish I could say that one comment period would suffice, but, again, our experience indicates otherwise. When new regulations are drafted, the first proposal may overlook important issues and some of the provisions may not be workable. Indeed, that is the purpose of public comment----to expose regulations to the critical gaze of the financial institutions and consumers who must live with them. Having two comment periods allows the public to comment on significant changes before regulations go into effect and thereby reduces the possibility that the regulations will have to be amended later. If the act's effective date were changed to June 1979, the board's regular procedures could not be followed. Even with only one comment period, there is a real risk that the law would take effect before implementing regulations could be issued in final form.

It is worth noting that the Electronic Fund Transfer Act creates two duties that the board did not have under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act or other prior legislation—namely, the requirement to prepare an analysis of economic impact and to issue model disclosure clauses.

I would also like to point out that the Electronic Fund Transfer Act imposes major new responsibilities on financial institutions. They will be required to prepare and print new disclosures, establish new error resolution and stop-payment procedures, program their computers to generate periodic statements, and, of course, train their personnel. Our experience

with other laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, suggests that the quality of compliance is enhanced and the cost of compliance reduced by providing a lead time of several months between the issuance of regulations in final form and the effective date of a statute.

Furning now to the second section of H.R. 1903, it would change both the timing of, and the entity responsible for providing, the general statement of customer rights required under section 1104(d) of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978. Instead of requiring that the statement be sent to all customers of all financial institutions "promptly" after March 10, 1979 (the effective date of the Right to Financial Privacy Act), the bill would require that the statement be provided to a customer when the customer is notified by a federal agency of its efforts to obtain the customer's financial records. In addition, the bill would shift the responsibility for providing the statement from the financial institution having custody of the customer's records to the agency seeking the records. The board would continue to be required to prepare a model statement of customer rights for use under section 1104(d). A draft statement was issued for public comment by the board on January 29.

We believe that the provisions of section 1104(d) as written into law last year should be modified significantly. The informational benefit to customers of financial institutions of receiving a general statement of their privacy rights promptly after the effective date of the act does not justify an estimated expenditure on the order of hundreds of millions of dollars to provide that statement. Sending the statement on a onetime basis after March 10 would not provide customers with information about their rights when that information was most needed—that is, when access to their records was sought. Furthermore, for every account that an individual has at a financial institution and for every credit card held, the individual would receive a separate statement. Therefore, a typical customer would receive several and perhaps a dozen or more virtually identical statements within a relatively short time span.

For those reasons, the board, responding to

a resolution of our Consumer Advisory Council, recommended that the act be amended to require that the section 1104(d) statement be delivered only when access to a customer's records is sought. In making that recommendation, both the board and the council were influenced by the belief that providing the statement when access was sought would give customers relevant information about their rights at the most appropriate time for them to understand the significance of, and to act upon, those rights. Therefore, the proposed change in H.R. 1903 in the timing of the delivery of the customer rights statement is, in our view, a significant step in the right direction.

We should note, however, that the act already requires the government to notify customers in 10 different instances about their rights under the statute. In addition, the act specifies that customers must be apprised of their financial privacy rights prior to authorizing government access to their records; and, having authorized access, they generally must be informed, upon request, of the identity of the federal agency or agencies to whom their records have been disclosed and the number of times access has been granted. Although delivery of the required notices may be delayed if the federal agency involved obtains an appropriate court order, even then the customer usually must be provided

with a statutory notice. Thus, we believe that the general statement of customer rights mandated by section 1104(d) could be eliminated, as provided by S. 37, which has passed the Senate, without materially diminishing the customer protections of the act.

While our original recommendation, based upon the Consumer Advisory Council's resolution, did not contemplate shifting responsibility from financial institutions to the federal government for providing the statement, we believe that the proposal of H.R. 1903 to require the federal agency involved to supply the statement along with any other notice it must provide under the statute is an appropriate alternative. Although such a shift would increase somewhat the government's cost of complying with the act, it should on balance decrease overall costs by eliminating the need for a separate communication from financial institutions. While the approach taken by either our original recommendation or H.R. 1903 is better than the current requirement, we believe that deletion of the requirement of a general statement as provided by S. 37 also is an acceptable alternative for the reasons previously mentioned.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear before both subcommittees to present the views of the board and its Consumer Advisory Council. I would be pleased to answer any questions.

Statement by G. William Miller, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on the Budget, U.S. Senate, February 22, 1979.

Mr. Chairman, members of this committee, the budget deliberation process plays a critical role in the formulation of public policy, and I am pleased to present the views of the Federal Reserve as the committee develops the First Concurrent Budget Resolution for fiscal year 1980. The direction that fiscal policy takes over the next several years will be of strategic importance in determining the nation's ability to meet its longer-run goals of growth, high em-

ployment and price stability. While your task is a difficult one, it provides a clear opportunity for the Congress to help unwind years of corrosive and persistent inflation.

Economic activity over the past year was highlighted by a sizable rise in real gross national product together with a marked acceleration in the rate of price increase. By the end of 1978, the current economic expansion was close to its fourth anniversary and, when compared with prior cyclical upswings of the postwar period, it is notable both for its longevity as well as its strength. As indicated in Chart I the 4¼ percent rise in real GNP over 1978 represented something of a deceleration from

the $5\frac{1}{2}$ percent pace of the first three years of expansion. But with the economy's movement into a zone where resource pressures can be intense, this slowing was desirable, especially given the recent signs of acceleration of raw materials price increases. Over the year the unemployment rate fell further by 1/2 a percentage point to 5.8 percent of the labor force, and at year-end there was relatively little job market slack in many of the higher-skilled occupational groups. Similarly, capacity utilization moved up to about 86 percent in manufacturing, and while the emergence of any production bottlenecks generally has been avoided, this sector of the economy is operating quite close to its prerecession rate. Despite this diminished slack in the economy, last year's intensification of inflationary pressures can be attributed largely to sources other than pressures of resource constraints. However, further brisk expansion of the economy at this time runs the risk of adding yet another source to an already intractable inflation.

The acceleration of price increases during 1978 was clearly the major disappointment in the economy's performance. Unlike earlier years, when worsening inflation could be associated with one or two unfavorable and isolated developments, the acceleration last year was broadly based and resulted from both endogenous as well as special occurrences. Food prices skyrocketed early in the year as the severe winter weather took its toll on the agricultural sector. In addition, the cost of homeownership rose sharply as home purchase prices and mortgage interest rates increased, and the weakening exchange value of the dollar had adverse implications for prices of imports and for those domestically produced goods that compete with imports. However, even after allowing for these somewhat "special" considerations, there was an acceleration of inflation in most other areas as well. The deterioration of this underlying rate of increase in prices can be most clearly associated with last year's pickup in unit labor costs. In turn, the continued uptrend in costs can be attributed in large part to a most disappointing productivity performance and to legislated increases in the minimum wage and in payroll taxes for social security and unemployment insurance.

Unfortunately, likely developments over the course of this year do not suggest a significant easing of inflationary pressures in the near term. Another round of mandated increases in payroll taxes went into effect the first of the year and these costs tend to be passed through to prices quite promptly. Also, given recent reports on spot prices of crude foodstuffs, it is a virtual certainty that retail food prices will increase sharply in the first quarter. Furthermore, large oil price hikes by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries have been scheduled for this year, and the impact of the dollar's depreciation has yet to run its course. These factors, combined with a heavy collective bargaining calendar following the price runup of 1978, makes it difficult to envision overall price increases slowing markedly from last year's 9 percent range.

Given this developing environment there was a clear and urgent need for the stance of public policy to shift toward the restraint of aggregate demand and focus on actions designed to end the self-fulfilling prophecies of inflationary expectations. The administration's anti-inflation proposals of late October were aimed at disentangling the interplay of wages and prices through the recommendation of wage-price standards. In addition, the program underscored the inflationary tendencies of government policies by stressing that fiscal restraint and regulatory reform were both necessary for an effective assault on inflation. These proposals were reinforced by the joint actions of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve on November 1 that helped restore stability to the international value of the dollar. Fiscal policy also moved toward restraint last year. The \$44 billion federal deficit for calendar year 1978 was \$7 billion less than in the prior year and well below that implied by the first and second concurrent budget resolutions. Nonetheless, a continuing deficit of this magnitude is far too large for a maturing expansion beset with inflationary difficulties.

Monetary policy also moved clearly in the

^{1.} The attachments to this statement are available on request from Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

direction of restraint during 1978. Interest rates for short-term market instruments rose about 3 to 4 percentage points over the course of the year and yields at the longer end of the maturity spectrum rose about 1 percentage point. These appreciable advances in market rates reflect a monetary policy that continues in its efforts to foster financial conditions consistent with a moderation in the pace of economic activity and a slowing of inflation. Real interest rates—or market rates adjusted for inflation—still appear to remain low by historical standards and thus continue to facilitate an expansion of overall demands. Furthermore, due to the evolution of the structure of financial markets in recent years, there is growing evidence that the economy now responds in a smoother fashion to adjustments in monetary policy. A recent example of this development has been the success that the new 6-month money market certificates have had in boosting deposit flows at major mortgage lending institutions during a period when prevailing market rates could have resulted in widespread disintermediation. Thus, despite a tightening of monetary policy, economic activity has not been disrupted by the type of "credit crunch" episodes that have severely affected financial markets in the past.

The economy's ability to withstand tauter financial markets does not blunt, however, the critical role that monetary policy can play in combating inflation. The upward movements in interest rates have been accompanied by a reduction in the growth of the monetary aggregates, even after allowance for the recent introduction of automatic transfers from savings to demand accounts. In turn, this moderation of money growth has apparently discouraged some borrowing activity recently, especially in the commercial and industrial sector. Furthermore, given the normal lags of policy impacts, the expansion of total credit demands should be further restrained in the months ahead.

While monetary policy has a key role to play in achieving the nation's economic goals, it cannot wage the battle alone. The application of prudent restraint through fiscal policy is just as critical if we are to turn our full arsenal of public policies against inflation. It is most important that fiscal and monetary authorities work in tandem towards achievement of the nation's longer-term goals. In a report submitted to the Congress on February 20, in compliance with the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978, the Federal Reserve detailed its policy aims for 1979 and concluded that those plans are consistent with the present goals of fiscal policy. (See pages 185–200.)

Specific fiscal policy recommendations for the upcoming fiscal year depend critically on the outlook for real GNP growth and inflation. Despite much public opinion to the contrary, there is little evidence, at present, that the economy is threatened with an actual contraction of activity. While the surprisingly strong surge of real GNP in the fourth quarter of last year is not sustainable nor even desirable, it did impart a good deal of momentum to activity that is likely to carry over into the first half of the year. In the business sector, orders backlogs and construction contracts remain at high levels and are likely to support a moderate expansion of capital spending at least through the spring. In addition, inventories remain quite lean relative to sales and, given the surge in consumption late last year, a rebuilding of stocks could be required in some lines, which could further boost production and income. Furthermore, aside from last month's drop in starts, which appears to be mostly weather-related, housing construction has been sustained at a relatively brisk pace. As a result, we have avoided a sharp downturn in one area of the economy most prone to cyclical sensitivity.

Nonetheless, there are signs that some weakness in demands could well develop over the course of the year. Surveys of capital spending intentions of business point to a slowing of growth in the latter half of this year. Also, it seems likely that housing activity could be reduced somewhat by financial conditions in mortgage markets. And finally, consumption, whose consistent strength has provided a solid foundation for most of this expansion, might slow as income gains weaken and high debt burdens impart a degree of caution to consumer spending decisions.

Over all, while inflation remains a most disruptive influence, there are no signs of the type of imbalances that typically have signalled

the onset of prior recessions. In this environment, macroeconomic stabilization policies need to aim for a moderating course of activity and the resulting relief of inflationary pressures that would emanate from product, labor, and financial markets. While the outcome of such policies would presumably imply an upward drift of unemployment, the alternative course—that of further demand stimulus—would be fraught with ever greater inflationary perils. The social costs of unemployment can never be ignored, but at this juncture a failure to ease inflationary pressures would be far more costly over the longer run than would be any temporary dislocations in the labor market.

At present, fiscal policy recommendations need to be governed by clear restraint. Continued reduction in the deficit in the near future and movement toward a balanced budget over the next several years is desirable; the administration's recent budget proposals represent a positive move in this direction. Not only would the trend toward balance avoid the excessive demand stimulus that has fueled inflation during recent years but elimination of large federal deficits also would absorb less private savings and thus provide more of an opportunity for increased capital formation. Furthermore, the deficits of the past several years have been accompanied by Treasury borrowing on a scale large enough to distort flows in private capital markets. And the financing needs of off-budget agencies have acted to exacerbate this problem. As a result, a movement toward fiscal balance would lessen the pressures on our money and capital markets.

A second and related aim of fiscal policy should be a reduction in the size of the government sector in our economy. One of the more undesirable features of economic change over the last 20 years has been the gradual increase in the share of federal outlays as a percentage of GNP. In the latter half of the 1950s, the ratio stood at 18.3 percent whereas by 1976 it had

risen to 22.5 percent. In the past two years the ratio has edged back down, but it still remains too high. The 21 percent share projected for fiscal year 1980 is laudable, but the reduction should not stop at this point. Achieving such a reduction will require a rethinking of many existing spending programs as well as limitations on new spending initiatives.

At the same time the deficit and the output share of the federal sector are being reduced, fiscal policy should also be directed at promoting an improved environment for capital spending. Over the past decade our investment share of output has been generally inferior to that of most major industrialized economies. Furthermore, in recent years there seems to have been a reluctance to invest in the heavy machinery that is so essential for the expansion of our productive capacity. Whatever the cause—an excessive regulatory burden, increased foreign competition, or an outmoded technological base--there is a real need for stepping up incentives such as accelerated depreciation and investment tax credits. Not only would this enhance the economy's longer-run growth prospects, it would also facilitate resumption of more normal growth in productivity. The slowing of productivity gains over the last five years has been most disappointing, and this has contributed directly to the growing inflationary bias of the present expansion. Successful efforts to reverse this trend would improve greatly the economy's potential for noninflationary expansion.

In sum, fiscal policy needs to be directed in a clear and forceful way toward the easing of inflationary pressures. The implications of austerity, sacrifice, and patience need not be minimized but instead should be recognized as a measure of our commitment in dealing with a most difficult problem. The Federal Reserve for its part will continue to aim monetary policy toward a gradual unwinding of inflation and the maintenance of moderate economic growth.

Statement by G. William Miller, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 26, 1979.

The nation's financial system has been undergoing rapid change in recent years, altering the competitive environment in banking and other financial markets and complicating the Federal Reserve's ability to implement monetary policy. These developments are well known to the committee. Nonmember depositary institutions have been growing much more rapidly than member banks. Transactions-type deposit accounts have become more widespread at thrift institutions. And, in general, competition among depositary institutions and between those institutions and the open market has become much more intense.

Increased competition enhances the efficiency of the financial system. But, as a result, banks have been reassessing their costs, and many have become less willing to bear the high cost of cash reserve requirements associated with being a member of the Federal Reserve System. Thus, there has been a steady and in recent years accelerating decline in the proportion of bank deposits, especially transactions deposits, subject to federal reserve requirements. Moreover, the continued development of new transactions-type deposits at nonbank depositary institutions will worsen this situation.

Developments Weaken Monetary Control

It is essential that the Federal Reserve maintain adequate control over the monetary aggregates if the nation is to succeed in its efforts to curb inflation, sustain economic growth, and maintain the value of the dollar in international exchange markets. The attrition in deposits subject to reserve requirements set by the Federal Reserve weakens the linkage between member bank reserves and the monetary aggregates. As a larger and larger fraction of deposits at banks becomes subject to the diverse reserve requirements set by the 50 states rather than by the Federal Reserve, and as more transactions

balances reside at thrift institutions, the relationship between the money supply and reserves controlled by the Federal Reserve will become less and less predictable, and the instruments of monetary policy will become less precise in their application.

Our staff has attempted to assess the extent to which growth of deposits outside the Federal Reserve System would weaken the relationship between reserves and money. Their tentative results are shown in chart 1, which depicts the greater range of short-run variability in M-1 and M-2, with a given level of bank reserves, that would develop as the percentage of deposits held outside the Federal Reserve rises. As more and more deposits are held outside the system, this chart suggests that control of money through the reserve base becomes increasingly uncertain.

Use of Reserve Requirements Has Been Restricted

With the proportion of banks subject to federal reserve requirements declining, the ability of the central bank to use changes in reserve requirements as a tool of monetary policy has been increasingly undermined. Changes in reserve ratios not only affect a smaller proportion of deposits today than in the past, but the board also must weigh the potential impact of its actions on the membership problem—and hence on its ability to maintain monetary control over the longer run—each time it deliberates on the uses of this tool. Such concerns inhibit the board's freedom of action to conduct monetary policy. If reserve requirements were applied universally, as is proposed in S. 85, adjustments in reserve ratios to affect the availability of credit throughout the country or to influence banks' efforts concerning particular types of deposits may again become a more viable monetary instrument. Moreover, while open market operations in U.S. government securities currently provide the Federal Reserve with a pow-

^{1.} The attachments to this statement are available on request from Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

erful policy instrument, it is possible that conditions could develop in the future—such as a less active market for U.S. government securities in a period of reduced federal budgetary deficits—when more flexible adjustment of reserve requirements might become more necessary to control the monetary aggregates.

As Has Been the Discount Window

The effectiveness of the Federal Reserve's administration of the discount window also has been potentially compromised by recent developments. Membership attrition and the growth of transactions balances at nonbank depositary institutions have resulted in a shrinking proportion of the financial system having immediate access to the discount window on a day-to-day basis.

The discount window, as the "lender of last resort," provides the payments system with a basic liquidity backup by assuring member banks the funds to meet their obligations. But, if the proportion of institutions having access to this facility continues to decline, individual institutions could be forced to make abrupt adjustments in their lending or portfolio policies because they could not turn to the window to cushion temporarily the impacts of restrictive monetary policies. Risks that liquidity squeezes would result in bank failures could also increase. Thus, the Federal Reserve may find that its ability to limit growth in money and credit in order to curb inflation was being unduly impeded because the safety valve provided by the discount window was gradually losing its effective coverage.

AND THE PAYMENTS SYSTEM FACES DETERIORATION

The growth of transactions balances at institutions that do not have access to Federal Reserve clearing services also could lead to a deterioration in the quality of the nation's payments system. Reserve balances held at Federal Reserve Banks are the foundation of the payments mechanism because these balances are used for making payments and settling accounts between banks. Nonmember deposits at correspondent banks can serve the same purpose, but as more and more of the deposits used for settlement purposes are held outside the Federal Reserve, the banking system becomes more exposed to the risk that such funds might be immobilized if a large correspondent bank outside the Federal Reserve experienced substantial operating difficulties or liquidity problems. A liquidity crisis affecting such a large clearing bank could have widespread damaging effects on the banking system as a whole because smaller banks might become unable to use their clearing balances in the ordinary course of business. The Federal Reserve, of course, is not subject to liquidity risk and therefore serves, as the Congress intended, as a safe foundation for the payments mechanism.

In sum, the major functions of the Federal Reserve System—to conduct monetary policy in the public interest, to provide backup liquidity and flexibility to the financial system, and to assure a safe and efficient payments mechanism—all have been undermined by recent developments. These developments include, as was noted earlier, attrition in Federal Reserve membership and the spreading of third-party payment powers to nonbank institutions.

DECLINE IN SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP

For more than 25 years, there has been a continual decline in the proportion of commercial banks belonging to the Federal Reserve. The downward trend in the number of member banks has been accompanied by a decline in the proportion of bank deposits subject to federal reserve requirements. As of mid-1978, member banks held less than 72 percent of total commercial bank deposits, down about 9 percentage points since 1970. Thus, more than one-fourth of commercial bank deposits—and over three-fifths of all banks—are outside the Federal Reserve System.

Due to the Excessive Cost of Membership

The basic reason for the decline in membership is the financial burden that membership entails.

Most nonmember banks and thrift institutions may hold their required reserves in the form of earning assets or in the form of deposits (such as correspondent balances) that would be held in the normal course of business. Member banks, by contrast, must keep their required reserves entirely in nonearning form.

The cost burden of Federal Reserve membership thus consists of the earnings that member banks forgo because of the extra amount of nonearning assets that they are required to hold. Of course, member banks are provided with services by Reserve Banks, but the value of those services is insufficient to close the earnings gap between member and nonmember banks.

The board staff estimates that the aggregate cost burden to member banks of Federal Reserve membership exceeds \$650 million annually, based on data for 1977, or about 9 percent of member bank profits before income tax. The burden of membership is not distributed equally across all sizes of member banks. According to staff estimates, the relative burden is greatest for small banks—exceeding 20 percent of profits for banks with less than \$10 million in deposits. Further reductions of reserve requirements within existing statutory limits would do little to eliminate the burden for most classes of banks, especially for the smaller banks.

Inequity of Cost Burden Borne by Member Banks

The current regulatory structure is arbitrary and unfair because it forces member banks to bear the full burden of reserve requirements. Only member banks must maintain sterile reserve balances, while nonmember banks, which compete with members in the same markets for loans and deposits, and thrift institutions, which increasingly are competing in the same markets, do not face similar requirements. Thus, members are at a competitive disadvantage relative to other depositary institutions. Among the major countries in the free world, only in the United States has this legislated inequity been imposed on the commercial banking system. It is no wonder that member banks continue to withdraw from the Federal Reserve.

SPREAD OF THIRD-PARTY PAYMENT POWERS

At the same time, the spread of third-party powers to thrift institutions is further increasing the proportion of transactions balances outside the control of the Federal Reserve. Commercial banks' virtual monopoly on transactions accounts, maintained in the past because of their ability to offer demand deposits, is being eroded. Moreover, recent financial innovations have led to widespread use of interest-bearing transactions accounts at both nonbank depositary institutions and commercial banks. These developments have increased both the costs and the competitive pressures on banks, no doubt compelling members to reevaluate the costs and benefits of membership and thus playing a significant role in membership withdrawals.

The payments innovations since 1970 have received widespread attention, and include limited preauthorized "bill-payer" transfers as well as telephone transfers from savings accounts at banks and savings and loan associations, negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts at practically all depositary institutions in New England, credit union share drafts, automatic transfers from savings deposits, and the use of electronic terminals to make immediate transfers to and from savings accounts.

Growth of these transactions-related, interest-bearing deposits has been most dramatic in recent years. For example, NOW accounts in New England have grown from practically zero in 1974 to 8 percent of the region's household deposit balances in mid-1978, and one-third of these NOW deposits are at thrift institutions. The intense competition engendered by the introduction of NOW accounts has been accompanied by an acceleration of member bank attrition in New England to a rate well beyond that of the nation. This increase in member bank withdrawals is clearly not just coincidental.

There is no sign that the intense competition will abate. Savings accounts authorized for automatic transfer have grown rapidly at commercial banks across the country since their introduction November 1; and in New York, NOW accounts, which were authorized November 10 for all depositary institutions in the state, have

been increasing vigorously. In addition, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board has announced its intention to authorize savings and loan associations to offer payment order accounts, or POAs, which are interest-bearing deposits that can serve many of the same functions as NOWs.

These developments have caused the distinctions among banks and thrift institutions with respect to the "moneyness" of their deposits to become increasingly blurred and have prompted the Federal Reserve to reevaluate its existing measures of the monetary aggregates and to propose redefinitions to reflect the changing institutional environment. The most basic measure of transactions balances, M-1, clearly should include more than just currency and commercial bank demand deposits. And the broader aggregates should be redefined to emphasize distinctions by type or function of deposit rather than by the institution in which the deposit is held. Changing the money measures to reflect economic reality, including the wider role played by depositary institutions other than member banks in the monetary system, would be complemented by legislation for universal reserve requirements.

Legislative Proposals Point in the Right Direction

The board appreciates the priority attention given by the committee to the important issues of improving monetary control and reducing the inequities in markets in which depositary institutions are competing. The legislative proposals set forth by Chairman Proxmire and Senator Tower represent constructive approaches. As was indicated in the last session of the Congress, the board prefers the universal approach of S. 85.

This bill proposes universal federal reserve requirements by establishing reserve ratios applicable to all deposits at commercial banks and to transactions balances at thrift institutions. The definition of transactions accounts includes not only demand deposits but also the growing number of new third-party payments accounts. Such an approach puts all depositary institutions of similar size on an equal competitive basis in the market for transactions deposits. The exemption from any reserve requirement of the

first \$40 million of transactions balances at all institutions and the first \$40 million of other deposits at commercial banks would eliminate the competitive burden of reserve requirements on small institutions while increasing slightly from present levels the proportion of commercial bank deposits subject to federal reserve requirements. This approach helps to assure the continuation of the reserve structure needed for the efficient conduct of monetary policy.

Under this legislation thrift institutions with reservable transactions accounts and all commercial banks would have access to the Federal Reserve discount window. The Federal Reserve could then act as a "lender of last resort" to a broader class of depositary institutions and thereby enhance the overall safety and soundness of the depositary system, as well as providing more flexibility to financial institutions to respond to changing monetary policy.

The bill also gives all depositary institutions access to other Federal Reserve services. With the application of an appropriate price schedule for such services, this action would improve the efficiency of the payments mechanism, which underlies all of the nation's economic transactions.

But it should be emphasized that open access to system services, desirable as it may be, is only practicable if the membership problem is resolved, as S. 85 does in principle. Without resolution of the membership problem, open access for all institutions at explicit prices would only exacerbate the problem and lead to even greater reduction in the Federal Reserve's deposit coverage, since services would be available to nonmembers that would not bear the burden of sterile reserves. Thus, as Senator Tower has recognized in his bill, a voluntary approach to solving the membership problem must make it clear that the Federal Reserve has the authority to continue to restrict access to system services.

But Certain Modifications of S. 85 Are Desirable

The various features of S. 85 redress much of the growing competitive inequity among financial institutions and provide a framework for enhancing the implementation of monetary policy. However, we believe that certain modifications are desirable in order to exploit more fully the potential for improved monetary control offered by this approach and to strike a better balance among the legitimate concerns and interests of the various constituencies affected by this legislative compromise.

First, while the \$40 million exemptions in this legislation would mean that the proportion of deposits subject to direct Federal Reserve control would increase slightly from current levels, we feel that there are important benefits for monetary control in increasing that coverage even further. The board has a proposal that will provide additional coverage and hence further enhance monetary control, while at the same time preserving for all depositary institutions the earnings protection contained in \$5.85.

Participation in Federal Reserve Earnings for Exempted Deposits

The board's proposed modification involves establishment of an "earnings participation account," which would result in more institutions maintaining balances at the Federal Reserve; however, their earnings capacity would be protected because the earnings participation account would accrue interest at the rate earned by the Federal Reserve on its portfolio of securities. To reduce the recordkeeping burden, small institutions could be excluded from having to hold this account. This exclusion could amount to the first \$10 million of transactions deposits at each institution and \$10 million of other deposits at each commercial bank.

For banks, with respect to all deposits, and for other depositary institutions, with respect to transactions deposits, their earnings participation account would be held against deposits above the \$10 million exclusion and up to the amount of the exemption level, which would be \$40 million in the case of \$5.85. The size of this earnings participation account for each deposit category would equal the reserve ratio applicable to deposits in this category times the amount of deposit liabilities between \$10 million and the exemption level. To the extent that an institution holds vault cash in excess of its required reserves on nonexempt deposits, the

size of the earnings participation account would be reduced correspondingly.

The return on this account would be equivalent to the average return on the Federal Reserve's portfolio, which includes both short- and long-term securities. Some years this return might be higher than banks would earn on other assets—which are likely to be a combination of loans and liquid instruments—and some years less. On average, over time, there should be little difference.

It should be noted that Senator Tower's S. 353 does provide for establishment of an earnings participation account. However, the estimated cost to the Treasury of this bill is considerably greater than other proposals being considered.

YIELDS EXPANDED COVERAGE, MORE EARNINGS PROTECTION

In a comparison of the impacts of the board's proposal with S. 85 and with the current reserve system, it can be seen that the board's modification has the advantage of increasing the proportion of commercial bank transactions deposits covered by an account at the Federal Reserve—from the present 73 percent, and about 75 percent under S. 85, to 94 percent. This would be accomplished even though the \$10 million exclusion would mean that 45 percent of all commercial banks, as well as virtually all thrift institutions, would not be required to hold any account at the Federal Reserve.

It is worth emphasizing that now is the appropriate time to bring transactions-type deposits at thrift institutions under reserve requirements. It will be several years, at least, before any significant number of thrift institutions would actually have to hold nonearning reserves at the Federal Reserve. Currently, less than a dozen thrift institutions have deposits in excess of the \$40 million exemption of S. 85, and all have vault cash much greater than the reserve requirement that would apply to such deposits.

RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

While the board does not strongly object to the particular reserve requirement ratios specified in S. 85, it would, assuming the other elements

of the proposal are adopted, prefer somewhat lower ratios, on average. However, average reserve requirements on demand and time and savings deposits at commercial banks would provide for more equitable treatment, as thrift institutions are not subject to any reserve requirements on nontransactions deposits under the proposed bill.

The exact set of reserve ratios that would balance equity considerations against the loss of Treasury revenue and the need for flexible and effective instruments of monetary policy is, of course, a matter of judgment. There is much to be said for complete equality of treatment between banks and thrift institutions with respect to reserve requirements. This approach would argue, on the one hand, for reducing further the reserve requirements on all nontransactions time and savings deposits at commercial banks, even to zero. But such reductions would be very costly to the Treasury and would also eliminate reserve requirements on time deposits as a policy instrument; the flexibility to vary those requirements has proven useful in the past as a means of influencing banks' liability management and international flows of funds.

Complete equality of treatment could also be obtained, on the other hand, by imposing the same reserve requirements on time and savings deposits at thrift institutions as those borne by banks. But this has the disadvantage of being very costly to thrift institutions, which are, in any event, coming under earnings pressure in the current period of relatively high short-term interest rates.

Thus, the board believes that the reserve requirement structure suggested in the House bill proposed by Representative Reuss (H.R. 7) may be a reasonable compromise. The board also would not oppose an increase in the exemption level to the \$50 million specified in H.R. 7, as long as the modified bill included the establishment of an earnings participation account. With lower reserve requirements and a higher exemption level, more commercial banks would effectively be on the same footing as the thrift institutions with which they compete, in the sense that neither would be forced to hold non-interest-earning reserves against their deposits. The number of banks holding

sterile reserve balances at Federal Reserve Banks would be sharply reduced from the current level of 5,664 to an estimated 656 under the board modification. This number is somewhat lower than the estimated 796 banks that would be required to hold nonearning reserves at Federal Reserve Banks under S. 85.

The reserve requirement structures of S. 85 and H.R. 7 are:

Deposit category	Reserve ranges (percent)				
	S. 85	H.R. 7			
Transactions					
deposits	12 to 14 (13 initially)	8 to 10 (9.5 initially)			
Short-term time	(15 millany)	(7.1.7 III.IIIIII)			
deposits	4 to 8 (6 initially)	3 to 8 (8 initially)			
Savings deposits	1 to 5 (3 initially)	1 to 3 (3 initially)			
Long-term time		•			
deposits	1/2 to 2 (1 initially)	1 to 3 (1 initially)			

The board believes that it is particularly important to have a somewhat lower reserve requirement on transactions deposits than under S. 85 so as to minimize the incentive for institutions to develop roundabout methods for avoiding the requirement and thereby add to the complexity of administering the reserve structure.

Effect on Deposit Coverage and Bank Earnings

The board's modified version would provide a greater earnings benefit to the banking system than S. 85. A listing shows individual member and nonmember commercial banks and mutual savings banks that would be subject to federal reserve requirements or would be required to hold an earnings participation account under S. 85 as modified by our proposal. This listing is similar to that part of the Committee print, Summary of the Monetary Policy Improvement Act of 1979, which shows the banks covered under S. 85. Banks are added to the list under the board's proposal when they have deposits above the excluded level but below the exemption level of S. 85. These added banks would hold an earnings participation account at the Federal Reserve and thus expand the Federal Reserve's coverage of deposits, but they would not hold any nonearning required reserve balance at Reserve Banks because their deposits

are below the exempted level. The list shows the amount of the earnings participation account each institution would hold. If this amount is zero, the bank at the end of 1977 had sufficient vault cash in excess of its required reserves that it would have had no earnings participation account.

The additional institutions holding accounts at the Federal Reserve would keep the earnings benefit of the exemption level proposed by S. 85, since they would participate in the Federal Reserve's earnings on funds that they would be required to maintain in the earnings participation account. Moreover, the combination of the higher exemptions and the different structure of reserve ratios in the modified bill means that any institution required to hold sterile reserves would have its burden reduced relative to that of S. 85. This structure enhances the earnings capacity of all institutions and minimizes the competitive burden on individual institutions.

In sum, the board proposal would have the clear advantage of expanding significantly the coverage subject to reserve requirements, thereby enhancing the implementation of monetary policy. At the same time, it would increase the earnings benefit for depositary institutions over those provided in S. 85 at a modest additional cost to the Treasury. Finally, exclusion of the first \$10 million of transactions-type deposits and \$10 million of other deposits from any reserve requirement would reduce the recordkeeping burden of the proposal with relatively small policy impact.

Treasury Revenues

Based on the 1977 level of deposits and assuming all the provisions of the bill had been in effect for some time, it is estimated that the provisions of S. 85 would reduce Treasury revenues by about \$60 million. This estimate allows for recapture by the Treasury through tax payments by banks and stockholders of a portion of the earnings benefits accruing to banks.

The board's modification would have a somewhat larger impact on Treasury revenues than S. 85 but still keeps the cost within reasonable bounds. It is estimated that the net cost to the Treasury would be about \$173 million.

It must be stressed, however, that in the absence of legislation to stop membership attrition, the Federal Reserve will lose increasing amounts of revenue over time as member banks leave the system. Thus, after making allowance for the loss of Federal Reserve revenues from continued attrition that would otherwise be occurring, these proposals would result in little, if any, net cost to the Treasury in the future. Moreover, in the first three years after the program is implemented, the Treasury will not incur any loss in revenue because the Federal Reserve intends to transfer a sufficient portion of its earned surplus to maintain net Treasury revenues during this period.

A series of amendments to S. 85 would implement the various aspects of the board's proposed modification.

Additional Modifications

Another modification proposed by the board concerns the pricing of Federal Reserve System services. The system has already expended considerable effort in formulating pricing principles and in developing pricing alternatives for the services we provide. A preliminary schedule of prices for check and automated clearinghouse services was announced last November. Price schedules for other Federal Reserve services. such as coin and currency services, wire transfers, and the safekeeping of securities, are under consideration. Although we intend to implement service charges as rapidly as we can after the membership problem is solved, we believe that the July 1, 1980, implementation date set in S. 85 may not afford sufficient flexibility to develop a well-designed system. An amendment would eliminate the fixed date and thus provide the necessary flexibility. Additional, more technical amendments pertaining to reporting requirements, access to the discount window, and the application of reserve requirements to foreign obligations of banks and conforming other provisions of the Federal Reserve Act, also are attached for the committee's consideration.

Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to present the Federal Reserve's view on the Monetary Policy Improvement Act of 1979.

Statement by J. Charles Partee, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs and the Committee on Governmental Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 28, 1979.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear before the committees today to present the views of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System on S. 332. This bill would consolidate the bank supervisory functions of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the bank and bank holding company supervisory functions of the Federal Reserve into a newly created Federal Bank Commission.

In September 1977, I testified for the board in opposition to a similar bill, S. 684, before the Senate Banking Committee. The board opposed that bill because it saw no persuasive reasons for consolidating the three regulatory agencies. Moreover, the board believed that consolidation would involve a number of distinct disadvantages that would outweigh any likely benefits. Today we continue to oppose agency consolidation, as proposed in S. 332, for essentially the same reasons.

The primary objective of bank regulation is to maintain a safe and sound banking system. Therefore, the best measure of the performance of the present agency structure is the record of banking stability in this nation over the years. In my judgment, the record is very good. During the last several decades there has been only one brief period—during and immediately following the deep recession of the mid-1970s--when the banking system encountered any significant problems. Even then, the efforts of the three banking agencies helped to contain emerging problems so that the economy was not significantly affected. Since then, the condition of the banking system has strengthened, and the problems of the mid-1970s are now largely behind us.

This excellent record of banking stability is certainly due in large part to good management of American banks. But it also indicates that the present agency structure has been effective. Indeed, it is hard to argue that this nation could have compiled this enviable record of banking stability if the present agency structure had serious flaws.

Proponents of agency consolidation have argued that the present statutory division of responsibilities among the three federal banking agencies is complex and often overlapping. There is, of course, some truth to this charge. But the three agencies have worked out numerous arrangements over the years that have eliminated most of these potential overlaps. For example, while all three agencies have the statutory authority to examine national banks, only the Comptroller of the Currency actually does so.

Proponents of agency consolidation also have criticized the three agencies for having inconsistent policies and procedures and have argued that agency consolidation would end this problem. Historically, there have been differences in agency practices. However, in the last several years the agencies have made a concerted effort to increase the consistency of agency policies and procedures. This effort has been spearheaded by the Interagency Coordinating Committee, which is composed of principals of the agencies, and the Interagency Supervisory Committee, which is made up of top supervisory staff of the agencies. Recent actions of these interagency groups have included the development of a uniform system for rating banks, a uniform approach for reviewing and commenting on the country risk element in bank lending abroad, a uniform set of regulations and examination procedures for ensuring compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act, and an interagency system for evaluating large shared national credits.

In testimony beginning in the mid-1970s, the board recommended that the Congress establish a Bank Examination Council. This council would formalize existing cooperative arrangements among the federal banking agencies and assure progress toward greater uniformity in examination principles, procedures, and training. Last year the Congress accepted the board's recommendation and established the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. The council, which also includes representatives from the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and

the National Credit Union Administration, will come into existence this March 10. Council members already are working on the group's initial organization and administrative procedures, and the council will begin to tackle a variety of substantive issues promptly after it is established.

The board believes that the Congress was well advised last year to create the council and to avoid agency consolidation. Now that the council is about to become operational, we urge that the Congress give the council a chance to perform.

In the board's judgment, creation of the Fed eral Bank Commission at the present time would entail some particularly unfortunate consequences. Within the last year or so, the Congress has passed a massive amount of banking legislation, including the Financial Institutions Regulatory Act, the International Banking Act, and the Community Reinvestment Act. In total, the banking legislation enacted by the 95th Congress represents the largest amount of such legislation passed by any Congress since the 1930s. At present, both the banking community and the banking agencies have the sizable task of digesting and implementing all of this complex legislation. The banking agencies, for example, must write new regulations, design new report forms and establish new enforcement procedures. In this hectic environment, the board believes that the creation of the Federal Bank Commission-- with all of the temporary dislocations that this would inevitably involve—would be extremely disruptive. Such a reorganization could impair agency operations and adversely affect the implementation and enforcement of the new legislation.

In testimony during the last several years, the board has cited other problems with agency consolidation of the sort proposed in S. 332. Probably the greatest problem is that these bills would break the present link between bank supervision and monetary policy by removing the Federal Reserve from bank supervision. In the board's judgment, breaking this link could at times impair the Federal Reserve's ability to carry out monetary policy effectively.

A primary objective of bank supervision is to maintain a safe and sound banking system. Supervisors normally seek to accomplish this objective by restraining excessive risk taking by banks. The primary objective of monetary policy is to foster financial conditions that promote economic growth, full employment, and stable prices. The Federal Reserve seeks to accomplish this objective through measures that influence the pace of expansion in money and credit and impact on the cost and availability of funds. While the objectives of supervisory policy and monetary policy are different, they are clearly interrelated. For example, supervisory actions that require banks to augment their capital positions may impact monetary policy by slowing the rate of growth of bank credit or reducing the availability of bank funds to particular borrowers. Moreover, decisions affecting the structure of bank holding companies or international banking organizations will impact on the performance of credit markets and the international flow of tunds. These results, in turn, can influence how financial markets and the balance of payments respond to monetary policy actions.

While supervisory policy can affect monetary policy, monetary policy can also have conse quences for supervisory policy by altering the financial environment in which banks operate. For example, a restrictive monetary policy tends to raise interest rates, producing what may be substantial declines in the market value of certain bank assets. Monetary policy, by restricting the growth in money and credit, can also place banks under liquidity pressure and adversely affect the financial flexibility and prospects of certain bank borrowers. Conversely, during periods of monetary ease, interest rates will tend to decline putting pressure on bank earnings—while banking resources may grow so rapidly that bank capital ratios deteriorate. The conduct of monetary policy thus must always be carried out with the implications for bank performance clearly in mind.

On the basis of its experience, the board is convinced that bank supervision and monetary policy are closely and inevitably linked, and that supervisory policy and monetary policy should not be determined in isolation. One of the virtues in the existing agency structure is that the Federal Reserve is involved in bank supervision. As a result, there is assurance that economic

stabilization considerations enter into the formulation of bank supervisory policy and that bank soundness is taken into account in the formulation of monetary policy.

The board is aware that S. 332 contains certain provisions designed to bring about a degree of coordination between supervisory policy and monetary policy. This would be accomplished by permitting the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board to initiate procedures for rulemaking or the issuance of a policy statement whenever he determines that an action or activity of the Federal Bank Commission may have an impact on monetary policy. The proposed statute would also allow the Chairman of the Federal Reserve to participate in an interpretation or the commencement of an adjudication by the commission. While these provisions in S. 332 give recognition to the close link between bank supervision and monetary policy, the board seriously doubts that they would prove to be effective.

First, S. 332 does not provide for any mechanism assuring that the Federal Reserve is adequately and promptly informed of bank supervisory policy actions about to be taken by the Federal Bank Commission nor of the banking practices—or changes in banking practices—with which they are intended to deal. Without such a mechanism, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve may not become aware of the monetary policy implications of certain commission actions.

Second, even if the Chairman of the Federal Reserve were to call for a rulemaking or policy statement proceeding, there is no assurance that the commission would give sufficient weight to monetary policy considerations. The commission would be responsible solely for maintaining a sound banking system and would be prone to overemphasize this public policy objective. The tendency to downgrade monetary policy considerations would be particularly likely if there were no Federal Reserve Board representation on the commission. Such representation was provided for in the 1977 bill, but not in S. 332. Once the link between bank supervision and monetary policy is broken at the policymaking level, we believe there will be serious risk that monetary policy could be impaired.

The major effect of S. 332, of course, is intended to improve the overall character and quality of bank supervision. But it is by no means clear to the board that agency consolidation, as proposed in S. 332, would be entirely favorable. In fact, there are a number of reasons for believing that consolidation could have perverse consequences.

First, a single agency would be more inclined to abrupt shifts in supervisory policy—shifts that could destabilize the banking system. This is particularly true when, as in S. 332, the chairman is given broad independent power over the activities of the commission's staff and, at the same time, serves at the pleasure of the President. One of the advantages of the present tripartite system is that it contains certain checks and balances that tend to guard against such extreme shifts.

Second, there has been considerable concern expressed by the Congress and others in recent years about regulators becoming captives of the industries that they regulate. While one should not assume that a single bank regulatory agency would necessarily be unduly influenced by the banking industry, agency consolidation would surely tend to increase that risk.

Third, agency consolidation could result in suppressing innovation in the banking industry. One of the prime concerns in many regulated industries is that the sole regulator may, by its behavior, serve to stultify progress in the industry. In contrast, one of the advantages of the tripartite agency structure in banking is the opportunity for experimentation. Under the present system, one regulatory agency can allow a certain degree of experimentation in the offering of new services. When and if it becomes clear that such services are of real benefit to the public and do not involve undue risks, the new practices will inevitably spread throughout the banking system.

Fourth, I believe that the removal of the Federal Reserve from bank supervision, as proposed in S. 332, would adversely affect the quality of bank supervision. As the nation's central bank, the Federal Reserve brings to bank supervision a broad perspective and an in-depth knowledge of the workings of the economy that should not be lost in the development and conduct of supervisory policy.

Proponents of the Federal Bank Commission seem to imply that agency consolidation would produce substantial operating efficiencies. The board doubts that this would occur because almost all current agency operations will still have to be performed by the new commission in order to maintain the present quality of bank supervision. It should be noted that the Comptroller General, after reviewing the existing structure of federal bank regulation, indicated in his report to the Congress that a single agency would not be likely to provide any substantial cost savings.

As indicated earlier, the board believes the banking agencies have made excellent progress in coordinating their policies and procedures over the last several years. But we also recognize that there is still room for further improvement in some areas, such as in the integration of holding company and international examinations. We are confident that this additional coordination can be accomplished through the new examination council and other existing organizational arrangements.

In conclusion, I would like to reiterate the

board's view that passage of S. 332 would not be in the public interest. First, the proposal would replace the present agency structure that has worked well for over four decades with a single agency that would be an unknown. Second, S. 332, by removing the Federal Reserve from bank supervision, would break the link between bank supervision and monetary policy—to the detriment of both. Third, the creation of the Federal Bank Commission at the present time could seriously disrupt the implementation of the major banking legislation passed by the previous Congress. And fourth, though it might create the appearance of more order on a table of organization, the proposed Federal Banking Commission would not save any substantial amount of expenditure, while it would pose all of the risks that an industry-encompassing superagency entails. In sum, the board believes that the better course is to retain the present agency structure and to give the newly created examination council a chance to promote the greater uniformity in examination procedures and supervisory policy that is the principal aim of S. 332.

Statement by Nancy H. Teeters, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, March 2, 1979.

I am pleased to be here today to comment on the administration's proposals for improving control over federal credit programs. I wish to emphasize that my opinions and analyses are my own. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has not taken a position on this issue. However, I have had a continuing interest in this subject for several years. In fact, I personally consider the lack of unified congressional control over federal credit allocation activities as a major loophole in the congressional budget process.

The provision of credit assistance through direct loans, guarantees, and other means is, of course, a legitimate activity of the federal government. It has traditionally been used to provide credit to groups that would otherwise encounter difficulties in obtaining accommodation and/or to enable borrowers to obtain credit at a lower cost than they would otherwise have to pay. During periods of credit stringency, for example, loan guarantees have been of major help to families purchasing homes. The credit-related activities of the government, moreover, have fostered many worthwhile developments in financial markets. The equal monthly installment payments of a home mortgage, for example, are a federal innovation—one so successful that private lenders have fully adopted it.

It should be recognized, however, that while federal credit programs can help promote social objectives that have wide public support, these benefits are not obtained without cost. The lower interest costs paid by groups receiving credit can, in effect, be viewed as a form of subsidy provided by the government. Moreover, since the supply of credit is not unlimited, when

certain groups obtain credit with federal assistance, other groups find it more difficult to do so.

There is general agreement, I believe, that procedures currently being followed to evaluate, authorize, find, and account for the direct lending and credit assistance activities of the federal government are seriously deficient. Because of these deficiencies, the Congress in its deliberations is able to make only an imperfect assessment of the relative value of individual credit programs and is unable to consider the impact of all such programs on the economy's allocation of resources. If "off-budget" credit assistance and preferential tax treatment were given the same attention as direct federal expenditures, for example, the extent of federal assistance to particular sectors would look much different than it does when direct loans are considered alone. The amount of total assistance to agriculture and housing is approximately double the volume of direct loans made to these sectors. Moreover, the citizens of our country are not being properly informed as to the extent of the government's involvement in credit allocation.

The magnitude of federal credit activities has become quite large in recent years, and rapid further growth is in prospect. Altogether, loans by fully owned federal agencies and guaranteed loans outstanding amounted to about \$315 billion at the end of the last fiscal year; just 10 years ago the level was only \$150 billion. In addition, loans held by agencies operating under federal sponsorship totaled \$127 billion at the close of last year, up \$100 billion from the level 10 years earlier. These credit activities, moreover, are expected to continue to grow rapidly, with loans under all programs projected to increase around \$50 billion in fiscal years 1979 and 1980. Such activity is expected to account for about one-sixth of the total net funds raised in credit markets during these periods.

Only a small proportion of this credit activity is recorded as outlays in the unified budget. Loan guarantees, of course, do not involve an expenditure of funds and are thus not reflected in the unified budget, except in the cases where appropriations are required to cover defaulted loans. Credit extended by sponsored credit

agencies is also not recorded in the budget since these agencies are privately owned. However, the liabilities issued by these agencies to finance their operations have an implicit (and in some cases explicit) government guarantee. And although the administration is proposing to include these activities only in a credit information system and not in the credit control system, the Congress should take cognizance of them in the overall evaluation of federal credit assistance. Finally, only a comparatively small proportion of the *direct* lending by fully owned agencies of the government is shown in the budget, because many of their activities have been placed "off budget" by the Congress.

I am personally concerned that "guaranteed credit" has been extended into new areas that are not necessarily appropriate. The original uses of guaranteed credit were in the areas of home and farm mortgages involving large numbers of relatively small loans secured by a physical asset. We now have proposals or programs to fund a large number of unsecured small loans—such as student loans—and other proposals or programs to assist a small number of very large loans—such as "synfuel." The nature of guaranteed credit or proposals for such credit has changed markedly.

Another element that clouds the picture of federal credit activities is the operation of the Federal Financing Bank (FFB). The FFB uses funds borrowed directly from the Treasury to support the lending activities of federal agencies and to acquire certain types of guaranteed loans. In carrying out this function, the FFB has successfully performed the function it was established to do, since it has eliminated the congestion that often occurred when the agencies attempted to finance their operations directly in the credit markets. In the process, however, it has reduced the accountability of federal credit programs, because lending activities are attributed to the FFB rather than to the agency originating the transaction.

These problems of accountability are matched by imperfections in the congressional review process. All credit programs, of course, have been authorized by law and are subject to oversight by the Congress. In the case of some loans made by "on-budget" agencies, this oversight is conducted annually. But for most programs there is no annual review, and authorizations to engage in activities may be given for several years. Also, limits that are set in most cases are stated in terms of net credit extended (or loans guaranteed) rather than in terms of the gross volume of such lending activity.

The proposals developed by the administration to improve the way federal credit programs are controlled in the budget process are generally sound in my view. In particular, I strongly support the proposal for the establishment of a federal-credit control system that would include all credit activities by agencies fully owned by the federal government. This system would be presented by the administration and considered by the Congress in concurrence with the regular budget process, and thus all programs would be subject to annual review and control. I also agree that this process should set an aggregate ceiling on all credit programs and binding limitations on each direct loan and loan-guarantee program. These deliberations should consider how each program will affect the ceiling for all credit programs and how it will integrate with other credit and noncredit programs designed to accomplish specific budget functions.

Moreover, the process of evaluation should be made within the framework of the overall demand for credit. Flow of funds statistics are now available, and projections of "flow of funds" are legion--not as pervasive as projections of gross national product, but plentiful. This will serve to emphasize that the nation's credit supply has limits and will indicate the extent to which it is used by the government, directly or indirectly.

I also agree that a program's gross lending (or extension of guarantees) should be a major concern, as well as the net increase or decrease in total commitment in each functional area. And the proposal that sales of loans or certificates of beneficial ownership in pools of loans should be recorded as a form of borrowing rather than as a negative outlay is also well advised.

I find myself in general agreement with most of the administration's other proposals. In particular, the administration has indicated that, as part of its control system, it is considering a requirement that would call for FFB outlays and budget authority to be attributed to the agency and function where loans are originated. This seems a sensible approach to me.

I recognize that if such an approach were adopted, agencies may be tempted to obtain funds directly in the credit markets. If this were to occur, the benefits being provided by the FFB in reducing agency demands in credit markets would be lost. Thus, it may be necessary to develop guidelines to discourage agencies from guaranteeing obligations to be sold directly to the public, if these obligations are of the same nature as those presently being acquired by the FFB. The inclusion of all loan guarantee programs in the credit-control system and the imposition of limitations on these programs, of course, will reduce incentives to channel loan guarantees away from the FFB. Safeguards will also have to be established to constrain agencies from turning to other arrangements - such as long-term leasing agreements and price-support agreements- which can be used to achieve the same purpose as loan guarantees. The budgetcontrol system being prepared by the administration does not have provisions for the establishment of such constraints, and it will thus be necessary to develop procedures to achieve this objective.

One of the administration's proposals in the scorekeeping area should not be adopted in my view. Rather than continuing to include direct lending of federal agencies in the budget, I believe it would be advisable instead to take these loans out of the unified budget and to record them only in the credit-control budget. Direct loans are not the same as other government outlays, since financial assets are acquired in conjunction with the dispersal of funds. In addition, direct loans appear to have essentially the same implications for economic stabilization, resource allocation, and income distribution as do loan guarantees. In recommending the removal of direct loans from the unified budget, I am, of course, assuming that coincidentally the federal credit budget will be put into place, so that there would be no loss in scrutiny and control over these various programs by the Congress and the administration. Cer tainly, the direct lending programs should *not* be removed from the budget until these alternative budgetary arrangements are working.

While a broad range of questions pertaining to the budgetary treatment of federal credit activities are covered by the administration's proposals, I believe there remain important issues that require further study. I wish to emphasize the great need to develop guidelines for determining the trade-offs between accomplishing social objectives through direct outlays, on the one hand, and through federal credit programs on the other. Similar criteria need to be developed to provide guidance for choosing between giving credit assistance through direct loans or through guarantees. Because guaranteed loans are specifically exempt from the budget control act, there has been a proliferation of questionable "loan guarantee" proposals and programs.

In addition to these broad issues, there is a need to study the appropriate budget treatment of nonrecourse loans, such as those made by the Commodity Credit Corporation to farmers. Since these loans need not be repaid and in many cases are not repaid, there is the ongoing question as to whether these transactions should be treated as outlays or as loans at the time the funds are dispersed. Similar questions as to appropriate budgetary treatment can also be raised in connection with other direct nonrecourse loan programs, especially foreign loans. For example, it is far from clear how to account for funds dispersed as loans under programs of International Development Assistance and International Security Assistance. The ultimate collectibility of such loans depends on international developments, which are, of course, highly uncertain.

Given the importance of these unanswered questions, I believe it would be advisable to appoint a new budget commission to study these questions and other related issues. Such a com-

mission study would not, in my view, create any need to delay the implementation of the administration's proposals. Rather, it would be advisable to push ahead and set up the new control system, and then make amendments to this system should the commission study indicate that procedures need to be augmented or changed.

It is to be hoped that establishment of an effective framework for appraisal, control, and scorekeeping of federal credit programs will lead to proper evaluation of new programs and activities to prevent such activities from falling outside the annual budget process. Past experience, however, suggests that the mind of man is highly inventive in this regard. Whatever restrictions are put on fiscal activities, or credit allocation, ways will be found to circumvent them. Thus, I would further recommend that the Congress fully consider the advisability of establishing formal rules to require the reconvening, at regular intervals, of a budgetary commission to review conceptual and measurement problems that may have developed with respect to the unified budget and the credit budget.

Allocation of credit either directly through government loans or indirectly by federal guarantees (regardless of whatever inventive name is applied) falls between the traditional concepts of "fiscal" and "monetary" policy. It is a gray area between the two. The decision, I believe, is basically a fiscal one, but if the amount of priority credit assigned is too large a part of the total available supply of funds, there inevitably will be impacts on general interest rates and the conduct of monetary policy. Clearly the allocation of credit on better-than-market terms is a federal activity that creates a preferred status for certain groups in the credit market. Government has a responsibility to make sure that this activity is serving the public interest.

Statement by J. Charles Partee, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Domestic Monetary Policy of the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, U.S. House of Representatives, March 5, 1979.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear today to comment for the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System on the two bills the subcommittee is considering that deal with the authority of the U.S. Treasury to borrow directly from the Federal Reserve System. H.R. 2281 would extend the existing authority for five years. H.R. 421 would substitute instead a new authority that permits the Treasury to meet its emergency cash needs by borrowing securities from the Federal Reserve for resale in the secondary market.

Last June I met with this committee to explain why the board strongly supported a bill then being considered, which was similar to H.R. 2281 in that it called for a simple extension of the system's existing authority to purchase U.S. government obligations directly from the Treasury in amounts up to \$5 billion. Because the board's view on this issue has not changed, I would like to resubmit that earlier testimony for the record. The major points offered then remain equally applicable today.

Since the Treasury now often relies on shortdated cash management bills to cover low points in its cash balance prior to key income tax payment dates, the direct-borrowing authority of the Treasury has come to be used only infrequently. In fact, since 1975, the authority has been activated only once. The Treasury had made more use of the facility in earlier years, usually to offset cash drains just before funds were available from quarterly income tax payments. But the direct-borrowing authority is still important as a standby facility to be used in emergency situations. Such an arrangement provides assurance that the Treasury will be able to honor its commitments without delay if unexpected developments suddenly shrink its cash holdings. The Treasury, at its own initiative, can quickly arrange to borrow from the Federal Reserve, even on the same day of the request.

It continues to be the judgment of the board that this direct-borrowing authority has functioned well whenever needed and that the facility contains prudent safeguards and limits. In addition to the \$5 billion limit on drawings contained in the legislation, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) has imposed an operating ceiling of \$2 billion on purchases that can be made by the manager of the System Open Market Account without special authorization from the FOMC.

H.R. 421 would substitute a more elaborate

technique for providing the Treasury with funds in the event of an unexpected need. In such instances, this alternative proposal would permit the Treasury to borrow securities from the Federal Reserve for reselling into the open market. The Treasury would be required to repay the borrowed securities within six months. The bill, as now written, does not limit the amount of securities that could be borrowed, nor does it specify whether the value of the securities borrowed would represent an addition to the public debt-two issues that require clarification. We assume that it is not the intent of the bill to give the Treasury a way of circumventing the federal debt ceiling through large-scale borrowing and resale of securities from the Federal Reserve's portfolio. And we are concerned about the apparently open-ended grant of power to the Secretary of the Treasury to borrow securities from the Federal Reserve without prior consultation or approval from the FOMC.

Even after these questions are resolved, however, the proposed alternative to the direct borrowing authority does not appear as desirable as the present arrangement. Since Treasury cash-management bills can be announced, offered, and delivered within a few days under present debt management procedures, what the Treasury appears to us to need in addition is a backstop facility that permits it to acquire a sizable volume of funds immediately without resort to the market.

If the Treasury were to meet such needs by borrowing securities from the Federal Reserve and then reselling them in the market, it might well be forced to pay a substantial premium over its usual borrowing rate. The action would probably take market participants by surprise and might have to be accomplished fairly late in the day. In highly unsettled market circumstances, moreover, the Treasury could find it difficult or impossible to sell all of the securities needed. We understand that the objective of the bill is to insure that Treasury borrowing always be subjected to the discipline of the market. While the board endorses such a concept as a general rule, in emergency cases of the sort contemplated here, that test could well be abnormally unfavorable and not in the public interest.

The existing direct-borrowing authority of the Treasury was established in 1942 when wartime

^{1.} Federal Reserve Bulletin, vol. 64 (July 1978), pp. 542-43.

financing required that the federal government raise enormous volumes of funds through securities markets. The authority was needed to provide assurance that the Treasury at all times could meet its expanding obligations. Under any future conditions of national emergency occasioned by war or natural disaster, the Treasury might again face unanticipated needs for immediate funds at a time when securities markets are in general disarray. While the Congress probably would be in a position to reestablish an emergency-borrowing authority quickly in such circumstances, it seems far more efficient to maintain the existing standby direct-borrow-

ing procedures in order to assure the Treasury the capacity to finance—for at least a limited period—without the necessity of such congressional action.

In conclusion, the board sees no need to introduce a new mechanism for the Treasury to raise temporary funds since the present direct-borrowing authority has functioned effectively. Instead, we believe that the Federal Reserve System should be empowered to continue lending directly to the Treasury under the carefully drafted constraints of the current authority. Favorable action on H.R. 2281 will achieve this objective, and the board endorses the bill.

Announcements

REGULATION O: AMENDMENT

The Federal Reserve Board on March 6, 1979, issued a final regulation implementing new section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act, a part of Title I of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978 (FIRA). Regulation O (Loans to Executive Officers of Member Banks) applies to all member banks of the Federal Reserve System, including all national banks.

The board said that because the final regulation differs in some important respects from the proposed regulation issued for public comment on December 28, 1978, an additional 60-day comment period will be allowed.

Section 22(h) applies to loans by a member bank to the executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders of (1) the member bank, (2) the member bank's parent bank holding company, and (3) any other subsidiaries of the parent bank holding company. A "principal shareholder" of the bank is defined as any individual or company that controls more than 10 percent of any class of voting shares of the bank (18 percent in certain circumstances). Section 22(h) also applies to related interests of bank officials. Related interests are companies controlled by, and political or campaign committees controlled by or benefiting, bank officials.

Section 22(h) establishes the following four requirements for loans by member banks to bank officials or their related interests:

- 1. An aggregate lending limit of 10 percent of the bank's capital and surplus on loans (subject to certain exceptions) to any of its executive officers or principal shareholders and their related interests.
- 2. Prohibition of payment by the bank of an overdraft by an executive officer or director.
- 3. A requirement that every extension of credit by the bank to a bank official or to a related interest be made on substantially the

same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons not associated with the bank and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

4. A requirement that every extension of credit by the bank to a bank official or any related interest that would exceed \$25,000 in the aggregate be approved in advance by a majority of the bank's entire board of directors, with the interested party abstaining.

The board's proposed regulation to implement section 22(h) was issued on December 28, 1978, and the comment period expired on January 29, 1979. More than 200 letters of comment were received.

Significant changes from the proposed regulation are:

- 1. A rebuttal presumption of control is included in addition to a definition of control.
- 2. A member bank's loans to its parent bank holding company or the nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company are excluded from the 10 percent lending limit of section 22(h), since such loans are currently subject to a 20 percent limit under section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act.
- 3. The requirement for prior approval by the board of directors of lending to bank officials may be satisfied when the extension of credit is made under a line of credit previously approved by the board.
- 4. Capital and surplus of a member bank for purposes of determining lending limits is defined to include subordinated notes and debentures.
- 5. Inadvertent overdrafts of a nominal amount that are outstanding for a short period of time have been excluded from the overdraft prohibition.
- 6. Term loans (including residential mortgage loans) made prior to March 10, 1979, have been exempted from the deadlines for compli-

ance with the lending limit and may be repaid in accordance with their original repayment schedules.

In any enforcement action under section 22(h) during the first 60 days of the statute's effectiveness, the agencies will consider the complexities of the statute and the brief period of time between publication of the regulation and March 10, 1979. The agencies have adopted this policy in recognition of the fact that some may inadvertently violate the regulation before they have developed procedures for compliance with the amended Regulation O.

REGULATION Y: AMENDMENT

The Federal Reserve Board on February 26, 1979, announced an amendment to its Regulation Y (Bank Holding Companies) to permit bank holding companies to sell money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds to the public at their nonbank offices. The Board of Governors fixed a maximum face value of \$1,000 on the money orders sold at offices of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries. At the same time, it declined to adopt an amendment that was earlier proposed that would have permitted bank holding companies to sell variable-denominated instruments and financial management courses. The board announced that it would consider specific proposals by bank holding companies to furnish consumer-oriented financial management courses on a case-by-case basis.

In a related action the board announced its approval of an application by Citicorp, New York, to sell money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds and to provide consumer-oriented financial management courses at eight offices in Utah of its subsidiary, Citicorp Person-to-Person Financial Centers.

REGULATION V: REVISION

The Federal Reserve Board simplified its Regulation V (Loan Guarantees for Defense Production), consolidated related rules into the regulation, and revised the interest rate and guarantee fee structures applying to such defense production loans, effective February 12, 1979.

The board had invited public comment,

through April 30, 1979, as to whether the V-loan program should be restructured or eliminated. The current revision of Regulation V is based on the existing regulation pending a decision about the V-loan program.

Regulation V grew out of a program begun in World War II to facilitate production or other operations for national defense. The Federal Reserve acts as fiscal agent and also sets the maximum rate of interest to be charged by a financing institution and establishes the fees to be charged by government agencies for making guarantees.

Before issuing its revised regulation, the board had requested and received comment from the government agencies guaranteeing loans. The revised regulation takes account of these comments.

The revision of Regulation V is part of a review of all Federal Reserve regulations to determine whether a regulation, in whole or in part, is required by law; to assess the costs and benefits of each regulation; to ascertain if there are more desirable nonregulatory alternatives; to determine whether the board's regulations should be simplified; and to consider whether to make recommendations to the Congress for modernizing changes in the statutes underlying Federal Reserve regulations.

The principal elements of the revision of Regulation V are:

- 1. Simplification and streamlining of the language.
- 2. Consolidation into the regulation of administrative rules not previously available in published form.
- 3. A change in the maximum rate of interest that a financing institution may charge for a V-loan, from a fixed maximum rate (7½ percent) to the rate the institution currently charges its most creditworthy business customers for loans of comparable maturity (unless the governmental guarantor decides that a particular loan bearing a higher rate of interest is necessary for national defense purposes).
- 4. Modification of the scale used for calculating the fee that a guarantor may charge for guaranteeing a loan. The guarantee fee runs from 10 percent of the base interest rate (the rate used for calculating guarantee fees) for the guaranteed portion of a loan of which 70 percent

or less is guaranteed, to 40 to 50 percent of the base interest rate on a loan of which 95 percent or more is guaranteed. The base interest rate is set by the guaranteeing agency and is to be 6 percent or more; previously, it was a flat 6 percent. The base rate may not be varied from borrower to borrower. For example, for a V-loan that is 70 percent guaranteed and that has a base interest rate of 10 percent, the guaranter could charge a fee of 1 percent of the interest on the guaranteed part of the loan.

The use of V-loans has declined from a peak at the end of 1952 of \$979 million outstanding of loans, of which a portion was guaranteed, to \$1 million outstanding at the end of 1978; current authorization is for \$30 million. Only six new V-loans have been made since 1971.

In the light of this record, the board said:

These facts suggest that the loan guarantee program may have outlived its usefulness. Moreover, there may be more efficient or economical means of performing both guaranteeing and fiscal agency functions. For these reasons, the Board might consider recommending legislative or other changes in the V-loan program.

The board noted also that the Defense Production Act authorizing the program will expire on September 30, 1979, unless extended by the Congress.

CRA ANSWERS

On January 8, 1979, the four federal financial institutions regulatory agencies responsible for enforcing the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) issued a staff paper to answer frequently received inquires about the act, the implementing regulations, and the CRA examination procedures. They noted additional questions and answers would be forthcoming, and on March 1, 1979, issued those questions and answers.

As stated in the January 8 paper, the answers to these commonly asked questions "should not be regarded as official interpretations. Their purpose is solely to be helpful to financial insti-

tutions and the public by providing useful background information. . . . [F]inancial institutions should focus on the spirit of the legislation and try to avoid narrow, legalistic interpretations of the legislation or the regulations."

The first set of questions and answers provided staff guidance on the subjects of community delineation, contents of CRA statements, CRA public notices, and maintenance of files of public comments and recent CRA statements. The second set provides staff guidance on assessment of institutions records of performance under the CRA, agency encouragement of institutions under CRA, available sanctions under CRA, and impact of CRA on holding companies and their affiliates.

CHANGE IN MMC RULES

A change in the rules under which financial institutions issue six-month money market certificates was announced on March 8, 1979, by federal regulators.

The changes, which went into effect March 15, 1979, will:

- 1. Prohibit the use of compounding on money market certificates (MMCs) issued on or after March 15 by insured commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, and credit unions.
- 2. Eliminate the 1/4 point interest differential on MMCs between thrift institutions and commercial banks when the ceiling rate is 9 percent or more. The full differential will be in effect when the ceiling rate is 8¾ percent or less. When the six-month bill rate is between 8¾ and 9 percent, thrift institutions may pay a maximum 9 percent while commercial banks may pay up to the actual discount rate for six-month bills.

These actions were announced jointly by the four regulatory agencies, with each taking appropriate regulatory action after consultation with the interagency coordinating committee that includes representatives of each agency and the U.S. Treasury Department. The agencies are actively reviewing the terms on other types of deposits with a view toward providing improved savings opportunities for the small saver.

The action taken is in further support of efforts to restrain inflation. The changes are

¹ The government agencies supervising federally insured depositary institutions are as follows: Federal Home Loan Bank Board (supervisor of savings and loan associations and savings and loan holding companies); Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (supervisor of state chartered commercial and mutual savings banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System); Comptroller of the Currency (supervisor of national banks); and Federal Reserve Board (supervisor of state-chartered member banks and of bank holding companies).

designed to reduce somewhat the cost of money market certificates and to moderate the flow of funds into thrift institutions in the current inflationary environment. While this action will affect the savings flows of thrift institutions, it will permit them to continue to remain competitive in attracting funds for housing. It will help reduce cost pressures on such institutions and over the longer run assure continued availability of mortgage credit.

MMCs were created last June 1 to help maintain a flow of funds into the mortgage market. At the end of January, \$104.4 billion in such certificates were outstanding. MMCs are issued in minimum denominations of \$10,000 with a 26-week maturity. The maximum rate of interest that may be paid on MMCs is tied to the discount rate (auction average) for sixmonth Treasury bills.

At present, the ceiling rate for banks on MMCs is equal to the Treasury bill rate. The ceiling for thrift institutions is 1/4 of a percent higher.

As of the end of January, \$55 billion in MMCs were outstanding at savings and loan associations (12.9 percent of total deposits); \$31.9 billion at commercial banks (7.9 percent of small-denomination time and savings deposits); \$17.5 billion at mutual savings banks (12.3 percent of deposits); and \$0.6 billion at credit unions (about 1 percent of deposits). Without this action, further substantial increases in these percentages could have been anticipated.

Under the change, institutions may advertise an *annual* effective rate of interest for MMCs based upon reinvestment after six months of both principal and interest, if the ads comply fully with guidelines that were previously issued. Advertisements must also state that federal regulations prohibit compounding of interest.

Proposed Actions and Withdrawal of Proposal

The Federal Reserve Board on February 14, 1979, proposed amendments to its regulations

governing corporations engaged in international banking and financial operations, known as Edge corporations. These amendments are designed to implement section 3 of the International Banking Act of 1978.

The board also proposed a series of amendments to its regulations governing the international operations of U.S. banks. The proposals would consolidate existing regulations in this area and would formalize a number of board policy positions that have previously been developed on a case-by-case basis. Comment will be received until April 15, 1979.

The Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation published for comment on March 8, 1979, proposed regulations to implement the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978 (FIRA). Titles VIII and IX of the Federal Reserve proposed regulation applies to all member banks, including national banks. Comments must be received by April 20, 1979.

The Federal Reserve Board withdrew on March 8, 1979, a proposed Statement of Customer Rights under the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978. The board acted after Congress on March 7 repealed a section of the act that would have required financial institutions to notify their customers of privacy rights.

System Membership: Admission of State Banks

Florida

The following banks were admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the period February 16 through March 15, 1979:

MiamiSunset Commercial Bank

Utah
Salt Lake City Western Home Bank

Virginia
CovingtonState Bank of
the Alleghenies
ForestCommunity Bank of Forest

Washington
SeattleLiberty Bank of Seattle

Law Department

Statutes, regulations, interpretations, and decisions

Amendments to Regulation D and Regulation M

The Board of Governors has adopted an amendment which transfers provisions regarding reserve requirements for foreign branches of member banks from Regulation M (Foreign Activities of National Banks) to Regulation D (Reserves of Member Banks).

Effective February 14, 1979, Section 213.7 of Regulation M is deleted. Section 204.5 of Regulation D is amended by adding the following subsections:

Section 204.5 Reserve Requirements.

(d) Foreign branch transactions with parent bank. During each week of the four-week period beginning May 22, 1975, and during each week of each successive four-week ("maintenance") period, a member bank having one or more foreign branches shall maintain with the Reserve Bank of its district, as a reserve against its foreign branch deposits, a daily average balance equal to zero per cent of the daily average total of

- (1) net balances due from its domestic offices such branches, and
- (2) assets (including participations) held by such branches which were acquired from its domestic offices (other than assets representing credit extended to persons not residents of the United States), during the four-week computation period ending on the Wednesday fifteen days before the beginning of the maintenance period.
- (e) Foreign branch credit extended to United States residents. During each week of the fourweek period beginning May 22, 1975, and during each week of each successive four week maintenance period, a member bank having one or more foreign branches shall maintain with the Reserve Bank of its district, as a reserve against its foreign branch deposits, a daily average balance, equal to zero per cent of the daily average credit outstanding from such branches to United States resi

dents 13 (other than assets acquired and net balances due from its domestic offices) during the four-week computation period ending on the Wednesday fifteen days before the beginning of the maintenance period: Provided, That this paragraph does not apply to credit extended (1) in the aggregate amount of \$100,000 or less to any United States resident, (2) by a foreign branch which at no time during the computation period had credit outstanding to United States residents exceeding \$1 million, (3) to enable the borrower to comply with the requirements of the Office of Foreign Direct Investments, Department of Commerce, 14 (4) under binding commitments entered into before May 17, 1973, or (5) to an institution that will be maintaining reserves on such credit under subsection (c) of this section or § 211.3(g) of Regulation K.

AMENDMENT TO REGULATION Y

The Board of Governors has adopted an amendment to Regulation Y to add the sale of money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds to the list of those activities permissible for bank holding companies.

Effective April, 2, 1979, section 225.4(a) is amended by adding the following new paragraph (13) immediately following § 225.4(a)(12):

Section 225.4—Nonbanking Activities

(a) Activities closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks.

⁽a) Any individual residing (at the time the credit is extended in any State of the United States or the District of Columbia: (b) any corporation, partnership, association or other entity organized therein of any other entity wherever organized. Credit extended to a foreign branch, office, subsidiary, affiliate or other foreign establishment ("foreign affiliate") controlled by one or more such domestic corporations will not be deemed to be credit extended to a United States resident it the proceeds will be used in its foreign business or that of other foreign affiliates of the controlling domestic corporation(s).

¹⁴ The branch may in good faith rely on the borrower's certification that the funds will be so used.

(13) The sale at retail of money order

(13) The sale at retail of money orders having a face value of not more than \$1,000 and travelers checks and the sale of U.S. savings bonds.

REVISION OF REGULATION V

The Board of Governors has revised its Regulation V (Loan Guarantees for Defense Production) to simplify and consolidate the board's rules concerning the V-loan program in accordance with its Regulatory Improvement Project.

Comments should be submitted in writing to be received by April 30, 1979, and should be sent to the Secretary of the Board, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. They should include the Docket No. R-0201.

Effective February 20, 1979, Regulation V is revised to read as follows:

Part 1505---

Loan Guarantees for Defense Production

Sec.

1505.1 Authority, purpose, and scope.

1505.2 Processing of loan guarantee applications.

1505.3 Federal Reserve Bank fees and charges.1505.4 Maximum rate of interest, guarantee fees, commitment fees, and prepayment penalties.

Section 1505.1— Authority, Purpose, and Scope

- (a) Authority. This Part comprises the regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (referred to in this Part as the "Board") issued pursuant to Executive Order No. 10480 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 962; reprinted as amended following 50 App. U.S.C. 2153 (1970)) (referred to in this Part as the "Order"), implementing the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 App. U.S.C. 2061 et seq. (1970)) (referred to in this Part as the "Act").
- (b) Purpose and Scope. The purpose of the Act, the Order, and this Part is to facilitate the financing of contracts or other operations deemed necessary to national defense production. This Part applies

to private financing institutions, located in the United States or in any of its Territories or possessions, that make loans for defense production that are guaranteed by the federal departments or agencies designed by the Act or Order* (commonly referred to as "V-loans").

Section 1505.2— Processing of Loan Guarantee Applications

- (a) Submission of applications. Any private financing institution may submit to the Federal Reserve Bank of its District an application for a guarantee of a loan to a borrower determined to be eligible in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section. The application form is available through the Federal Reserve Bank.
- (b) Determination of eligibility of borrower. To be eligible for a V-loan, a borrower must be seeking financing for a contract, subcontract, or other operation deemed by the appropriate guranteeing federal department or agency to be necessary to expedite production and deliveries or services under a Government contract for the procurement of materials or the performance of services for the national defense. A determination that the borrower is eligible shall be made by the guaranteeing department or agency on the basis of information contained in the loan guarantee application and any further information that it needs. No loan shall be guaranteed until that determination is made.
- (c) Lender's rates and fees. No application for a loan guarantee shall be considered where the loan agreement is inconsistent with the rate of interest, guarantee fees, commitment fees, and prepayment penalties prescribed by the Board in section 1505.4 of this Part (the Supplement).
- (d) Consideration of applications. Each application by a financing institution shall be subject to approval by the guaranteeing department or agency or, to the extent that the department or agency prescribes, by the Federal Rese ve Bank to which the application is submitted.
- (1) If a guaranteeing department or agency is to decide the application, the Federal Reserve Bank shall make a recommendation for action on the application before the department or agency acts. The Federal Reserve Bank shall transmit the

^{*}The names of the federal departments or agencies may be obtained from any Federal Reserve Bank.

application and its recommendation, together with all necessary supporting information, through the Board to that department or agency. If the department or agency approves the application and transmits its authorization through the Board on the Board's standard form, the Federal Reserve Bank, acting as fiscal agent of the United States on behalf of the department or agency, shall execute and deliver the guarantee (Board's standard "V-Loan Guarantee Agreement" form) to the applicant in accordance with the terms of the authorization.

- (2) If a Federal Reserve Bank is to decide the application, it shall do so without submitting the application to the guaranteeing department or agency for prior approval; but the application shall be subject first to the department's or agency's determination of the borrower's eligibility. If the Federal Reserve Bank approves the application, it shall execute and deliver the guarantee (Board's standard "V-Loan Guarantee Agreement" form) to the applicant and promptly notify the department or agency.
- (e) Basis of Federal Reserve Bank decision. In making a recommendation or deciding an application as described in paragraph (d) of this section, a Federal Reserve Bank shall consider whether the financing arrangements afford the guaranteeing department or agency the best available protection against possible financial loss consistent with obtaining national defense production expeditiously.
- (f) Federal Reserve Bank liability. In arranging for or making any guarantee on behalf of any guaranteeing department or agency, no Federal Reserve Bank shall have any responsibility or accountability except as fiscal agent.
- (g) Other forms and procedures. From time to time the Board, after consulting guaranteeing departments or agencies, may prescribe other forms and procedures related to the V-loan program. These forms and procedural rules are to be made available through the Federal Reserve Banks.

Section 1505.3— Federal Reserve Bank Fees and Charges

Each Federal Reserve Bank shall be reimbursed by each guaranteeing department or agency in the usual manner for all expenses and losses incurred by the Reserve Bank in acting as agent on behalf of the department or agency. Regardless of any other provision of law, such expenses shall include attorneys' fees and expenses of litigation. If a Federal Reserve Bank advances its own funds to purchase a guaranteed portion of a loan, when authorized to do so as fiscal agent by the guaranteeing department or agency, it shall charge interest on its advances at the current regular discount rate.

Section 1505.4-

Maximum Rate of Interest, Guarantee Fees, Commitment Fees, and Prepayment Penalties

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System prescribes the following charges for loans guaranteed pursuant to the Defense Production Act of 1950 ("V-loans"):

- (a) Maximum rate of interest. The maximum rate of interest that a financing institution may charge a borrower for a V-loan is the rate that institution currently charges its most creditworthy business customers for loans of comparable maturity, unless the guaranteeing department or agency determines that the particular loan at a higher rate of interest is necessary for the purposes of the Defense Production Act of 1950.
- (b) Guarantee fees. The schedule of fees for guaranteeing V-loans is as follows:

Percentage	Guarantee Fee (Percentage of interest payable by borrower
of Loan	on guaranteed
Guaranteed	portion of loan
70 or less	10
75	15
80	20
85	25
90	30
95	35
Over 95	40-50

In any case in which the rate of interest on the loan exceeds 6 per cent, the guarantee fee shall be computed as though the interest rate were 6 per cent. However, at its discretion, a guaranteeing department or agency may increase the 6 per cent ceiling rate to a higher rate (not to exceed the actual rate of interest charge); but if it does so, the policy in this regard must be applied consistently with respect to all applications received while the policy is in effect.

(c) Commitment fees. Any commitment fee charged a borrower for a V-loan shall not exceed

½ of 1 per cent per annum, based on the average daily unused balance of the maximum principal amount of the loan. That fee may not begin to accrue prior to the date on which the committed funds are first available to the borrower according to the terms of the loan agreement or other similar financing arrangement. In any such case, the financing institution shall pay to the guaranteeing department or agency, a percentage of the commitment fee (1) based on the guaranteed portion of the loan, and (2) equal to the percentage of the interest on the loan that is payable as a guarantee fee by the financing institution.

- (d) Prepayment penalties. (1) In the case of a V-loan made primarily for working capital purposes, a financing institution may not charge a penalty for prepayment of the loan but may recover out-of-pocket expenses.
- (2) In the case of a V-loan made for the purpose of financing expansion, provision for a prepayment penalty may be made in the loan agreement if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) the loan has a maturity of 5 years or more;
- (ii) the prepayment penalty shall not exceed the rate of interest to be paid by the borrower according to the terms of the loan;
- (iii) provision is made for a graduated decrease in the prepayment penalty as the loan approaches maturity; and
- (iv) the loan agreement explicitly provides that the prepayment penalty shall not be applicable in the event the loan is refinanced by or consolidated with another loan that is made or guaranteed by the federal government or any of its agencies.

AMENDMENTS TO RULES REGARDING PUBLIC OBSERVATION OF MEETINGS

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has amended its regulations relating to public observation of meetings to reflect its current policy of (1) making available copies of staff documents underlying board discussion of agenda items to persons attending open meetings; (2) making available to the public electronic recordings of open board meetings; and (3) providing a mailing list of persons who wish to be notified personally and in advance about open Board meetings. In addition, the amendments would provide procedures for requests that the board open to the public a previously announced closed meeting.

Effective February 26, 1979, section 12 C.F.R. 261b.4 is amended and section 261b.8(f) is added to read as follows:

Section 261b.4—
Meetings Open to Public Observation.

- (a) Except as provided in section 261b.5 of this Part, every portion of every meeting of the agency shall be open to public observation.
- (b) Copies of staff documents considered in connection with agency discussion of agenda items for a meeting that is open to public observation shall be made available for distribution to members of the public attending the meeting, in accordance with the provisions of 12 C.F.R. Part 261.
- (c) The agency will maintain a complete electronic recording adequate to record fully the proceedings of each meeting or portion of a meeting open to public observation. Cassettes will be available for listening in the Freedom of Information Office, and copies may be ordered for \$5 per cassette by telephoning or by writing Freedom of Information Office, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.
- (d) The agency will maintain mailing lists of names and addresses of all persons who wish to receive copies of agency announcements of meetings open to public observation. Requests for announcements may be made by telephoning or by writing Freedom of Information Office, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Section 261b.8 - Meetings Closed to Public Observation Under Regular Procedures.

(f) Any person may request in writing to the Secretary of the Board that an announced closed meeting, or portion of the meeting, be held open to public observation. The Secretary, or in his or her absence, the Acting Secretary of the Board, will transmit the request to the members of the Board and upon the request of any member a recorded vote will be taken whether to open such meeting to public observation.

* * * * *

BANK HOLDING COMPANY
AND BANK MERGER ORDERS
ISSUED BY THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Orders Under Section 3 of Bank Holding Company Act

Corning Investment Company, Inc., Atchison, Kansas

Order Approving
Formation of a Bank Holding Company

Corning Investment Company, Inc.. Atchison, Kansas, C'Applicant'') has applied for the board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company through acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Farmers State Bank of Corning, Corning, Kansas ('Bank'').

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the application and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, a nonoperating corporation, was formed for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank. Bank with deposits of \$1.7 million 1 is one of the smaller banking organizations in the state, and holds 0.015 percent of deposits in commercial banks in that State. Bank is the smallest of eight banks in the relevant banking market 2 with 2.6 percent of the market's deposits.

Principals of Applicant are affiliated with three other Kansas bank holding companies and their subsidiary banks. One of these banks, The First National Bank of Goff, Goff, Kansas ("Goff Bank"), is located approximately five miles from Bank in the relevant banking market. However, the combined deposits of Goff Bank and Bank total \$4.4 million and represent 6.7 percent of total market deposits. Given this small market share and the number of banking alternatives in the market, it does not appear that approval of the application would have any significant effect on competition

in the market.³ Accordingly, competitive considerations are consistent with approval.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, which are dependent upon those of Bank, appear to be satisfactory. While Applicant will incur some debt in connection with the proposed transaction, it appears that Applicant has sufficient financial flexibility to meet its annual debt-servicing requirements without adversely affecting Bank. The financial and managerial resources of each of the other bank holding companies and their subsidiary banks with which Applicant's principals are affiliated are also regarded as satisfactory. Therefore, the considerations relating to banking factors in regard to this proposal are consistent with approval of the application.

Upon consummation of the proposal, Applicant proposes to cause Bank to provide a number of new services to its customers. In particular, Bank will offer passbook savings and certificates of deposit with maturities longer than one year. In addition, Applicant proposes to offer installment loans and institute Small Business Administration and Farmers Home Administration guaranteed loans, as well as install safe deposit boxes. Applicant will also initiate an advertising program in order to inform its community about the services offered by Bank, and will seek to determine the credit needs of members of the community not presently serviced by Bank. Furthermore, Bank's record of performance in serving the credit needs of its community has significantly improved since principals of Applicant have been associated with Bank. Accordingly, convenience and needs considerations are consistent with approval of the application. In view of Applicant's commitments to improve Bank's performance in meeting the credit needs of its community, it has been deter-

¹ Ali banking data are as of December 31, 1977.

² The banking market is approximated by Nemaha County.

³ The president and vice president of Applicant serve on the board of Nemaha Investment Company, Goff, Kansas, which owns 81.8 percent of Gotl Bank. Title II of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978 ("FIRA") sets forth prohibitions against certain interlocks between management officials of depository institutions, in cluding commercial banks and "depository holding companies", and further provides that these prohibitions will not apply until 1988 to certain interlocks that existed on the date of its enactment. Upon acquisition of Bank, an interlock would exist between Applicant and Nemaha Investment Company which would not quality for the grandfather exemption in FIRA. The interlock relationship may prove to be inconsistent with regulations implementing Title II of FIRA. Applicant will be expected to conform its management structure to the requirements of the regulations adopted by the board.

mined that the proposed transaction is in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be consummated (a) before the thirtieth day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board of Governors or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Secretary of the Board, under delegated authority, effective February 1, 1979.

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, [SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

Credit and Commerce American Holdings, N.V., Netherlands, Antilles
Credit and Commerce American Investment,

Order Dismissing
Bank Holding Company Application

B.V., Netherlands

Credit and Commerce American Holdings, N.V., Netherlands Antilles, and Credit and Commerce American Investment, B.V., Netherlands, have applied for the board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) for formation of bank holding companies by acquiring up to 100 percent of the voting shares of Financial General Bankshares, Inc. ("FG"), Washington, D.C., a multistate bank holding company controlling banks in the District of Columbia, and the states of Maryland, New York, Tennessee and Virginia.

On December 14, 1978, notice of this application was published in the Federal Register (43 Fed. Reg. 58431). Additionally, in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(b)), notice of receipt of this application was duly given to the appropriate supervisory authorities, including the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of the commonwealth of Virginia, the Commissioner of Banking of the state of Tennessee, and the Bank Commissioner of the state of Maryland. The Bank Commissioner of the state of Maryland notified the board that he had referred this matter to the Maryland Attorney General for an opinion regarding the permissibility of the proposal under Maryland banking law, and the current management of FG has protested the application. Furthermore, within the statutory time limit, the Virginia and Tennessee Commissioners each submitted to the Board in writing statements expressing disapproval of the application. In light of the submissions on behalf of Virginia and Tennessee, the board is required by section 3(b) of the Act to schedule a hearing on the application.

Before ordering a hearing on this application, however, the board determined that it would be appropriate to consider whether Applicants' acquisition of FG would violate certain provisions of Maryland law relating to the ownership and control of banking institutions in that state or the inter-state banking prohibition of section 3(d) of the Act.¹ A board finding that the proposed acquisition contravened any of these provisions would preclude approval of the application,² and, accordingly, render a hearing unnecessary.

Turning first to a consideration of Maryland law, Article 11, Section 72 of the Maryland Code ("section 72") makes it unlawful for any banking institution organized under the laws of Maryland to have an "affiliate" unless that Maryland banking institution "intends to have an affiliate." The term "affiliate" includes any corporation that controls one or more banking institutions by owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, a majority of the voting shares of one or more banking institutions. Upon consummation of the proposed transaction, Applicants would each own or control a majority of the voting shares of FG's Maryland subsidiary bank, First American Bank of Maryland, Silver Spring. Applicants would, therefore, qualify as "affiliates" of First American Bank of Maryland under Maryland law.

On January 26, 1979, acting pursuant to a request from the Maryland Bank Commissioner, the Attorney General for the state of Maryland issued an opinion interpreting section 72. The Attorney General, after reviewing briefs and al-

¹ Section 3(d) of the Act provides in relevant part that: "no application shall be approved [by the board] under this section which will permit any bank holding company or any subsidiary thereof to acquire, directly or indirectly, any voting shares of, interest in, or all or substantially all of the assets of any additional bank located outside of the state in which the operations of such bank holding company's banking subsidiaries were principally conducted on July 1, 1966, or the date on which such company became a bank holding company, whichever is later. . . ." (emphasis added).

² Under Whitney National Bank in Jefferson Parish v. Bank of New Orleans and Trust Co., 379 U.S. 411 (1965), the board is prohibited from approving a proposal that would violate state law.

lowing oral presentation on this issue by Applicants and FG, concluded that Applicants would become "affiliates" of First American Bank of Maryland upon consummation of the proposal and that such a relationship would be statutorily prohibited unless the Maryland bank "intends to have an affiliate." The Maryland Attorney General reasoned that, since First American Bank of Maryland had not indicated its intent to have an affiliate (but rather had opposed its proposed acquisition by Applicants),³ the proposed acquisition of FG would be in violation of section 72.

In interpreting a particular state law, the board considers the statute itself, any judicial interpretations of that state law and, in the absence of such an interpretation, opinions of the state's Attorney General or relevant state administrative agency. The Maryland courts have not issued a judicial interpretation of section 72. However, the Maryland Bank Commissioner requested the Attorney General to issue an opinion on the application of that section to the proposed transaction. The board has examined the Maryland Attorney General's opinion regarding section 72, as well as submissions on this issue by Applicants and FG, and has found that opinion to be well reasoned, consistent with the statutory language of that section, and not inconsistent with either the apparent intent of the statute or its legislative history. Therefore, the board concludes that because FG's Maryland bank subsidiary has not indicated its intent to have an affiliate, the indirect acquisition of First American Bank of Maryland through Applicants' acquisition of FG would be in violation of section 72. Accordingly, the board finds that it is precluded by law from approving this application.4

Turning next to a consideration of the Federal Bank Holding Company Act, section 3(d) of the Act prohibits the board from approving an appli-

cation that would permit a bank holding company to acquire, directly or indirectly, any voting shares of, or interest in, any additional bank located outside of the state in which the operations of such bank holding company's banking subsidiaries were principally conducted on July 1, 1966, or the date on which such company became a bank holding company, whichever is later.

Applicants claim that section 3(d), by its terms, is inapplicable to the proposed transaction on two grounds. Their first argument is that section 3(d) applies only to an acquisition made by an existing "bank holding company or a subsidiary thereof." Since neither of the Applicants is currently a "bank holding company or a subsidiary thereof," Applicants contend that section 3(d) of the Act is not applicable to the proposed transaction.

In other contexts, the board has taken the position that section 3(d) is applicable to the formation of a multi-state bank holding company as well as to the expansion of an existing multi-state bank holding company. As a matter of logic, if section 3(d) were deemed inapplicable to a proposed holding company formation, the board would not be precluded from granting approval to an application for the formation of a bank holding company through the simultaneous acquisition of control of banks in two or more states. Such an interpretation would frustrate a clear Congressional intent to limit multi-state bank holding companies, and permit transactions clearly inconsistent with the purpose of section 3(d). In the board's view, section 3(d) was designed to preclude the board from approving the creation of additional inter-state bank holding companies above and beyond those grandfathered under the Act. Therefore, the board finds Applicants' first argument inconsistent with both the intent of the Act and prior board positions.

With respect to Applicants' second argument, Applicants urge that section 3(d) applies only to the direct or indirect acquisition of an additional bank located in a state other than that in which the bank holding company has its principal operations. Since the proposed acquisition would be of FG as it exists at the time of the proposed transaction, the acquisition would not involve the direct or indirect acquisition of any "additional" banks other than those presently controlled by FG.

Applicants' argument could be restated as follows: At the present time there are seven multistate bank holding companies, including FG, grandfathered under the Act as to their banking

³ This opposition was stated in the memorandum on the Maryland state law issues filed with the Bank Commissioner of the state of Maryland on behalf of First American Bank of Maryland.

⁴ The board notes that the Maryland statute applies equally to in-state and out of-state "affiliates" and the statute therefore does not discriminate against acquisitions by non Maryland banking organizations. Furthermore, the board notes that Congress has reserved to the states, in section 7 of the Act, the right to exercise their power and jurisdiction with respect to banks and bank holding companies. Where restrictions on the acquisition of banks are placed by a state in a nondiscriminatory manner, it does not appear that the state is interfering with the freedom of trade between states guaranteed by the commerce clause of the Constitution. See BT Investment Managers, Inc. and Bankers Trust New York Corporation v. Lewis, No. TCA 73-184 (N.D. Fla. December 15, 1978).

interests. If the proposed acquisition were permitted, there would still only be seven multi-state bank holding companies. The companies holding the FG shares would own or control only those banks owned or controlled by FG prior to the enactment of section 3(d). Applicants, which are essentially shell corporations, would merely be new parent organizations over a pre-existing bank holding company, and no additional bank would be added to an existing multi-state bank holding company structure. Thus, the Congressional intent of prohibiting the formation and limiting the expansion of multi-state bank holding companies would be preserved even if this acquisition were permitted by the board. The board concurs in this view and concludes, therefore, that section 3(d) would not be violated if the board were to approve the proposed transaction.⁵

In view of the board's finding that it may not grant approval to the proposed transaction because it would violate Maryland law, the board herewith dismisses the application as beyond the board's authority to approve, and such action represents a final determination by the board on this matter.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 16, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters. Absent and not voting: Governor Wallich.

(Signed) JOHN M. WALLACE,
[SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

First State Bancorporation, Fredericksburg, Iowa

Order Denying Formation of a Bank Holding Company

First State Bancorporation, Fredericksburg, Iowa, has applied for the board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company by acquiring 83.73 percent of the voting shares of First State Bank, Fredericksburg, Iowa ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.

Section 3(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act precludes the board from approving any acquisition by a bank holding company that (1) would result in a monopoly or be in furtherance of any combination to monopolize or attempt to monopolize a banking market, or that (2) may substantially lessen competition or tend to create a monopoly or be in restraint of trade in any banking market (unless the anticompetitive effects are clearly outweighed by the convenience and needs of the community).

Applicant is a nonoperating corporation organized for the purpose of acquiring Bank, which holds deposits of \$10.9 million. Upon acquisition of Bank, Applicant would control one of the smaller banking organizations in Iowa. Applicant's principal, who owns 80 percent of Bank's outstanding shares, also controls, through a one bank holding company, First National Bank of New Hampton ("New Hampton Bank"), located 12 miles north of Bank in the town of New Hampton, Iowa, the county seat of Chickasaw County. Bank is also located in Chickasaw County. In addition to his stock ownership interests in the two banks, Applicant's principal also serves as president and director of Bank, New Hampton Bank and its parent bank holding company.

Applicant contends alternatively, that Bank and New Hampton Bank are located in separate banking markets, or that the relevant banking market consists of all of Chickasaw County and large portions of three adjacent counties. In support of its contentions, Applicant has submitted data concerning the respective service areas for loans and deposits of the banks involved.² Applicant also

⁵ In connection with its consideration of these matters, the board has also had the opportunity to review Article 11, section 31A(b) of the Maryland Code, which provides that an "affiliated corporation," defined generally as a corporation owning a non-Maryland bank, may not become a bank holding company with respect to a Maryland bank. The provision is somewhat similar in effect to section 3(d) of the Federal Bank Holding Company Act to the extent that it would appear to preclude an out-of-state bank holding company from acquiring

a Maryland bank. The Maryland Attorney General declined to rule on the relevance of section 31A(b) to the subject proposal, since his disposition of the other legal issue rendered the transaction illegal. In the absence of any authoritative view to the contrary, it would appear that the board's discussion with respect to section 3(d) of the Bank Holding Company Act would be relevant to a determination of the effect of section 31A(b) of the Maryland Code on the subject transaction.

¹ All banking data are as of December 31, 1977. ² In particular, Applicant cites the lack of substantial overlap of the service areas of Bank and New Hampton Bank. However, the board notes that in this case the lack of service area

makes allegations concerning the extent of commercial interaction within the four-county area generally. While the respective service areas of banks involved in a proposed transaction is one of the factors that the board considers in determining the relevant banking market in which to analyze the competitive effects of a proposal, such service areas are not dispositive of that determination.³ Based on facts of record discussed below, it appears that Bank and New Hampton Bank should in fact be regarded as reasonable alternatives to one another.

With regard to Applicant's alternative fourcounty market definition, the board finds that, in this case, a banking market encompassing so large an area is not supported by the facts. In addition to Applicant's submissions, the board has reviewed the results of a field survey of the area that included interviews with local bankers, newspaper publishers and business representatives, as well as commuting data, advertising and communications patterns, and consumer trade information. Based on its careful review of the entire record of this application, the board is of the view that the relevant banking market for the purposes of analyzing the competitive effects of the transaction is an area composed primarily of Chickasaw County. The board believes that this area constitutes a distinct banking market because, from the record, it appears that in many respects New Hampton is the commercial and governmental center for Chickasaw County, including Fredericksburg. In particular, New Hampton is the largest community in Chickasaw County, and, as the county seat, contains all major offices for county

overlap may merely reflect the lack of competition between the two banks as a result of their common ownership and control by Applicant's principal since 1972. government and services. In addition, certain state and federal government offices for the entire county are located in New Hampton. Moreover, it appears that there is not any significant amount of commuting between counties by the residents of Chickasaw County and the adjacent counties.

Based on the foregoing, it appears that Bank and New Hampton Bank are located in the relevant banking market described above. Within that market, Bank is the third largest of seven commercial banks, and controls approximately 12.4 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the market. New Hampton Bank, with \$27.8 million in deposits, is the largest bank in the relevant market and controls approximately 31.5 percent of total deposits in the market. Together Bank and New Hampton Bank control 44 percent of the deposits in the relevant banking market.

Upon application of the competitive standards of section 3(c) of the Act to the facts of record, the Board has concluded that substantial existing competition between Bank and New Hampton Bank was eliminated when Applicant's principal, who had controlled New Hampton Bank since 1969, acquired control of Bank in 1972. Acquisition of control of Bank and New Hampton Bank by Applicant's principal resulted in his control of approximately 44 per cent of deposits in commercial banks in the relevant banking market, has substantially lessened competition between the two banks, and was anticompetitive in its inception, a factor the board has regarded as significant and relevant to a consideration of the competitive aspects of an acquisition.⁵ In the board's view the subject proposal presents a case where the holding company structure is being used to maintain control of a bank that is a direct competitor of another bank under the control of that same individual. In light of the market shares of the organizations involved, the board is of the opinion that the application should be denied since approval of this proposal would serve to perpetuate a substantially adverse competitive situation in the relevant banking market.6

³ The board notes that while the Supreme Court has indicated that the competitive effects of a proposed merger or acquisition should be judged in a localized market, that Court has stated that "the proper question is not where the parties to the merger do business or even where they compete, but where, within the area of competitive overlap, the effect of the merger on competition will be direct and immediate." United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, 374 U.S. 321 (1963). In determining what this area is, the Supreme Court sought "to delineate the area in which bank customers that are neither very large or very small find it practical to do their banking business..." United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, supra. See also the board's Order in Ellis Banking Corporation, (64 Federal Reserve Buttern 884 (1978)).

⁴Specifically, the board believes that the relevant banking market is approximated by three fourths of Chickasaw County east of the Wapsipinicon River, the southeastern portion of Howard County, which includes the town of Ehna, and a small northern portion of Bremer County, which includes the town of Frederika

⁵ See the board's Order of May 11, 1977, denying an application by Mahaska Investment Company, Oskaloosa, Iowa (63 FEDERAL RISERVE BULLEUS 579 (1977)), to form a bank holding company because a prior purchase by Applicant's principals had previously eliminated substantial competition between two banks.

⁶ In this regard, the board notes that in Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System v. First Lincoliwood Corp., 47 U.S.L.W. 4048 (December 11, 1978) the Supreme Court upheld the board's authority to deny approval for formation of a one bank holding company on the basis of pre existing,

The subject proposal presents a situation where the holding company form is being used to further an anticompetitive arrangement. While denial of this proposal may not immediately result in a complete termination of the anticompetitive situation, it would preserve the distinct possibility that Bank could again become an independent and competing organization in the future. Alternatively, approval would solidify and strengthen the common ownership of the two banks and would diminish the possibility of disaffiliation in the future.

On the basis of the foregoing and the facts of record, the board concludes that approval of the application would have significant adverse competitive effects. Accordingly, under the standards set forth in the Bank Holding Company Act, the proposal may not be approved unless the adverse competitive factors are clearly outweighed by other public interest considerations reflected in the record. In this case, the board finds that the adverse competitive aspects are clearly not outweighed.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant, which are entirely dependent upon those of Bank, are considered generally satisfactory and their future prospects appear favorable. Therefore, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the subject application. No significant changes in the services offered by Bank are expected to result from consummation of the proposed acquisition. Thus, convenience and needs factors are consistent with, but lend no weight toward, approval. Accordingly, it is the board's judgment that approval of this application would not be in the public interest and that the application should be denied.

On the basis of the facts of record, and in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, it is the board's judgment that consummation of the proposal to form a bank holding company would not be in the public interest and that the application should be and is hereby denied for the reasons summarized herein.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 16, 1979.

unfavorable aspects even though the formation will neither cause nor enhance the already existing adverse aspects. Thus, the board may deny approval due to conditions that predate the proposed holding company formation. Although the First Lincolnwood case involved adverse financial factors, the rationale of the board's authority to deny a bank holding company formation is equally applicable to an anticompetitive arrangement, especially in light of the Act's strong emphasis against anticompetitive combinations.

Voting for this action: Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters. Absent and not voting: Chairman Miller

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, [SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

Glen-An Corporation, Kanaranzi, Minnesota

Order Approving
Formation of Bank Holding Company

Glen-An Corporation, Kanaranzi, Minnesota, has applied for the board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company through acquisition of 95.6 percent of the voting shares of Farmers State Bank of Kanaranzi ("Bank"), Kanaranzi, Minnesota.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant is a nonoperating corporation with no subsidiaries. It was organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the acquistion of Bank, which holds deposits of \$3.7 million.1 Upon acquisition of Bank, Applicant would control the 567th largest bank in Minnesota, with 0.02 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the state. Bank is the sixth largest of eight banking organizations in the relevant banking market, holding 5.2 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Since the proposed transaction represents a reorganization of existing ownership interests in Bank, and Applicant has no other subsidiaries and is not under common control with any other banking organization, consummation of the proposal would not have any adverse effect upon competition nor would it increase the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Thus, the board concludes that the competitive effects of the proposal are consistent with approval of the application.

¹ Deposits are as of June 30, 1978. Other banking data are as of September 30, 1977.

² The relevant banking market is approximated by Rock County and the southwestern corner of Pipestone County.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, which are dependent upon those of Bank, are satisfactory. By its Order dated April 15, 1977, the board denied Applicant's previous application to become a bank holding company. However, the operations of Bank have now improved under the management of Applicant's principals, and it appears that Applicant would be able to service the debt to be incurred in connection with this proposal without an adverse effect on the financial condition of Bank. In light of the facts of record, the board concludes that considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the subject application.

While no major changes are contemplated in Bank's services, considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval. Accordingly, it is the board's judgment that Applicant's proposal to form a bank holding company is consistent with the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 2, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, [SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

Mercantile Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Mercantile Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act. has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying

shares) of Citizens National Bank of Austin, Austin, Texas ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, the fifth largest banking organization in Texas, controls eight banks with total deposits of approximately \$2.4 billion, representing approximately 4.1 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state. Acquisition of Bank (deposits of approximately \$33.6 million), the 241st largest commercial banking organization in Texas, would increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the state by approximately 0.06 percent and would have no appreciable effect upon the concentration of banking resources in Texas.

Bank is the eighth largest of 21 commercial banking organizations located in the Austin banking market² and holds approximately 1.8 percent of total commercial bank deposits in the market. Applicant has one banking subsidiary in the relevant banking market and is the fourth largest banking organization in the market, controlling approximately 12.7 percent of market deposits. Upon consummation of the proposed acquisition, Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the market would increase to 14.5 percent and Applicant's rank in the market would not change. Inasmuch as Applicant and Bank operate in the same relevant market, the proposed acquisition would eliminate some existing competition. However, the board believes that this effect is mitigated by the unusual circumstances of the weak performance and declining role of Bank in the market in recent years. Further, the board's view of the competitive effects was influenced by the Austin market's banking structure, including the fact that the five largest banking organizations in Texas are among the larger banking organizations in the market. While consummation of the proposal would reduce the number of independent banking organizations located in the Austin banking mar-

^{3 42} Federal Register 20663 (April 21, 1977).

¹ All banking data are as of December 31, 1977, and reflect bank holding company acquisitions and applications approved by the board as of October 31, 1978.

² The relevant banking market is approximated by the Austin RMA

ket, the facts of record reveal that there will remain a number of other entry vehicles into the market after consummation of the proposal. In light of the above and other facts of record, the board concludes that the proposed acquisition would have only slightly adverse effects on competition, and in light of the considerations discussed below, the board does not view such effects as being so serious as to require denial of this proposal.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiary banks, and Bank are regarded as satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application. Applicant intends to expand Bank's consumer installment and small business lending and provide other services not currently available to Bank's customers. Affiliation of Bank with Applicant should make Bank a more viable competitor in the mar ket. Thus, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend weight toward approval of the application and, in the board's judgment, are sufficient to outweigh any slightly adverse effects on competition that might result from consummation of the proposal. It is the board's judgment that approval of the application would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended for good cause by the board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 5, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) JOHN M. WALLACE, [SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

Security Baneshares of Montana, Inc., Billings, Montana

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Security BancShares of Montana, Inc., Billings, Montana, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire all of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Rimrock Bank of Billings, Billings, Montana ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, the fourth largest banking organization in Montana, controls three bank subsidiaries with aggregate deposits of \$197.7 million, representing approximately 5.6 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in Montana. Applicant's acquisition of Bank (\$4.2 million in deposits) would increase Applicant's share of total deposits by only 0.1 percent and would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of banking resources in the state or change Applicant's rank among other banking organizations in Montana.

Bank is the smallest of 10 banks operating in the Yellowstone County, Montana, banking market (the relevant market), and controls 0.8 percent of the market's total commercial bank deposits. One of Applicant's subsidiary banks, Security Bank, N.A., Billings, Montana ("Security Bank"), is also located in the relevant market. Security Bank is the second largest commercial banking organization in the market, and holds deposits representing 30.2 percent of market deposits.

The board normally considers the elimination of existing competition as an adverse factor in acting upon an application for approval of a proposed acquisition. However, in its consideration of this proposal the board notes that Bank, which opened for business in February 1977, was organized de novo by principals of Applicant who hold approximately 70 percent of Bank's outstanding voting shares. Furthermore, the president of Bank is a director of Applicant and each of its subsidiary banks. Thus, this proposal essentially represents a reorganization of Bank's current ownership interests. Because of this common control and management and the structure of the relevant market, the board has determined that the elimination of

⁴ All banking data are as of March 31, 1978, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved as of December 31, 1978.

existing competition between the two banks does not warrant denial of this application. Although approval of this application may lessen the possibility that the two banks would become independent of each other in the future, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that denial of the application would increase the likelihood of such a possibility in the foreseeable future.

Applicant's other two Montana banks, Security Bank of Colstrip, Colstrip, and Big Horn Bank. Hardin, are located in separate banking markets. 125 miles and 46 miles, respectively, from Bank. Principals of Applicant are also principals of B.O.C. Corporation, Sheridan, Wyoming, a holding company that controls two banks in Wyoming, neither of which operates in the relevant market. On the basis of all the facts of record, the board concludes that the proposed acquisition of Bank by Applicant would not have significantly adverse effects on competition.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant, its subsidiaries and Bank are regarded as satisfactory and the future prospects for each appear favorable. Thus, banking factors are consistent with approval. Affiliation with Applicant would enable Bank to expand its banking facilities and offer a wider range of banking services in the future. These considerations relating to convenience and needs may not be substantial, but they do lend some weight toward approval of the application and, in the board's view, outweigh any slightly adverse effects on competition that might result from consummation of this proposal. Therefore, it is the board's judgment that the proposed acquisition of Bank would be in the public interest and that the application should be

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 21, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters. Absent and not voting: Governor Wallich.

(Signed) JOHN M. WALLACE,
[SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

ORDERS UNDER SECTION
4 of Bank Holding Company Act

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California

Order Denying Petition for Review and Amending Denial Order

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California ("BankAmerica"), has requested review by the board of action at delegated level denying request for reconsideration of the Order of the Board of Governors, dated December 26, 1978 ("December 26 Order").1 In its December 26 Order the board denied BankAmerica's application filed pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and section 225.4(b) of the board's Regulation Y (12C.F.R. § 225.4(b)) for board approval to continue to engage in commercial finance activities through FinanceAmerica Commercial Corpora tion, Allentown, Pennsylvania ("FACC"), a direct subsidiary of FinanceAmerica Corporation, Allentown, Pennsylvania, a direct subsidiary of BankAmerica.

BankAmerica's petition for review is equivalent to a request for reconsideration by the board of its December 26 Order. Under the board's Rules of Procedure (12 C.F.R. § 262.3(i)), the board will not grant any request for reconsideration of its actions on a bank holding company application unless the request presents relevant facts that, for good cause shown, were not previously presented to the board, or unless it otherwise appears to the board that reconsideration would be appropriate. The board has considered BankAmerica's petition for review and request for reconsideration and finds that they do not present any relevant facts that, for good cause shown, were not previously presented to the board or that reconsideration of the December 26 Order would be appropriate. However, based upon a review of all the material of record, it appears that the last two sentences in the sixth paragraph of the December 26 Order

¹ Under section 262.3(i) of the board's Rules of Procedure (12 C.F.R. § 262.3(i)), parties to an application may request that the board reconsider its action on an application by filing a request with the Secretary of the board within titteen days of the board's action. Pursuant to the board's delegation rules (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b)(7)) the board's General Counsel is authorized to determine whether or not the request for reconsideration should be granted. The determination was made that the request should not be granted and BankAmerica is petitioning the board to review that action pursuant to section 265.3 of the board's delegation rules (12 C.F.R. § 265.3).

could incorrectly be interpreted to reflect on the integrity of the management of BankAmerica. The board does not view such sentences as being material to its disposition of the application and, therefore, the December 26 Order is amended by deleting the last two sentences in the sixth paragraph of that Order. A copy of the board's Order as amended by this deletion is attached.

Accordingly, in light of the above considerations, BankAmerica's petition for review of the denial of its request for reconsideration of the board's December 26 Order should be, and is hereby, denied.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 9, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Partee, and Teeters. Absent and not voting: Governor Coldwell.

(Signed) GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
[SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California

Order Denying Continuation of Commercial Finance Activities and Commencement of Loan Servicing, Leasing, and Credit-related Insurance Agency Activities

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the board's approval, under § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8) and § 225.4(b)(1) of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(1)), to continue to engage in commercial finance activities through FinanceAmerica Commercial Corporation ("FACC"), Allentown, Pennsylvania, a direct subsidiary of Finance-America Corporation, Allentown, Pennsylvania, which is a direct subsidiary of Applicant. Such activities include inventory and accounts receivable financing, lease financing, equipment financing, insurance premium financing, making loans to non-affiliated finance and leasing companies

secured by pledges of accounts receivable of such companies, making loans secured by real and personal property, and purchasing retail installment sales contracts. FACC also proposes to engage in additional activities of servicing extensions of credit for itself and others, leasing real and personal property, and offering credit-related life, accident and disability, and property insurance in connection with extensions of credit made or acquired by FACC. Such activities have been determined by the board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. §§ 225.4(a)(1), (3), (6), and (9)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (43 Federal Register 24912 (1978)). The time for filing comments and views has expired and the board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant is the largest banking organization in the United States and controls Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., San Francisco, California, which holds deposits of approximately \$66.66 billion.² In addition, Applicant directly controls twelve nonbanking subsidiaries.

FACC (total assets of \$23 million as of December 31, 1977) has for four years, without prior board approval, engaged in a range of commercial lending activities. These activities have been conducted from an office in Allentown, Pennsylvania, and were commenced in violation of the board's Regulation Y. FACC has terminated the solicitation of new business during the pendency of the instant application. Upon approval of the application, Applicant proposes to have FACC recommence the activities terminated and to expand its activities to include servicing extensions of credit for itself and others, leasing real and personal property, and offering credit-related life, accident and disability, and property insurance in connection with extensions of credit made or acquired by FACC.

Consummation of this proposal would not eliminate existing or potential competition. The markets for the services offered by FACC do not appear highly concentrated. FACC proposes to solicit business in 36 states and the District of Columbia and expects to compete principally with large commercial banks and finance companies.

¹ On January 1, 1974, Applicant acquired shares of FinanceAmerica Corporation, formerly GAC Finance, Inc., with the prior approval of the board. At all times relevant hereto prior to September 3, 1974, FACC was an inactive corporation. On that date, Applicant and FinanceAmerica caused FACC to commence general commercial finance activities in violation of the Act and Regulation Y. During the pendency of this application, FACC has terminated the active solicitation of business.

² All banking data are as of March 31, 1978.

On the basis of the facts of record, the board concludes that consummation of the subject proposal would not have any significant adverse competitive effects.

As indicated above, the application presents an after-the-fact request for the board's approval to conduct operations commenced in violation of the board's Regulation Y. Last year the board considered and approved a somewhat similar domestic case in which Applicant had engaged in nonbank activities in violation of Regulation Y.3 In the international area, the board has considered several applications by Applicant that have involved violations of the board's regulations. Due in part to the board's concern about such violations, Applicant instituted an extensive compliance program to ensure that all of its activities were conducted in conformity with the substantive and procedural requirements of law and the Board's regulations. After evaluating the merits of each of the cases where a violation had occurred, the board concluded that approval was appropriate. With respect to the subject proposal, however, the board does not believe that approval of Applicant's conduct, which was in violation of the Board's Regulation Y, would be appropriate.

While the subject proposal does not represent a significant activity to an organization of the size and complexity of Applicant, the board does not regard such factors as relieving an organization from legal duties and obligations to which it and competing organizations of lesser size and complexity are subject. The effectiveness of an organization's management in ensuring compliance with the legal duties to which it is subject are matters of paramount concern to the board in acting on applications. It is the board's judgment, based upon all the facts of record in this matter, that approval of the application would be inappropriate, and in order to ensure that Applicant does not benefit from actions that were commenced as a result of a violation, Applicant should be required to divest itself promptly of the illegally acquired assets of FACC.

Accordingly, based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the board has determined that the subject application should be denied.⁴ Applicant is hereby directed

to divest itself of the assets of FACC that were acquired in violation of the board's Regulation Y no later than ninety days from the effective date of this Order.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective December 26, 1978.

Voting for this action: Governors Wallich, Partee, and Teeters. Present and not voting: Governor Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Chairman Miller.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary of the Board.

Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida

[SEAL]

Order Approving Acquisition of Verifications, Inc.

Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the board's approval pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. $\S 1843(c)(8)$) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to engage de novo, through a new nonbank subsidiary, Verifications, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida ("Verifications"), in the activity of check verification, i.e., for a fee authorizing acceptance by subscribing merchants of certain personal checks tendered by the merchant's customers in payment of goods and services. In addition, Verifications will purchase a validly authorized check from the merchant in the event it is subsequently dishonored. This activity has not been specified by the board in section 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies.

Notice of the application, as well as proposed rulemaking to amend the board's Regulation Y to add the activity of check verification to the list of activities permissible for bank holding companies pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act, has been given (43 Fed. Reg. 31936) in order to afford an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on whether the proposed

³ BankAmerica Corporation (Data processing activities of FinanceAmerica) 63 Federal Reserve Bullelin 687 (1977).

¹ In acting on this application the board has considered comments from an individual from Providence, Rhode Island, in opposition to approval of Applicant's proposal, questioning

whether Applicant's sale of property insurance would serve the interests of the people of that state. The board has examined the protest, and, in light of the protestant's failure to cite any supporting facts, concludes that there exists no basis in fact for the individual's concern.

¹ The board has determined not to amend Regulation Y at this time by adding the proposed new activity to the list of those that are generally permissible for bank holding companies; however, the board has proceeded to consider the application on its merits.

activity is so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto and on the public interest factors with, respect to the application. The time for filing comments has expired. While no request for a hearing on this application has been received, the Board has received a number of comments, and has considered the application and those comments in light of the considerations specified in section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843).

Applicant, the second largest banking organization in Florida, controls 35 banks holding commercial bank deposits aggregating \$2.4 billion.² Applicant engages in a variety of permissible nonbank activities through eight nonbank subsidiaries. Applicant's nonbank activities include trust functions, consumer and sales financing, insurance agency activities directly related to extensions of credit made by Applicant's subsidiaries, and mortgage banking activities.

In order to authorize a bank holding company to engage in a nonbanking activity pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act, the board must first determine whether the activity is closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks.3 From the record it appears that the proposed activity is similar to activities currently engaged in by national banks under a recent interpretive letter from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.4 Furthermore, various aspects of the proposed activity are similar to normal bank functions and services currently engaged in or provided by banks, such as check processing, credit data file maintenance, data processing, and overdraft protection. Also, it has been pointed out that many banks have historically provided check verification service for their customers and merchants on a request basis. In light of the fact that banks generally provide similar services, and the proposed

services are operationally and functionally related to activities engaged in by banks, the board has determined that providing check verification services as proposed by Applicant is closely related to banking.

In order to approve the subject application, the board must also find that the performance of the activity by a nonbank affiliate of Applicant "can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices."

Applicant's proposed check verification service would benefit merchants by providing a convenient means for decreasing bad-check losses. Similarly, mail order sales operations would have a convenient means to verify out-of-state checks. The convenience of individual consumers would be enhanced by the proposed activity since their personal checks would be more readily accepted for the purchase of goods and services from merchants. Use of a national data file as proposed by Applicant would make the system particularly convenient to out-of-state tourists, military personnel, and new residents of Florida. The entry of Applicant into competition between check authorization systems already in operation would be increased by the addition of a new competitor. Accordingly, the board has concluded that the performance of the proposed activity by Applicant is likely to produce significant benefits to the

With respect to possible adverse effects, nothing in the record indicates that Applicant's proposal would result in any undue concentration of resources. The board recognizes that there is some potential in the proposal for unfair competition or conflicts of interest with respect to the verification of checks not drawn on subsidiary banks of Applicant. However, the board relies on Applicant's commitment that Verifications will verify checks drawn on all banks. Further, Applicant is fully aware of section 106 of the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970 and the board's Regulation Y, section 225.4(c), which prohibit a bank holding company and its subsidiaries from engaging in impermissible tie-in arrangements in connection with extensions of credit or sales of property or the furnishing of services.

Based upon the foregoing and upon other con-

² All banking data are as of December 31, 1977.
³ The courts have set forth the following general guidelines for determining whether an activity may be found to be closely related to banking: (1) banks generally have in fact provided the proposed services; (2) banks generally provide services that are operationally or functionally so similar to the proposed services as to equip them particularly well to provide the proposed service; or (3) banks generally provide services that are so integrally related to the proposed services as to require their provision in a specialized form. National Courier Association v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 516 F.2d 1229 (D.C. Cir., 1975).

⁴ See CCII Fed Banking Law Reports § 85,001, letter of the Chief Counsel, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, August 2, 1977. 12 C.F.R. § 7.7015. In this connection the board notes that Applicant is currently performing the proposed services through one of its subsidiary lead banks.

siderations reflected in the record, the board has determined that the balance of the public interest factors that section 4(c)(8) of the Act requires the board to consider is favorable, and that the application should be approved. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. Applicant shall cause Verifications to commence the proposed activity not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority. This determination is subject to the considerations set forth in section 225.4(c) of the board's Regulation Y and to the board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the board finds necessary to assure compliance with, or to prevent evasion of, the provisions and purposes of the Act and the regulations and Orders issued thereunder by the board.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 2, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) JOHN M. WALLACE, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

Citicorp, New York, New York

[SEAL]

Order Approving the Sale of Money Orders, Travelers Checks, and U.S. Savings Bonds, and the Provision of Financial Management Courses

Citicorp, New York, New York, a bank holding company, has applied pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to sell at retail at eight locations in Utah of its subsidiary, Citicorp Person-to-Person Financial Centers, money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds, and to provide at those locations consumer-oriented financial management courses, counseling, and related instructional material. The board has not previously determined these activities to be permissible for bank holding companies.

Notice of receipt of this application, and of Citicorp's associated request that the board amend its Regulation Y to add the activities to the list of those permissible for bank holding companies,

was given in accordance with section 4 of the Act (43 Fed. Reg. 7440 (1978)), and the time for tiling views and comments has expired. The board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the considerations specified in section 4(c)(8) of the Act.

Citicorp, with two subsidiary banks having total domestic deposits of \$55.7 billion, is the second largest banking organization in the United States and the largest in New York. It controls two banks and a number of domestic nonbank subsidiaries engaged in such activities as consumer, sales, and commercial finance, factoring, mortgage banking, sale and underwriting of credit-related insurance, and leasing. Its subsidiary, Nationwide Financial Services Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri, the parent of the company through which the new services would be offered, provides consumer loans, credit-related insurance, mobile-home financing, and other sales-finance products through offices in 27 states.

In order to authorize a bank holding company to engage in a nonbank activity pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act, the board must first determine whether the activity is closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks. None of the public comments received in this matter has made a substantive argument that any of the proposed activities is not closely related to banking, and from the record it appears that banks have generally sold U.S. savings bonds and the types of payment instruments that are the subject of this application. In the case of financial management courses and counseling, the record reflects that furnishing money management and financial advice has been an important function of banks, and they have generally provided substantially similar services under a variety of circumstances. In addition, it appears that consumer financial education calls upon the necessary skills and resources possessed by bank holding companies, and they are particularly suited to provide such services in the form proposed. On the basis of the record the board has determined that the proposed activities are closely related to banking.2

To approve this application the board must also find that the performance of these activities by Citicorp's subsidiary can reasonably be expected

⁴ Banking data are as of December 31, 1977.

² In a separate action, the board has amended its Regulation Y to add the retail sale of money orders, travelers checks, and U.S. savings bonds to the list of activities in which bank holding companies may engage.

to produce benefits to the public such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices.

At the outset the board notes that this proposal is one involving relatively minor services that would not entail any detrimental diversion of Citi-corp's financial or managerial resources.

It appears that the participation of a bank holding company affiliate in the sale of U.S. savings bonds is likely to result in a slight increase in convenience to the public, and there are no identifiable adverse effects associated with that participation. Citicorp's retail sale of travelers checks and money orders from locations of its subsidiary is likewise expected to result in some increased convenience to the public and may stimulate competition and ultimately result as well in a reduction of service charges in connection with the sale of those instruments. Because of the ease of entry into this business and its character as a relatively minor service ancillary to the primary functions of Citicorp's offices, bank holding company participation cannot be expected to result in unfair or decreased competition or an undue concentration of resources.

Although Citicorp has stipulated that its subsidiaries would not be precluded from offering other instruments on sale, the board recognizes that Citicorp's offices are likely to sell instruments issued by the Citicorp organization rather than those issued by competitors, and that potential might be characterized as a conflict of interest. However, because of the large number of retail outlets for money orders and travelers checks, the board considers that this likelihood is at most an adverse effect that is not significant when balanced against the enhanced convenience and competition that the proposal entails. In addition, the proposal would facilitate the sale of money orders and travelers checks of supervised issuers and to some extent reduce risks of loss to the public using money orders and travelers checks.

There is no indication in the record that approval of this proposal would result in unsound banking practices. The retail sale of money orders by bank holding companies is proposed as a consumer service, and to insure that it retains that character, the board by regulation has imposed on their sale the same limit of \$1,000 maximum face value that it has previously imposed on their issuance by

bank holding companies.³ Subject to that limitation, the board finds that the balance of public interest factors it must consider under section 4(c)(8) of the Act is favorable and that this part of the application should be approved.

Bank holding companies already provide financial management advice, counseling, and various educational materials of a similar nature as necessary in connection with their other banking and financial activities. The approval of that part of Citicorp's application relating to financial management courses would essentially permit Citicorp to formalize those advisory services and to charge a fee for them. Entry by Citicorp into this area is expected to promote competition and innovation and may raise the quality and convenient availability of consumer financial education. The board believes that the public interest favors measures that will tend to improve economic decisionmaking by individuals and to facilitate a wider availability of reliable consumer financial information and that, subject to Citicorp's representations and undertakings, this proposal is consistent with that public interest. In addition, the substitution of a fee for services for which the cost has been traditionally included in general service charges appears to be a useful step toward a more equitable pricing system for banking and financial services.

There are, however, some identifiable concerns associated with bank holding company entry into formal consumer financial education that may be characterized broadly as questions of business ethics. The first of these is that a bank holding company affiliate offering such courses could attempt to influence the customer to use financial services of affiliates and the board has reservations about the propriety of this where, because of the nature of the service, the customer may have the reasonable expectation that he is receiving disinterested advice about the ordering of his financial affairs. The second concern involves the possible misuse of confidential customer information obtained during the course of the educational relationship. Because these considerations could entail significant adverse effects, and because comments on this activity have not thoroughly explored appropriate industry-wide limits on educational activities, the board has in a separate action declined to add the activity of furnishing consumer-oriented financial management courses to Regulation Y's

³ Republic of Texas Corporation and Citicorp, 63 Federal. RESERVE BULLETIN 414, 416 (1977).

list of activities generally permissible for bank holding companies. The board will instead consider specific proposals by bank holding companies to furnish such courses on a case-by-case basis.

Citicorp's specific application, however, adequately addresses the board's concerns, and the board is persuaded that the balance of public interest factors it must consider under section 4(c)(8) of the Act is favorable and that this part of the application should be approved. Citicorp does not propose itself to prepare the courses it will offer, but will act as sales agent for an independent supplier of financial courses, and will specifically advise every customer that he is not required to purchase any service from Citicorp affiliates. In addition, a review of the sample course materials submitted by Citicorp discloses that the materials do not in fact promote Citicorp financial services but, on the contrary and as appropriate for basic consumer financial education, they stress that there are many alternative sources for a given financial service and that consumers should shop for financial services, comparing price and other advantages of numerous suppliers, in much the same manner as they shop for other goods and services. The board understands that course material will necessarily change over time, but the samples included by Citicorp in its application have been submitted as representative, and Citicorp has agreed to maintain a strict separation between educational and promotional activities in, and to insure the objectivity of, the materials it will use. Moreover, Citicorp has undertaken to insure that any confidential information obtained by it or any of its subsidiaries in connection with its courses will be obtained only with the customer's consent and will not be made available to any other Citicorp affiliate or any third party for any purpose whatsoever.

Having once reviewed Citicorp's proposal, the conditions under which it would be provided, and its sample materials and determined that the public interest considerations of section 4(c)(8) favor approval of that proposal, the board has determined that further applications by Citicorp to extend the same consumer-oriented financial management educational activities to additional offices of its subsidiaries may be processed in the same manner as other *de novo* applications under the provisions of section 225.4(b)(1) of Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(1)), and authority is hereby delegated to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to accept and take action on such notices properly filed as there prescribed.

In determining that the balance of public interest factors favors approval of this application, the board has considered the comments received that did not favor approval. Initially three organizations, Securities Industry Association, Independent Bankers Association of America, and National Association of Mutual Savings Banks, requested that the board hold formal hearings on a variety of questions concerning this application. On November 13, 1978, representatives of those organizations and Citicorp and members of the board's staff met and agreed that the issues of concern and on which the protesting organizations believed hearings would be useful would be narrowed to three: the sale of variable denominated instruments other than money orders, the provision of financial management courses for small businesses, and the loss of deposit insurance for travelers checks. On November 27, 1978, Citicorp amended its application to delete its request for authority to sell variable denominated instruments and to provide financial management courses for small businesses, and it is accordingly unnecessary to consider the questions raised by the protesting organizations concerning those proposals.

The board does not consider the third question to be relevant to this application. In its letter of April 13, 1978, to the board, the Independent Bankers Association of America observed that:

Traditionally, bank money orders and bank travelers checks were insured deposits under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. *In issuing these instruments* through the holding company, the bank can avoid meeting the reserve requirements for that category of deposits.

The public is clearly entitled to a hearing to weigh the potential adverse effects of the loss of deposit insurance. [Emphasis added.]

It has been the board's view that the questions of both deposit insurance and reserve requirements applicable to money orders and travelers checks are germane to an application for the *issuance* of those instruments by bank holding companies, and the board has considered those questions carefully when in the past it has had before it applications by bank holding companies to issue payment instruments. This application does not involve the issuance of any instrument, but rather the retail sale of instruments that have been authorized to be made available to the public under the conditions of applicable law. Approval of the proposal would not expand the range or characteristics of

any payment instrument now available to the public, and consequently it would not result in the loss of deposit insurance for any instrument.

The board has accordingly denied the requests for hearings that were filed in connection with this application.

Apart from those matters on which hearings were requested, the Securities Industry Association and the National Association of Mutual Savings Banks have urged that the board not adopt the proposed amendment to Regulation Y regarding financial management courses because of the broad and unpredictable range of activities that might be justified under it, but rather to evaluate concrete proposals on a case-by-case basis, and for the reasons set forth above the board has adopted that suggestion.

Among the other adverse comments received that did not, after the meeting of November 13, 1978, entail a hearing request, were the suggestions that board approval of the sale of payment instruments might permit bank holding companies to circumvent state licensing requirements for vendors of those instruments and that the performance of the activity might constitute unlawful interstate branch banking. With respect to the first suggestion, the board believes it is important to stress that authorization of any activity under section 4(c)(8) in no way exempts a bank holding company from complying with all state and federal laws governing the performance of that activity. With respect to the second suggestion, since none of the proposed services involves a collection of deposits, lending of money, or paying of checks such as might raise a question under federal branch banking restrictions, or the acceptance of deposits withdrawable on demand and the making of commercial loans such as might raise a question under section 3(d) of the Act, the board must conclude that it is not this narrow application that has prompted these comments, but rather the prospect that bank holding companies may in the future apply for other and broader powers. The objection does not bear on the activities sought to be authorized at this time.

Based on the record and for the reasons summarized above the application is hereby approved. The determination as to Citicorp's nonbank activities is subject to the conditions set forth in this Order and in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y, and the board's authority to require reports by and make examinations of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries, and to require such modification

or termination of the activities of a bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the board's Orders and regulations issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 26, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary of the Board.

Colonial American Bankshares Corporation, Roanoke, Virginia

SEAL

Order Approving Retention of the Lynchburg, Virginia Office of Colonial American Mortgage Corporation

Colonial American Bankshares Corporation, Roanoke, Virginia, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the board's approval, under § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. $\S 1843(c)(8)$) and $\S 225.4(b)(2)$ of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to retain the Lynchburg, Virginia, office of its wholly owned subsidiary, Colonial American Mortgage Corporation, Roanoke, Virginia, a company that engages in the activities of making, acquiring and serving loans secured primarily by second mortgages on real property and acting as agent in the sale of credit life and credit accident and health insurance in connection with those loans. These activities have been determined by the board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. §§ 225.4(a)(1), (3), and (9)(ii)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (43 Federal Register 47012). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant, a one bank holding company, is the thirteenth largest banking organization in Virginia with \$196.5 million in total commercial bank deposits, representing 1.1 per cent of the deposits in commercial banks in the state. Applicant's

¹ All financial data are as of June 30, 1978.

banking offices are located in Roanoke, Virginia.

Applicant's wholly owned subsidiary, Colonial American Mortgage Company ("Mortgage Company") (\$3.7 million in assets), makes second mortgage loans and acts as agent for the sale of credit related life, accident, and health insurance in connection with those loans. Mortgage Company operated exclusively in Roanoke, Virginia, until October 3, 1977, when it opened, on a *de novo* basis, an additional office in Lynchburg, Virginia, without the prior approval of the board. By this application, Applicant seeks to bring the operation of that office into conformance with the requirements of law.

In acting on an application pursuant to § 4(c)(8) of the Act to retain offices engaged in activities that are permissible for bank holding companies, in situations where the necessary prior board approval was not obtained for those offices or activities, the board applies the same standards that it applies in acting upon an application to establish such offices and commence such activities initially. In addition, the board analyzes the competitive effects of such proposals as of the time that the offices were established or the activity commenced.

The operation, thus far, of the Lynchburg office of Mortgage Company has had a minimal impact on the market for second mortgage loans in the Lynchburg SMSA, the relevant mortgage banking market. Given applicant's ranking among commercial banking organizations in Virginia, its action in opening a de novo office in a market located 53 miles from Roanoke had no adverse effects on competition. Indeed, the continued operation of the Lynchburg office should result in increased competition in the Lynchburg SMSA and result in greater convenience to the public by providing an additional source of second mortgage loans. Further, in connection with this proposal, Applicant has injected additional equity capital into Mortgage Company. There is no evidence of record to indicate that Applicant's second mortgage or insurance activities will result in undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices.

Upon examination of all the facts and circumstances surrounding the establishment of the Lynchburg office without prior board approval it appears that the violation does not warrant denial of this application. The Applicant has taken steps to conform its operations to the Act and the board's

Regulation Y by filing this application, and Applicant's management had adopted clearly defined procedures to prevent violations from occurring in the future. Considering these steps by Applicant and other evidence in the record evidencing Applicant's intention to comply with the requirements of the Act and the board's Regulation Y, the board has determined that the circumstances of the above violation do not warrant denial of the application.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the board has determined that the balance of the public interest factors the board is required to consider under § 4(c)(8) is favorable. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

The transaction shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 2, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, [Seal.] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

First Hawaiian, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii

Order Approving Continuation of Credit-Related Insurance Activities Through Hawaii Thrift & Loan, Incorporated

First Hawaiian, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii ("Applicant"), a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to continue to engage in the sale of credit-related life, accident and health insurance in connection with

extensions of credit made by its wholly owned subsidiary, Hawaii Thrift & Loan, Incorporated, Honolulu, Hawaii ("HTL"). Such activity has been determined by the board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(a)(9)(ii)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (43 Federal Register 56940). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)(8)).

Applicant, with total assets of \$1.4 billion, is the second largest banking organization in the state of Hawaii and controls one bank, First Hawaiian Bank, Honolulu, Hawaii. Applicant's wholly owned subsidiary, HTL, is engaged in industrial loan activities. HTL accepts thrift deposits in the form of investment certificates and debentures and with the proceeds of such deposits makes real estate, consumer, and commercial loans. HTL has its main office in Honolulu and maintains eleven other offices on the islands of Oahu, Kauai, Maui and Hawaii.

By Order dated June 18, 1975, the board approved Applicant's proposal to acquire certain assets and to assume certain liabilities of HTL. This Order was limited to approval for Applicant to engage through HTL in industrial loan activities. In addition to industrial loan activities, HTL also engaged in credit life, accident and health insurance agency activities that were not included in Applicant's proposal to acquire HTL and that have not been approved by the board.² The instant application requests board approval for continuation of Applicant's insurance agency activities through HTL.

In acting on an application pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act and Regulation Y to continue to engage in activities that are permissible for bank holding companies, in situations where the necessary prior board approval was not obtained for such activities, the board applies the same standards that it applies to an application to commence such activities initially. The board analyzes the competitive effects of such proposals both at the time of the acquisition of the company engaged

in the activity and at the time of the application to continue to engage in the activity.

At the time that it approved Applicant's application to acquire HTL, the board found that, because of HTL's financial situation, HTL was no longer an effective competitor and consummation of the proposed acquisition would not have any adverse effects on potential or existing competition at that time. As HTL's insurance activities are limited to acting as the agent in the sale of credit insurance related to loans it originates, Applicant's acquisition of HTL's insurance agency activities, similarly, did not have any adverse effects on potential or existing competition. Further, it does not appear that Applicant's continuation of insurance agency activities through HTL would have any significant adverse effect on competition. In addition, it would provide benefits to the public by offering a continued and additional convenient source of credit-related insurance in the area. Moreover, there is no evidence in the record indicating that continuation would result in any undue concentration of resources, unfair competition, conflicts of interest, unsound banking practices or other adverse effects on the public interest.

As indicated above, the subject application is an after-the-fact request for board approval to engage in activities that were commenced in violation of the Act and the board's Regulation Y. Upon examination of all the facts and circumstances of the subject application, it is the board's view that the violation was inadvertent. In acting on this application the board has taken into consideration the fact that Applicant, upon becoming aware of the existence of the violation, took steps to conform its operations to the Act by filing the subject application. In addition, Applicant's management has taken steps to prevent violations from occurring in the future, including the initiation of a compliance program under the direction of one of its officers to ensure that the management of Applicant and HTL is aware of its responsibilities under the Act. The board expects that these actions will assist Applicant in avoiding a recurrence of similar violations. In light of the above and other information in the record evidencing Applicant's intent to comply with the requirements of the Bank Holding Company Act, the board has determined that the circumstances of the above violation do not warrant denial of the application.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the board has determined that the balance of the public interest

¹ All financial data are as of June 30, 1978.

² Section 4 of the Act and section 225.4 of Regulation Y prohibit a bank holding company from engaging in any non-banking activity without the board's prior approval.

factors the board is required to consider under section 4(c)(8) is favorable. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the board's regulations and orders issued thereunder or to prevent evasion thereof.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 9, 1979.

Voting for this action: Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters. Absent and not voting: Chairman Miller.

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, [SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

Maryland National Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland

Order Denying Formation of GECC and MN Leasing Corporation

Maryland National Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the board's approval, under $\S 4(c)(8)$ of the Act $(12 \text{ U.S.C.} \ \$ \ 1843(c)(8))$ and § 225.4(b)(2) of the board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), for its subsidiary, Maryland National Leasing Corporation ("MNLC"), to form a joint venture with General Electric Credit Corporation ("GECC"), and to acquire voting shares in GECC and MN Leasing Corporation ("Company"), Stamford, Connecticut, a de novo corporation that would engage in the activities of leasing personal and real property. Such activities have been determined by the board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(a)(6)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (43 Fed. Reg. 47013). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant, the largest banking organization in

Maryland, is a one-bank holding company with deposits of approximately \$2 billion, representing 18.9 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in Maryland (as of March 31, 1978). Through its principal nonbank subsidiaries, Applicant is engaged in mortgage banking, second mortgage lending, consumer lending, commercial financing and leasing.

Applicant's leasing subsidiary, NMLC (with assets of approximately \$32.4 million as of June 30, 1978) was organized *de novo* in 1974 and engages primarily in leasing transactions valued between \$250,000 and \$10 million, "middle market" leasing. Middle market transactions reflect the underlying tax considerations whereby the lessor purchases the lease property and shares the advantages of an investment tax credit with the lessee by leasing the property at a cost lower than the net purchase cost if the tax credit advantage of ownership were not available. In light of Applicant's income, MNLC has recently been unable to expand its middle market lease transactions by making use of further tax credit; thus, MNLC began to broker its lease originations and these brokered transactions now dominate its leasing activities.

GECC is a wholly owned subsidiary of General Electric Company, one of the major industrial corporations in the United States with consolidated total assets, not including GECC, of \$13.7 billion and net income of \$1.1 billion (for the year ending December 31, 1977). GECC, currently the fourth largest domestic non-captive finance company with assets of approximately \$6.1 billion (as of June 30, 1978), was organized in 1932 as a consumer sales finance company, and has since evolved into a major general finance company engaged in commercial and industrial financing, consumer financing and insurance operations. GECC's commercial and industrial financing activities include middle market leasing, which represents approximately 6 percent of GECC's lease receivables.

Applicant has proposed that MNLC would acquire 20 percent of the equity in Company with the remaining 80 percent to be owned by GECC. Company would engage generally in structuring, originating, buying, selling and servicing chattel mortgages, conditional sales contracts, and leases of personal and real property. Applicant's primary purpose in participating in this joint venture with GECC would be to take advantage of GECC's much greater tax base and thereby expand its origination of tax motivated leases.

Both GECC and MNLC are currently engaged in the same type of leasing activities that would be conducted by the joint venture. The agreement between MNLC and GECC stipulates that the joint venture shall in no way limit or restrict the ability of MNLC or GECC to continue to conduct such business in their own names and for their own accounts. Nevertheless, in light of the fact that GECC is already engaged in some middle market leasing and there are no apparent limitations to its expanding its activity in this market absent the joint venture, the board finds that the proposed transaction would have a detrimental effect on probable future competition.

The board has examined carefully Applicant's proposal and concludes that the benefits to be gained by the proposed joint venture could be achieved without the formation of Company. There is no evidence in the record that GECC, which already engages in some middle market leasing, is not fully capable of developing by itself the capabilities that would be made possible by the formation of a joint venture. The record indicates that GECC has substantial financial resources that would enable GECC to develop independently the personnel to be provided by MNLC through the joint venture. Moreover, the record indicates that GECC has substantial expertise in middle market leasing through its participation of leases to small and medium size banks, and that GECC is expanding its activity in this area without the assistance of an existing competitor.

Accordingly, the board does not find any tangible public benefits associated with the proposed transaction. While Applicant indicates that the combined resources of GECC and MNLC would produce "administrative savings" which would provide customers with a "preferential rate," the record does not indicate that such savings or rate reductions would be sufficient to produce public benefits warranting approval of this application. Furthermore, Applicant's claim that consummation of the proposal would increase competition by placing an additional competitor in the leasing market cannot be granted great weight by the board since both participants in the joint venture are present in the market. Since the board finds that the same result can be achieved absent the formation of a joint venture, with its potential for concentration of resources and lessening of competition in the product market in which the two parties to the joint venture compete, the joint venture formation should not be approved.

Based upon the foregoing and the other facts of record, it is the board's judgment that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and that the application should be, and hereby is, denied.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 23, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON,
[SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

Order Approving Acquisition of Certain Assets of James Talcott, Inc.

National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Michigan, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the board's approval, under § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to acquire, through its subsidiary, Instaloan Financial Services, Inc. ("Instaloan"), certain assets of James Talcott, Inc., New York, New York ("Talcott"), and to engage in its commercial finance activities. Such activities have been determined by the board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(a)(1)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (43 Federal Register 59435). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in § 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant, the largest banking organization in Michigan, controls seven commercial banks in that state with aggregate deposits of \$5.6 billion, representing 15.7 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in Michigan.² Applicant currently engages through subsidiaries in insurance, mortgage banking, and consumer finance activities.

¹ In particular, Applicant proposes to acquire certain loans receivable, and the furniture, furnishings, and equipment of the Detroit office of Talcott's Business Finance Division.

² Banking data as of December 31, 1977.

Talcott's Detroit office ("Talcott-Detroit") engages in commercial finance activities including the making of business loans secured by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery and equipment, and real estate. On July 31, 1978, Talcott-Detroit had loans outstanding of \$19.1 million, and gross revenues of \$2.4 million. Talcott-Detroit, which obtains business from the lower peninsula of Michigan and the state of Ohio, derives most of its business from the Detroit, Cleveland, and Grand Rapids SMSAs. Applicant's banking subsidiaries also originate business loans in the lower peninsula of Michigan and the state of Ohio, and on June 30, 1978, Applicant had a total of \$504.8 million in business loans outstanding in the Detroit SMSA.3 However, it appears that neither Applicant nor Talcott-Detroit controls a significant portion of the commercial loan market in this area, inasmuch as the Detroit area is served by over 70 commercial finance companies operating a total of 157 offices, including offices of many of the nation's largest commercial finance companies. Thus, while consummation of the proposal would eliminate some competition existing between Ap plicant and Talcott-Detroit office, it appears that the amount of competition that would be eliminated is slight.

In this connection, the board notes that Talcott has experienced financial difficulties, which have caused it to contract its overall operations. This development is reflected in a decline since 1973 of Talcott-Detroit's gross revenues and loans outstanding. In view of the difficulties faced by Talcott and its future prospects, it does not appear likely that Talcott-Detroit will remain a viable independent competitor in the Detroit area. In view of these facts, the board concludes that consummation of the proposal would have only slightly adverse effects on competition. When balanced against the public benefits expected to result from this transaction, these adverse effects are not so serious as to warrant denial of the proposal.

By acquiring the assets of Talcott-Detroit, Applicant will ensure the continued availability of commercial loans to Talcott-Detroit's customers at its present location. The board views this factor

On the basis of these and other facts of record, the board concludes that the benefits to the public that can reasonably be expected to result from Applicant's acquisition of the assets of Talcott-Detroit are sufficient to outweigh the slight adverse effects on competition that would result from the proposal. Furthermore, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that consummation of the proposed transaction would result in unfair competition, conflicts of interest, unsound banking practice, or any other effects that would be adverse to the public interest.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the board has determined that the balance of the public interest factors the board is required to consider under § 4(c)(8) is favorable. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

The transaction shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 26, 1979.

Voting for this action: Chairman Miller and Gover nors Wallich, Coldwell, Partee, and Teeters.

(Signed) JOHN M. WALLACE, [SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

Prior and final certifications are continued on the following page:

as particularly significant in light of Talcott's reduction of its overall operations in recent years and the difficulty Talcott-Detroit has experienced in obtaining access to borrowed funds at competitive rates. Furthermore, affiliation with Applicant should enable Talcott-Detroit to become a more effective competitor in the areas where it operates.

³ The Detroit SMSA is approximated by Macomb, Oakland, and Wayne Counties and portions of St. Clair, Lapeer, Livingston, Washtenaw, and Monroe counties, all in the State of Michigan.

⁴ The board also notes that Talcott has announced its intention to sell the assets of its Business Finance Division, including Talcott-Detroit, in order to obtain additional revenues.

Prior and Final Certifications Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976

Shelter Resources, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio

Prior Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 [Docket No. 76-125]

Shelter Resources, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio ("Shelter"), has requested a prior certification pursuant to section 6158(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), as amended by section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 (the "Tax Act"), that its sale on April 23, 1973, of 61,579 outstanding shares of Capital National Bank, Cleveland, Ohio ("Bank"), indirectly owned and controlled by it through its wholly owned subsidiary, Capital Bancorporation, Cleveland, Ohio ("Bancorporation"), to BancOhio Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio ("BancOhio"), was necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq. ("BHC Act")). Shelter has also requested a final certification pursuant to section 6158(c)(2) of the Code that it has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the BHC Act to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company.1

In connection with these requests, the following information is deemed relevant for purposes of issuing the requested certifications:²

1. Shelter is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware on February 11, 1970, as the successor by merger to Electronics Capital Corporation, a corporation organized in 1959 under the laws of the state of Massachusetts. Since Shelter was organized under an internal reorganization plan of Electronics Capital Corporation, for purposes of this application corporate actions described as taken by Shelter prior to its organization are understood to be actions taken by

Electronics Capital Corporation. Bancorporation is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Ohio on October 18, 1961. Shelter acquired 98.42 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bancorporation on January 28, 1969.

- 2. On January 28, 1969, Shelter acquired indirect ownership and control, through Bancorporation, of 43,305 shares of Bank, representing 98.42 percent of the then total outstanding voting shares of Bank (a split of Bank's shares in 1971 increased the number of Bank's outstanding shares to 61,600 shares, of which Shelter indirectly owned or controlled 61,579).
- 3. Shelter became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act, by virtue of its direct ownership and control at that time of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bancorporation, and by virtue of its indirect ownership and control at that time, through Bancorporation, of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank, and it registered as such with the board on February 17, 1972.3 Shelter would have been a bank holding company on July 7, 1970, if the BHC Act Amendments of 1970 had been in effect on such date by virtue of its direct and indirect ownership and control on that date of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bancorporation and Bank, respectively.
- 4. On July 2, 1971, Shelter filed an irrevocable declaration with the board, that it would cease to be a bank holding company by divesting of its interest in Bank by January 1, 1981.
- 5. On January 2, 1973, the board issued an Order pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the BHC Act approving the application of BaneOhio to acquire 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of Bank, and on April 23, 1973, Shelter sold all of the 61,579 shares of Bank owned or controlled by it, through Bancorporation, to BaneOhio for cash.
- 6. On April 23, 1973, Shelter held property acquired by it on or before July 7, 1970, the disposition of which would, but for Shelter's declaration under section 4(c)(12) of the BHC Act, have been necessary or appropriate to effectuate

¹ Pursuant to sections 2(d)(2) and 3(c)(2) of the Tax Act, in the case of any sale that takes place on or before December 31, 1976 (the 90th day after the date of the enactment of the Tax Act), the certification described in section 6158(a) shall be treated as made before the close of the calendar year following the calendar year in which the last sale occurred, if application for such certification was made before the close of December 31, 1976. Shelter's application for such certification was received by the board in January, 1977, but post marked December 31, 1976, thereby complying with the stat utory time limit on filing of applications.

² This information derives from Shelter's correspondence with the board concerning its request for certification, and Registration Statements filed with the board by Shelter and Bancorporation pursuant to the BHC Act, and other records of the board.

³ Bancorporation similarly became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act, by virtue of its direct ownership and control of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank, and it registered as such with the board on February 2, 1972.

section 4 of the BHC Act if Shelter were to remain a bank holding company beyond December 31, 1980, and which property is "prohibited property" within the meaning of sections 6158(f)(2) and 1103(c) of the Code.

- 7. Neither Shelter nor any subsidiary of Shelter holds any interest in Bank, BancOhio, or any company that controls a bank.
- 8. Neither BancOhio nor any subsidiary of BancOhio, including Bank, holds any interest in Shelter or any subsidiary of Shelter.
- 9. No officer, director (including honorary or advisory director) or employee with policy-making functions of Shelter or any subsidiary of Shelter also holds any such position with BaneOhio, or any subsidiary of BaneOhio, including Bank, or with any other bank or company that controls a bank.
- 10. Shelter does not control in any manner the election of a majority of directors, or exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies, of BancOhio or any subsidiary of BancOhio, including Bank, or of any other bank or company that controls a bank.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that:

- (A) at the time of its sale, through Bancorporation, of the 61,579 shares of Bank to BancOhio, Shelter was a qualified bank holding corporation, within the meaning of section 6158(f)(1) and subsection (b) of section 1103 of the Code, and satisfied the requirements of that subsection;
- (B) the shares of Bank that Shelter sold to BancOhio through Bancorporation were all or part of the property by reason of which Shelter controlled (within the meaning of section 2(a) of the BHC Act) a bank or bank holding company;
- (C) the sale of the shares of Bank was necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the BHC Act;
- (D) Shelter has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the BHC Act to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company; and
- (E) Shelter has disposed of all banking property.

This certification is based upon the representations made to the board by Shelter and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the board should hereafter determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Shelter, or that Shelter has failed to disclose to the board other material facts, it may revoke this certification.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b)(3)), effective February 27, 1979.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

Republic of Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas

Prior Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 [Docket No. TCR 76-107]

Republic of Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas ("Republic") has requested a prior certification pursuant to § 6158(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), as amended by § 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 (the "Tax Act"), that the proposed sale by Westgate Company, a subsidiary of Republic, of 4.474 acres of certain real property located in Irving, Texas ("Westgate Property"), is necessary or appropriate to effectuate § 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843 et seq.) ("BHC Act"). Westgate proposes to sell the Westgate Property to two individual purchasers for cash.

In connection with this request, the following information is deemed relevant for purposes of issuing the requested certification: ¹

- 1. On July 7, 1970, Republic National Bank of Dallas ("Old Republic Bank"), a national banking association, indirectly controlled 29.9 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Oak Cliff Bank and Trust Company, Dallas, Texas ("Oak Cliff Bank").
- 2. On July 7, 1970, Old Republic Bank indirectly controlled, through the Howard Corporation ("Howard"), a trusteed affiliate, property the disposition of which would be necessary or appropriate to effectuate § 4 of the BHC Act if Old Republic Bank were to continue to be a bank holding company beyond December 31, 1980, which property is "prohibited property" within the meaning of § 1103(c) of the Code.
- 3. Old Republic Bank became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act, by virtue of its indirect control at that time of more than

¹ This information derives from Republic's correspondence with the board concerning its request for this certification, Republic's Registration Statement filed with the board pursuant to the BHC Act as well as the Registration Statement of Republic National Bank and other records of the board.

25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Oak Cliff Bank, and it registered as such with the board on September 24, 1971.

- 4. Republic is a corporation that was organized under the laws of the state of Delaware on July 12, 1972, for the purpose of effecting the reorganization of Old Republic Bank into a subsidiary of Republic.
- 5. On September 10, 1973, the board ruled that in the event Republic were to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of the successor by merger to Old Republic Bank, Republic would not be regarded as a "successor" to Old Republic as defined in § 2(e) of the BHC Act, or as a "company covered in 1970." as that term is defined in the BHC Act, and that Republic was not entitled to the benefit of any grandfather privileges that Old Republic Bank may have possessed pursuant to the proviso in § 4(a)(2) of the BHC Act.
- 6. By Order dated October 25, 1973, the board approved Republic's application under § 3(a)(1) of the BHC Act to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to Old Republic Bank and the indirect acquisition of control of 29.9 percent of the voting shares of Oak Cliff Bank. Pursuant to the provisions of § 4(a)(2) of the BHC Act, Republic was required by that order to divest itself, within two years from the date as of which it would become a bank holding company, of the impermissible nonbanking interests that would be directly or indirectly controlled by the successor by merger to Old Republic Bank, including such impermissible interests held by Howard.
- 7. On May 9, 1974, in a transaction described in § 368(a)(1)(A) and § 368(a)(2)(D) of the Code, Old Republic Bank was merged into the present Republic National Bank of Dallas ("New Republic Bank"), a national banking association which was a wholly owned subsidiary (except for directors' qualifying shares) of Republic. New Republic Bank thereby acquired substantially all of the properties of Old Republic Bank and Republic thereupon became a bank holding company. By virtue of three one-year extensions granted by the board, Republic presently has until May 9, 1979, to complete the divestitures required by the board's Order of October 25, 1973.
- 8. As part of the same transaction by which Republic became a bank holding company, in a transaction to which § 351 of the Code applied,

- Republic acquired beneficial interests in the shares of Howard held by trustees for the benefit of shareholders of New Republic Bank, which shares are shares described in § 2(g)(2) of the BHC Act.
- 9. The Westgate Property was acquired by Howard on November 13, 1969, and is a part of the property of Howard in which Republic acquired a beneficial interest pursuant to § 2(g)(2) of the BHC Act.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that:

- (A) Prior to May 9, 1974, Old Republic Bank was a "qualified bank holding corporation," within the meaning of subsection (b) of § 1103 of the Code, and satisfied the requirements of that subsection.
- (B) New Republic Bank is a corporation that acquired substantially all of the properties of a qualified bank holding corporation, and as such is treated as a qualified bank holding corporation for the purposes of § 6158 of the Code, pursuant to § 3(d) of the Tax Act.
- (C) Republic is a corporation in control (within the meaning of § 2(a)(2) of the BHC Act) of New Republic Bank, and as such is treated as a qualified bank holding corporation for the purposes of § 6158 of the Code, pursuant to § 3(d) of the Tax Act.
- (D) Howard is a subsidiary (within the meaning of § 2(d) of the BHC Act) of Republic, and as such is treated as a qualified bank holding corporation for the purposes of § 6158 of the Code, pursuant to § 3(d) of the Tax Act.
- (E) The Westgate Property is "prohibited property" for the purposes of § 6158 of the Code; and
- (F) the sale of the Westgate Property is necessary or appropriate to effectuate § 4 of the BHC Act.

This certification is based upon the representations made to the board by Republic and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the board should hereafter determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Republic, or that Republic has failed to disclose to the board other material facts, it may revoke this certification.

By order of the Board of Governors acting through its General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(B)(3)), effective February 14, 1979.

[SEAL]

(Signed) Griffith L. Garwood, Deputy Secretary of the Board. The Sperry and Hutchinson Company, New York, New York

Prior Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 [Docket No. TCR 76—171]

The Sperry and Hutchinson Company, New York, New York ("S&H") has requested a prior certification pursuant to section 1101(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"), as amended by section 2(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976, that its proposed divestiture of all of the 1,382,815 shares of State National Bank of Connecticut, Bridgeport, Connecticut ("Bank"), presently held by S&H, through the pro rata distribution to S&H's common stockholders of the stock of state National Bancorp, Inc. ("Bancorp"), a corporation created and availed of solely for the purpose of receiving S&H's Bank shares, is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq) ("BHC Act''). S&H proposes to exchange the 1,382,815 shares of Bank that it presently owns for all of the shares of Bancorp, and immediately thereafter to distribute all of Bancorp's shares pro rata to the holders of common stock of S&H.1

In connection with this request, the following information is deemed relevant, for purposes of issuing the requested certification: ²

1. S&H is a corporation organized under the

laws of New Jersey on October 19, 1900.

- 2. Between September 30, 1968, and April 27, 1970, S&H acquired ownership and control of 551,100 shares, representing approximately 99 percent of the outstanding voting shares, of Bank.
- 3. S&H became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act, by virtue of its ownership and control at that time of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank, and registered as such with the board on July 1, 1971. S&H would have been a bank holding company on July 7, 1970, if the BHC Act Amendments of 1970 had been in effect on that date by virtue of its ownership and control on that date of more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank. S&H presently owns and controls 1,382,815 shares, representing 99.3 percent of the outstanding voting shares, of Bank.³
- 4. S&H holds property acquired by it on or before July 7, 1970, the disposition of which would be required by section 4 of the BHC Act, if S&H were to continue to be a bank holding company beyond December 31, 1980, and which property is "prohibited property" within the meaning of section 1103(c) of the Code.
- 5. S&H has committed to the board that it will terminate all interlocking relationships between S&H and Bank by April 30, 1979.4

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that:

The facts that a preferred shareholder may not receive any direct benefits from a spinoff and that, after a spinoff, share holders of a bank holding company and a divested company are identical, are both results of a divestiture method clearly sanctioned by Congress. Section 1101(b)(2) of the Code au thorizes spinoffs to holders of a bank holding company's common shares without any participation in the transaction by the holders of the company's preferred shares. Under section 1101(a)(3), such spinoffs must be made on a pro rata basis unless the company has 10 or fewer shareholders. Since a spinoff is clearly a permissible divestiture method, the existence of potential purchasers for Bank would not be determinative for purposes of assessing the adequacy of the proposed divestiture. (See note 4 below for further discussion of the adequacy of the proposed divestiture.)

² This information derives from S&H's correspondence with the board concerning its request for this certification, S&H's Registration Statement filed with the board pursuant to the BHC Act, and other records of the board.

The remaining 5,065 shares of Bank now held by S&H were acquired through eash purchase after July 7, 1970, or through stock dividends with respect to such shares. These shares are not eligible for the tax benefits of section 1101(b) since none of the exceptions to section 1101(c) is applicable to them.

⁴ It is noted that S&H has controlled Bank for approximately 10 years and owns 99 percent of Bank's shares. During that time, Bank selected most of its officer/directors, but obtained

The board has received a protest to this proposal from a group of individuals owning approximately five percent of S&H's preferred shares ("Protestants"). Protestants claim that the proposed divestiture is not in the best interests of S&H's minority shareholders because it will reduce S&H's net assets by approximately 20 percent with no corresponding benefit to S&H. Moreover, they say, the proposal will not result in a true divestiture because the same stockholders that currently control S&H will control Bancorp and Bank after the spinoff. Finally, Protestants assert that S&H has made no serious effort to sell Bank even though it was approached on several occasions by potential purchasers of Bank.

³ Bank declared a 150 percent stock dividend in 1974 and S&H consequently received an additional 826,650 shares of Bank on the basis of the 551,100 shares acquired prior to July 7, 1970. Under section 1101(c)(1) of the Code, property acquired after July 7, 1970, generally does not qualify for the tax benefits of section 1101(b) when distributed by an otherwise qualified bank holding company. However, where such property is acquired by a qualified bank holding company in a transaction in which gain is not recognized under section 305(a) of the Code then section 1101(b) is applicable. S&H has indicated that these shares of Bank were acquired in a transaction in which gain was not recognized under section 305(a) of the Code. Accordingly, even though such shares were acquired after July 7, 1970, those shares would nevertheless qualify as property eligible for the tax benefits provided in section 1101(b) of the Act, by virtue of section 1101(c), if they were in fact received in a transaction in which gain was not recognized under section 305(a) of the Act.

- (A) S&H is a qualified bank holding corporation within the meaning of section 1103(b) of the Code, and satisfies the requirements of that section:
- (B) the shares of Bank that S&H proposes to exchange for shares of Bancorp are all or part of the property by reason of which S&H controls (within the meaning of section 2(a) of the BHC Act) a bank or bank holding company; and
- (C) exchange of the shares of Bank for the shares of Bancorp and the distribution to the common shareholders of S&H of the shares of Bancorp are necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the BHC Act.

This certification is based upon the representations made to the board by S&H and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the board should hereafter determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by S&H or that S&H has failed to disclose to the board other material facts, it may revoke this certification. This certification is also granted on the condition that after April 30, 1979, no person holding an office or position (including an advisory or honorary position) with S&H or any of its subsidiaries as an officer, director, policy-making employee or management consultant, or who performs (directly, or through an agent, representative or nominee) functions comparable to those normally associated with such office or position, will hold any such office or position or perform any such function with Bancorp, Bank, or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, under delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b)(3)), effective February 16, 1979.

(Signed) GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
[SEAL] Deputy Secretary of the Board.

prior approval of such decisions from S&H. Four of Bank's officer/directors are also officer/directors of S&H, and were selected by S&H to become members of Bank's management. S&H is a publicly held corporation. 58 percent of its voting shares are owned by members of the Beinecke family. This stock ownership is dispersed among 70 holders, however. The largest number of shares that could be attributed to one person on the basis of the "immediate family" rule of Regulation Y (section 225.2(b)(2)) is the approximately 28 percent of S&H's shares owned by William Beinecke and members of his immediate family. Of seven Beinecke family members that sit on S&H's 21-member board of directors, 5 are not members of William Beinecke's immediate family. Thus, it does not appear that S&H is subject to the control of any one individual, and termination of the interlocking relationships appears nec essary to further a complete divestiture of S&H's control over Bank since these corporate interlocks are among the principal means by which S&H might maintain control over bank.

Sloan State Corporation, Sloan, Iowa

Prior Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 [Docket No. TCR 76-168]

Sloan State Corporation, Sloan, Iowa ("Sloan"), has requested a prior certification pursuant to section 1101(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"), as amended by section 2(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976, that its proposed divestiture of approximately 75 acres of real property ("Seventy-five Acres") through the distribution of such property to shareholders of Sloan as tenants in common is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) ("BHC Act").

In connection with this request, the following information is deemed relevant: 2

- 1. Sloan is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Iowa on September 12, 1968.
- 2. In September, 1968, Sloan acquired ownership and control of 910 common shares, representing 91 percent of the outstanding voting shares, of Sloan State Bank, Sloan, Iowa ("Bank").
- 3. Sloan became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the enactment of the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act, by virtue of its ownership and control at that time of more than 25 percent of the outstanding common shares of Bank, and it registered as such with the board on October 12, 1971. Sloan would have been a bank holding company on July 7, 1970, if the 1970 Amendments to the BHC Act had been in effect on such date by virtue of its direct

¹ The legal description of Seventy-five Acres is as follows: All that part of the Southeast Quarter of Section 32 lying East of the Right-of-way of the Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company, in Township 86 North, Range 46, West of the 5th P.M., except a tract of land described as follows: Commencing at the Northeast Corner of the Southeast quarter of Section 32, Township 86, Range 46, thence running South along the half section line a distance of 1326 feet to the point of beginning; thence West and parallel with the half section line a distance of 410 feet; thence South parallel with the east section line a distance of 610 feet; thence East and parallel with the South section line a distance of 410 feet; thence North along the East section line a distance of 610 feet to the place of beginning, containing 75 acres more or less, in Woodbury County, lowa.

² This information derives from Sloan's communications with the board concerning its request for this certification and Sloan's registration statement filed with the board pursuant to the BHC Act.

ownership and control on that date of more than 25 percent of the outstanding common shares of Bank. Sloan presently owns and controls 91 percent of the outstanding common shares of bank.

- 4. Sloan purchased Seventy five Acres on September 12, 1969, and has owned such property continuously since that date.
- 5. Sloan does not engage in any nonbanking activities other than the holding of certain real property. Sloan has proposed to divest all of its real property.
- 6. Sloan acquired Seventy-five Acres before July 7, 1970. The disposition of Seventy-five Acres would be necessary or appropriate to effectuate section 4 of the BHC Act if Sloan were to continue to be a bank holding company beyond December 31, 1980, and such property is "prohibited property" within the meaning of section 1103(c) of the Code.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that:

- A. Sloan is a qualified bank holding corporation within the meaning of section 1103(b) of the Code, and satisfies the requirements of that section;
- B. The distribution of Seventy-five acres is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the BHC Act.

This certification is based upon the representations made to the board by Sloan and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the board should hereafter determine that the facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Sloan or that Sloan has failed to disclose to the board other material facts, the board may revoke this certification.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b)(3)), effective February 27, 1979.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

ORDERS APPROVED UNDER BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT

By the Board of Governors

During February 1979, the Board of Governors approved the applications listed below. Copies are available upon request to Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Section 3

Applicant	Bank(s)	Board action (effective date)
Bowman Capital Co., Omaha, Nebraska	First National Bank of Bowman, Bowman, North Dakota	February 23, 1979
First National Corporation, Appleton, Wisconsin	The Oshkosh National Bank, Oshkosh, Wisconsin	February 12, 1979
Marsh Investments, N.V. Curacao, Netherlands Antilles Marsh Investments, B.V. Rotterdam, the Netherlands	First National Bank of Greater Miami, Hialeah, Florida	February 12, 1979
M.F.G. Investments, Inc. Hialeah, Florida		
Metropolitan Bancorporation, Tampa, Florida	Metropolitan Bank and Trust Company, Tampa, Florida Holiday Bank, Holiday, Florida	February 22, 1979
Texas American Bancshares, Inc. Fort Worth, Texas	The Citizens National Bank of Denison, Denison, Texas	February 12, 1979

By Federal Reserve Banks

Recent applications have been approved by the Federal Reserve Banks as listed below. Copies of the orders are available upon request to the Reserve Banks.

Section 3

Applicant	Bank(s)	Reserve Bank	Effective date
Society Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio	First National Bank of Clermont County, Bethal, Ohio	Cleveland	February 7, 1979

ORDERS APPROVED UNDER BANK MERGER ACT

Applicant	Bank(s)	Reserve Bank	Effective date
The Central Trust Company, Reynolds- burg, Ohio	The Central Trust Company of Zanesville, Zanesville, Ohio	Cleveland	February 14, 1979

PENDING CASES INVOLVING THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Does not include suits against the Federal Reserve Banks in which the Board of Governors is not named a party.

- California Life Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed January 1979, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
- Hunter Holding Company v. Board of Governors, filed December 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
- Consumers Union of the United States v. G. William Miller, et al., filed December 1978, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- Commercial National Bank, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed December 1978, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
- Ella Jackson et al., v. Board of Governors, filed November 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
- Metro-North State Bank, Kansas City v. Board of Governors, filed October 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
- Manchester-Tower Grove Community Organization/ACORN v. Board of Governors, filed September 1978, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.

- Beckley v. Board of Governors, filed July 1978, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Illinois. Independent Bankers Association of Texas v. First National Bank in Dallas, et al., filed July 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Northern District of Texas.
- Mid-Nebraska Bancshares, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed July 1978, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
- NCNB Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed June 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Fourth Circuit.
- United States League of Savings Associations v. Board of Governors, filed May 1978, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- Security Bancorp and Security National Bank v. Board of Governors, filed March 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.
- Michigan National Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed January 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Sixth Circuit.
- Wisconsin Bankers Association v. Board of

- Governors, filed January 1978, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
- Vickars-Henry Corp. v. Board of Governors, filed December 1977, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.
- Emch v. The United States of America, et al., filed November 1977 for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.
- Central Bank v. Board of Governors, filed October 1977, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
- Investment Company Institute v. Board of Governors, filed September 1977, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- BankAmerica Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1977, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of California.
- BankAmerica Corporation v. Board of Gover-

- nors, filed May 1977, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.
- Roberts Farms, Inc. v. Comptroller of the Currency, et al., filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of California.
- Florida Association of Insurance Agents, Inc. v. Board of Governors, and National Association of Insurance Agents, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed August 1975, actions consolidated in U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
- David R. Merrill, et al., v. Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System, filed May 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- Bankers Trust New York Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1973, U.S.C.A. for the Second Circuit.

Directors of Federal Reserve Banks and Branches

Following is a list of the directorates of the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches as at present constituted. The list shows, in addition to the name of each director, his principal business affiliation, the class of directorship, and the date when his term expires. Each Federal Reserve Bank has nine directors; three Class A and three Class B directors, who are elected by the stockholding member banks, and three Class C directors, who are appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. All Federal Reserve Bank directors are chosen without discrimination on the basis of race, creed, color, sex, or national origin. Class A directors are representative of the stockholding member banks. Class B directors represent the public and are elected with due but not exclusive consideration to the interests of agriculture, commerce, industry, services, labor, and consumers, and may not be officers, directors, or employees of any bank.

For the purpose of electing Class A and Class B directors, the member banks of each Federal Reserve district are classified by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System into three groups, each of which consists of banks of

similar capitalization, and each group elects one Class A and one Class B director. Class C directors are selected to represent the public with due but not exclusive consideration to the interests of agriculture, commerce, industry, services, labor, and consumers, and may not be officers, directors, employees, or stockholders of any bank. One Class C director is designated by the Board of Governors as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Federal Reserve Agent and another is appointed Deputy Chairman. Federal Reserve Branches have either five or seven directors, of whom a majority are appointed by the Board of Directors of the parent Federal Reserve Bank; the others are appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. One of the directors appointed by the Board of Governors at each Branch is designated annually as Chairman of the Board in such a manner as the Federal Reserve Bank may prescribe.

In this list of the directorates, names followed by footnote 1 (1) are Chairmen, those by footnote 2 (2) are Deputy Chairmen, and those by footnote 3 (3) indicate new appointments.

DISTRICT 1—BOSTON		Term expires
Class A		Dec. 31
John Hunter, Jr.	President, Vermont National Bank, Brattleboro, Vt.	1979
Richard D. Hill	Chairman of the Board, First National Boston Corporation, Boston, Mass.	1980
Fred A. White ³	President, Dartmouth National Bank of Hanover, Hanover, N.H.	1981
Class B		
Carol R. Goldberg	President, Stop & Shop Manufacturing Company, Boston, Mass.	1979
Weston P. Figgins	Chairman of the Board, Wm. Filene's Sons Company, Boston, Mass.	1980
Robert D. Kilpatrick ³	President & Chief Executive Officer, Connecticut General Life Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.	1981

DISTRICT 1—BOSTON—C	Continued	Term
Class C		expires Dec. 31
Kenneth I. Guscott Robert M. Solow ¹	President, Ken Guscott Associates, Boston Mass. Institute Professor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology	1979
Robert P. Henderson ^{2,3}	Cambridge, Mass. President & Chief Executive Officer, Itek Corp., Lexington, Mass.	1980 1981
District 2—New York		
Class A		
Ellmore C. Patterson	Chairman of the Executive Committee, Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	1979
Raymond W. Bauer	Chairman and President, United Counties Trust Company, Elizabeth, N.J.	1980
James Whelden	President, Ballston Spa National Bank, Ballston Spa, N.Y.	1981
Class B		
Maurice F. Granville William S. Sneath	Chairman of the Board, Texaco, Inc., White Plains, N.Y. Chairman of the Board, Union Carbide Corporation, New	1979
John R. Mulhearn	York, N.Y. President, New York Telephone Company, New York,	1980
Class C	N.Y.	1981
Boris Yavitz ²	Dean, Graduate School of Business, Columbia University, New York, N.Y.	1979
Robert H. Knight ¹	Partner, Shearman and Sterling, Attorneys, New York, N.Y.	1980
Gertrude Michelson	Senior Vice President, Macy's New York, New York, N.Y.	1981
—Buffalo Br.	ANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	rve Bank	
M. Jane Dickman William B. Webber	Partner, Touche Ross & Co., Buffalo, N.Y. Vice Chairman of the Board, Lincoln First Bank, N.A.,	1979
William S. Cavitt	Rochester, N.Y. President, The Lyons National Bank, Lyons, N.Y.	1979
William S. Gavitt Robert J. Donough	President and Chief Executive Officer, Liberty National Bank and Trust Co., Buffalo, N.Y.	1980 1981
Appointed by Board of Gov	vernors	
Frederick D. Berkeley ¹	Chairman of the Board and President, Graham Manufac-	
John R. Burwell ³	turing Company, Inc., Batavia, N.Y. President, Rollins Container Corporation, Rochester, N.Y.	1979 1980
George L. Wessel ³	President, Buffalo AFL-CIO Council, Buffalo, N.Y.	1981

District 3—Philadele	PHIA	Term expires
Class A		Dec. 31
Donald J. Seebold	President, The First National Bank of Danville, Danville, Pa.	1979
John R. Biechler ³	President and Chief Executive Officer, The Commonwealth National Bank, Harrisburg, Pa.	1980
Robert H. Deacon ³	President, The Bank of Mid-Jersey, Bordentown, N.J.	1981
Class B		
William S. Masland	President and Chief Executive Officer, C.H. Masland & Sons, Carlisle, Pa.	1979
Jack K. Busby	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Power & Light Co., Allentown, Pa.	1980
Richard P. Hauser ³	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, John Wanamaker, Philadelphia, Pa.	1981
Class C		
Jean Crockett	Chairman, Dept. of Finance, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.	1979
Werner C. Brown ² John W. Eckman ¹	Chairman, Hercules Incorporated, Wilmington, Del. Chairman and President, Rorer Group, Inc., Fort Wash-	1980
	ington, Pa.	1981
DISTRICT 4—CLEVELAN	JD	
Class A		
John W. Alford John A. Gelbach	President, The Park National Bank, Newark, Ohio Chairman of the Board, Central National Bank of Cleveland, Cleveland, Ohio	1979 1980
Everett L. Maffett ³	President and Chief Executive Officer, Eaton National Bank and Trust Co., Eaton, Ohio	1981
Class B		
Charles Y. Lazarus Hays T. Watkins	Chairman, The F. & R. Lazarus Co., Columbus, Ohio Chairman and President, Chessie System, Cleveland,	1979
Walter J. Robb, Sr. ³	Ohio Chairman and Senior Partner, Proctor, Robb & Company, Granville, Ohio	1980 1981
Class C		
Robert E. Kirby ¹	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Westinghouse Electric Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.	1979
Arnold R. Weber ² J. L. Jackson ³	Provost, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pa. President, Falcon Coal Company Inc., Lexington, Ky.	1980 1981
—CINCINNAT	i Branch	
Appointed by Federal Re.	serve Bank	
William N. Liggett	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, The First National Bank of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio	1979

District 4—Cleveland	—Continued	Term expires
-Cincinatti BranchContinued		Dec. 31
Appointed by Federal Reserv	e Bank	
Walter W. Hillenmeyer, Jr. Lawrence C. Hawkins	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, First Security National Bank & Trust Co., Lexington, Ky. Senior Vice President, University of Cincinnati, Cincin-	1980
Elden Houts ³	nati, Ohio President, The Citizens Commercial Bank and Trust Company, Celina, Ohio	1981 1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Sister Michael Leo Mullaney ³ Lawrence H. Rogers II ⁴ Martin B. Friedman	President, St. Joseph Hospital, Lexington, Ky. President and Chief Executive Officer, Omega Communications, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio President, Formica Corporation, Cincinnati, Ohio	1979 1980 1981
Pittsburgh	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese		1070
Peter Mortensen William E. Bierer Robert W. Fiscus ³	President, F.N.B. Corporation, Sharon, Pa. President, Equibank N.A., Pittsburgh, Pa. President and Chief Executive Officer, The Savings & Trust Company of Pennsylvania, Indiana, Pa.	1979 1980 1981
R. Burt Gookin	Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, H. J. Heinz Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
G. Jackson Tankersley ¹	President, Consolidated Natural Gas Company, Pitts burgh, Pa.	1979
Lloyd M. McBride	President, United Steelworkers of America, Pittsburgh, Pa.	1980
William H. Knoell	President, Cyclops Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.	1981
District 5—Richmond		
Class A		
Frank B. Robards, Jr. Frederic H. Phillips Vincent C. Burke, Jr. ³	President, Rock Hill National Bank, Rock Hill, S.C. President, New Bank of Roanoke, Roanoke, Va. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Riggs National Bank of Washington, D.C., Washington, D.C.	1979 1980 1981
Class B		•
Andrew L. Clark	President, Andy Clark Ford, Inc., Princeton, W.Va.	1979
Thomas A. Jordan	Secretary-Treasurer, Stuart Furniture Industries, Inc., Asheboro, N.C.	1980
Paul G. Miller ³	Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer, Commercial Credit Company, Baltimore, Md.	1981

DISTRICT 5—RICHMOND—	–Continued	Term
Class C		expires Dec. 31
E. Angus Powell ¹	Partner, Midlothian Company, Midlothian, Va.	1979
Steven Muller Maceo A. Sloan ²	President, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. Executive Vice President, North Carolina Mutual Life	1980
	Insurance Co., Durham, N.C.	1981
—BALTIMORE I	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese	rve Bank	
Lacy I. Rice, Jr.	President, The Old National Bank of Martinsburg, Martinsburg, W. Va.	1979
A. R. Reppert	President, The Union National Bank of Clarksburg, Clarksburg, W. Va.	1979
Joseph M. Gough, Jr.	President, The First National Bank of St. Mary's, Leo nardtown, Md.	1980
Pearl C. Brackett	Assistant/Deputy Manager, Baltimore Regional Chapter of American Red Cross, Baltimore, Md.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
I. E. Killian ¹	President, Killian Enterprises, Inc., Gibson Island, Md.	1979
Catherine Byrne Doehler	Baltimore, Md.	1980
Joseph H. McLain	President, Washington College, Chestertown, Md.	1981
—Charlotte	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese	rve Bank	
Thomas L. Benson W. B. Apple, Jr.	President, The Conway National Bank, Conway, S.C. President, First National Bank of Reidsville, Reidsville, N.C.	1979 1979
John T. Fielder	President, J. B. Ivey and Company, Charlotte, N.C.	1980
Hugh M. Chapman ³	Chairman of the Board, The Citizens & Southern National Bank of South Carolina, Columbia, S.C.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Naomi G. Albanese	Dean, School of Home Economics, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, N.C.	1979
Robert E. Elberson ¹	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Hanes Corporation, Winston-Salem, N.C.	1979
Henry Ponder ³	President, Benedict College, Columbia, S.C.	1981
District 6—Atlanta		
Class A		
John T. Oliver, Jr.	President, First National Bank of Jasper, Jasper, Ala.	1979
Hugh M. Willson Guy W. Botts ³	President, Citizens National Bank, Athens, Tenn. Chairman of the Board, Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc.	1980
-	Jacksonville, Fla.	1981

District 6—Atlanta -	–Continued	Term expires
Class B		Dec. 31
Jean McArthur Davis Ulysses V. Goodwyn	President, McArthur Dairy, Inc., Miami, Fla. Executive Vice President, Southern Natural Resources	1979
Floyd W. Lewis ³	Inc., Birmingham, Ala. President, Middle South Utilities, Inc., New Orleans, La.	1980 1981
Class C		
Clifford M. Kirtland, Jr. ¹	President, Cox Broadcasting Corporation, Atlanta, Ga.	1979
William A. Fickling, Jr. ²	President and Chairman, Charter Medical Corporation, Macon, Ga.	1980
Fred Adams, Jr.	President, Cal-Maine Foods, Inc., Jackson, Miss.	1981
—Birmingham	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese	rve Bank	
Drury Flowers Martha H. Simms	Chairman, First Alabama Bank of Dothan, Dothan, Ala. Huntsville, Ala.	1979 1979
George S. Shirley	President, The First National Bank of Tuscaloosa, Tuscaloosa, Ala.	1980
Guy H. Caffey, Jr. ³	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Southern Bancorporation of Alabama, Birmingham, Ala.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
William H. Martin, III ¹	President and Chief Executive Officer, Martin Industries, Inc., Florence, Ala.	1979
Harold B. Blach, Jr. Louis J. Willie ³	President, Blach's, Inc., Birmingham, Ala. Executive Vice President, Booker T. Washington Insurance Co., Birmingham, Ala.	1980 1981
—Jacksonvili	E Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Richard E. Ehlis	President, Florida National Bank at Lakeland, Lakeland, Fla.	1979
William E. Arnold, Jr.	President, William E. Arnold Company, Jacksonville, Fla.	1979
DuBose Ausley	President and Chief Executive Officer, Capital City First National Bank, Tallahassee, Fla.	1980
Robert E. Warfield, Jr. ³	Chairman and President, The First National Bank and Trust Co., Eustis, Fla.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Copeland D. Newbern ¹	Chairman of the Board, Newbern Groves, Inc., Tampa, Fla.	1979
Joan W. Stein	Partner, Regency Square Shopping Center, Jacksonville, Fla.	1980
Jerome P. Keuper ³	President, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Fla.	1981

DISTRICT 6—ATLANTA—Continued

MIAMI BRANG	СН	Term expires
Appointed by Federal Reserve Bank		Dec. 31
Aristides R. Sastre Tully F. Dunlap ³ Jane C. Cousins Alfred W. Roepstorff ³	President, Republic National Bank, Miami, Fla. Chairman, Florida National Bank, Miami, Fla. President, Cousins Associates, Inc., Miami, Fla. President, National Bank of Collier County, Marco Island, Fla.	1979 1980 1981
Appointed by Board of Gov	vernors	
Castle W. Jordan ¹ David G. Robinson Roy W. Vandergrift ³	President, Aegis Corporation, Coral Gables, Fla. President, Edison Community College, Fort Myers, Fla. President, Vandergrift-Williams Farms, Inc., Pahokee, Fla.	1979 1980 1981
Nashville B	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Research	rve Bank	
Virgil H. Moore, Jr.	President, First Farmers and Merchants National Bank, Columbia, Tenn.	1979
Frank C. Thomas	President, Stearns Coal and Lumber Company, Knoxville, Tenn.	1979
James R. Austin	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Peoples National Bank, Shelbyville, Tenn.	1980
Ruth W. Ellis ³	President, Mountain Empire Bank, Johnson City, Tenn.	1981
Appointed by Board of Gov	vernors	
Cecelia Adkins	Executive Director, Sunday School Publishing Board, Nashville, Tenn.	1979
Robert C. H. Mathews, Jr.	President, R. C. Mathews, Contractor, Inc., Nashville, Tenn.	1980
John C. Bolinger ¹	Management Consultant, Knoxville, Tenn.	1981
New Orlean	S BRANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	rve Bank	
Martin C. Miler	Chairman of the Board and President, The Hibernia National Bank, New Orleans, La.	1979
George P. Hopkins, Jr. William E. Howard, Jr.	President, George P. Hopkins, Inc., Gulfport, Miss. Chairman of the Board, Commercial National Bank and	1979
Robert H. Bolton ³	Trust Company of Laurel, Laurel, Miss. President, Rapides Bank and Trust Company in Alexan-	1980
	dria, Alexandria, La.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Levere C. Montgomery ¹ George C. Cortright, Jr.	President, Time Saver Stores, Inc., New Orleans, La. Partner, George C. Cortright Company, Rolling Fork,	1979
See E. Comigni, II.	Miss.	1980

DISTRICT 6—ATLANTA—Continued		Term expires
New Orlea	New Orleans Branch -Continued	
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Horatio C. Thompson ³	President, Horatio Thompson Investment Company, Baton Rouge, La.	1981
District 7—Chicago		
Class A		
Jay J. DeLay	President, Huron Valley National Bank, Ann Arbor, Mich.	1979
John F. Spies	President, Iowa Trust and Savings Bank, Emmetsburg, Iowa	1980
A. Robert Abboud	Chairman of the Board, The First National Bank of Chicago, Chicago, III.	1981
Class B		
Mary Garst ³	Manager of Cattle Division, Garst Company, Coon Rapids, Iowa	1979
Arthur J. Decio	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Skyline Corporation, Elkhart, Ind.	1980
Dennis W. Hunt ³	President, Hunt Truck Lines, Inc., Rockwell City, Iowa	1981
Class C		
Robert H. Strotz ¹ John Sagan ²	President, Northwestern University, Evanston, III. Vice President—Treasurer, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Mich.	1979 1980
Edward F. Brabec	Business Manager, Chicago Journeymen Plumbers, Local 130, Chicago, III.	1981
—Detroit Br	ANCH	
Appointed by Federal Reso	erve Bank	
Rodkey Craighead	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Detroitbank Cor-	1979
Lawrence A. Johns	poration, Detroit, Mich. President, Isabella Bank and Trust, Mount Pleasant, Mich.	1979
Charles R. Montgomery	President, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company, Detroit, Mich.	1981
James H. Duncan ³	Chairman, First National Bank and Trust Company of Michigan, Kalamazoo, Mich.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Jordan B. Tatter ¹	President and Chief Executive Officer, Southern Michigan	1070
Howard F. Sims	Cold Storage Co., Benton Harbor, Mich. President, Sims-Varner Associates, Inc., Detroit, Mich.	1979 1980

DISTRICT 7—CHICAGO—	-Continued	Term expires
—Detroit Bra	NCHContinued	Dec. 31
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Herbert H. Dow	Director and Secretary, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Mich.	1981
District 8—St. Louis		
Class A		
Raymond C. Burroughs	President and Chief Executive Officer, The City National	1070
Donald N. Brandin	Bank of Murphysboro, Murphysboro, Ill. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Boatmen's	1979
George M. Ryrie ³	National Bank of St. Louis, St. Louis, Mo. President and Chief Executive Officer, First National Bank	1980
	& Trust Company, Alton, III.	1981
Class B		
Virginia M. Bailey	Owner, Eldo Properties, Little Rock, Ark.	1979
Ralph C. Bain Tom K. Smith, Jr.	Vice President, Wabash Plastics, Inc., Evansville, Ind. Senior Vice President, Monsanto Company, St. Louis, Mo.	1980 1981
Class C		
Armand C. Stalnaker ¹	Chairman of the Board, General American Life Insurance Co., St. Louis, Mo.	1979
William H. Stroube	Associate Dean of Faculty Programs, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, Ky.	1980
William B. Walton ²	Vice Chairman of the Board, Holiday Inns, Inc., Memphis, Tenn.	1981
—Little Roc	K Branch	
Appointed by Federal Res	serve Bank	
B. Finley Vinson	Vice Chairman of the Board, The First National Bank in Little Rock, Little Rock, Ark.	1979
Thomas E. Hays, Jr.	President and Chief Executive Officer, The First National	
Gordon E. Parker ^a	Bank of Hope, Hope, Ark. President and Chief Executive Officer, The First National	1980
Shirley J. Pine ³	Bank of El Dorado, El Dorado, Ark. Professor, Speech Communication, University of Ar-	1981
	kansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, Ark.	1981
Appointed by Board of G	overnors	
E. Ray Kemp, Jr.	Vice Chairman of the Board and Chief Administrative Officer, Dillard Department Stores, Inc., Little Rock, Ark.	1979
	,	17/7

DISTRICT 8—St. Louis-	–Continued	Term expires
»Little Rock	Branch—Continued	Dec. 31
Appointed by Board of Gov	vernors	
Ronald W. Bailey	Executive Vice President and General Manager, Producers Rice Mill, Inc. Stuttgart, Ark.	1980
G. Larry Kelley ¹	President, Pickens-Bond Construction Co., Little Rock, Ark.	1981
—Louisville	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Reso	erve Bank	
Howard Brenner	Vice Chairman of the Board, Tell City National Bank, Tell City, Ind.	1979
J. David Grissom	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Citizens Fidelity Bank and Trust Co., Louisville, Ky.	1980
Fred B. Oney	President, The First National Bank of Carrollton, Carrollton, Ky.	1981
Sister Eileen M. Egan ³	President, Spalding College, Louisville, Ky.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
James F. Thompson ¹	Professor of Economics, Murray State University, Murray, Ky.	1979
Richard O. Donegan	Vice President and Group Executive, Major Appliance Business Group, General Electric Company, Louis-	.000
Wendell G. Rayburn ^a	ville, Ky. Dean of University College, University of Louisville, Louisville, Ky.	1980 1981
—Мемрніs Вк	RANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Earl L. McCarroll	President, The Farmers Bank & Trust Co., Blytheville,	1979
Charles S. Youngblood	President and Chief Executive Officer, First Columbus National Bank, Columbus, Miss.	1980
Stallings Lipford	President, First-Citizens National Bank of Dyersburg, Dyersburg, Tenn.	1981
Bruce E. Campbell, Jr. ³	Chairman and President, National Bank of Commerce, Memphis, Tenn.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Walter L. Walker ³ Frank A. Jones, Jr. ¹ Benjamin P. Pierce ³	President, LeMoyne-Owen College, Memphis, Tenn. President, Cook Industries, Inc., Memphis, Tenn. President, Tyrone Hydraulics, Inc., Corinth, Miss.	1979 1980 1981

District 9—Minneapoi	.IS	Term expires
Class A		Dec. 31
Nels E. Turnquist	President, National Bank of South Dakota, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.	1979
James H. Smaby	President, Commercial National Bank & Trust Co., Iron Mountain, Mich.	1980
Donald L. Scothorn ³	President, First State Bank, Stevensville, Mont.	1981
Class B		
Warren B. Jones	Secretary-Treasurer, Two Dot Land & Livestock Co., Harlowton, Mont.	1979
Donald P. Helgeson	Secretary and Vice President, Jack Frost, Inc., St. Cloud, Minn.	1980
Russell G. Cleary	Chairman and President, G. Heileman Brewing Company, LaCrosse, Wis.	1981
Class C		
Sister Generose Gervais Stephen F. Keating ¹	Administrator, St. Mary's Hospital, Rochester, Minn. Vice Chairman of the Board, Honeywell, Inc., Min-	1979
William G. Phillips ^{2,3}	neapolis, Minn. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, International	1980
William Cr. Filmips	Multifoods, Minneapolis, Minn.	1981
HELENA BRA	NCH	
Appointed by Federal Reso	erve Bank	
Lynn D. Grobel	President, First National Bank of Glasgow, Glasgow, Mont.	1979
William B. Andrews Jase O. Norsworthy ³	President, Northwestern Bank of Helena, Helena, Mont. President, The N.R.G. Company, Billings, Mont.	1980 1980
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Norris E. Hanford Patricia P. Douglas ⁽	Wheat & Barley Operator, Fort Benton, Mont. Vice President-Fiscal Affairs, University of Montana,	1979
ratica 1. Dvagia.	Missoula, Mont.	1980
District 10—Kansas C	ITY	
Class A		
Philip Hamm	President, First National Bank & Trust Co., Eldorado, Kans.	1979
Craig Bachman	President, First National Bank of Centralia, Centralia, Kans.	17/7
John D. Woods ³	Chairman & Chief Executive Officer, The Omaha National Bank, Omaha, Neb.	1981

District 10—Kansas (CITY—Continued	Term
Class B		expires Dec. 31
John A. McKinney	President and Chief Executive Officer, Johns-Manville Corporation, Denver, Colo.	1979
James G. Harlow, Jr.	President, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co., Oklahoma City, Okla.	1980
Alan R. Sleeper	Rancher, Alden, Kans.	1981
Class C		
Paul H. Henson	Chairman, United Telecommunications, Inc., Westwood, Kans.	1979
Joseph H. Williams ²	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Williams Companies, Tulsa, Okla.	1980
Harold W. Andersen ¹	President, Omaha World-Herald Company, Omaha, Nebr.	1981
—Denver B	RANCH	
Appointed by Federal Res	erve Bank	
Felix Buchenroth, Jr. William H. Vernon	President, The Jackson State Bank, Jackson, Wyo. Director, and Former Chairman and Chief Executive	1979
Delano E. Scott	Officer, Santa Fe National Bank, Santa Fe, N.M. President and Chairman, The Routt County National Bank of Steamboat Springs, Steamboat Springs, Colo.	1980 1980
Appointed by Board of G	overnors	
A. L. Feldman ¹	President and Chief Executive Officer, Frontier Airlines,	
Doris M. Drury ³	Denver, Colo. Professor and Chairman, Department of Economics, University of Denver, Denver, Colo.	1979 1980
OKLAHOM/	A CITY BRANCH	
Appointed by Federal Res	erve Bank	
J. A. Maurer	Chairman, Security National Bank & Trust Co., Duncan, Okla.	1979
W. L. Stephenson, Jr.	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Central National Bank & Trust Co. of Enid, Enid, Okla.	1980
V. M. Thompson, Jr.	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Utica National Bank and Trust Co., Tulsa, Okla.	1980
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Christine H. Anthony 1	Oklahoma City, Okla.	1979
Samuel R. Noble ³	Chairman of the Board, Noble Affiliates, Inc., Ardmore, Okla.	1980

DISTRICT 10—KANSAS C	SITY—Continued	Term expires
—Омана Вк	ANCH	Dec. 31
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Roy G. Dinsdale	Chairman of the Board, Farmers National Bank of Central City, Central City, Nebr.	1979
Joe J. Huckfeldt	President, Gering National Bank & Trust Co., Gering, Nebr.	1979
F. Phillips Giltner	President, First National Bank of Omaha, Omaha, Nebr.	1980
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Durward B. Varner ¹	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, University of Nebraska Foundation, Lincoln, Nebr.	1979
Robert G. Lueder ³	President, Lueder Construction Company, Omaha, Nebr.	1980
DISTRICT 11—DALLAS		
Class A		
Gene D. Adams	President, The First National Bank of Seymour, Seymour, Tex.	1979
Frank Junell	Chairman of the Board, The Central National Bank of San Angelo, San Angelo, Tex.	1980
Lewis H. Bond ³	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Texas American Bancshares, Inc., Ft. Worth, Tex.	1981
Class B		
Stewart Orton	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Foley's, Division of Federated Dept. Stores, Inc., Houston, Tex.	1979
Vacancy J. Wayland Bennett ³	Associate Dean for Industry Relations and Professor of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Tex.	1980
Class C		
Margaret S. Wilson	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer,	1070
Irving A. Mathews 1	Scarbroughs Stores, Austin, Tex. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Frost	1979
Gerald D. Hines ²	Bros., Inc., San Antonio, Tex. Owner, Gerald D. Hines Interests, Houston, Tex.	1980 1981
—Ei. Paso B	RANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Arthur L. Gonzales	President, First City National Bank of El Paso, El Paso,	1070
Claude E. Leyendecker	Tex. President, Mimbres Valley Bank, Deming, N. Mex.	1979 1980

DISTRICT 11—DALLAS—	Continued	Term
—Et Paso Br	RANCH—Continued	expires Dec. 31
Appointed by Federal Research	rve Bank	
Arnold B. Peinado, Jr. Charles A. Joplin ³	Partner, AVC Development, El Paso, Tex. President, Security National Bank of Roswell, Roswell, N. Mex.	1981 1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
A. J. Losee ¹	Shareholder, Losee, Carson, & Dickerson, P.A., Artesia, N. Mex.	1979
Chester J. Kesey Josefina A. Salas-Porras	C. J. Kesey Enterprises, Pecos, Tex. Executive Director, BI Language Services, El Paso, Tex.	1980 1981
—Houston	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Reso	erve Bank	
Page K. Stubblefield	Chairman of the Board, Victoria Bank & Trust Company, Victoria, Tex.	1979
Raymond L. Britton ³	Labor Aribitrator and Professor of Law, University of Houston, Houston, Tex.	1980
John T. Cater ³	President, Bank of the Southwest National Association, Houston, Tex.	1981
Ralph E. David ³	President, First Freeport National Bank, Freeport, Tex.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Jerome L. Howard	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Mortgage & Trust, Inc., Houston, Tex.	1979
Gene M. Woodfin ¹	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Marathon Manufacturing Company, Houston, Tex.	1980
Granville M. Sawyer ³	President, Texas Southern University, Houston, Tex.	1981
SAN ANTO	NIO BRANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Ben R. Low	President, National Bank of Commerce, San Antonio, Tex.	1979
John H. Garner	President and Chief Executive Officer, Corpus Christi National Bank, Corpus Christi, Tex.	1980
John H. Holcomb	Owner-Manager, Progreso Haciendas Company, Progreso, Tex.	1981
Charles E. Cheever, Jr. ³	President, Broadway National Bank, San Antonio, Tex.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Pat Legan ¹ John J. McKetta	Owner, Legan Properties, San Antonio, Tex. E.P. Schoch Professor of Chemical Engineering, Univer-	1979
Carlos A. Zuniga	sity of Texas, Austin, Tex. Partner, Zuniga Storage and Forwarding Company,	1980
	Laredo, Tex.	1981

DISTRICT 12—SAN FRAN	ICISCO	Term expires
Class A		Dec. 31
Frederick G. Larkin, Jr.	Chairman of the Executive Committee, Security Pacific National Bank, Los Angeles, Calif.	1979
Ole R. Mettler	President and Chairman of the Board, Farmers & Merchants Bank of Central California, Lodi, Calif.	1980
Robert A. Young ³	Chairman and President, Northwest National Bank, Vancouver, Wash.	1981
Class B		
Clair L. Peck, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, C.L. Peck Contractor, Los Angeles, Calif.	1979
J.R. Vaughan	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Knudsen Corporation, Los Angeles, Calif.	1980
Malcolm T. Stamper	President, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Wash.	1981
Class C		
Dorothy Wright Nelson	Dean and Professor of Law, University of Southern California Law Center, Los Angeles, Calif.	1979
Cornell C. Maier ²	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp., Oakland, Calif.	1980
Joseph F. Alibrandi ¹	President and Chief Executive Officer, Whittaker Corp., Los Angeles, Calif.	1981
-Los Angei	ES BRANCH	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Joseph J. Pinola	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Western Bancorporation, Los Angeles, Calif.	1979
Fern Jellison	General Manager, Social Service Department, City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, Calif.	1979
James D. McMahon Harvey J. Mitchell	President, Santa Clarita National Bank, Newhall, Calif. President, First National Bank of San Diego County, Escondido, Calif.	1980
	Escondido, Cam.	1901
Appointed by Board of Go	vernors	
Togo W. Tanaka ³ Caroline Ahmanson ¹	President, Gramercy Enterprises, Los Angeles, Calif. Chairman of the Board, Caroline Leonetti, Ltd., Beverly	1979
Harvey A. Proctor	Hills, Calif. Chairman of the Board, Southern California Gas Company, Los Angeles, Calif.	1980 1981
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.,,,,
PORTLAND	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Rese	erve Bank	
Merle G. Bryan	President, Forest Grove National Bank, Forest Grove,	1070
Kenneth Smith	Oreg. General Manager, The Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, Warm Springs, Oreg.	1979 1980

DISTRICT 12—SAN FRAN	scisco—Continued	Term
- Portland	Branch- Continued	expires Dec. 31
Appointed by Federal Research	rve Bank	
Jack W. Gustavel ^a Robert F. Wallace	President and Chief Executive Officer, First National Bank of North Idaho, Coeur d' Alene, Idaho Chairman of the Board, First National Bank of Oregon,	1981
	Portland, Oreg.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Phillip W. Schneider	Northwest Regional Executive, National Wildlife Federation, Portland, Oreg.	1979
Loran L. Stewart [†] Jean Mater	Director, Bohemia Inc., Eugene, Oreg. Partner and General Manager, Mater Engineering, Corvallis, Oreg.	1980 1981
Salt Laki	City Branch	
Appointed by Federal Reso	erve Bank	
Fred H. Stringham	President, Valley Bank and Trust Company, South Salt Lake, Utah	1979
Mary S. Knox Robert E. Bryans	Chairman, Idaho State Bank, Glenns Ferry, Idaho Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer,	1980
David P. Gardner	Walker Bank & Trust Company, Salt Lake City, Utah President, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah	1981 1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Robert A. Erkins	White Arrow Ranch, Bliss, Idaho	1979
J. L. Terteling Wendell J. Ashton 1,3	Terteling Company, Inc., Boise, Idaho Publisher, Deseret News Publishing Company, Salt Lake City, Utah	1980
Seattle B	Branch	
Appointed by Federal Res	erve Bank	
Donald L. Mellish	Chairman of the Board, National Bank of Alaska, An chorage, Alaska	1979
Rufus C. Smith	Chairman, The First National Bank of Enumelaw, Enum claw, Wash.	1980
Douglas S. Gamble	President and Chief Executive Officer, Pacific Gamble Robinson Co., Seattle, Wash.	1981
C. M. Berry ³	President, Seattle First National Bank, Seattle, Wash.	1981
Appointed by Board of Go	overnors	
Merle D. Adlum	Vice President, Seafarer's International Union of North America, AFL CIO, Seattle, Wash.	1979
Virginia L. Parks	Vice President—Business and Finance, Scattle University, Scattle, Wash.	1980
Lloyd E. Cooney 1	President and General Manager, KIRO- Radio & Televi sion, Seattle, Wash.	1981

Financial and Business Statistics

CONTENTS

Domestic Financial Statistics

- A3 Monetary aggregates and interest rates
- A4 Factors affecting member bank reserves
- A5 Reserves and borrowings of member banks
- A6 Federal funds transactions of money market banks

POLICY INSTRUMENTS

- A8 Federal Reserve Bank interest rates
- A9 Member bank reserve requirements
- A10 Maximum interest rates payable on time and savings deposits at federally insured institutions
- A11 Federal Reserve open market transactions

FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

- A12 Condition and F.R. note statements
- A13 Maturity distribution of loan and security holdings

MONETARY AND CREDIT AGGREGATES

- A13 Bank debits and deposit turnover
- A14 Money stock measures and components
- A15 Aggregate reserves and deposits of member banks
- A15 Loans and investments of all commercial banks

COMMERCIAL BANK ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

- A16 Last-Wednesday-of-month series
- A17 Call-date series
- A18 Detailed balance sheet, September 30, 1978

Weekly Reporting Commercial Banks

- Assets and Liabilities of --
- A20 All reporting banks
- A21 Banks in New York City
- A22 Banks outside New York City
- A23 Balance sheet memoranda
- A24 Commercial and industrial loans
- A25 Gross demand deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations

FINANCIAL MARKETS

- A25 Commercial paper and bankers acceptances outstanding
- A26 Prime rate charged by banks on short-term business loans
- A26 Terms of lending at commercial banks
- A27 Interest rates in money and capital markets
- A28 Stock market --Selected statistics
- A29 Savings institutions Selected assets and liabilities

FEDERAL FINANCE

- A30 Federal fiscal and financing operations
- A31 U.S. budget receipts and outlays
- A32 Federal debt subject to statutory limitation
- A32 Gross public debt of U.S. Treasury - Types and ownership
- A33 U.S. government marketable securities -Ownership, by maturity
- A34 U.S. government securities dealers— Transactions, positions, and financing
- A35 Federal and federally sponsored credit agencies—Debt outstanding

SECURITIES MARKETS AND CORPORATE FINANCE

- A36 New security issues—State and local governments and corporations
- A37 Open-end investment companies Net sales and asset position
- A37 Corporate profits and their distribution
- A38 Nonfinancial corporations—Assets and liabilities
- A38 Business expenditures on new plant and equipment
- A39 Domestic finance companies –Assets and liabilities; business credit

REAL ESTATE

- A40 Mortgage markets
- A41 Mortgage debt outstanding

Consumer Installment Credit

- A42 Total outstanding and net change
- A43 Extensions and liquidations

FLOW OF FUNDS

- A44 Funds raised in U.S. credit markets
- A45 Direct and indirect sources of funds to credit markets

Domestic Nonfinancial Statistics

- A46 Nonfinancial business activity Selected measures
- A46 Output, capacity, and capacity utilization
- A47 Labor force, employment, and unemployment
- A48 Industrial production—Indexes and gross value
- A50 Housing and construction
- A51 Consumer and wholesale prices
- A52 Gross national product and income
- A53 Personal income and saving

International Statistics

- A54 U.S. international transactions Summary
- A55 U.S. foreign trade
- A55 U.S. reserve assets
- A56 Foreign branches of U.S. banks -- Balance sheet data
- A58 Selected U.S. liabilities to foreign official institutions

REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES

- A59 Liabilities to foreigners
- A61 Banks' own claims on foreigners
- A62 Banks' own and domestic customers' claims on foreigners
- A63 Banks' own claims on unaffiliated foreigners
- A63 Liabilities to and claims on foreigners

SECURITIES HOLDINGS AND TRANSACTIONS

- A64 Marketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes —Foreign holdings and transactions
- A64 Foreign official assets held at F.R. Banks
- A65 Foreign transactions in securities

REPORTED BY NONBANKING CONCERNS IN THE UNITED STATES

- A66 Short-term liabilities to and claims on foreigners
- A67 Long-term liabilities to and claims on foreigners

Interest and Exchange Rates

- A68 Discount rates of foreign central banks
- A68 Foreign short-term interest rates

Special Table

A69 Sales, revenue, profits, and dividends of large manufacturing corporations

Inside Back Cover

Guide to Tabular Presentation and Statistical Releases

1.10 MONETARY AGGREGATES AND INTEREST RATES

Item		197	78		1978				1979
	QI .	Q2	Q3	Q4	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
<u> </u>		(annı				aggregate y adjusted		nt) ¹³	<u>'</u>
Member bank reserves 1 Total	8.9 8.8 14.5 9.9	6.2 6.7 0.6 7.6	8.6 8.6 6.6 9.3	r2.3 r2.1 r4.6 8.4	8.6 8.0 11.3 13.4	75.1 76.0 7 -1.2 8.0	r- 3.6 r - 5.4 r 13.4 5.7	r = 0.1 r = 0.4 r = 4.9 7.9	6.0 6.6 2.2 8.6
Concepts of money 2 5 M-1	6.6 5.0 7.0 8.1	9.2 7.2 8.4 8.4	8.1 6.0 9.9 10.4	4.4 2.5 7.7 79.3	13.8 12.1 13.0 13.4	1.7 0.8 6.5 8.8	2.0 4.9 4.7 6.7	1.7 1.4 2.7 75.5	- 5.3 8.0 1.2 3.3
Time and savings deposits Commercial banks: 9 Total. 10 Savings. 11 Other time. 12 Thrift institutions ³ .	12.5 2.0 11.7 9.7	11.5 3.8 11.4 8.5	11.3 2.3 18.5 11.1	12.4 0.9 19.2 (11.6	12.7 9.7 14.8 14.0	8.5 1.6 19.3 12.0	21.9 -9.6 24.5 79.6	5.1 7.5 12.0 r9.3	9.0 -13.0 12.7 9.6
13 Total loans and investments at commercial banks4	10.1	14.9	10.8	7.7	9.7	9.8	6.7	1,1	13,3
		197	78			1978		19	79
	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
			Inte	rest rates	(levels, pe	r cent per	annum)		<u> </u>
Short-term rates 14 Federal funds*. 15 Federal Reserve discount*. 16 Treasury bills (3-month market yield)*. 17 Commercial paper (90- to 119-day)*7.8.	6, 76 6, 46 6, 39 6, 76	7.28 6.78 6.48 7.16	8.09 7.50 7.31 8.03	9.58 9.09 8.57 9.83	8.96 8.26 7.99 8.98	9.76 9.50 8.64 10.14	10.03 9.50 9.08 10.37	10.07 9.50 9.35 10.25	10.06 9.50 9.32 9.95
Long-term rates Bonds:	8.19 5.65 8.70	8.43 6.02 8.98	8.53 6.16 8.94	8.78 6.28 9.23	8.69 6.13 9.17	8.75 6.19 9.27	8.90 6.51 9.28	8.98 6.47 9.54	9.03 6.31 9.53
21 Conventional mortgages 12	9.23	9.58	9.80	10.12	9.95	10.10	10.30	10.30	10.35

¹ Includes total reserves (member bank reserve balances in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlier), currency in circulation (currency outside the U.S. Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks and the vaults of commercial banks), and vault cash of nonmember banks.
² M-1 equals currency plus private demand deposits adjusted.
M-1 + equals M-1 plus savings deposits at commercial banks, NOW accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
M-2 equals M-1 plus bank time and savings deposits other than large negotiable certificates of deposit (CDs).
M-3 equals M-2 plus deposits at mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, and credit union shares.
³ Savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, and credit unions. 1 Includes total reserves (member bank reserve balances in the current

Rate for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Rate for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
Quoted on a bank-discount basis.
Beginning Nov. 1977, unweighted average of offering rates quoted by five dealers. Previously, most representative rate quoted by these dealers.
Market yields adjusted to a 20-year maturity by the U.S. Treasury.
Bond Buyer series for 20 issues of mixed quality.
Weighted averages of new publicly offered bonds rated Aaa, Aa, and A by Moody's Investors Service and adjusted to an Aaa basis. Federal Reserve compilations.
A vergue rates on new commitments for conventional first mortrages.

Federal Reserve compilations.

12 Average rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages on new homes in primary markets, unweighted and rounded to nearest 5 basis points, from Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

13 Unless otherwise noted, rates of change are calculated from average amounts outstanding in preceding month or quarter.

⁴ Quarterly changes calculated from figures shown in table 1.23.

5 Seven-day averages of daily effective rates (average of the rates on a given date weighted by the volume of transactions at those rates).

1.11 FACTORS AFFECTING MEMBER BANK RESERVES

Millions of dollars

	Month	y averages figures	of daily		Weekly a	verages of	daily figure	s for week	s ending -				
Factors	1978	19	79		1979								
	Dec.	Jan.	Feb./	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	1 eb. 21r	Feb. 28"			
SUPPLYING RESERVE FUNDS		-			İ								
1 Reserve Bank credit outstanding	129,330	128,749	126,074	129,659	127,716	126,666	125,668	125,041	127,262	125,978			
2 U.S. government securities 1 3 Bought outright 4 Held under repurchase agree-	108,780	105,287 105,151	103,335 103,087	107,131 107,131	104.725 104.725	102,629 102,629	105,548 104,877	104,308 103,989	102,384 102,384	101,098 101,098			
ments 5 Federal agency securities 6 Bought outright. 7 Held under repurchase agreements	475 8,089 7,897	7,905 7,878	248 7,528 7,487	7,892 7,892	7,889 7,889	7.832 7.832	7,578 7,578 7,487	7,560 7,487	7,487 7,487	7.487 7.487			
8 Acceptances. 9 Loans. 10 Float. 11 Other Federal Reserve assets.	167 874 7,423 3,522	56 994 9.882 4.625	88 973 9.076 5,074	896 9,354 4,386	923 9,830 4,349	1,428 9,479 5,299	170 817 5,975 5,579	181 1,054 6,433 5,505	937 12,093 4,360	1,084 11,646 4,663			
12 Gold stock	11.635	11,625	11,553	11,609	11,608	11,603	11,578	11,544	11,544	11,544			
13 Special Drawing Rights certificate account. 14 Treasury currency outstanding	1,300 11,826	1.300 11.867	1,300 11,948	1.300 11.864	1,300 11,875	1,300 11.888	1,300 11,911	1,300 11,931	1,300 11,969	1,300			
ABSORBING RESERVE FUNDS		l											
 15 Currency in circulation	113,395 260	112,340 251	110,950 303	112.599 247	111,437 249	110.549 264	110,564	111,019 296	111,153	111,054 326			
17 Treasury	3,931 301 724	3,379 288 826	3,502 276 867	3,302 277 786	3,420 269 858	3,477 256 789	3.667 287 811	3,145 261 938	3,534 286 879	3,660 269 840			
20 Other F.R. liabilities and capital 21 Member bank reserves with F.R.	4,322	4,522	4,371	4.490	4,593	4,658	4.153	4,164	4,447	4,721			
Banks	31,158	31,935	30,606	32,731	31,673	31,465	30,688	29,993	31,463	29,935			
	End-	of-month fi	gures	Wednesday figures									
	1978	19	79	_			1979						
SUPPLYING RESERVE FUNDS	Dec.	Jan.	Feb."	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	Feb. 21"	Feb. 28"			
22 Reserve bank credit outstanding	131,327	125,406	125,335	132,291	129,681	125,406	128,236	133,633	121,581	125,335			
23 U.S. government securities 1	110,562 109,478	101,279 101,279	103,486 103,486	102,373 102,373	105,724 105,724	101,279 101,279	105,023 104,322	106,784 105,540	95,833 95,833	103,486 103,486			
ments. 26 Federal agency securities. 27 Bought outright. 28 Held under repurchase agree-	1,084 8,029 7,896	7,507 7,507	7,487 7,487	7,892 7,892	7,886 7,886	7,507 7,507	701 7.633 7.487	1,244 7,851 7,487	7,487 7,487 7,487	7,487 7,487			
ments	133						146	364					
29 Acceptances 30 Loans 31 Float 32 Other Federal Reserve assets	587 1,174 6,432 4,543	4,366 6,578 5,676	1,604 8,187 4,571	2,043 15,305 4,678	1,082 10,595 4,394	4,366 6,578 5,676	434 512 8.895 5,739	708 1,129 11,773 5,388	1,018 12,733 4,510	1,604 8,187 4,571			
33 Gold stock	11,671	11,592	11,544	11,608	11,608	11,592	11.550	11,544	11,544	11,544			
34 Special Drawing Rights certificate account	1,300 11,831	1,300	1,300 11,992	1,300 11,870	1,300 11,882	1,300 11,912	1,300 11,922	1,300 11,969	1,300 11,969	1,300 11,992			
ABSORBING RESERVE FUNDS 36 Currency in circulation	114,645	110,662 289	111,316 331	112,294 244	111,158 249	110,662 289	111,076 286	111,396 308	111,437 325	111,316			
reserves, with F.R. Banks: Treasury. 39 Foreign. 40 Other ² .	4,196 368 1,256	3.522 339 874	3,443 343 779	3,061 316 712	3,432 291 853	3,522 339 874	2.219 233 687	3,276 312 902	3,185 315 752	3,443 343 779			
41 Other F.R. liabilities and capital 42 Member bank reserves with F.R. Banks	4,275	4,594 29,931	4,679 29,280	4,542 35,900	4,596 33,892	4,594 29,931	4,093 34,413	4,084 38,168	4,756 25,624	4,679 29,280			

¹ Includes securities loaned—fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks—and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.

² Includes certain deposits of foreign-owned banking institutions

voluntarily held with member banks and redeposited in full with Federal Reserve Banks.

Note. For amounts of currency and coin held as reserves, see table 1.12.

1.12 RESERVES AND BORROWINGS Member Banks

Millions of dollars

_	Millions of dollars	Monthly averages of daily figures										
	Reserve classification	1977		-		1978	-			. 19	 79	
		Dec.	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb."	
1 2 3 4 5	All member banks Reserves: At Federal Reserve Banks Currency and coin Total held ¹ Required Excess ¹ Borrowings at Federal Reserve	27,057 9,351 36,471 36,297 174	27,840 9,345 37,262 37,125 137	28,570 9,542 38,789 38,049 140	28,079 9,512 37,666 37,404 262	28,010 9,605 37,689 37,614 75	28,701 9,654 38,434 38,222 212	29,853 9,794 39,728 39,423 305	31,158 10,330 41,572 41,447 125	31,935 11,093 43,167 42,865 302	30,606 10,082 40,828 40,500 328	
6 7	Banks;2 Total Seasonal	558 54	I,111 120	1,286 143	1,147 188	1,068 191	1,261	722 185	874 134	994 112	973 114	
8 9 10 11	Large banks in New York City Reserves held. Required. Excess. Borrowings ² .	6,244 6,279 - 35 48	6,341 6,376 -35 54	6,606 6,581 25 129	6,334 6,290 44 58	6,182 6,251 -69 78	6,428 6,349 79 157	6,682 6,658 24 48	7,120 7,243 -123 -99	7,808 7,690 118	6,840 6,976 - 136	
12 13 14 15	Large banks in Chicago Reserves held. Required Excess. Borrowings ² .	1,593 1,613 20 26	1,668 1,670 -2 20	1,708 1,707 1 20	1,648 1,646 2 3	1,655 1,650 5 35	1,672 1,649 23 14	1,791 1,765 26 4	1,907 1,900 7 10	2.011 2.010 1 23	1,812 1,823 11 10	
16 17 18 19	Other large banks Reserves held. Required. Excess. Borrowings ² .	13,993 13,931 62 243	14,250 14,225 25 536	14,553 14,569 -16 499	14,502 14,423 79 417	14,564 14,541 23 363	14,862 14,867 5 408	15,547 15,447 100 194	16,446 16,342 104 276	16,942 16,923 19 269	15,925 16,019 - 94 273	
20 21 22 23	All other banks Reserves held Required Excess Borrowings 2	14,641 14,474 167 241	15,003 14,854 149 501	15,322 15,192 130 638	15,182 15,045 137 669	15,288 15,172 116 592	15,472 15,357 115 682	15,708 15,553 155 476	16,099 15,962 137 489	16,406 16,242 164 585	15,660 15,682 22 690	
				Wee	kly average	es of daily	figures for	weeks endi	ng			
	I	1978		- I	,·		1979	i		ı .	Ĺ	
		Dec. 27	Jan. 3 - · - ·	Jan. 10 	Jan. 17 	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	Feb. 21 ^p	Feb. 28"	
24 25 26 27 28	All member banks Reserves; At Federal Reserve Banks Currency and coin Total held! Required Excess! Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks:2	41,357 41,412 55	32,765 10,538 43,420 42,694 726	31,133 10,450 41,722 41,844 ~122	32,731 11,991 44,860 44,456 404	31.673 11.168 42,983 42.967 16	31,465 11,001 42,607 42,267 340	30,688 10,684 41,517 41,238 279	29,993 10,554 40,697 40,580 111	31,463 9,345 40,944 40,536 408	29,935 9,743 39,812 39,647 165	
29 30	TotalSeasonal	1,413	1,183 119	686 93	896 98	923 105	1.428 112	817 102	1.054	937 121	1.084	
31 32 33 34	Large banks in New York City Reserves held. Required. Excess. Borrowings ² .	6,871 7,025 -154 330	7,933 7,734 199 143	7,204 7,360 -156	8,472 8,379 93 169	7,605 7.658 53 14	7,327 7,345 18 299	7,435 7.294 141	6,977 7,064 -87	7,233 7.050 183	6,322 6,497 175	
35 36 37 38	Large banks in Chicago Reserves held. Required. Excess Borrowings ² .	1,883 1,849 34 29	1,964 1,944 20 9	1,959 1,955 4 3	2,261 2,224 37	1.942 1.941 1	7,959 1,950 9 90	1,873 1.873	1,850 1.857 7 13	1,804 1,827 23 3	1,613 1,735 122 4	
39 40 41 42	Other large banks Reserves held. Required. Excess. Borrowings ² .	76,397 16,439 - 48 488	17,120 16,846 274 470	16,459 16,519 60 241	17,545 17,488 57 234	16,951 16,974 23 198	16.886 16.745 141 340	16,230 16,218 12 178	16,149 16,113 36 415	76,060 16,010 50 199	15,639 15,736 97 310	
43 44 45 46	All other banks Reserves held. Required. Excess Borrowings ² .	16,212 16,099 113 566	16,403 16,170 233 561	76,700 16,010 90 442	16,582 16,365 217 493	16,485 16.394 91 708	76,435 16,227 208 699	15,979 15,853 126 620	15,715 15,546 169 626	75,686 15,649 37 735	/5,595 15.679 -84 770	

¹ Adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with board policy, effective Nov. 19, 1975, of permitting transitional relief on a graduated basis over a 24-month period when a nonmember bank merges into an existing member bank, or when a

nonmember bank joins the Federal Reserve System. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, figures by class of bank do not add to total because adjusted data by class are not available.

² Based on closing figures.

1.13 FEDERAL FUNDS TRANSACTIONS Money Market Banks

Millions of dollars, except as noted

Туре	1979, end of week									
	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	Feb. 21	Feb. 28	
				т	otal, 46 bani	(S	·	· · -		
Basic reserve position 1 Excess reserves 1	331	36	65	11	46	150	4	104	1	
2 Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks	226	79	211	42	439	36	116	33	75	
transactions	14,813	17,623	17,052	15,248	12,928	14,316	16.084	16,222	15,586	
4 Amount	14,708 77.8	17,737 96.7	-17,199 85.2	- 15,301 81.4	$\begin{bmatrix} -13,321 \\ 72.6 \end{bmatrix}$	- 14,202 79,4	- 16,197 91.7	- 16,151 92.3	-15,660 93.8	
Interbank federal funds transactions Gross transactions:										
6 Purchases	23,480 8,667	24,357 6,734	23,953 6,901	22,400 7,152	20,855	22.071 7,755	23.568 7.483	23,903 7,681	22,337 6,751	
8 Two-way transactions ² Net transactions: 9 Purchases of net buying banks	6,329 17,151	5.421 18,936	5.471 18.482	5,315 17,085	6,370 14,485	5,671	5,908 17,660	6,202	5.799 16,538	
10 Sales of net selling banks	2,339	1,313	1,429	1.836	1.558	2,084	1.575	1,480	952	
Related transactions with U.S. government securities dealers 11 Loans to dealers ³	3,062 1,679	5,101 1,232	3,114 1,146	3,988 1,414	4,697 1,336	3.249 1,277 1,971	3,074 1.372	4,491 1,117	4,654 1,516	
13 Set loans	1,382	3,869	1,968	2.573	3,361	l :	1.702	3,374	3,138	
				8 banks	s in New Yo	rk City			 I	
Basic reserve position 14 Excess reserves 1	169	37	47	9	21	92	- 15	52	-5	
Less: 15 Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks,	143		162	14	272					
16 Net interbank federal funds transactions	4,214	4,145	4,226	2,480	2,050	2,674	3,093	2.654	2,227	
EQUALS: Net surplus, or deficit (·) 17 Amount	-4,188	- 4,182	4,341	2,503	2,344	- 2,582	3,108	- 2,602	-2,232	
18 Percent of average required reserves. Interbank federal funds transactions	59.5	62.6	57,0	36.2	35.4	39.2	48.5	40.8	37.9	
Gross transactions: 19 Purchases	5,299	5,078	5,227	4,142	3,674	4,305	4,433	4.397	3,616	
20 Sales	1,085	933 933	1,001	1,663 1,224	1,623 1,449	1,631	1,340 1,340	1,744	1,389 1,262	
Net transactions: 22 Purchases of net buying banks 23 Sales of net selling banks	4,214	4.145	4,226	2,919 439	2,225 175	3,164 490	3,093	3,138 485	2.354 128	
Related transactions with U.S. government securities dealers 24 Loans to dealers 3	1,896	3,206	1,790	2,366	2,987	1,843	1,616	2,638	2,855	
25 Borrowing from dealers4	382 1,514	399 2,807	394 1,396	1,940	2.610	425 1,419	1,091	2,238	2,411	
ļ				38 banks c	outside New	York City				
Basic reserve position 27 Excess reserves 1	163	1	18	- 2	67	58	19	52	6	
28 Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks	83	79	50	27	166	36	116	33	75	
29 Net interbank federal funds transactions	10,600	13,478	12,826	12,769	10,878	11,642	12,991	13.568	13,359	
EQUALS: Net surplus, or deficit (~) 30 Amount	- 10,520 88.7	13,555 116.2	-12,858 102.3	-12,798 107.7	-10,977 93.6	-11,620 103.0	-13,088 116.2	13,549 121.7	-13,427 124.2	
Interbank federal funds transactions Gross transactions:		ı J			ļ					
32 Purchases	18,182 7,582	19,279 5,801	18,726 5,900	18,258 5,489	17,182 6,304	17,766 6,124	19,135 6,143	19,505 5,937	18,721 5,362	
33 Sales. 34 Two-way transactions Net transactions:	7,582 5,245	4,488	4,470	4,092	4,921	4,529	4,568	4,942	4,537	
35 Purchases of net buying banks 36 Sales of net selling banks	12,937 2,339	14,791	14,256 1,429	14,166 1,397	12,260	13,236	1,575	14,563 996	14,184 825	
Related transactions with U.S. government securities dealers			1						,	
37 Loans to dealers ³	1,166 1,297 -131	1,895 833 1,062	1,324 752 572	1,622 989 633	1,710 959 751	1,406 853 553	1,458 847 611	1,853 716 1,137	1,799 1,072 727	
32 INCLIDATION	-131	1,002	, 312	0.53	/31	, , , , ,	011	1,13/	121	

For notes see end of table.

1.13 Continued

_		1979, end of week										
	Туре	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	Feb. 21	Feb. 28		
		5 banks in City of Chicago										
40	Basic reserve position - Fxcess reserves	37	17	45	22	! 17	5	1	5	7		
41 42	Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks	5. 179	6,131	5.880	5,207	80 4,597	5,079	6,003	5.995	5.258		
43 44	EQUALS: Net surplus, or deficit () Amount Percent of average required reserves.	- 5,341 293,3	6,114 333,9	5,835 278,1	5,184 284,9	- 4,661 255,7	· 5,074 289,5	6.004 345.4	- 5,990 350,3	5,251 324,6		
45 46 47 48 49	Interbank federal funds transactions Gross transactions: Purchases. Sales. Two-way transactions' Net transactions: Purchases of net buying banks. Sales of net selling banks.	6,746 1,368 1,290 5,456 77	7,309 1,179 1,136 6,173 42	7,168 1,288 1,218 5,950 69	6,708 1,501 1,428 5,280 73	6,123 1,525 1,505 4,618 20	6,626 1,548 1,498 5,128 49	7,437 1,435 1,378 6,060 57	7.370 1.375 1.325 6.044 50	6.756 1.498 1.470 5.286		
51	Related transactions with U.S. government securities dealers Loans to dealers 3	179 298 - 119 :		213 58 155	179 9 171	209 125 84	272 160 112	147 64 83	452 7 445	364 81 283		
		,			.3	3 other bank	(S	1	i			
53	Basic reserve position Excess reserves 1	125	16	27	- 25	51	5.3	20	48	1		
54 55	Borrowings at Lederal Reserve Banks Net interbank federal funds transactions	83 5,221	79 7,347	50 6.946	7,562	86 6,280	36 6,563	6.989	33 7.573	75 8,101		
56 57	Equals: Net surplus, or deficit () Amount. Percent of average required reserves.	5.178	7,441 75.7	7,023 67.0	- 7,614 75.7	-6,316 63.8	6.546 68.7	7,085 /4.4	7,559 80.2	8,177 88,9		
58 59 60 61 62	Interbank federal funds transactions Gross transactions: Purchases. Sales. Two-way transactions? Net transactions: Purchases of net buying banks Sales of net selling banks	11,436 6,215 3,954 7,482 2,262	11,970 4,623 3,352 8,618 1,271	11,558 4,612 3,252 8,306 1,360	11,550 3,987 2,663 8,886 1,324	· · ·	11.139 4,576 3,031 8,108 1,545	11,697 4,709 3,191 8,507 1,518	12.136 4.563 3,617 8.519 946	11.965 3,864 3,067 8.898 797		
64	Related transactions with U.S. government securities dealers Loans to dealers 3. Borrowing from dealers*. Net loans.	987 999 12	1.629 829 800	1,110 694 417	1,442 980 462	1,501 834 667	1,133 692 441	1,311 783 528	1,401 710 691	1.435 992 444		

¹ Based on reserve balances, including adjustments to include waivers of penalities for reserve deficiencies in accordance with changes in policy of the Board of Governors effective Nov. 19, 1975.
² Derived from averages for individual banks for entire week. Figure for each bank indicates extent to which the bank's average purchases and sales are offsetting.
³ Federal funds loaned, net funds supplied to each dealer by clearing banks, repurchase agreements (purchases from dealers subject to resale), or other lending arrangements.

⁴ Federal funds borrowed, net funds acquired from each dealer by clearing banks, reverse repurchase agreements (sales of securities to dealers subject to repurchase), resale agreements, and borrowings secured by U.S. government or other securities.

Note: Weekly averages of daily figures. For description of series, see August 1964 BOLLETIS, pp. 944-53. Back data for 46 banks appear in the board's *Annual Statistical Digest*, 1971-1975, table 3.

1.14 FEDERAL RESERVE BANK INTEREST RATES

Per cent per annum

				C	urrent and	previous le	vels					
Federal Reserve Bank	.=											
	Under	secs. 13 ar	nd 13a1	i		Loans to all others under sec. 13, last par.4						
			_	Regular rate				Special rate	3			
	Rate on 2/28/79	Effective date	Previous rate	Rate on 2/28/79	Effective date	Previous rate	Rate on 2/28/79	Effective date	Previous rate	Rate on 2/28/79	Effective date	Previous rate
								<u> </u>				i—
Boston	91/2	11/2/78	81/2	10	11/2/78	9	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2
New York	91/2	11/1/78	81/2	10	11/1/78	9	101/2	11/1/78	91/2	121/2	11/1/78	111/2
hiladelphia leveland	9½ 9½	11/2/78	81/2	10 10	11/2/78	9	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2
Richmond	91/2	11/2/78	81/2	l iö	11/2/78	ı g	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2
Atlanta	91/2	11/3/78	81/2	iŏ	11/3/78	9	101/2	11/3/78	91/2	121/2	11/3/78	111/2
`hicago	91/2	11/2/78	81/2	10	11/2/78	9	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2
it. Louis	91/2	11/2/78	81/2	10	11/2/78	. 9	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2
Minneapolis		11/1/78	81/2	10	11/1/78	! 9	101/2	11/1/78	91/2	121/2	11/1/78	111/2
Kansas City,	91/2	11/2/78	81/2	10	11/2/78	9	101/2	11/2/78	91/2	121/2	11/2/78	111/2

Range of rates in recent years 5

			· · · · · ·					_
Effective date	Range F.R. (or level)— Bank All F.R. of Banks N.Y.		Effective date	Range (or level)— All F.R. Banks	F.R. Bank of N.Y.	Effective date	Range (or level)— All F.R. Banks	F.R. Bank of N.Y.
In effect Dec. 31, 1970 1971—Jan. 8 15 19 22 29 Feb. 13 19 July 16 23 Nov. 11 19 Dec. 13 17 17 24 1973—Jan. 15 Feb. 26 Mar. 2 Apr. 23	514-51/2 3-14 5-51/4 5-51/4 5-51/4 41/4-5 41/4-5 41/4-5 41/4-41/4 41/2-41/4 41/2-41/4 41/2-41/4 41/2-5 5-51/2 51/2	5 1/2 5 1/4 5 1/4 5 1/4 5 5 5 4 1/4 5 5 4 1/4 4 1/2 5 5 1/2 5 5 1/2 5 5 1/2 5 5 1/2 5 5 1/2 5 5 1/2	1973—May 4	6-61/2 6-61/2 7-7-11/2 71/2-8 8 73/4-8 73/4-73/4 71/4-73/4 71/4-73/4 63/4-71/4 63/4-63/4 61/4-63/4 6-6-61/4	53/4 6 6 61/2 77/2 71/2 8 8 73/4 73/4 73/4 61/4 61/4 61/4 61/4	1976—Jan. 19	5 1/4 - 5 3/4 5 1/4 - 5 3/4 5 1/4 - 5 3/4 6 6 6 1/2 6 1/2 - 7 7 - 7 1/4 7 1/4 7 1/4 7 1/4 8 8 8 1/2 8 1/2 - 9 1/2 9 1/2	51/2 51/4 51/4 51/4 51/4 51/4 51/4 61/2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 1/4 8 8 1/2 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9

¹ Discounts of eligible paper and advances secured by such paper or by U.S. government obligations or any other obligations eligible for Federal Reserve Bank purchase.

² Advances secured to the satisfaction of the Federal Reserve Bank. Advances secured by mortgages on 1- to 4-family residential property are made at the section 13 rate.

³ Applicable to special advances described in section 201.2(e)(2) of Regulation A.

⁴ Advances to individuals, partnerships, or corporations other than member banks secured by direct obligations of, or obligations fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the U.S. government or any agency thereof.

5 Rates under secs. 13 and 13a (as described above). For description and earlier data, see the following publications of the Board of Governors: Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914–1941, Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1941–1970, Annual Statistical Digest, 1971–75, 1972–76, and 1973–77.

1.15 MEMBER BANK RESERVE REQUIREMENTS¹

Percent of deposits

Type of deposit, and deposit interval	Requiren Februa	nents in effect ry 28, 1979	Previous	requirements
in millions of dollars	Percent	Effective date	Percent	Fffective date
Net demand ² 0-2. 2-10. 10-100. 100-400. Over 400. Time and savings ² , 3, 4 Savings. Time ³ 0 5 by maturity 30-179 days.	7 91/2 113/4 123/4 161/4	12/30/76 12/30/76 12/30/76 12/30/76 12/30/76 12/30/76	7½ 10 12 13 16½ 3½	2/13/75 2/13/75 2/13/75 2/13/75 2/13/75 2/13/75 3/2/67
180 days to 4 years 4 years or more. Over 5, by maturity 30-179 days. 180 days to 4 years 4 years or more.	21/ ₂ 1 6 21/ ₂ 1	1/8/76 10/30/75 12/12/74 1/8/76 10/30/75	3 3 5 3 3	3/16/67 3/16/67 10/1/70 12/12/74 12/12/74
		Legal li	mits	
	Mi	nimum	Mε	ıximum
Net demand Reserve city banks. Other banks Time. Borrowings from foreign banks.		10 7 3 0		22 14 10 22

on net balances due from domestic banks to their foreign branches and on deposits that foreign branches lend to U.S. residents were reduced to zero from 4 percent and 1 percent, respectively. The Regulation D reserve requirement on borrowings from unrelated banks abroad was also reduced

requirement on borrowings from unrelated banks abroad was also reduced to zero from 4 percent.

(d) Effective with the reserve computation period beginning Nov. 16, 1978, domestic deposits of Edge Corporations are subject to the same reserve requirements as deposits of member banks.

3 Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and time deposits such as Christmas and vacation club accounts are subject to the same requirements as savings deposits.

4 The average reserve remirement on savings and other first for the same requirements.

4 The average reserve requirement on savings and other time deposits must be at least 3 percent, the minimum specified by law.

Selfective November 2, 1978, a supplementary reserve requirement of 2 percent was imposed on time deposits of \$100,000 or more, obligations of affiliates, and ineligible acceptances.

Non. Required reserves must be held in the form of deposits with Federal Reserve Banks or vault cash.

 ¹ For changes in reserve requirements beginning 1963, see board's Annual Statistical Digest, 1971–1975 and for prior changes, see board's Annual Report for 1976, table 13.

 2 (a) Requirement schedules are graduated, and each deposit interval applies to that part of the deposits of each bank. Demand deposits subject to reserve requirements are gross demand deposits minus cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic banks.

 (b) The Federal Reserve Act specifies different ranges of requirements for reserve city banks and for other banks, Reserve cities are designated under a criterion adopted effective Nov. 9, 1972, by which a bank having net demand deposits of more than \$400 million is considered to have the character of business of a reserve city bank. The presence of the head office of such a bank constitutes designation of that place as a reserve city. Cities in which there are Federal Reserve Banks or branches are also reserve cities. Any banks having net demand deposits of \$400 million or reserve cities and are permitted to maintain reserves at ratios set for banks not in reserve cities. For details, see the board's Regulation D.

 (c) Effective August 24, 1978, the Regulation M reserve requirements

MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES PAYABLE on Time and Savings Deposits at Federally Insured Institutions Percent per annum

		Commerc	ial banks		Savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks					
Type and maturity of deposit	In effect F	eb. 28, 1979	Previous	maximum	 	eb. 28, 1979	Previous maximum			
	Percent	Effective date	Percent	Effective date	Percent	Effective date	Percent	Effective date		
1 Sayings	5	7/1/73	41/2	1/21/70	51/4	(7)	5	(8)		
2 Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts 1	5	1/1/74	(10)		5	1/1/74	(10)			
3 Money market time deposits of less than \$100,000 ²	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)		
Time (multiple- and single-maturity unless otherwise indicated). 30-89 days; 4 Multiple-maturity	} 5	7/1/73	{ 4 ¹ / ₄ 5	1/21/70 9/26/66	(10)		(10)			
90 days to 1 year: 6 Multiple-maturity	51/2	7/1/73	5	7/20/66 9/26/66	} 453/4	(7)	51/4	1/21/70		
8 1 to 2 years ⁴	} 6 6 61/2	7/1/73	5½ 5¾ 5¾ 5¾	1/21/70 1/21/70 1/21/70	61/2	(⁷)	53/4 6	1/21/70 1/21/70 1/21/70		
11 4 to 6 years ⁵	7 ½ 7 ½ 7 ¾	i 11/1/73 12/23/74 6/1/78	(11) 71/4 (10)	11/1/73	7½ 7¾ 8	11/1/73 12/23/74 6/1/78	(11) 71 <u>/2</u> (10)	11/1/73		
14 Issued to governmental units (all maturities)	8	6/1/78	73/4	12/23/74	. 8	6/1/78	73/4	12/23/74		
15 Individual retirement accounts and Keogh (H.R. 10) plans 6	8	6/1/78	73/4	7/6/77	. 8	6/1/78	73/4	7/6/77		

savings and loan associations, cooperative banks, and mutual savings banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire were first permitted to offer negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on Jan. 1, 1974. Authorization to issue NOW accounts was extended to similar institutions throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, and in New York State on Nov. 10, 1978.

throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, and in New York State on Nov. 10, 1978.

2 Must 1978.

2 Must have a maturity of exactly 26 weeks and a minimum denomination of \$10,000, and must be nonnegotiable.

3 For exceptions with respect to certain foreign time deposits see the Fidfatt Ristry Bullitin for October 1962 (p. 1279), August 1965 (p. 1094), and February 1968 (p. 167).

4 A minimum of \$1,000 is required for savings and Ioan associations, except in areas where mutual savings banks permit lower minimum denominations. This restriction was removed for deposits maturing in less than 1 year, effective Nov. 1, 1973.

5 \$1,000 minimum except for deposits representing funds contributed to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) or a Keogh (H.R. 10) Plan established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. The \$1,000 minimum requirement was removed for such accounts in December 1975 and November 1976, respectively.

9 3-year minimum maturity.

⁶ 3-year minimum maturity.
⁷ July 1, 1973, for mutual savings banks; July 6, 1973, for savings and loan associations

8 Oct. 1, 1966, for mutual savings banks; Jan. 21, 1970, for savings and loan associations.

9 Commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings

banks were authorized to offer money market time deposits effective June 1, 1978. The ceiling rate for commercial banks is the discount rate on most recently issued 6-month U.S. Treasury bills. The ceiling rate for savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks is ¼ percent

higher than the rate for commercial banks. The most recent rates and effective dates are as follows:

	_		· .	· · · — · · -	-
	Jan. 25	Leh. L	Feb. 8	Feb. 15	Feb. 22
Banks	9.475 9.725	9.376 9.626	9.307 9.557	9.342 9.592	9.370 9.620

10 No separate account category.

11 Between July 1, 1973, and Oct. 31, 1973, there was no ceiling for certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of \$1,000; however, the amount of such certificates that an institution could issue was limited to 5 percent of its total time and savings deposits. Sales in excess of that amount, as well as certificates of less than \$1,000, were limited to the 6½ percent ceiling on time deposits maturing in 2½ years or more. years or more.

Effective Nov. 1, 1973, ceilings were reimposed on certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of \$1,000. There is no limitation on the amount of these certificates that banks can issue

NOTE. Maximum rates that can be paid by federally insured commer-NOTE, Maximum rates that can be paid by federally insured commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and savings and loan associations are established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board under the provisions of 12 CFR 217, 329, and 526, respectively. The maximum rates on time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more were suspended in mid-1973. For information regarding previous interest rate ceilings on all types of accounts, see earlier issues of the FIDERAL RISHEY BULLIES, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board Journal, and the Annual Report of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

1.17 FEDERAL RESERVE OPEN MARKET TRANSACTIONS Millions of dollars

	1976	: 1977	1978			19	78			1979
Type of transaction		i		July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES				!						
Outright transactions (excluding matched sale- purchase transactions)						·	1	ļ		
Treasury bills: 1 Gross purchases. 2 Gross sales. 3 Redemptions.	8.462	13.738 7.241 2.136	16.628 13.725 2.033	701 466 0	972 689 0	2,635 0 0	1,978 2.148 0	2,039 3.587 603	2,751 0	0 3,758 500
Others within 1 year: 1 4 Gross purchases	472 0 792 0	3,017 0 4,499 2,500	1.184 0 - 5.170 0	0 0 241 0	171 0 1.544 0	168 0 563 0	73 0 - 385 0	139 0 - 778 0	0 0 705 0	0 0 673 0
1 to 5 years: 8 Gross purchases. 9 Gross sales. 10 Exchange, or maturity shift	² 3.202 177 2.588	2,833	4.188 0 - 178	0 0 241	424 0 - 490	350 0 -563	507 0 385	628 () 657	0 0 705	0 0 673
5 to 10 years: 11 Gross purchases	1,048 0 1,572	758 0 584	1,526 0 2,803	0 0 0	238 0 1.434	110 0 0	87 0 0	163 0 835	0 0	0 0 0
Over 10 years: 14 Gross purchases	642 0 225	553 0 1.565	1,063 0 2,545	0 0	113 0 600	122 0 0	139 0 0	108	0 0	0 0
All maturities; 17 Gross purchases 18 Gross sales. 19 Redemptions	² 19.707 8,639 ² 5,017	20.898 7,241 4,636	24.591 13.725 2.033	701 466 0	1.919 689 0	3.386 0 0	2.785 2.148 0	3.075 3.587 603	2.751 j	0 3.758 500
Matched sale-purchase transactions Offices sales	196.078 196.579	425.214 423.841	511.126 510.854	44.657 44.712	29.162 29.641	33.346 33.130	35.112 36.106	40.785 40.546	52.661 51.586	64,691 60,750
Repurchase agreements Cross purchases Gross sales	232.891 230.355	178.683 180,535	151.618 152.436	15,822 17,374	16,286 15,140	10.724 10.353	18.976 20,565	7.719 8,383	8,133 7,049	3.117 4.201
24 Net change in U.S. government securities	9.087	5.798	7.743	1,261	2.854	3.540	43	2.017	-2,743	- 9,283
FEDERAL AGENCY OBLIGATIONS					 		:			ļ
Outright transactions: 25	891 0 169	1.433 0 223	301 173 235	0 0 4	173 13	0 0 28	0 0 12	0 0 39	0 0 3	0 379 10
28 Gross purchases	10.520 10.360	13,811	40,567 40.885	5,17() 5,457	3,080 3,032	3,877 3,348	6,675 7,196	2.544 2.670	4.307 4.174	713 846
30 Net change in federal agency obligations	882	1.383	- 426	 _291	- 138	501	- 533	- 165	130	- 522
BANKERS ACCEPTANCES					i					
31 Outright transactions, net	- 545 410	- 196 159	366	753	0 28	0 419	479	- 236	0 587	0 587
33 Net change in bankers acceptances	- 135	- 37	366	. 753	28	419	479	-236	587	587
34 Total net change in System Open Market Account	9,833	7,143	6,951	-2,305	2,744	4,460	969	-2,419	- 2,026	- 10,392

¹ Both gross purchases and redemptions include special certificates created when the Treasury borrows directly from the Federal Reserve, as follows (millions of dollars): 1975, 3,549; 1976, none; Sept. 1977, 2,500.

² In 1975, the system obtained \$421 million of 2-year Treasury notes in exchange for maturing bills. In 1976 there was a similar transaction

amounting to \$189 million. Acquisition of these notes is treated as a purchase; the run-off of bills, as a redemption.

Norr. Sales, redemptions, and negative figures reduce holdings of the System Open Market Account; all other figures increase such holdings. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

1.18 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Condition and F.R. Note Statements Millions of dollars

				Wednesday			ı	ind of mont	h
	Account			1979			1978	19	79
		Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	Feb. 21"	Feb. 28#	Dec.	Jan.	Feb."
-				Cons	solidated cor	dition state	ment	·	
	ASSETS								
1	Gold certificate account	11.592	11.550	11.544	11.544	11.544	11.671	11.592	11.544
2	Special Drawing Rights certificate account	1.300 316	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.300	1,300	1.300	1.300
,	Loans:		324	330		344	274		
4 5	Member bank borrowings	4.366	512	1.129	1.018	1.604	1.174	4.366	1.604
6	Acceptances: Bought outright Held under repurchase agreements		434	708			587		
8	Federal agency obligations:	7 507	7.487	7.487	7.487	7.487	7.896	7.507	7,487
9	Held under repurchase agreements		146	364			133		1
10	U.S. government securities Bought outright: Bills	33.959	37.002	37.623	27.814	35,467	42.158	33,959	35.467
11 12	Certificates—Special					35,407			
13 14	NotesBonds	54.855 12.465	54.855 12.465	55.392 12.525	54,662 13.357	54.662 13.357	54.855 12.465	54.855 12.465	54,662 13,357
15 16	Total ¹ Held under repurchase agreements	101.279	104.322 701	105.540 1,244	95.833	103,486	1.084	101.279	103.486
17	Total U.S. government securities	101,279	105,023	106,784	95,833	103,486	110,562	101,279	103,486
18	Total loans and securities	113,152	113,602	116,472	104,338	112,577	120,352	113,152	112,577
19 20	Cash items in process of collection	12.803 395	15.048 394	18.707 395	21.349 394	14.785 395	12.926 394	12.803	14.785
21 22	Other assets: Denominated in foreign currencies ² All other	2.528 2.753	2.427 2.918	2.211 2.782	2.219 1.897	2.266 1.910	1.606	2.528 2.753	2,266 1,910
23	Total assets	144,839	147,563	153,747	143,381	145,121	151,066	144,839	145,121
	LIABILITIES								
24	Federal Reserve notes	99,354	99.765	100.071	100.133	99,999	103.325	99.354	99,999
25 26	Member bank reserves	29.931 3.522	34,413 2,219	38.168 3.276	25.624 3.185	29,280 3,443	31,152 4,196	29,931 3,522	29.280 3.443
26 27 28	ForeignOther	339 874	233 687	312 902	315 752	343 779	368 1,256	339 874	. 343 779
29	Total deposits	34,666	37,552	42,658	29,876	33,845	36,972	34,666	33,845
30 31	Deferred availability cash items	6,225 1,685	6,153 1,730	6,934 1,559	8.616 2.086	6,598 1,859	6,494 2,119	6.225 1.685	6,598 1.859
32	Total liabilities	141,930	145,200	151,222	140,711	142,301	148,910	141,930	142,301
	CAPITAL ACCOUNTS						! !		
33 34 35	Capital paid in	1.085 1.078 746	1,085 1.078 200	1.087 1.078 360	1.088 1.078 504	1.088 1.078 654	1.078 1.078	1.085 1.078 746	1.088 1.078 654
36	Total liabilities and capital accounts	144,839	147,563	153,747	143,381	145,121	151,066	144,839	145,121
37	MEMO: Marketable U.S. govt. securities held in custody for foreign and intl. account	95.762	95,165	94.888	94.339	94.611	95.307	95.762	94,611
				Fec	leral Reserve	note staten	nent		
38	F.R. notes outstanding (issued to Bank)	133,618	113.384	113,239	106,833	113.160	112.836	113.618	113,160
39	Collateral held against notes outstanding: Gold certificate account	11,592	11.550	11.544	11,544	11,544	11,671	i	11.544
40 41 42	Special Drawing Rights certificate account Eligible paper U.S. government securities	1.300 2.726 98.000	1.300 465 100,069	1,300 1,050 99,345	1.300 872 93,167	1,300 1,424 98,892	1,300 907 98,958	11.592 1.300 2,726 98.000	1,300 1,424 98,892
12	C.D. BOTTIMMENT SCENINGS	70.000	.00,007	,,,,,,,,	75,107	20,072	70.736	70.000	70,072

¹ Includes securities loaned—fully guaranteed by U.S. govt, securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks—and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.

² Beginning December 29, 1978, such assets are revalued monthly at market exchange rates.
³ Includes exchange-translation account reflecting, beginning December 29, 1978, the monthly revaluation at market exchange rates of foreign-exchange commitments.

1.19 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Maturity Distribution of Loan and Security Holdings Millions of dollars

				Wednesday			F	nd of mont	h
Тур	e and maturity			1979			1978	19	79
		Jan. 31	Feb. 7	Feb. 14	 Feb. 21	Feb. 28	Dec. 31	Jan. 31	Feb. 28
2 Within 15 days 3 16 days to 90 d	ays.	30	512 477 35	1,129 1,080 49	1,018 981 37	1,604 1.577 27	1,172 1,142 30	4,364 4.334 30	1,604 1,577 27
6 Within 15 days	ays		4.34	708			587		
10 Within 15 days 11 16 days to 90 d 12 91 days to 1 ye 13 Over 1 year to 14 Over 5 years to	securities. 1 ays ays atr 5 years. 10 years.	101,279 3,961 14,369 25,980 31,577 14,717 10,675	105,023 4.146 17.799 26.109 31.577 14.717 10.675	106,784 5,735 18.124 25.405 32.003 14,781 10.736	95,833 1.957 9.474 26.778 34.181 11.875 11.568	103,486 3.084 16,546 25,864 34,549 11,875 11,568	110,562 4.297 19,800 29,465 31,608 14,717 10,675	101,279 3,961 14,369 25,980 31,577 14,717 10,675	103,486 3.084 16.546 25.864 34.549 11.875 11.568
17 Within 15 days 18 16 days to 90 d 19 91 days to 1 ye 20 Over 1 year to 21 Over 5 years to	ligations		7,633 146 537 1,154 3,475 1,511 810	7,851 696 205 1,154 3,475 1,511 810	7,487 355 182 1.154 3.475 1.511 810	7,487 114 344 1.098 3.553 1.568 810	8,029 217 482 1,286 3,723 1,511 810	7,507 16 507 1.188 3.475 1.511 810	7,487 114 344 1.098 3.553 1.568 810

¹ Holdings under repurchase agreements are classified as maturing within 15 days in accordance with maximum maturity of the agreements.

1.20 BANK DEBITS AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER

Debits are shown in billions of dollars, turnover as ratio of debits to deposit. Monthly data are at annual rates.

Bank group, or type	1975	1976	1977	1978							
of customer		·	Ī	$\mathbf{Aug}_{r}^{-r} \stackrel{\frac{1}{r}}{=}$	Sept.	Oct. r	Nov.	Dec.			
			Debits to d	emand deposits	s ² (seasonally	adjusted)					
1 All commercial banks	25,028.5 9,670.7 15,357.8	29,180.4 11,467.2 17,713.2	34,322.8 13,860.6 20,462.2	42,819,1 16,435,0 26,384,1	41.896.6 15,500.0 26,396.6	42.942.5 15.437.8 27.504.7	42,941.5 15.673.6 27.267.9	42,307,5 15,100,2 27,207,3			
			Debits to say	rings deposits 3	(not seasonal	y adjusted)					
4 All customers			174.0 21.7 152.3	434.6 58.5 376.1	424.4 62.0 362.4	467.6 67.2 400.4	446.0 66.8 379.1	438.0 61.4 376.6			
		· · ··	Dema	nd deposit turn	nover 2 (season	ally adjusted)					
7 All commercial banks	105.3 356.9 72.9	116.8 411.6 79.8	129.2 503.0 85.9	146.5 577.6 100.0	141.9 549.6 98.8	144.1 530.1 102.3	145.1 559.8 101.8	141.6 535.9 100.5			
i			Savings depo	osit turnover 3	not seasonally	v adjusted)					
10 All customers. 11 Business 1 12 Others.	·		1.6 4.1 1.5	2.0 5.2 1.8	1.9 5.4 1.7	2.1 5.8 1.9	2.0 5.8 1.8	2.0 5.4 1.8			

¹ Represents corporations and other profit-seeking organizations (excluding commercial banks but including savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, credit unions, the Export-Import Bank, and federally sponsored lending agencies).

² Represents accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations, and of states and political subdivisions.

³ Excludes negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and special club accounts, such as Christmas and vacation clubs.

Note. Historical data estimated for the period 1970 through June 1977, partly on the basis of the debits series for 2.3 SMSAs, which were available through June 1977 - are available from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.D. 20551. Debits and turnover data for savings deposits are not available prior to July 1977.

1.21 MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND COMPONENTS

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

	1975	1976	1977	1978			1978			1979
Item		: Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Aug.	Sept.	Oet.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
:				-	Seasonall	y adjusted			'	
MEASURES1		I								
1 M-1. 2 M-1 + 3 M-2 + 4 M-3 - 5 M-4 - 6 M-5 -	295.4 456.8 664.8 1.092.4 745.8 1.173.5	313.8 517.2 740.6 1.235.6 803.0 1.298.0	1,374.3 883.1	361.5 586.7 876.3 71,500.6 7972.9 11,597.3	357.0 583.5 856.9 1,458.4 944.5 1,546.0	361.1 589.4 866.2 1,474.7 954.8 1,563.2	361.6 589.8 870.9 11.485.5 959.6 11.574.1	361.0 587.4 874.3 11,493.8 969.7 11,589.2	361.5 586.7 876.3 1,500.6 972.9	359.9 582.3 875.4 1,504.7 975.9
COMPONENTS		i I							i I	
7 Currency	73.8	j 80.8	88.6	97.5	93.9	95.2	95.8	96,6	97.5	98.3
Commercial bank deposits		233.0 489.2 202.1 62.4 224.7	250.1 544.4 219.7 73.7 251.0	264.1 611.4 222.0 96.6 292.8	263.0 587.5 223.7 87.6 276.2	265.9 593.7 225.5 88.5 279.6	265.8 597.9 225.2 88.6 284.1	264.4 7608.8 223.4 95.4 289.9	264.1 611.4 7222.0 96.6 292.8	261.7 676.6 219.6 100.5 295.9
13 Nonbank thrift institutions3	427.7	495.0	564.9	c624.3	4601.5	°608.5	614.6	°619.5	°624.3	629
			. – .	 I	· = - Not seasona	 illy adjuste	d.	-		-
!				—	:	- - -				
MEASURES1		!					:			
14 M-1 15 M-1 + 16 M-2 17 M-3 18 M-4 19 M-5	303.9 463.6 670.0 1.095.0 753.5 1.178.4	322.6 524.2 745.8 1,238.3 810.0 1,302.6	890.8	371.6 594.7 882.0 '1,503.3 981.6 '1,602.9	354.4 580.9 853.8 1.455.5 941.8 1.543.5	359.0 585.4 861.7 1,469.2 952.0 1,559.5	587.9 868.2 1,481.6		371.6 594.7 882.0 1,503.3 981.6 1,602.9	365.7 587.7 880.1 1,507.8 981.2
COMPONENTS		ļ								
20 Currency	"75.I	182.1	r90.1	c99.1	94.2	94.9	95.6	97.2	99.1	97.4
1	c228.8 c162.8 c62.6 c449.6 c159.1 c83.5 c207.1	(240.5 (169.4 (67.5) (487.4 (200.2 (64.3) (222.9)	°258.1 °177.5 °76.2 °542.6 °217.7 °75.9 °249.0	°272.5 °182.9 °85.6 °609.9 °219.9 °99.5 °290.5	260.2 176.2 80.1 587.5 223.7 88.0 275.7	264.1 178.3 81.9 593.1 223.6 90.3 279.2	265.8 179.3 82.7 597.6 223.5 90.8 283.3	265.7 178.3 83.7 605.0 221.5 96.4 287.1	272.5 182.9 85.6 609.9 219.9 99.5 290.5	268 179.2 84.9 615.3 218.8 101.1 295.6
8 Other checkable deposits 4. 9 Nonbank thrift institutions 4. 10 U.S. government deposits (all commerical banks).	°0.7 °424.9	(1.4 (492.5	°562.3	°3.2 °621.3 °10.2	2.8 601.7 3.6	2.8 607.5	2.9 613.4 4.3	3.1 616.2 8.0	3.2 ,621.3	627.

Composition of the money stock measures is as follows:

M-1: Averages of daily figures for (1) demand deposits at commercial banks other than domestic interbank and U.S. government, less cash items in process of collection and Federal Reserve float; (2) foreign demand balances at Federal Reserve Banks; and (3) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and vaults of commercial banks.

M-1+: M-1 plus savings deposits at commercial banks, NOW accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.

M-2: M-1 plus savings deposits, time deposits open account, and time certificates of deposit (CDs) other than negotiable CDs of \$100,000 or more at large weekly reporting banks.

M-3: M-2 plus the average of the beginning- and end-of-month deposits

of mutual savings banks, savings and loan shares, and credit union shares (nonbank thrift).

M.4: M-2 plus large negotiable CDs.
M-5: M-8 plus large negotiable CDs.
2 Negotiable time CDs issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more by large weekly reporting commercial banks.
3 Average of the beginning- and end-of-month figures for deposits of mutual savings banks, for savings capital at savings and loan associations, and for credit union shares.
4 Includes NOW accounts at thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.

OTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the board's 508 (H.6) release. Back data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

NOTES TO TABLE 1.23;

1 Adjusted to exclude domestic commercial interbank loans.
2 Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company. Prior to Aug. 28, 1974, the institutions included had been defined somewhat differently, and the reporting panel of banks was also different. On the new basis, both "Total loans" and "Commercial and industrial loans" were reduced by about \$100 million.
3 Data beginning June 30, 1974, include one large mutual savings bank that merged with a nonmember commercial bank. As of that date there were increases of about \$500 million in loans, \$100 million in "Other" securities and \$600 million in "Total loans and investments."

As of Oct. 31, 1974, "Total loans and investments" of all commercial banks were reduced by \$1.5 billion in connection with the liquidation

of one large bank. Reductions in other items were: "Total loans," \$1.0 billion (of which \$0.6 billion was in "Commercial and industrial loans"), and "Other securities," \$0.5 billion. In late November "Commercial and industrial loans" were increased by \$0.1 billion as a result of loan reclassifications at another large bank.

4 Reclassification of loans reduced these loans by about \$1.2 billion as of Mar. 31, 1976.

5 Reclassification of loans at one large bank reduced these loans by about \$200 million as of Dec. 31, 1977.

Noti. Data are for last Wednesday of month except for June 30 and Dec. 31; data are partly or wholly estimated except when June 30 and Dec. 31 are call dates.

1.22 AGGREGATE RESERVES AND DEPOSITS Member Banks

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

[tem	1975	 	1977	i			1978				1979
IKM	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	June	i July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
· · ·					Seaso	nally ad	justed				
1 Reserves 1 2 Nonborrowed . 3 Required . 4 Monetary base . 5 Deposits subject to reserve requirements 2 6 Time and savings . Demand	34.54 34.40 106.7 504.2 336.8	34.89 34.84 34.61 118.4 528.6 354.1	36.10 35.53 35.91 127.8 568.6 386.7	37.63 36.53 37.45 133.5 595.8 407.4	38.11 36.80 37.92 134.7 600.5 410.8	37.93 36.79 37.77 135.3 602.7 413.0	38.21 37.15 38.02 136.8 607.0 416.8	38.38 37.10 38.22 137.8 608.9 418.3	39.75 39.05 39.53 139.9 616 9 427.5	41.27 40.40 41.04 142.4 616.7 429.4	41.48 40.48 41.28 143.4 621.1 433.5
7 Private		171.5 3.0	178.5 3.5	184.6 3.8	186, 1 3, 6	186.5	186.2 4.0	187.2 3.5	187.0	185.1	185.6 1.9
					Not sea	sonally a	idjusted				
9 Monetary base. 10 Deposits subject to reserve requirements ² . 11 Time and savings. Demand 12 Private. 13 U.S. government.	510.9 337.2 170.7	120.3 534.8 353.6 177.9 3.3	129.8 575.3 386.4 185.1 3.8	133.5 596.8 408.6 183.7 4.5	600.6	135.2 599.2 412.8 183.9 2.5		418.5 186.9	615.1 425.2	144.6 624.0 429.6 191.9 2.5	

⁴ Series reflects actual reserve requirement percentages with no adjustment to eliminate the effect of changes in Regulations D and M. There are breaks in series because of changes in reserve requirements effective Dec. 12, 1974; Feb. 13, May 22, and Oct. 30, 1975; Jan. 8 and Dec. 30, 1976. In addition, effective Jan. 1, 1976, statewide branching in New York was instituted. The subsequent merger of a number of banks raised required reserves because of higher reserve requirements on aggregate deposits at these banks.

1.23 LOANS AND INVESTMENTS All Commercial Banks

Billions of dollars; last Wednesday of month except for June 30 and Dec. 31

		1974 1975						(1978		
	Category	Dec. 313	Dec. 31	Dec. 31	Dec. 31	July 26"	Aug. 30°	Sept. 27"	Oct. 25"	Nov. 29 <i>e</i>	Dec. 31"
		i .				Seasonally	y adjusted	. '	' · '		
1 2	Loans and investments 1		721.8 726.2	785.1 788.9	870.6 875.5	940.7 945.3	944.6 949.3	952.4 957.0	960.9 964.8	966.5 970.2	967.3 971.1
3 4 5 6	Loans: Total Including loans sold outright ² Commercial and industrial Including loans sold outright ²	. 505.0 . 183.5	496.9 501.3 176.2 178.7	538.9 542.7 4179.7 4182.1	617.0 621.9 201.4 5204.2	675.1 679.7 220.8 223.1	680.2 684.9 222.8 225.2	687.3 691.9 224.6 226.9	696,8 700.7 227.0 228.9	706.8 710.5 228.9 230.8	709.0 712.8 228.9 230.7
7 8	Investments: U.S. Treasury. Other.		80.1 144.8	98.0 148.2	95.6 158.0	100.6 165.0	97.9 166.5	97.2 167.9	95.2 168.9	90.3 169.4	88.4 169.9
					·	ot seasona	dly adjusted	1			
9 10	Loans and investments 1	705.6 710.4	737.0 741.4	801.6 805.4	888.9 893.8	936.6 941.2	942.0 946.7	951.4 956.1	958.4 962.3	9 69.3 973.0	987.6 991.4
11 12 13 14	Loans: Total ¹ Including loans sold outright ² Commercial and industrial Including loans sold outright ²	. 515.5 ¹	507.4 511.8 179.3 181.8	550.2 554.0 4182.9 4185.3	629.9 634.8 \$205.0 \$207.8	675.6 680.2 220.9 232.2	681.0 685.7 221.7 224.1	688.6 693.3 223.9 226.2	696.6 700.5 226.5 228.4	707. 2 710. 9 228. 9 230. 8	723.9 727.7 233.0 234.8
15 16	Investments: U.S. TreasuryOther	. 54.5 . 140.5	84.1 145.5	102.5 148.9	100.2 158.8	96.1 164.9	94.8	95.0 167.7	93.5 168.3	92.6 169.5	93.0 170.7

For notes see bottom of opposite page.

Includes total time and savings deposits and net demand deposits as defined by Regulation D. Private demand deposits include all denand deposits except those due to the U.S. govt., less cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic commercial banks.

Nott. Back data and estimates of the impact on required reserves and changes in reserve requirements are shown in Table 14 of the Board's *Annual Statistical Digest*, 1971–1975.

1.24 COMMERCIAL BANK ASSETS AND LIABILITIES Last-Wednesday-of-Month Series Billions of dollars except for number of banks

		1977	 				19	783			-	
	Account	Dec.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June	July ^p	Aug.p	Sept. p	Oct."	Nov."	Dec.
						All	commerc	ial				
1 2	Loans and investments	939.1 680.1	939.7 680.4	953.0 688.7	974.4 712.4	985.0 722.1	980.6 719.6	985.5 724.5	996.4 733.6	1,003.0 741.2	1,016.2 754.1	1,034.7 770.9
3 4	Investments: U.S. Treasury securities Other	100.2 158.8	99.0 160.3	100.2 164.1	97.3 164.6	97.9 165.1	96.1 164.9	94.8 166.2	95.0 167.7	93.5 168.3	92.6 169.5	92.6 171.2
5 6 7	Cash assets	168.7 13.9	130.5	133.1 14.3	161.0	166.8 12.0	130.2 14.8	137.4 15.2	141.8 15.2	146.5 15.1	149.2 16.7	170.1 17.2
8 9	Banks	29.3 59.0 66.4	30.2 42.6 43.3	27.6 43.6 47.6	30.3 51.9 64.3	29.6 56.0 69.3	23.6 44.4 47.3	29.7 43.0 49.5	32.6 44.4 49.6	34.6 45.0 51.7	32.6 46.5 53.5	37.7 51.6 63.6
10	Total assets/total liabilities and capital 1,	1,166.0	1,140.5	1,156.9	1,206.5	1,215.0	1,179.2	1,192.9	1,209.5	1,220.4	1,240.8	1,284.0
11	Deposits	939.4	899.8	915.5	952.9	965.7	932.3	937.7	949.9	952.3	959.0	993.1
12 13 14	InterbankU.S. governmentOtherTime:	51.7 7.3 323.9	37.6 4.9 281.2	39.0 6.2 293.8	51.2 3.3 312.9	49.3 8.0 317.5	40.5 4.3 296.3	40.4 2.8 298.6	41.9 11.0 297.1	43.3 7.6 299.2	42.9 2.1 304.7	51.1 2.3 327.1
15 16	InterbankOther	9.8 546.6	9.0 567.1	9.0	9.4 576.1	10.2	10.3 580.9	10.7 585.2	11.6 588.3	11.1	11.8 597.6	12.4
17 18	Borrowings	96.2 85.8	105.6 83.4	104.9 83.7	112.2 84.6	106.8 89.9	103.2 85.8	109.1 86.2	112.8 87.1	118.3 87.1	125.6 87.8	133.0 87.3
19	Мғмо: Number of banks	14.707	14.689	14,697	14.702	14.698	14,713	14,721	14.715	14,713	14,719	14,712
					,		Member					-
20 21	Loans and investments	675.5 494.9	668.6 490.5	676.8 495.3	693.8 514.3	699.7 519.6	695.8 517.6	698.9 520.3	706.9 527.0	713.4 533.9	724.3 544.6	739.5 558.3
22 23	U.S. Treasury securities Other,	70.4 110.1	68.2 109.9	68.8 112.7	66.9	67.4 112.7	65.7 112.5	65.3 113.3	65.4 114.5	64.1	63.5	63.6
24 25 26	Cash assets, total	134.4 10.4	104.8 10.6	106.5 10.5	130.7 10.6	133.8	104.2	111.2	115.4	118.6	121.3	140.2 12.7
27 28	Banks Balances with banks Cash items in process of collection	29.3 30.8 63.9	30.2 22.9 41.2	27.6 22.7 45.7	30.3 28.1 61.7	29.6 29.1 66.5	23.6 24.3 45.4	29.7 22.9 47.6	32.6 24.0 47.7	34.6 23.2 49.7	32.6 25.1 51.4	37.7 28.6 61.2
29	Total assets/total liabilities and capital 1	861.8	833.2	843.3	884.7	888.7	857.3	868.5	882.2	891.2	908.5	945.2
30	Deposits	683.5	645.1	655.1	686.7	694.3	666.1	670.6	679.6	682.5	688.6	716.3
31 32 33	Interbank	48.0 5.4 239.4	34.7 3.7 205.1	36.0 4.5 213.4	47.5 2.2 229.1	45.5 5.6 231.6	37.3 3.1 214.6	37.2 1.9 217.0	38.6 8.1 215.6	39.9 5.7 217.0	39.5 1.5 221.3	47.3 1.6 237.9
34 35	Time: Interbank Other	7.8 382.9	7.0 394.7	6.9 394.3	7.3 400.5	8.1 403.4	8.2 402.9	8.6 405.9	9.4 407.8	9.0 411.0	9.7 416.7	10.2 419.3
36 37	Borrowings Total capital accounts ²	84.9 63.7	91.8 62.4	91.1 62.7	96.9 63.3	92.1 66.1	88.0 64.2	93.9 64.5	97.2 65.1	101.4	108.1	115.9 65.5
38	Мімо: Number of banks	5,669	5.654	5,645	5,638	5.622	5,613	5,610	5.593	5.585	5,586	5,565

Not1. Figures include all bank-premises subsidiaries and other significant majority-owned domestic subsidiaries.

Commercial banks: All such banks in the United States, including member and nonmember banks, stock savings banks, nondeposit trust companies, and U.S. branches of foreign banks.

Member banks: The following numbers of noninsured trust companies that are members of the Federal Reserve System are excluded from member banks in tables 1.24 and 1.25 and are included with noninsured banks in table 1.25: 1976 December, 11; 1978 January, 12.

Uncludes items not shown separately.

Effective Mar. 31, 1976, some of the item "reserve for loan losses" and all of the item "imearned income on loans" are no longer reported as liabilities. As of that date the "valuation" portion of "reserve for loan losses" and the "unearned income on loans" have been netted against "other assets," and against "total assets" as well.

Total liabilities continue to include the deferred income tax portion of "reserve for loan losses."

Effective Mar. 31, 1976, includes "reserves for securities" and the contingency portion (which is small) of "reserve for loan losses."

Figures partly estimated except on call dates.

1.25 COMMERCIAL BANK ASSETS AND LIABILITIES Call-Date Series Millions of dollars, except for number of banks

	Account	1976	19:	77	1978	1976	197	7 j	1978
	recount	Dec. 31	June 30	Dec. 31	June 30	Dec. 31	June 30	Dec. 31	June 30
		·	Total in	sured	;		National (al	l insured)	
1	Loans and investments, gross	827,696	854,733	914,779	956,431	476,610	488,240	523,000	542,218
3	Gross	578.734 560,077	601,122 581.143	657,509 636,318	695,443 672,207	340.691 329.971	351.311 339,955	384.722 372,702	403,812 390,630
4 5 6	Investments U.S. Treasury securities	101,461 147,500 129,562	100,568 153.042 130.726	99,333 157,936 159,264	97,001 163,986 157,393	55.727 80.191 76.072	53.345 83.583 74,641	52,244 86,033 92,050	50,519 87,886 90,728
7	Total assets/total liabilities 1	1,003,970	1,040,945	1,129,712	1,172,772	583,304	599,743	651,360	671,166
8	Deposits	825,003	847,372	922,657	·	469,377	476,381	520,167	526,932
10 11	U.S. government	3,022 44,064 285,200		7,310 49,843 319,873	7.956 47,203 312,707	1,676 23,149 163,346	1,632 22,876 161,358	4,172 25,646 181,821	4.483 22,416 176,025
12 13	InterbankOther	8,248 484,467	7,721 507,324	8,731 536,899	8.987! 569.020	4,907 276.296	4.599 285.915	5,730 302,795	5,791 318,215
14 15	Borrowings Total capital accounts	75.291 72,061	81.137 75,502	89,339 79,082	98,351 83,074	54,421 41,319	57.283 43,142	63,218 44,994	68,948 47,019
16	MFMO: Number of banks	14,397	14.425	14,397	14,381	4,735	4,701	4,654	4.616
		· St	ate member	(all insured	i)		Insured nor	nmember	
17	Loans and investments, gross	144,000	144,597	152,514	157,464	207,085	221,896	239,265	256,749
18 19	Gross, Net	102,277 99,474	102.117 99.173	110,243 107,205	115,736 112,470	135,766 130.630	147,694 142.015	$\frac{162,543}{156,411}$	175,894 169,106
20 21 22	U.S. Treasury securities Other Cash assets.	18,849 22,874 32,859	19,296 23,183 35,918	18,179 24,091 42,305	16,886 24,841 43,057	26,884 44,434 20,631		28,909 ¹ 47,812 24,908	29,595 51,259 23,606
23	Total assets/total liabilities 1	189,579	195,452	210,442	217,384	231,086	245,748	267,910	284,221
24 25	Deposits	149,491	152,472	163,436	167,403	206,134	218,519	239,053	251,539
26 27	U.S. government	429 19,295 52,204	371 20,568 52,570		23,117 55,550	917 1.619 69,648	1,520 70,615	1,896 1,849 80,445	2,315 1,669 81,131
28 29	InterbankOther	2,384 75,178	2,134 76,827	2,026 80,216	2,275 85,301	956 132,993		973. 153,887 _.	920 165,502
30 31	Borrowings Total capital accounts	17,310 13,199	19,697 13,441	21,736 14,182	23,167 14,670	3,559 17,542	4,155 18,919	4,384 19,905	6,235 21,384
32	MEMO: Number of banks	1,023	1,019	1,014	1,005	8,639	8.705	8,729	8,760
			Noninsured	nonmember		_	Total non	member	
33	Loans and investments, gross	18,819	22,940	24,415	28,699	225,904	244,837	263,681	285,448
34 35	Gross	16,336 16,209	20,865 20,679	22,686 22,484	26,747 26,548	152,103 146,840	168,559 162,694	185,230 178,896	202,641 195,655
36 37 38	Investments U.S. Treasury securities Other Cash assets	1,054 1,428 6,496	993 1,081 8,330		869 1,082 9,360	27.938 45.863 27,127	28,919 47,357 28,497	29,788 48,662 34,367	30,465 52,341 32,967
39	Total assets/total liabilities 1	26,790	33,390	36,433	42,279	257,877	279,139	304,343	326,501
40	Deposits	13,325	14,658	16,844	19,924	219,460	233,177	255,898	271,463
41 42 43	U.S. government	1,277 3,236	1,504 3,588	1,868 4,073	2,067 4,814	921 2,896 72.884	3.025 74.203	$\begin{array}{c} 1,907 \\ 3,718 \\ 84,518 \end{array}$	2.323 3,736 85.946
44 45	InterbankOther	1,041 7,766	1,164 8,392	1,089 9,802	1,203 11,831	1,997 140,760	2,152 152,974	$\frac{2,063}{163,690}$	2,123 177,334
46 47	Borrowings Total capital accounts	4,842 818	7,056 893	6,908 917	8,413 9 62	8,401 18,360	11.212 19,812	11,293 20,823	14,649 22,346
48	MEMO: Number of banks	275	293	310	317	8,914	8.998	9,039	9,077

¹ Includes items not shown separately,

For Note see table 1.24

1,26 COMMERCIAL BANK ASSETS AND LIABILITIES Detailed Balance Sheet, September 30, 1978 Millions of dollars, except for number of banks.

_		·		М	ember banks	1		
	Asset account	Insured commercial banks			Large banks			Non- member banks 1
			Total	New York City	City of Chicago	Other large	All other	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Cash bank balances, items in process. Currency and coin. Reserves with Federal Reserve Banks. Demand balances with banks in United States. Other balances with banks in United States. Balances with banks in foreign countries. Cash items in process of collection.	28,043 41,104 4,648 3,295	134,955 8,866 28,041 25,982 2,582 2,832 66,652	43,758 867 3,621 12,821 601 331 25,516	5,298 180 1,152 543 15 288 3,119	47.914 2.918 12.200 3.672 648 1.507 26.969	37,986 4,901 11,067 8,945 1,319 705 11,049	23,482 3,268 3 15,177 2,066 463 2,504
8 9 10 11 12 13	Total securities held - Book value	262,199 95,068 40,078 121,260 5,698 94	179,877 65,764 25,457 85,125 3,465 66	20,808 9,524 1,828 9,166 291	7,918 2,690 1,284 3,705 240	58.271 22.051 7.730 27.423 1.048	92,881 31,499 14,616 44,831 1,887 47	82,336 29,315 14,622 36,136 2,234 28
14 15 16 17 18 19	Trading-account securities. U.S. Treasury. Other U.S. Government agencies. States and political subdivisions. All other trading account securities. Unclassified.	6,833 4,125 825 1,395 394 94	6,681 4,103 816 1,381 316 66	3,238 2,407 401 363 67	708 408 82 117 101	2.446 1.210 278 794 145 19	290 78 55 107 3 47	757 23 9 14 78 28
20 21 22 23 24	Bank investment portfolios. U.S. Treasury Other U.S. Government agencies States and political subdivisions. All other portfolio securities.	255,366 90,943 39,253 119,865 5,305	773,796 61,661 24,641 83,745 3,149	77,570 7,117 1,426 8,803 224	7,210 2,282 1,201 3,588 138	55,825 20,840 7,452 26,629 903	92.591 31,422 14.561 44.724 1,884	82,185 29,293 14,613 36,123 2,156
	Federal Reserve stock and corporate stock	1,656	1,403	311	111	507	475	253
26 27 28 29	Federal funds sold and securities resale agreement. Commercial banks. Brokers and dealers. Others.	41,258 34,256 4,259 2,743	31,999 25,272 4,119 2,608	3,290 1,987 821 482	1,784 1,294 396 94	16,498 12,274 2,361 1,863	10,427 9,717 541 169	9,365 9,090 140 135
30 31 32 33	Other loans, gross Liss: Uncarned income on loans Reserves for loan loss Other loans, net	675,915 17,019 7,431 651,465	500,802 11,355 5,894 483,553	79,996 675 1,347 77,974	26,172 107 341 25,724	190,565 3,765 2,256 184,544	204,069 6,809 1,949 195,311	175,113 5,664 1,537 167,912
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43		25,621 1 8,418 117,176 111,674 7,503 1 104,171 5,502 - 399 1 5,103	138,730 19,100 3,655 81,370 77,422 6,500 70,922 3,948 340 3,669 34,605	10,241 2,598 23 5,362 4,617 508 4,109 746 132 613 2,258	2,938 685 34 1,559 1,460 1,417 99 27 72 660	52,687 9,236 453 31,212 29,774 3,446 26,328 1,438 88 1,350 11,786	72,863 6,581 3,146 43,236 41,570 2,502 39,068 1,665 92 1,573 19,901	64,656 6,521 4,763 35,806 34,252 1,003 33,249 /.554 59 1,495 17,566
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Loans to security brokers and dealers. Other loans to purchase or carry securities. Loans to farmers except real estate.		34,843 8,162 2,618 7,187 1,411 15,465 10,834 3,532 15,296 171,815	3,464 290 5,649 6,465	4,34.2 801 165 268 76 3,033 1,324 276 150 13,290	15,137 4,616 1,206 2,820 785 5,710 2,846 1,860 3,781 67,833	680 281 635	2, 228 412 744 171 167 733 207 747 12,758 41,309
55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66	Passenger automobiles Residential repair and modernization. Credit cards and related plans Charge-account credit cards. Check and revolving credit plans. Other retail consumer goods. Mobile homes. Other Other instalment loans.	161,599 131,571 58,908 8,526 21,938 17,900 4,038 19,689 9,642 10,047 22,510 30,027 17,360	110,974 90,568 37,494 5,543 19,333 16,037 3,296 6,667 6,629 14,902 20,406 14,778	7,100 5,405 1,077 331 2,268 1,573 695 427 1,79 249 1,302 1,694 3,545	2,562 7,777 209 60 1,267 1,219 47 57 19 38 119 851 1,290	40,320 33,640 11,626 2,088 9,736 8,192 1,545 5,242 2,563 2,678 4,948 6,680 6,100	60,993 49,877 24,582 3,064 6,062 5,053 1,009 7,570 3,905 3,664 8,533 11,182 3,844	50,624 41,003 21,414 2,983 2,605 1,863 742 6,393 2,976 3,417 7,608 9,621 2,582
68	Total loans and securities, net	956,579	696,833	102,383	35,536	259,820	299,094	259,867
70 71 72	Direct lease financing. Fixed assets - Buildings, furniture, real estate. Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries. Customer acceptances outstanding. Other assets.	6,717 22,448 ± 3,255 16,557 ± 34,559	6,212 16,529 3,209 16,036 30,408	1,145 2,332 1,642 8,315 11,323	1,258	3,931 6,268 1,282 6,054 12,810	1.041 7,133 96 409 5,275	505 5,926 46 521 4,249
74	Total assets	1,198,495	904,182	170,899	44,170	338,079	351,034	294,595

For notes see opposite page.

				Mi	ember banks	1	1	
	Liability or capital account	Insured commercial banks	(Postal	! .	Large banks -	- :		Non- member banks 1
			Total	New York City	City of Chicago	Other large	All other	
75 76	Demand deposits Mutual savings banks	369,030	282,450 1.089	66,035 527	10,690	100,737 i	104,988 305	86,591 194
77 78	Other individuals, partnerships, and corporations. U.S. Government.	279,651	205.591 5.720	31.422 :	7.864 188	79.429 1.987	86.876 2.977	74.061 2.222
79 80	States and political subdivisions	17.122	11.577	764 1,436	252 19	3.446 211	7.116	5.545 77
81 82	Commercial banks in United States	39,596 7,379	38.213 7.217	21,414	1.807	10.803 1.251	4.189 298	1.393
83	Certified and officers' checks, etc	14.253	11.315	4.443	352	3,354	3,166	2.937
85	Time deposits	79 !	266,496 66	38,086	15,954	98,525 I	113,931 65	102,066 13
86 87	Mutual savings banks	292,120	392 210.439	29.209	12.074	$\frac{148}{76.333}$	92.824	7 81.680
88 89	U.S. Government	59.087	689 40,010	1.952	40 1.554	356 16.483	232 20.020	175 19.077
90 91	Foreign governments, central banks, etc	7.961	6.450 7.289	3.780 2.077	1.145	1.401 3.585	124 629	222 672
92	Banks in foreign countries		1.161	829	103	219	9	220
94	Savings deposits Individuals and nonprofit organizations	. 207.701	152,249 141,803 7,672	10,632 9,878 519	2,604 2,448	54,825 51.161	78.316	71,077 65.897
95 96 97	Corporations and other profit organizationsU.S. Government	. 8,	65 2.682	215	148 3 4	3,195 24 437	3,809 35 2,025	3.544
98	States and political subdivisions	30	27	18	*	8	2.023	1.616 3
99	Total deposits	960,918	701,195	114,753	29,248	254,087	303,107	259,733
100	Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.	. 91,981	85,582		8,777	41,799	13,857	6,398
101 102	Commercial banks	.: 12.787	39.607 11.849	6.991 2.130	5.235 1.616	21.609 6.381	5.773	2.566 939
103 104	Others Other liabilities for borrowed money	. 8.738	34.126 8.352	12.028 3.631	1,926 306 ;	$\frac{13.809}{3.191}$	6,362 1,225	2.894 386
105 106	Mortgage indebtedness	. 1.767	1.455 16.140	234 8.398	. 1.260	701 6.070	. 491 412	316 521
107		į.	23.883	8.860	1,525	9.020	4.477	3,494
	Total liabilities		836,607 4,401	157,026	41,144 79 !	2,033	323,569 1.287	270,849 1,366
	Equity capital		63,174		2,947 :		26,178	
111	Preferred stock Common stock	. 17.875	36 12.816	1	570	4.007	1 31 5.594	52 5.064
113	Surplus	.: 32,341	23.127 26.013	4.541	1,404	8.148 8.680	9,034 10,858	9.217 7.509
115	Undivided profits	.: 1.719	1.182	132		337	661	538
116	Total liabilities and equity capital	. 1,198,495	904,182	170,899	44,170	338,079	351,034	294,595
117	MEMO FIEMS: Demand deposits adjusted?	. 252.337	171.864	18.537	5.576	60.978	86.774	80.472
118	Average for last 15 or 30 days: Cash and due from bank	. 146,283	124,916	36.862	6.030	45.731	36.293	21.379
119	Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agree-	. 43.873	33.682	4.272	1.887	16,007	11.517	10.307
120 121	Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	. 651.874	483.316 150.160	32.196	25.722 13.216	184.790 65.776	196.054 38.972	168,558 33,454
122 123	Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agree-		687.543	107.028	28.922	250.804	300.789	257.062
124	ments to repurchase	. 92.685	86.635 8.326		9.473	$\frac{40.541}{3.211}$	13,725	6,053 390
125	Standby letters of credit outstanding	. 18.820 . 186.837	17.658 152.553		1,477 13,486	4.820 66.684	1.297 39.728	1.162 34.284
126 127 128		. 160.227	129.667 22.886	. 27.950	11.590	56,383 10,301	33.743 5.985	30,560 3,724
	Number of banks		5.593	!	1.870	10.301	5,419	
129	Number of Danks	. 17.370		1.2	. *	1.0.0	.1.419	. 6.610

Norre. Data include consolidated reports, including figures for all bank-premises subsidiaries and other significant majority-owned domestic subsidiaries. Securities are reported on a gross basis before deductions of valuation reserves. Back data in lesser detail were shown in previous Bi LLITINS.

Member banks evelude and nonmember banks include 13 noninsured trust companies that are members of the Federal Reserve System.
 Demand deposits adjusted are demand deposits other than domestic commercial interbank and U.S. government, less cash items reported as in process of collection.

ALL LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of \$750 Million or More on December 31, 1977, Assets and Liabilities Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

Account					1979				
Account	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31	Feb. 7 <i>r</i>	Feb. 14#	Feb. 21 <i>p</i>	- Feb. 28 <i>p</i>
1 Cash items in process of collection	54,830	44.286	46,243	39,754	44.193	42,201	44,504	49,468	49,091
2 Demand deposits due from banks in the United States.	15,112	14,429	14,134	13,063	14,321	13,331	12,571	13,804	15,576
3 All other cash and due from depositary institutions	33,854 457,940	30,343 453,046	36,300 450,818	33,421 447,824	29,878 451,140	33,161 450,574	36,096 451,292	26,730 459,394	28,968 455,140
Securities 5 U.S. Treasury securities 6 Trading account 7 Investment account, by maturity 8 One year or less 9 Over one through five years 10 Over five years 11 Other securities 12 Trading account 13 Investment account 14 U.S. government agencies 15 States and political subdivision, by maturity 16 One year or less 17 Over one year 18 Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities	35,533 3,112 32,421 8,820 19,488 4,114 63,937 2,436 61,501 12,075 12,075 7,810 38,602 3,014	35,102 3,272 31,830 8,361 19,370 4,099 64,302 2,673 61,630 12,059 46,634 7,874 38,760 2,936	35,778 3,989 31,789 8,283 19,304 4,203 64,047 2,578 61,469 11,819 46,776 7,798 38,978 2,874	35,711 4,209 31,502 8,173 19,160 4,169 64,086 2,298 61,787 12,091 46,875 7,746 39,128 2,821	34,990 3,934 31,056 7,935 18,944 4,176 64,238 2,485 61,754 12,094 46,838 7,620 39,217 2,822	35, 235 3, 892 31, 343 8, 295 18, 705 4, 343 64, 800 2, 947 61, 853 12, 137 7, 513 39, 377 2, 826	34, 938 3, 472 31, 466 8, 373 18, 789 4, 304 64, 381 2, 646 61, 735 12, 109 46, 809 7, 383 39, 426 2, 816	36, 186 4, 418 31, 768 8, 434 18, 807 4, 528 64, 616 2, 625 61, 992 12, 199 46, 976 7, 387 39, 588 2, 817	36,139 4,408 31,731 8,595 18,684 4,452 64,441 2,595 61,846 12,287 46,807 7,178 39,629 2,752
Loans 19 Federal funds sold ¹	27,380	25,803	24,099	22,621	25,483	24.774	24,456	29,694	25,786
To commercial banks. To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities. To others. Other loans, gross. Commercial and industrial. Bankers' acceptances and commercial	19.454 5.510 2.416 341,036 133,642	16.817 5,979 3,006 337.823 131,918	15,837 6,025 2,237 336,905 131,886	16,637 4,271 1,713 335,455 131,722	17,732 5.628 2,124 336,481 131,552	17,203 5,600 1,970 335,916 132,098	17,765 4,935 1,756 337,734 132,865	18,364 8,008 3,322 339,121 133,575	17,914 5,228 2,644 338,976 133,952
paper. 26 All other. 27 U.S. addresses. 28 Non-U.S. addressees. 29 Real estate. 30 To individuals for personal expenditures.	4,347 129,295 122,888 6,406 80,650 60,178	3,663 128,254 121,972 6,283 81,046 59,908	3,773 128,113 121,800 6,313 81,236 59,970	3,589 128,132 121,769 6,363 81,474 60,411	3,481 128,072 121,722 6,349 81,841 60,617	3,469 128,628 122,332 6,297 81,811 60,593	3,745 129,120 122,865 6,254 82,052 60,589	3,827 129,748 123,467 6,281 82,304 60,695	3,755 130,196 123,958 6,238 82,380 60,832
To financial institutions 31 Commercial banks in the U.S	3,603 9,646	3,166 9,052	3,364 8,969	3,165 8,518	2,915 8,380	2,809 7,871	3,094 8,430	3,287 8,475	2,853 8,085
other financial institutions	8.167 15.857 8.430	8,291 15,813 8,516	7,968 15,710 7,714	7,946 15,299 7,658	7.985 15.226 8,671	8,150 15,122 8,264	8,150 15,280 7,601	7,824 15,089 8,241	7,926 15,082 7,933
36 To others for purchasing and carrying securities 2. 37 To finance agricultural production. 38 All other. 39 Less: Uncarned income	2.205 4.590 14.067 5.602 4.345 331.090 5.079 66.044 632,859	2,232 4,574 13,308 5,628 4,355 327,840 5,150 66,936 614,191	2.278 4.529 13.280 5.663 4.348 326.894 5.382 65.435 618,311	2,302 4,467 12,492 5,692 4,357 325,406 5,402 63,701 603,165	2,309 4,472 12,512 5,626 4,427 326,428 5,513 64,555 609,600	2,327 4,425 12,446 5,662 4,487 325,766 5,457 63,540 608,265	2,332 4,439 12,902 5,722 4,495 327,517 5,462 63,381 613,306	2,333 4,444 12,852 5,724 4,499 328,898 5,516 62,648 617,560	2,360 4,440 13,133 5,655 4,548 328,773 5,543 63,581 617,898
Deposits 45 Demand deposits. 46 Mutual savings banks. 47 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations. 48 States and political subdivisions. 49 U.S. government. 50 Commercial banks in United States. 51 Banks in foreign countries. 52 Foreign governments and official institutions. 53 Certified and officers' checks. 54 Time and savings deposits. 55 Savings. 56 Individuals and nonprofit organizations. 57 Partnerships and corporations operated for	1.004 144,472 5,291 978 34,131 7,702 1.646 7.941	182,349 860 128,856 4,737 1,630 31,066 6,773 1,035 7,391 259,464 77,473 71,917	184.544 754 133.133 5.025 1.052 28.816 6.642 1.234 7.887 259.210 77.054 71.669	169,030 671 122,107 4,997 1,105 25,300 6,728 1,481 6,642 260,067 76,570 71,158	176.174 747 124.395 5.274 1.406 29.035 6.667 1.165 7.485 258.305 76.054 70.939	170,197 707 119,973 4,726 749 27,445 6,471 1,450 8,676 257,732 76,227 71,085	774,570 731 125,565 4,767 888 27,280 6,900 1,250 7,189 257,661 76,084 70,963	183 . 246 728 129 . 085 4 . 756 2 . 374 30 . 358 7 . 724 1 . 115 7 . 105 257 . 627 76 . 160 71 . 061	180,392 698 126,001 5,200 863 31,712 6,563 1,515 7,839 257,733 76,028 70,990
profit. 58 Domestic governmental units 59 All other. 60 Time. 61 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations. 62 States and political subdivisions. 63 U.S. government.	180,782 142,367 23,131 484	4.557 973 27 181,991 142,859 23,401 488	4,449 914 22 182,156 142,912 23,604 495	4,472 919 21 183,496 143,926 23,895 485	4,236 858 21 182,251 142,957 23,866 483	4,223 896 23 181,504 142,578 23,880 497	4,214 883 24 181,577 142,621 24,024 499	4,199 874 26 181,467 142,412 24,132 502	4,169 846 23 181,705 142,718 24,306 487
64 Commercial banks in United States. 65 Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks. 66 Federal funds purchased ³ .	7,542 7,259 73,390	7,769 7,473 75,271	7,781 7,363 76,784	7,772 7,418 76,542	7,632 7,313 70,698	7,419 7,131 80,302	7,399 7,034 82,660	7,383 7,037 77,641	7,405 6,789 77,018
Other liabilities for borrowed money 67 Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. 68 Treasury tax-and-loan notes. 69 All other liabilities for borrowed money	142 6,507	316 3,000 8,919	1.532 3.923 7.305	432 6,033 8,322	3,602 7,097 7,617	64 4,290 10,031	498 2,531 8,988	356 2.811 8,674	816 1,930 11,584
70 Other liabilities and subordinated note and debentures		42.893 572,211	43,636 576,935	43,434 561,860	44,252 567,746	43,743 566,359	44,633 571,540	45,379 575,734	46,464 575,937
72 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities) ⁴	41,894	41,980	41,376	41,305	41,854	41.906	41,765	41,826	41,961

Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
 Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers.
 Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase. ⁴ This is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses.

1.28 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of \$1 Billion or More on December 31, 1977 Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

Account					1979				
Account	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31 P	Feb. 7º	Feb. 14"	Feb. 21"	Feb. 28#
1 Cash items in process of collection	51,843	42,045	43,911	37,621	41,972	40,276	42,396	46,765	46,756
2 Demand deposits due from banks in the United States.	14,081	13,690	13,341	12,465	13,644	12,660	11,851	12,998	14,811
3 All other cash and due from depositary institutions	31,822	28,635	34,614	31,481	28,143	31,252	34,422	25,264	27.071
	428,252	422,661	420,915	418,442	421,565	421,214	421,750	429,664	425.884
Securities 5 U.S. Treasury securities 6 Trading account. 7 Investment account, by maturity. 8 One year or less 9 Over one through five years 10 Other securities 12 Trading account. 13 Investment account. 14 U.S. government agencies. 15 States and political subdivision, by maturity. 16 One year or less. 17 Over one year. 18 Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities.	33,762	32,70/	33,411	33,337	32,593	32,836	32,532	33,803	33,732
	3,073	3,244	3,964	4,179	3,896	3,859	3,429	4,379	4,353
	30,089	29,456	29,446	29,158	28,697	28,977	29,102	29,424	29,379
	8,172	7,703	7,648	7,536	7,298	7,655	7,732	7,824	7,982
	18,157	18,017	17,960	17,822	17,612	17,365	17,453	17,453	17,276
	3,761	3,737	3,839	3,800	3,787	3,957	3,918	4,147	4,120
	59,024	59,266	59,152	59,277	59,324	59,884	59,472	59,737	59,576
	2,375	2,618	2,518	2,246	2,406	2,873	2,575	2,582	2,546
	56,649	56,648	56,634	56,965	56,918	57,012	56,897	57,156	56,971
	11,234	11,158	10,994	11,281	11,270	11,295	11,282	11,382	11,434
	42,641	42,821	43,002	43,099	43,061	43,117	43,027	43,180	43,013
	7,128	7,179	7,222	7,172	7,040	6,946	6,811	6,808	6,592
	35,513	35,642	35,779	35,927	36,021	36,171	36,216	36,372	36,421
	2,774	2,669	2,638	2,585	2,586	2,599	2,587	2,593	2,523
Loans 19 Federal funds sold 1	25,130	23,338	21,555	20,593	23,342	22,821	22,309	27,390	24,023
To commercial banks. To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities. To others. Other loans, gross. Commercial and industrial.	17.535	14,656	13,695	14,928	15,970	15,600	15,965	16,458	16,390
	5,242	5,708	5,674	3,974	5,258	5,264	4,618	7,678	5,020
	2,353	2,974	2,185	1,690	2,115	1,957	1,726	3,254	2,612
	320,150	316,580	316,076	314,612	315,620	315,080	316,909	318,206	318,060
	126,796	124,862	125,087	124,940	124,782	125,301	126,078	126,708	127,057
paper	4,277	3,592	3,705	3,532	3,424	3,408	3,690	3,774	3,701
	122,519	121,270	121,381	121,408	121,358	121,893	122,387	122,934	123,356
	116,165	115,043	115,117	115,094	115,057	115,644	116,182	116,702	117,167
	6,354	6,227	6,264	6,314	6,301	6,249	6,205	6,232	6,189
	75,576	75,828	76,104	76,319	76,670	76,642	76,864	77,111	77,183
	53,589	53,307	53,391	53,784	53,956	53,933	53,914	54,028	54,155
To financial institutions 31 Commercial banks in the U.S	3,518	3,074	3,279	3,083	2,819	2,719	2,992	3,181	2,751
	9,567	8,960	8,878	8,425	8,290	7,790	8,342	8,376	7,986
33 Sales finance, personal finance companies, etc	7,946	8,060	7,750	7,742	7,793	7,969	7,986	7,661	7,774
	15,308	15,268	15,170	14,768	14,697	14,592	14,757	14,582	14,575
	8,305	8,405	7,607	7,554	8,564	8,161	7,512	8,149	7,846
securities ² 37 To finance agricultural production. 38 All other. 39 Less: Unearned income. 40 Loan loss reserve. 41 Other loans, net. 42 Lease financing receivables. 43 All other assets. 44 Total assets.	1,901	1,927	1,974	1,997	2.001	2,023	2,034	2,037	2,065
	4,427	4,409	4,366	4,305	4,317	4,276	4,290	4,296	4,296
	13,216	12,479	12,471	11,695	11,729	11,673	12,140	12,076	12,371
	5,120	5,130	5,176	5,202	5,141	5,176	5,234	5,233	5,170
	4,094	4,095	4,102	4,110	4,172	4,231	4,238	4,240	4,278
	310,936	307,356	306,798	305,300	306,306	305,672	307,437	308,734	308,612
	4,921	4,998	5,227	5,245	5,355	5,299	5,303	5,356	5,384
	64,556	65,276	63,799	62,170	62,933	61,946	61,816	61,219	62,046
	595,476	577,304	581,808	567,424	573,613	572,645	577,538	581,267	581,952
Deposits 45 Demand deposits	241,618 71,790 66,707	170,816 822 119,835 4,121 1,484 29,760 6,650 1,029 7,114 242,538 71,675 66,548	173.073 728 124.232 4.346 899 27.450 6.573 1.226 7.618 242.415 71.409 66.420	158.562 647 114.005 4.289 937 24.143 6.661 1.471 6.410 243.249 70.968 65.950	165,426 717 116,158 4,576 1,295 27,716 6,591 1,162 7,209 241,483 70,496 65,755	159, 952 677 112, 004 4, 128 659 26, 184 6, 409 1, 446 8, 445 240, 789 70, 651 65, 899	163,833 702 117,167 4,130 804 26,043 6,837 1,247 6,903 240,622 70,533 65,811	172,031 702 120,428 4,157 2,199 28,937 7,662 1,109 6,835 240,618 70,605 65,893	169,712 665 117,807 4,586 753 30,334 6,487 1,493 7,586 240,762 70,475 65,823
profit. 8 Domestic governmental units	4,215	4,198	4,118	4.144	3,921	3,906	3,895	3,880	3,858
	847	902	848	853	798	823	803	807	772
	20	26	22	21	21	23	23	26	22
	169,828	170,863	171,007	172.281	170,987	170,138	170,090	170,013	170,287
	133,748	134,197	134,200	135.169	134,167	133,720	133,644	133,463	133,781
	21,084	21,245	21,486	21.751	21,711	21,698	21,838	21,945	22,138
	479	484	490	481	479	492	494	498	482
	7,272	7,479	7,482	7,477	7,333	7,113	7,096	7,086	7,113
and banks	7,246	7,458	7,348	7,403	7,298	7,115	7,017	7,021	6.772
	69,750	71,263	72,839	70,782	66,997	76,266	78,922	73,777	73,004
Other liabilities for borrowed money 67 Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. 68 Treasury tax-and-loan notes	128	301	1,517	326	3,490	44	457	324	703
	5,975	2,836	3,646	5,600	6,583	4,010	2,397	2,604	1.782
	8,077	8,471	6,976	7,903	7,260	9,669	8,633	8,383	11,299
debentures	40.049	41,755	42,563	42,288	43,125	42,609	43,505	44,309	45.308
	556,173	537,979	543,030	528,711	534,365	533,341	538,370	542,046	542,570
72 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities)4.	39,304	39,325	38,777	38,713	39,248	39,305	39,168	39,221	39,382

I Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
 Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers.
 Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

 $^{^4\,\}mathrm{This}$ is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses.

1.29 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS IN NEW YORK CITY Assets and Liabilities Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

Account					1979				<u>_</u>
	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31 <i>p</i>	Feb. 7"	Feb. 14"	Feb. 21 ^p	Feb. 28"
Cash items in process of collection Demand deposits due from banks in the United	17,369	16,575	15,990	13.594	15.975	16,810	16,544	14,792	18.084
States	7,679	8,515	8,040	7.894	8,627	8,381	7,315	7,618	9,662
institutions. 4 Total loans and securities 1.	9,222 98,468	6,883 95,885	10,048 95,087	8.558 94.899	7,620 96,429	8,963 96,663	9,655 96,908	5,562 100,654	5,999 97,452
Securities 5 U.S. Treasury securities ²	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n,a.	n,a.
6 Trading account ²	n.a. 6,485	n.a. 6,306	n.a. 6,183	n.a. 5.892	n.a. 5,844	n.a. 6,244	n.a. 6,336	n.a. 6,698	n.a. 6,604
8 One year or less	1.119 4,653	4,653	4,606	750 4,419	650 4,447	767 4,626	748 4,749	888 4,766	932 4,659
10 Over five years	712 n.a.	696 n.a.	739 n.a.	723 n.a.	748 n.a.	850 n.a.	839 n.a.	1,044 n.a.	1,013 n.a.
12 Trading account ²	n.a. 11.267	n.a. 11,196	n.a.	n.a. 11,290	n.a. 11.258	n.a. 11,355	n,a, 11,250	n.a. 11,266	n.a. 11,012
14 U.S. government agencies. 15 States and political subdivision, by maturity.	1,376 9,220 1,832	1,376 9,180 1,783	1,375 9,215 1,817	1,426 9,215 1,750	1,405 9,205	1,505 9,208 1,645	1,440 9,165	1,447 9,173	1,413 9,037 1,464
One year or less	7.388	7,397 641	7,398	7,465 648	1,732 7,473 648	7,564 641	1,666 7,499 645	1,617 7,556 646	7,573 561
Loans 19 Tederal funds sold ³	4,886	4,643	4,288	5.105	6,419	6,362	5,567	8,699	6,483
20 To commercial banks	3,444 1,035	2,962 1,041	2.782 981	3,912 988	4,779 1,281	4,146 1,966	3,558 1,759	5,023 2,774	3,922 1,689
22 To others	406 77,828	640 75,719	525 75,363	204 74,605	358 74,912	250 74,736	250 75,820	902 76,061	75,423
24 Commercial and industrial	39,336	38,000	37,876	37,643	37,404	37,599	38,084	38,256	38,287
paper	1,980 37,356	1,295 36,705	1,380 36,496	1,199	964 36,440	921 36,678	1,121 36,964	1,094 37,162	950 37,337
28 Non-U.S. addressees	34,952 2,404 10,178	34,308 2,397 10,210	34,176 2,320 10,217	34,148 2,297 10,245	34,091 2,349 10,293	34,393 2,286 10,285	34,683	34,876 2,286 10,391	35,076 2,261 10,377
29 Real estate		7.294	7.265	7,262	7,274	7,255	10,323 7,255	7,258	7,264
31 Commercial banks in the U.S	1,295 4,327	1,094 4,008	1,224	1,158 3,546	1,010 3,497	884 3,331	1,191 3,916	1,266 3,930	953 3,548
etc	3,127 4,427	3,174 4,455	3,034 4,452	2,938 4,407	3,017 4,404	3,152 4,364	3,236 4,405	2,950 4,340	3,064 4,373
35 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities. 36 To others for purchasing and carrying securities ⁴	4,635 366	4,529 364	4,055	4,281	4,823 411	4,560 412	4,042	4,439 418	4,221
37 To finance agricultural production	192 2,650	193 2,399	194 2,739	190 2,532	200 2,579	201	209 2,736	216 2,596	206 2,695
39 Less: Unearned income	662 1,336	649 1,331	657 1,333	660 1,333	639 1,364	648 1,386	1,396	669 1,401	660 1,410
41 Other loans, net	490	73 (739 489	73,373 497	72,612 489	72,908 492	72,702 493	73,754 498	73,990 498	73,354 499
43 All other assets 5	32.141 165,370	32,941 161,388	32,103 161,764	32,427 157,861	32,026 161,169	31,084 162,394	30,567 161,486	31,230 160,354	32,157 163,854
Deposits 45 Demand deposits	60,277	56,648	55,770	52,242	56,103	54,308	53,978	56,149	58,556
46 Mutual savings banks 47 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations 48 States and political subdivisions	584 33,192 430	28.753 403	30,029 464	28,512 426	29,397 518	27,691 462	28,837 547	29,060 476	381 29,600 412
49 U.S. government	139	305 18,244	194 15,380	279 13,712	224 16,645	77 14,965	101	571 15,838	102
51 Banks in foreign countries. 52 Foreign governments and official institutions.	5,490 1,366	4.705 786	4,712 962	4,767 1,181	4,924 770	4,848 974	5,189	5,798 867	4,662 1,255
53 Certified and officers' checks. 54 Time and savings deposits.	3,238	2,936 51,224	3,611 51,349	2,992 51,913	3,197 50,996	4,886 50,823	3,049 50,265	3,144 50,397	3,593 49,881
55 Savings	9,414 8,761	9,556 8,890	9.537 8.890	9,516 8,846	9,486 8,842	9,551 8,892	9,535 8,890	9,538 8,896	9.548 8,913
57 Partnerships and corporations operated for profit	461 182	460	449	450 209	438	438	441	441	440
58 Domestic governmental units	182 11 41,394	189 16 41,668	185 12 41,812	209 11 42,397	196 9 41,510	210 12 41,272	191 13 40,729	187 14 40,858	184 12 40,333
61 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations. 62 States and political subdivisions	31,915 1,862	31,860 1,908	32,041 1,890	32,432 1,899	31,741 1,817	31,660 1,839	31,206 1,868	31,265 1,906	31,071 1,877
63 U.S. government. 64 Commercial banks in U.S.	3.139	3,287	3,329	3,402	35 3,375	36	30 3,275	29 3,296	23 3,274
65 Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks	4,430	4,570	4,514	4.624	4,541	4,409	4,350	4,362	4,087
66 Federal funds purchased Other liabilities for borrowed money	19,805	19,344	19,376	18,269	17,168	22,205	22,353	18,931	19, 291
67 Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks 68 Treasury tax-and-loan notes	0 1,065 4,306	390	975 556	1,124	2,021 1,255	784 4 047	482	324	411
69 All other liabilities for borrowed money 70 Other liabilities and subordinated note and debentures	4,396 16,394	3.935	3,792 17,403	4,104 17,540	3,770 17,150	4,047 17,438	4,037 17,623	3,930 17,776	4,049 18,777
71 Total liabilities	152,746	148,821	149,221	145,293	148,462	149,605	148,739	147,506	150,966
72 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities)7	12,623	12,567	12,543	12,568	12,707	12,789	12,748	12,848	12,889

Excludes trading account securities.
 Not available due to confidentiality.
 Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
 Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers.

Includes trading account securities.
 Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
 This is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses.

1.30 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Balance Sheet Memoranda

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

Account					1979				
	Jan. 3	Jan. 10	Jan. 17	Jan. 24	Jan. 31#	Feb. 7"	Feb. 14"	Feb. 21 <i>n</i>	Feb. 28**
	-	Large	weekly rep	oorting ban	ks with ass	ets of \$750	million or	more	
1 Total loans (gross) and investments adjusted 1 2 Total loans (gross) adjusted 1	444,829	443,047	441,628	438,070	440,546	440,711	440,650	447,966	444,575
	345,358	343,643	341,803	338,273	341,318	340,677	341,331	347,163	343,995
	113,225	105,366	108,432	102,872	101,540	99,802	101,899	101,046	98,725
4 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more 5 Negotiable CDs	131,239	131,676	131,756	133,507	131,950	131,070	131,029	130,781	130,852
	96,584	96,857	96,473	97,737	96,243	95,486	95,240	95,124	94,719
	34,655	34,818	35,282	35,771	35,707	35,584	35,789	35,657	36,133
7 Loans sold outright to affilates 3	3.745	3,650	3,554	3,602	3.570	3,578	3,615	3,678	3,540
	2,606	2,548	2,452	2,494	2,501	2,481	2,554	2,562	2,489
	1,139	1,103	1,102	1,108	1,069	1,097	1,061	1,056	1,050
		Larg	ge weekly r	eporting ba	inks with a	ssets of \$1	billion or r	nore	
10 Total loans (gross) and investments adjusted 1 11 Total loans (gross) adjusted 1	416,412	414,155	413,220	409,743	412,090	412,302	412,265	419,497	416,190
	324,226	322,188	320,657	317,194	320,173	319,581	320,261	325,956	322,941
	105,432	97,527	100,812	95.862	94,442	92,834	94,590	94,130	91,868
13 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more 14 Negotiable CDs	123,865	124,296	124,273	125,963	124,399	123,415	123,269	123,046	123,131
	92,271	92,280	91,956	93,146	91,608	90,766	90,535	90,370	89,988
	31,593	32,017	32,317	32,817	32,791	32,648	32,734	32,676	33,143
16 Loans sold outright to affiliates 3	3,703	3,608	3,512	3,560	3,528	3,537	3,573	3,575	3,498
	2,588	2,528	2,433	2,476	2,484	2,464	2,535	2,543	2,471
	1,115	1,080	1,078	1,084	1,044	1,073	1,038	1,033	1,027
			Large v	reekly repo	rting banks	in New Y	ork City		
19 Total loans (gross) and investments adjusted 1, 4, 20 Total loans (gross) adjusted 1,	95.726	93,809	93.070	91,822	92,644	93,666	94.224	96,435	94,646
	77.974	76,306	75,644	74,641	75,542	76,068	76,637	78,471	77,030
	26,931	21,424	24,207	24,657	23,259	22,456	22,478	24,949	21,817
22 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more 23 Negotiable CDs	36,237	36,645	36,815	37,314	36,422	36,192	35,638	35,698	35,191
	29,137	29,489	29,596	30,113	29,139	28,844	28,309	28,321	27,683
	7,100	7,156	7,220	7,201	7,282	7,348	7,329	7,377	7,508

¹ Exclusive of loans and federal funds transactions with domestic commercial banks.

² All demand deposits except U.S. government and domestic banks less cash items in process of collection.

 ³ Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank) and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company.
 4 Excludes trading account securities.

1.31 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Domestic Classified Commercial and Industrial Loans

Millions of dollars

		(Outstandin	5			Net o	change duri	ng	
Industry classification	ļ i	1978		19	179		1978		. 19	79
	Oct. 25	Nov. 29	Dec. 27	Jan. 31	Feb. 28	Q3	Q4	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
I Durable goods manufacturing	17,290	17,325	18,004	17,728	18,690	64	365	679	- 276	962
Nondurable goods manufacturing Food, liquor, and tobacco. Textiles, apparel, and leather Petroleum refining Chemicals and rubber Other nondurable goods	16,805 4,431 4,241 2,445 3,274 2,414	16,775 4,654 3,964 2,522 3,210 2,425	17,216 4,936 3,726 2,643 3,540 2,371	16,468 4,620 3,788 2,370 3,285 2,404	16.771 4.704 3.931 2.336 3.371 2.430	166 77 243 - 116 - 99 214	213 686 - 624 153 - 88	441 282 238 121 330 54	748 316 62 273 255 33	303 84 143 34 86 26
8 Mining (including crude petroleum and natural gas)	10.469	10.495	10,652	10,038	10.000	167	200	157	- 614	. 38
9 Trade 10 Commodity dealers 11 Other wholesale 12 Retail.	20,113 1,797 9,419 8,897	20,364 1,787 9,520 9,057	19,964 1,963 9,436 8,565	21,128 1,980 10,157 8,990	21,354 1,940 10,276 9,137	182 316 251 114	817 227 277 312	· 400 176 · 84 – 492	1,164 17 721 425	226 40 119 147
13 Transportaion, communication, and other public utilities. 14 Transportation. 15 Communication. 16 Other public utilities.	12.636 5.655 1.695 5.287	12,892 5,649 1,756 5,487	13,411 5,641 1,797 5,973	13,528 5,784 1,753 5,991	13,738 5,962 1,825 5,952	184 28 67 88	1.086 74 83 930	519 8 41 486	117 143 44 18	210 178 72 - 39
17 Construction. 18 Services. 19 All other ¹ .	5,212 14,186 17,597	5,156 14,432 17,995	5,207 14,957 16,908	5,112 15,465 15,582	5,056 15,610 15,946	115 509 205	- 25 982 - 409	51 525 1.087	95 508 1,326	56 145 364
20 Total domestic loans	114,308	115,434	116,319	115,047	117,167	1,228	3,229	885	1,272	2,120
21 M1M0: Term loans (original maturity more than 1 year) included in domestic loans	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	57,676	58,270	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	594

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ Includes commercial and industrial loans at a few banks with assets of \$1 billion or more that do not classify their loans.

Nott. New series. The 134 large weekly reporting commercial banks

with domestic assets of \$1 billion or more as of December 31, 1977 are included in this series. The revised series is on a last-Wednesday-of-the-month basis.

1.32 GROSS DEMAND DEPOSITS of Individuals, Partnerships, and Corporations Billions of dollars, estimated daily-average balances

					At comme	ercial banl	ks				
Type of holder	1974	1975	1976		1977			19	1978		
	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	
I All holders, individuals, partnerships, and corporations.	225.0	236.9	250.1	253.8	252.7	274.4	262.5	271.2	278.8	294.6	
2 Financial business. 3 Nonfinancial business. 4 Consumer. 5 Foreign. 6 Other.	19.0 118.8 73.3 2.3 11.7	20.1 125.1 78.0 2.4 11.3	22.3 130.2 82.6 2.7 12.4	25.9 129.2 84.1 2.5 12.2	23.7 128.5 86.2 2.5 11.8	25.0 142.9 91.0 2.5 12.9	24.5 131.5 91.8 2.4 12.3	25.7 137.7 92.9 2.4 12.4	25.9 142.5 95.0 2.5 13.1	27.8 152.7 97.4 2.7 14.1	
				At v	weekly rep	orting bar	nks				
	1975 Dec.	1976 Dec.	1977 Dec.	June	July	Aug.	1978 Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
7 All holders, individuals, partnerships, and corporations	124.4	128.5	139.1	136.9	139.9	137.7	139.7	141.3	142.7	147.0	
8 Financial business. 9 Nonfinancial business. 10 Consumer. 11 Foreign. 12 Other.	15.6 69.9 29.9 2.3 6.6	17.5 69.7 31.7 2.6 7.1	18.5 76.3 34.6 2.4 7.4	19.0 71.9 36.6 2.3 7.1	19.4 73.7 37.1 2.3 7.3	19.4 72.0 36.8 2.4 7.1	18.9 74.1 37.1 2.4 7.3	19.1 75.0 37.5 2.5 7.2	19.3 75.7 37.7 2.5 7.5	19.8 79.0 38.2 2.5 7.5	

Note. Figures include cash items in process of collection. Estimates of gross deposits are based on reports supplied by a sample of commercial

banks. Types of depositors in each category are described in the June 1971 BULLETIN, p. 466.

1.33 COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANKERS ACCEPTANCES OUTSTANDING Millions of dollars, end of period

	1975	1976	1977	}		19	78			1979
Instrument	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
				Commerc	ial paper (seasonally	adjusted)	' '		
1 All issuers	48,471	r52,971	65,101	⁷ 74,421	r74,135	777,021	r77,734	r80,679	/83,665	85,226
Financial companies; Dealer-placed paper; Total. Bank-related. Directly-placed paper; Total. Bank-related. Nonfinancial companies ⁴ .	'6.212 1.762 '31.404 6.892 '10,855	1.900	/8.884 2.132 /40.484 7.102 /15.733	10.030	r10.864 2.935 r45.828 9.634 r17.443	711.429 2.622 747.760 10.383 717.832	710,949 2.868 748,460 10.925 718,325	r11.487 3,231 r50.093 11.478 r19.099	r12,296 3,521 r51,630 12,314 r19,739	12.915 4.413 52.880 12.191 19.431
			D	ollar accep	otances (no	ot seasona	dly adjuste	ed)		
7 Total	18,727	22,523	25,450	27,579	28,319	27,952	30,579	32,145	33,700	33,749
Held by: 8 Accepting banks. 9 Own bills. 10 Bills bought. 1 Ederal Reserve Banks: 1 Own account. 12 Foreign correspondents.	5,899	10,442 8,769 1,673 991 375	10,434 8,915 1,519 954 362	7,244 6,345 899	7,048 6,131 917	7.647 6.461 1,186	8.379 7,012 1.366	8,082 6,840 1,243	8,579 7,653 927 I 664	7,339 6,214 1,125
13 Others	9,975	10,715	13.904	19,766	20,638	19,748	21,644	23.478	24,456	25.646
Based on: 14 Imports into United States. 15 Exports from United States. 16 All other.	3,726 4,001 11,000	4,992 4,818 12,713	6.378 5.863 13.209	7,415 6,565 13,599	7,885 6,558 13,876	7,957 6,350 13,644	8,575 6,665 15,339	8,675 7,224 16,245	8.574 7.586 17.540	8,869 7,762 17,118

¹ Institutions engaged primarily in activities such as, but not limited to, commercial, savings, and mortgage banking; sales, personal, and mortgage financing; factoring, finance leasing, and other business lending; insurance underwriting; and other investment activities.
² Includes all financial company paper sold by dealers in the open market.

As reported by financial companies that place their paper directly with investors.
 Includes public utilities and firms engaged primarily in activities such as communications, construction, manufacturing, mining, wholesale and retail trade, transportation, and services.

1.34 PRIME RATE CHARGED BY BANKS on Short-term Business Loans Percent per annum

Month Average Month Average Effective date Rate Effective date Rate rate rate 1978 Jan. 10..... May June July Aug. Sept. Oet. Nov. Dec. 8.27 8.63 9.00 9.01 9.41 9.94 6.75 6.75 6.83 7.13 7.52 7.75 7.75 1978- -Sept. 15...... 28...... 9½ 9¾ 1978 8 June.
July.
Aug.
Sept.
Oct.
Nov. May 5..... 10 101/4 June 16...... 83/4 Nov. 1..... 6..... 17..... 24..... 10½ 10¾ 11 Dec.... 10.94 11.55 Jan... Feb.... Mar.... Apr.... 7.93 Aug. 31..... 91/4 8.00 111/2 1979 -Dec. 26..... 113/4 8,00

1.35 TERMS OF LENDING AT COMMERCIAL BANKS Survey of Loans Made, November 6-11, 1978

	All		Size	of loan (in the	ousands of doll	ars)	
ftem	sizes	124	25-49	50 99	100 499	500 999	1,000 and over
-		. <u> </u>	ihort-term con	- nmercial and in	idustrial loans		
1 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars)	9.533.752 143.729 3.0	735,419 105,705 2,9	493,312 15,165 2,7	595.003 9.331 2.7	1.867.088 11.360 3.1	680.499 1.105 3.4	5.162.431 1.063 3.1
annum). 5 Interquartile range ! Percent of amount of loans;	$\begin{array}{cccc} & 11.44 \\ 10.92 & 12.10 \end{array}$	11.73 10.38 ·13.29	10.50 12.75	$10.37 \ 12.62$	11.53 10.78-12.25	11.19 10.25 11.73	11.00 11.85
6 With floating rate	64.4 36.2	27.1 17.3	26.4 20.7	35.0 31.8	50.1 42.8	69.3 70.0	81.2 34.1
		-	Long-term con	- nmercial and i	 ndustrial loans		
8 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars)	1.177.815 18.903 43.2	< ' <u>-</u>	288,653 17,174 30,6		222.967 1.403 44.4	121.987 172 42.1	544.208 155 49.0
annum)	10.47 12.50		10.47 12.40		$11.00 \ 12.88$	11.58 10.75 12.68	11.09 10.00-12.13
13 With floating rate	61.2 60.8		40.1 42.3		68.4 40.3	62.8 69.1	69.0 77.1
			Construction :		lopment loans		
15 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars)	1,012,101 25,510 7,7	167,317 18,633 4,2	111,087 3,155 4.0	116,176 1.766 5.5	403.138 1.800 8.7	214	1.383 157 11.8
annum)	10.50 12.50	$\begin{array}{c} 10.82 \\ 9.92 \ 12.13 \end{array}$	$10.29 \ 12.68$	$11.65 \\ 10.56 \cdot 12.62$	11.75 11.75 12.36	10.50	11.46 12.75
20	42.7 94.2 60.4 38.2 15.4 46.3	19.8 89.1 66.3 86.9 1.0 12.1	18.9 95.8 88.7 85.5 1.5 13.0	23.9 95.2 31.7 32.5 3.3 64.2	59.6 95.4 52.8 14.0 27.6 58.4		51.4 94.7 70.9 24.5 17.5 57.9
	All sizes	1 9	10 24	25-49	50 99	100 249	250 and over
				oans to farme	rs		
26 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars),	949.031 58.275 7.4	134,907 36,846 7,5	186,760 12,625 8,9	169,744 5,009 8,0	156,770 2.386 6.4	171.536 1.198 8.0	129.314 211 4.7
annum)	10,36 9,50 10,80	9,94 9,20 10,47	9.98 9.20 10.50	9.91 9.24 10.38	10.25 9.73 10.50	10.66 9.99 11.57	11.69 10.47 12.69
31 Feeder livestock 32 Other livestock 33 Other current operating expenses. 34 Farm machinery and equipment. 35 Other.	10.29	9,74 9,81 9,87 10,12 10,34	9.82 10.03 9.83 10.51 10.46	9.64 10.81 10.02 9.80 10.18	10.20 10.37 10.33 9.70 10.20	10.15 11.40 11.03 10.76 10.96	11.74 12.33 11.43 (2) 11.78

⁴ Interest rate range that covers the middle 50 percent of the total dollar amount of loans made.

² Fewer than three sample loans.

1.36 INTEREST RATES Money and Capital Markets

Averages, per cent per annum

	Instrument	1976	1977	! ₁₉₇₈	i I	78	19)79	!	1979,	week end	ling	
					Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Leb.	Leb. 3	Leb. 10	Leb. 17	Feb. 24	Маг. 3
						,	doney m	arket ra	es	ı			ı
ı	Lederal funds 1	5.05	5.54	7,94	9.76	10,03	10.07	10,06	10.12	10,06	10.15	9.97	10.06
2	Prime commercial paper? 3 90- to 119-day	5. 24 5. 15	5.54 5.60	7.94 7.99	10.14 10.23	10.37 10.43	10.25 10.32	9,95	9.99 10.07	9.94 9.99	9.96 10.02	9.97 10.03	9.96 10.03
4	Finance company paper, directly placed, 3- to 6-month 3-4	5,22	5,49	7.78	9,82	10.06	10.10	9.85	9.86	9.83	9,86	9.85	9.84
5	Prime bankers acceptances, 90 day 4.5	5, 19	5.59	8,11	10.53	: 10.55	10.29	10,01	9.92	10,03	9.96	10,06	10.03
6	Large negotiable certificates of deposit 3-month, secondary market ⁶	5.26 j	5.58	8,20	10.72	10,72	10.51	10.18	10.13	10.17	10,16	10.26	10.14
7	Eurodollar deposits, 3/month?	5.57	6.05	٤.74	11.51	11.62	11,16	10.79	/10.63	10,90	10.80	10.84	10.61
	U.S. government securities Bills; 3, 8												
8 9 10	Market yields: 3-month. 6-month. 1-year. Rates on new issue:	4.98 5.26 5.52	5.27 5.53 5.71	7.19 7.58 7.74	8.64 9.24 9.20	9,08 9,36 9,44	9.35 9.47 9.54	9,32 9,41 9,39	9, 28 9, 34 9, 31	9,24 9,37 9,34	9.28 9.36 9.35	9,41 9,50 9,49	9.44 9.50 9.50
11 12	3-month	4.989 5.266	5.265 5.510	7.221 7.572	8.787 9.204	9,122 9,397	9.351 9.501	9.265 9.349	9.324 9.376	9.186 9.307	9.257	9.293 9.370	9.451 9.498
	:					(apital m	i arket ra	ies				
				1				<u>.</u>	i İ		-	· .	
	Government notes and bonds U.S. Treasury Constant maturities: 10						:	:	i i				
13 14 15 16 17 18	1-year 2-year 3-year 5-year 7-year 10-year	5.88 6.77 7.18 7.42 7.61	6.09 6.45 6.69 6.99 7.23 7.42	8.34 8.34 8.29 8.32 8.36 8.41	10.01 9.42 9.04 8.84 8.80 8.81	10.30 9.72 9.33 9.08 9.03 9.01	10,41 9,86 9,50 9,20 9,14 9,10	10.24 9,72 9,29 9,13 9,11 9,10	10, 13 9, 62 9, 15 8, 94 8, 93 8, 94	10,19 9,64 9,20 9,05 9,05 9,05	10,19 9,68 9,28 9,13 9,12 9,12	10.33 9.81 9.39 9.24 9.21 9.17	10.36 9.89 9.45 9.28 9.22 9.18
19 20	20-year	7,86	7.67	8.48 8.49	8.75 8.75	8.90	8.98 8.94	9,03	8.89 8.85	9,00 8,96	9,04 9,01	9.09 9.06	9.12 9.08
21 22	Notes and bonds maturing in 11 3 to 5 years	6,94 6,78	6.85 7.06	8, 30 7, 89	8.97 8.16	9.23 8.36	9.36 8.43	9,16 8,43	9.02 8.32	9.07 8.39	9.16 8.44	9.25 8.47	9,32 8,49
23 24 25	State and local: Moody's series ¹⁷ Aaa. Baa. Bond Buyer series ¹³ .	5.66 7.49 6.64	5.20 6.12 5.68	5.52 6.27 6.03	5.59 6.65 6.19	5.91 6.76 6.51	5.95 7.14 6.47	5.66 6.75 6.31	5.70 7.00 6.22	5.60 6.75 6.31	5.60 6.75 6.33	5.75 6.50 6.38	5.80 6.40 6.42
26	Corporate bonds Seasoned issues 14 All industries	9.01	8.43	0.07	0.40	9.49	0.45	9,63	0.40	9,60	9.61	9.65	9.73
27 28 29	By rating groups:	8.43 8.75	8.02 8.24 8.49	8,73 8,92 9,12	9.40 9.03 9.24 9.48	9.49 9.16 9.33 9.53	9.65 9.25 9.48 9.72	9,26 9,50 9,68	9,60 9,19 9,43 9,72	9,23 9,46 9,66	9.25 9.49 9.66	9.28 9.52 9.68	9.73 9.36 9.59 9.74
30	Baa Aaa utility bonds;12	9.75	8.97	. 9.45	9,83	9,94	10,13	10,08	10.07	. 10.04	10.04	10,10	10,21
31 32	New issue	8.48 8.49	8.19 8.19	8.96 8.97	9.27 9.27	9.28 9.41	9.54 9.51	9,53	19.40	9.42	9.55	9.59	9.64 9.67
33 34	Dividend/price ratio Preferred stocks Common stocks	/ 97 3.77	7.60 4.56	8, 25 5, 28	8.43 5.45	8.84 5.39	8.79 5.29	8,77 5,43	8.65 '5.28	8.71 5.45	8.84 5.36	8.73 5.35	8.78 5.55

¹ Weekly figures are 7-day averages of daily effective rates for the week ending Wednesday; the daily effective rate is an average of the rates on a given day weighted by the volume of transactions at these rates.

² Beginning Nov. 1977, unweighted average of offering rates quoted by five dealers. Previously, most representative rate quoted by those dealers.

dealers.

3 Yields are quoted on a bank-discount basis.

4 Averages of the most representative daily offering rates published by finance companies for varying maturities in this range.

5 Average of the midpoint of the range of daily dealer closing rates offered for domestic issues.

6 Weekly figures (week ending Wednesday) are 7-day averages of the daily midpoints as determined from the range of offering rates; monthly figures are averages of total days in the month. Beginning Apr. 5, 1978, weekly figures are simple averages of offering rates.

7 Averages of daily quotations for the week ending Wednesday.

8 Except for new bill issues, yields are computed from daily closing bid prices.

9 Rates are recorded in the week in which bills are issued.

10 Yields on the more actively traded issues adjusted to constant naturities by the U.S. Treasury, based on daily closing bid prices.

11 Unweighted averages for all outstanding notes and bonds in maturity ranges shown, based on daily closing bid prices. "Long-term" includes all bonds neither due nor callable in less than 10 years, including a number of very low yielding "flower" bonds.

12 General obligations only, based on figures for Thursday, from Moody's Investors Service.

13 Twenty issues of mixed quality.

14 Averages of daily figures from Moody's Investors Service.

15 Compilation of the Board of Governors of the Lederal Reserve System.

System.

System. Issues included are long-term (20 years or more). New-issue yields are based on quotations on date of offering; those on recently offered issues (included only for first 4 weeks after termination of underwriter price restrictions), on I riday close-of-business quotations.

1.37 STOCK MARKET Selected Statistics

			 				1978			19	79
	Indicator	1976	1977	1978	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
_		-	<u>-</u>	Pri	ces and ti	rading (av	erages of	daily figur	res)	·	<u></u>
	Common stock prices							 			
1 2 3 4 5	TransportationUtility	54.45 60.44 39.57 36.97 52.94	53.67 57.84 41.07 40.91 55.23	53.76 58.30 43.25 39.23 56.74	58.53 64.07 49.45 40.20 63.28	58.58 64.23 50.19 39.82 63.22	56.40 61.60 46.70 39.44 60.42	52.74 57.50 41.80 37.88 54.95	53.69 58.72 42.49 38.09 55.73	55.76 61.31 43.69 38.79 57.59	55.06 60.42 42.27 39.22 56.09
6	Standard & Poor's Corporation $(1941-43 = 10)^{1}$	102.01	98.18	96.11	103.92	103.86	100.58	94.71	96.10	99.70	98.23
7	American Stock Exchange (Aug. 31, 1973 = 100).	101.63	116.18	144.56	162.52	170.95	160.14	144.17	149.94	159.26	160.92
8	Volume of trading (thousands of shares) ² New York Stock Exchange	21,189 2,565	20,936 2,514	28,591 3,922	37,603 5,526	33.612 5,740	31,020 4,544	24.505 3,304	24,622 3,430	27,988 3,150	25.037 2,944
			Cu	stomer fina	incing (en	d-of-perio	d balances	s, in milli	ons of doll	ars)	<u>'</u>
10 11 12 13	Convertible bonds	8,166 7,960 204 2	9,993 9,740 250 3	11,035 10,830 205	11,984 11,740 243	12,626 12,400 225	12,307 12,090 216 1	11,209 11,000 209	11,035 10,830 205	10,955 10,750 204	n.a.
14 15		585 1.855	640 2,060	835 2,510	795 2,555	825 2,655	885 2,465	790 2,305	835 2,510	810 2.565	
			Marg	in-account	debt at b	rokers (pe	rcentage d	istributio	n, end of p	period)	 -
16	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1
17 18 19 20 21 22	50-59	12.0 23.0 35.0 15.0 8.7 6.0	18.0 36.0 23.0 11.0 6.0 5.0	33.0 28.0 18.0 10.0 6.0 5.0	12.0 34.0 23.0 16.0 9.0 6.0	15.0 36.0 23.0 13.0 7.0 6.0	47.0 20.0 15.0 8.0 5.0 5.0	32.0 27.0 20.0 10.0 6.0 5.0	33.0 28.0 18.0 10.0 6.0 5.0	21.0 32.0 22.0 12.0 7.0 6.0	n.a.
			Sp	ecial misce	ilaneous-	account ba	lances at	brokers (end of peri	iod)	
23 24 25 26	Debit status, equity of—	8,776 41.3 47.8 10.9	9,910 43.4 44.9 11.7								
				Mar	gin requir	ements (p	ercent of	market va	lue)9		
						Effecti	ve date				
		Mar. 11	, 1968	June 8, 196	8 May	6, 1970	Dec. 6,	1971 N	ov. 24, 19	72 Jan.	3, 1974
28	Margin stocks	70 50 70		80 60 80		65 50 65	55 50 55		65 50 65		50 50 50

Credit extended is end-of-month data for member firms of the New York Stock Exchange.

In addition to assigning a current loan value to margin stock generally, Regulations T and U permit special loan values for convertible bonds and stock acquired through exercise of subscription rights.

4 A distribution of this total by equity class is shown on lines 23–28.

5 Nonnargin stocks are those not listed on a national securities exchange and not included on the Federal Reserve System's list of over-the-counter margin stocks. At brokers, such stocks have no loan value.

6 Free credit balances are in accounts with no unfulfilled commitments to the brokers and are subject to withdrawal by customers on demand.

⁷ Each customer's equity in his collateral (market value of collateral less net debit balance) is expressed as a percentage of current collateral

salues.

8 Balances that may be used by customers as the margin deposit required for additional purchases. Balances may arise as transfers based on loan values of other collateral in the customer's margin account or deposits of cash (usually sales proceeds) occur.

9 Regulations G, T, and U of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, prescribed in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act or 1934, limit the amount of credit to purchase and earry margin stocks that may be extended on securities as collateral by prescribing a maximum loan value, which is a specified percentage of the market value of the collateral at the time the credit is extended. Margin requirements are the difference between the market value (100 percent) and the maximum loan value. The term "margin stocks" is defined in the corresponding regulation.

Regulation G and special margin requirements for bonds convertible into stocks were adopted by the Board of Governors effective Mar. 11, 1968.

¹ Effective July 1976, includes a new financial group, banks and insurance companies. With this change the index includes 400 industrial stocks (formerly 425), 20 transportation (formerly 15 rail), 40 public utility (formerly 60), and 40 financial.

² Based on trading for a 6-hour day.

³ Margin credit includes all credit extended to purchase or carry stocks or related equity instruments and secured at least in part by stock. Credit extended is end-of-month data for member firms of the New York Stock Exchange.

1.38 SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS Selected Assets and Liabilities Millions of dollars, end of period

	1975	1976	1977				19	78				1979
Account			1	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan."
			-		Savi	ngs and lo	oan associa	ations9	' '			1
1 Assets	338,233	391,907	459,241	487,052	491,576	498,301	504,298	508,977	515,352	520,677	523,649	529,926
2 Mortgages 3 Cash and investment	i		!				į.			429,420	432,858	435,453
securities 1	30,853 28,790	35.724 33.178	39,150 38,928	42.444 42.303	41,505 42,106	43,627 42,718	44,188	43,987 44,019	45,577 44,539	45.869 45.388	44,855 45,936	47,770 46,703
5 Liabilities and net worth					i		ļ	:	:		523,649	529,926
6 Savings capital	285,743 20,634 17.524	335.912 19,083 15.708	386,800 27,840 19,945	401,930 32,759 23,323	408,586 34,270 24.875	411.660 35,730 26,151	37,219 27,363	420,405 $38,595$ $28,632$	423,050 39,873 29,456		431,009 42,960 31,990	435,771 42,423 31,776
9 Other	3.110 5.128	3.375 6,840	7,895 9,911	9,436	9.395 11.632	9,579	9.856 11.422	9,963	10,417	10.659	10,970 $10,737$	10,647 10,458
11 Other	6.949 19.779	8.074	9,506 25,184	14.239	27.042	27,399	13.906	10.676	12,832	14.666 28.808	9,918 29,025	29,284
13 MFMo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ³		14,826	19,875	23.939	İ	22.393	i	21.648	21,503		18,734	18,174
antinents outstanding.		117,020				٠.	٠.		21, 303		-	-
		ı	i ·	Í		ual saving		1	-	I		
14 Assets	121,056	134,812	147,287	152,202	153,175	154,315	155,210	156,110	156,843	157,436	158,185	!
Loans; 15 Mortgage	4,023	81,630 5,183	88,195 6,210	90,915	91.555	92,230	92,866 8.379	93.403 8.418	93,903 8,272	94.497 7.921	95,205 7,176	
17 U.S. government 18 State and local government. 19 Corporate and other ⁴ 20 Cash 21 Other assets	4.740 1,545 27,992 2,330 3,205	5,840 2,417 33,793 2,355 3,593	5,895 2,828 37,918 2,401 3,839	5,491 2,994 39,225 1,798 3,873	5,304 3,008 39,427 2,163 3,946	5.269 3.025 39,639 2,029 3.915	5,210 3,098 39,592 2,080 3,985	5,172 3,180 39,639 2,293 4,006	5,105 3,190 39,651 2,735 3,988	5.035 3.307 39.679 3.033 3.962	3,335	
22 Liabilities	121,056	134,812	147,287	152,202	153,175	154,315	155,210	156,110	156,843	157,436	158,185	n.a.
23 Deposits	69,653	122,877 121,961 74,535 47,426 916 2,884 9,052	134,017 132,744 78,005 54,739 1,272 3,292 9,978	137,307 135,785 78,273 57,512 1,521 4,481 10,414	138,709 137,089 77,321 59,768 1,620 3,969 10,497	139,128 137,430 76,116 61,313 1,698 4,636 10,551	139,308 137,690 75,578 62,112 1,619 5,246 10,654	140,816 139,068 75,423 63,645 1,747 4,570 10,725	141,026 139,422 74,124 65,298 1,604 5,040 10,777	1.39.853	142,629 141,089 71,702 69,387 1,540 4,666 10,891	
mitments outstanding6	1,803	2,439	4.066	4,606	4.958	4.872	4.789	4,561	4,843	4,823	4,400	1
					1.if	e insuranc	e compan	ies 🖽				
31 Assets	289,304	321,552	351,722	366,938	369,879	374,415	378,124	381,050	382,446	385.562	389,021	î
Securities 32	4,508 4,514 135,317 107,256	17,942 5,368 5,594 6,980 157,246 122,984 34,262	79,553 5,315 6,051 8,187 775,654 141,891 33,763		19,401 4,984 5,943 8,474 188,500 153,812 34,688	19,447 5,006 5,925 8,516 192,112 156,207 35,905	19,563 5.155 5.884 8,524 194,620 157,888 36,732	19,538 5,156 6,001 8,481 196,152 159,972 36,180	19,757 5,183 6,035 8,539 195,883 161,347 34,536	162.835	4,795 6,250 8,534 197,342	 ; n.a.
39 Mortgages		91,552 10,476 25,834 18,502	11 0/0	99,190 11,537 28,431 21,165	11 540	100.596 11,562 28,843 21,855	11,538	102.365 11.583 29.290 22.022	11,693		105,932 11,776 30,202 24,190	
l						Credit	unions			<u>·</u>		
43 Total assets/liabilities and capital. 44 Federal. 45 State.	38,037 20,209 17.828	45,225 24,396 20,829	54,084 29.574 24.510	58,018 31,925 26,093	59,381 32,793 26,588	59,152 32,679 26,473	60,141 33,315 26,826	61,277 34.058 27,219	60,909 33,718 27,191	61,465 34,093 27,372	34.681	
46 Loans outstanding 47 Federal 48 State	28,169 14,869 13,300	34,384 18,311 16,073	42,055 22,717 19,338	45,506 24,732 20,774	47,118 25,762 21,356	47,620 25,970 21,650	49,103 26,840 22,263	50,121 27,510 22.611	50.549 27.697 22,852	51,264 28,176	51,807	 n.a. !
49 Savings	33,013 17,530 15,483	39,173 21,130 18,043	46,832 25,849 20,983	50,789	52,076 28,903 23,173	51,551 28,627 22,924	51,772 28,779 22.993	52,867 29,429 23,438	52,468 29,086 23,382	52.600	53,048 29,326 23,722	

For notes see bottom of page A30,

A30

FEDERAL FISCAL AND FINANCING OPERATIONS 1.39

Millions of dollars

	Transition		1			Calend	ar year		
Type of account or operation	quarter (July- Sept.	Liscal year 1977	Fiscal year 1978	1977	19	78	[9]	78	1979
	1976)			H2	HII	H2	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
U.S. budget 1	- 1.952	357,762 402,803 -45,041 7,833 -52,874	401.997 450.758 - 48.761 12.693 - 61.454	175,820 216,781 40,961 4,293 45,254	210,650 222,518 -11,870 4,334 -16,204	206.275 238.150 -31.875 11.755 43.630	33,227 39,134 - 5,907 1,293 -7,200	37.477 41.392 3.915 1.833 5.748	38,364 41,095 2,737 3,971 1,240
Off-budget entities surplus, or deficit (**) Federal Financing Bank outlays Other 3	2,575 793	- 8,415 - 269	10.660 354	i - 6.663 428	- 5,105 -790		- 296 1,700	1,178 453	693 272
U.S. budget plus off-budget, including Federal Financing Bank 8 Surplus, or deficit (-) Financed by: 9 Borrowing from the public 10 Cash and monetary assets (decrease, or increase (-)) 11 Other 4	-14,752 18,027 -2,899 -373	-53,725 53,516 -2,238 2,440	59.067 59.106 -3.023 2.984	40.284 4.317	- 17,765 23,374 - 5,098 - 511	35,117 30,308 3,381 1,428	5,236 3,485	4.640 3.533 2.323 3.430	3,696 3,312 227 611
MEMO ITEMS: 12 Treasury operating balance (level, end of period)	17,418 13,299 4,119	19,104 15,740 3,364	22,444 16.647 5,797	12,274 7,114 5,160	17,526 11,614 5,912	16,291 4,196 12,095	12,854 6,587 6,267	16,291 4,196 12,095	15,146 3,522 11,624

⁴ Includes public debt accrued interest payable to the public; deposit funds; miscellaneous liability (including checks outstanding) and asset accounts; seignorage; increment on gold; net gain/loss for U.S. currency valuation adjustment; net gain/loss for IMF valuation adjustment.

Source, "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government," *Treasury Bulletin*, and U.S. Budget, Fiscal Year 1978.

NOTES TO TABLE 1.38

Not1. Savings and loan associations: Estimates by the FHLBB for all associations in the United States. Data are based on monthly reports of federally insured associations and annual reports of other associations. Even when revised, data for current and preceding year are subject to further revision. Mutual savings banks: Estimates of National Association of Mutual

Mutual savings banks: Estimates of National Association of Mutual Savings Banks for all savings banks in the United States. Data are reported on a gross-of-valuation-reserves basis.

Life insurance companies: Estimates of the American Council of Life Insurance for all life insurance companies in the United States. Amual figures are annual-statement asset values, with bonds carried on an amortized basis and stocks at year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for differences between market and book values are not made on each time senared by the received in total in values are not made on each item separately but are included, in total, in "other assets."

Credit unions: Estimates by the National Credit Union Administration

for a group of federal and state-chartered credit unions that account for about 30 percent of credit union assets. Figures are preliminary and revised annually to incorporate recent benchmark gata.

¹ Effective June 1978, earned income credit payments in excess of an individual's tax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, are classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976.

² Half years calculated as a residual of total surplus/deficit and trust fund surplus/deficit.

³ Includes Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp.; Postal Service Fund; Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund, Rural Telephone Bank; and Housing for the Elderly or Handicapped Fund until October 1977.

Holdings of stock of the Federal Home Loan Banks are included in

[&]quot;other assets."

Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not

² Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not all, associations.

4 Includes figures for loans in process, which are shown as a liability.

4 Includes securities of foreign governments and international organizations and nonguaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies.

5 Excludes checking, club, and school accounts.

6 Commitments outstanding (including loans in process) of banks in New York State as reported to the Savings Banks Association of the State of New York.

7 Direct and guaranteed obligations. Excludes federal agency issues not guaranteed, which are shown in this table under "business" securities.

5 Issues of foreign governments and their subdivisions and bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

9 Data reflect benchmark revisions back to 1977.

10 Data for June, July, and August 1978 have been revised.

11 Data for 1977 and the first 6 months of 1978 have been revised by the American Council of Life Insurance.

1.40 U.S. BUDGET RECEIPTS AND OUTLAYS

Millions of dollars

		 Transition					Calenda	ır yenr		
	Source or type	quarter (July- Sept.	Fiscal year 1977	Fiscal year 1978	1977	197	8	1978		1979
		[976)		-	112 :	HI	112	Nov.	Dec.	Jan
	_					Receipts		•	•	-
1	All sources1	81,772	357,762	401.997	175,820	210,650	206,275	33,227	37,477	38,364
2 3 4	Individual income taxes, net Withheld Presidential Election Campaign	32,949	157,626 144,820	180.988 165,215	82,911 75.480	90,336 82,784	98.85 <i>1</i> 90.148	16,609 16,268	76.066 15.454	23,667 15,843
5 6 7	Fund. Nonwithheld. Refunds ¹ Corporation income taxes	6,809 6,809 958	37 42,062 29,293	47,804 32,070	9 . 397 1 . 967	36 37,584 30.068	10.777 · 2.075	533 192	830 219	7,866 42
8 9 10	Gross receipts		60,057 5,164	65,380 5,428	25.121 2.819	38,496 2,782	28.536 2.757	1,541 493	10.769	2.539 392
11	tions, net	25,760	108,683	123,410	' '	66,191	61.064	11,923	2.716	9,429
12	contributions 2 Self-employment taxes and		88,196	99,626	44.384	51,668 :	51.052	9,762	7.059	8,098
13 14	contributions 3	2,698	4,014 11,312 5,162	4,267 13,850 5,668	$\begin{bmatrix} 316 \\ 4.936 \\ 2.711 \end{bmatrix}$	3,892 7,800 2,831	6.727 2.917	1,662	174 483	341 478 512
15 16 17 18	Excise taxes. Customs deposits Estate and gift taxes. Miscellaneous receipts 2	1,455	17,548 5,150 7,327 6,536	6,573	9.284 2.848 2.837 3.292	8,835 3,320 2,587 3,667	9.879 3.748 2.691 4.260	1,712 646 460 829	1.597 594 386 732	1,520 630 485 486
		,				Outlays 8	•		. '	-
19	All types 1	94,742	402,803	450,758	216,781	222,518	238,150	39,134	41,392	41,095
20 21 22	International affairs	2.180	97,501 4,831	105,192 6,083	50.873 2,896	52,979 2,904	55.129 2.221	9,239	9,450	9,304 550
23 24 25	Natural resources and environment.	2,532	4,677 4,172 10,000 5,526	4.721 6,045 11,022 7,618	2,318	2,395 2,487 4,959 2,353	2.362 4.461 6.119 4.854	412 792 889 1,372	407 747 1.125 1.681	421 622 953 1,755
26 27 28	Transportation		- 31 14,636	3,340 15,461	\	7,723 i	3.291 8.758	41 1,414	309 1.374	109 1.419
29	development	1	6.283	11,255	4.924	5,928	6.108	910	75.3	800
30 31		8,720	20.985 38,785 137,905	25,889 44,529 145,640	10,800 19,422 71,081	12,792 21,391 75.201	13.676 ¹ 23.942 73.305 ₁	2,244 3,957 12,358 [2.210 4.717 12.469	2,467 4,149 12,959
32 33 34 35 36 37	Administration of justice General government General-purpose fiscal assistance Interest •	859 878 2,092 7,246	18,038 3,600 3,357 9,499 38,092 - 15,053	18.987 3,786 3,544 9,377 44,040 -15,772	9,864 1,723 1,749 4,926 19,962 - 8,506	9,603 1,946 1,803 4,665 22,280 -7,945	9.545 1.973 2.111 4.385 24.110 8.200	1,667 392 196 160 3,850 713	2.650 309 269 79 7.372 4.870	757 341 392 1,754 2,860 516

¹1. flective June 1978, carned income credit payments in excess of an individual's rax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, are classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976.

² Old-age, disability and hospital insurance, and railroad retirement

² Old-age, disability and hospital insurance, and railroad retirement accounts.

2 Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance.

2 Supplementary medical insurance premiums, 'edetal employee retirement contributions, and Civil Service retirement and disability fund.

2 Deposits of carnings by Federal Reserve Banks and other miscellaneous receipts.

4 Effective September 1976, "Interest" and "Undistributed Offsetting Receipts" reflect the accounting conversion for the interest on special issues for U.S. government accounts from an accrual basis to a cash basis.

Consists of interest received by trust funds, rents and royalties on the Outer Continental Shelf, and U.S. government contributions for employee retirement.

*For some types of outlays the categories are new or represent regroupings; data for these categories are from the Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1979; data are not available for half-years or for months prior to February 1978.

Two categories have been renamed: "Law enforcement and justice" has become "Administration of justice" and "Revenue sharing and general purpose fiscal assistance."

assistance.

In addition, for some categories the table includes revisions in figures published earlier.

FEDERAL DEBT SUBJECT TO STATUTORY LIMITATION

Billions of dollars

Item	19	76		1977			19	78	
	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	Mar. 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
1 Federal debt outstanding	2 646.4	665.5	685.2	709.1	729.2	747.8	758.8	780.4	797.7
2 Public debt securities	634.7 488.6 146.1	653.5 506.4 147.1	674.4 523.2 151.2	698.8 543.4 155.5	718.9 564.1 154.8	738.0 585.2 152.7	749.0 587.9 161.1	771.5 603.6 168.0	789,2 619,2 170,0
5 Agency securities	11.6 29.7 1.9	12.0 10.0 1.9	10.8 9.0 1.8	10.3 8.5 1.8	10.2 8.4 1.8	9.9 8.1 1.8	9.8 8.0 1.8	8.9 7.4 1.5	8.5 7.0 1.5
8 Debt subject to statutory limit	635.8	654.7	675.6	700.0	720.1	739.1	750.2	772.7	790.3
9 Public debt securities	634.1 1.7	652.9 1.7	673.8 1.7	698.2 1.7	718.3 1.7	737.3 1.8	748.4 1.8	770.9 1.8	788.6 1.7
11 Мемо: Statutory debt limit	636.0	682.0	700.0	700.0	752.0	752.0	752.0	798.0	798.0

¹ Includes guaranteed debt of government agencies, specified participation certificates, notes to international lending organizations, and District of Columbia stadium bonds.
² Gross federal debt and agency debt held by the public increased

1.42 GROSS PUBLIC DEBT OF U.S. TREASURY Types and Ownership

Billions of dollars, end of period

Type and holder	1974	1975	1976	1977		1978		19'	79
		1	ļ		Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
1 Total gross public debt	492.7	576.6	653.5	718.9	776.4	783.0	789.2	790.5	792.2
By type: 2 Interest-bearing debt	491.6 282.9 119.7 129.8 33.4 208.7 2.3 6 22.8 119.1	575.7 363.2 157.5 167.1 38.6 212.5 2.3 1.2 21.6 67.9	652.5 421.3 164.0 216.7 40.6 231.2 2.3 4.5 22.3 72.3 129.7	715. 2 459. 9 161. 1 251. 8 47. 0 255. 3 2. 2 13. 9 22. 2 77. 0 139. 8	775.5 491.7 161.2 272.6 57.8 283.8 2.2 24.1 24.0 80.5 152.7	782.0 493.3 161.5 271.7 60.1 288.7 2.2 24.1 26.6 80.7 154.8	782.4 487.5 161.7 265.8 60.0 294.8 2.2 24.3 28.0 80.9 157.5	789.5 496.5 162.3 272.8 61.4 293.0 2.2 24.2 27.5 80.8 155.2	791.2 498.0 162.4 271.4 64.2 293.3 2.2 24.2 25.3 80.8 157.6
13 Non-interest-bearing debt	1.1	1.0	1.1	3.7	.9	1.0	6.8	1.0	1.0
By holder:5 14 U.S. government agencies and trust funds 15 Federal Reserve Banks	138.2 80.5	139.1	147.1 97.0	154.8 102.5	166.3 115.3	167.4 113.3	170.0 109.6	1	1
Private investors 17 Commercial banks. 18 Mutual savings banks. 19 Insurance companies. 20 Other corporations. 21 State and local governments.	271.0 55.6 2.5 6.2 11.0 29.2	349.4 85.1 4.5 9.5 20.2 34.2	409.5 103.8 5.9 12.7 27.7 41.6	461.3 101.4 5.9 15.1 22.7 55.2	494.7 94.3 5.4 15.3 21.0 67.1	502.3 93.5 5.3 15.1 20.9 69.1	509.6 93.4 5.2 15.0 20.6 68.6	n.a.	n.a.
Individuals: 22 Savings bonds	63.4 21.5	67.3 24.0	72.0 28.8	76.7 28.6	80.2 29.6	80.5 29.8	80.7 30.0		
Foreign and international ⁶	58.8 22.8	66.5 38.0	78.1 38.9	109.6 46.1	122.5 54.3	132.4 55.8	137.8 58.3		

^{\$0.5} billion due to a retroactive reclassification of the Export-Import Bank certificates of beneficial interest from loan asset sales to debt, effective July 1, 1975.

Note: Data from Treasury Bulletin (U.S. Treasury Department).

¹ Includes (not shown separately): Securities issued to the Rural Electrification Administration and to state and local governments, depositary bonds, retirement plan bonds, and individual retirement bonds. ² These nonmarketable bonds, also known as Investment Series B Bonds, may be exchanged (or converted) at the owner's option for 1½ percent, 5-year marketable Treasury notes, Convertible bonds that have been so exchanged are removed from this category and recorded in the notes category above.

notes category above.

3 Nonmarketable dollar-denominated and foreign currency denominated series held by foreigners.

4 Held almost entirely by U.S. government agencies and trust funds.

5 Data for Federal Reserve Banks and U.S. government agencies and trust funds are actual holdings; data for other groups are Treasury serimans.

⁶ Consists of the investments of foreign balances and international accounts in the United States. Beginning with July 1974, the figures exclude non-interest-bearing notes issued to the International Monetary Fund. 7 Includes savings and Ioan associations, nonprofit institutions, corporate pension trust funds, dealers and brokers, certain government deposit accounts, and government sponsored agencies.

NOTE, Gross public debt excludes guaranteed agency securities and, beginning in July 1974, includes Federal Financing Bank security issues. Data by type of security from Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States (U.S. Treasury Department); data by holder from Treasury Balletin.

1.43 U.S. GOVERNMENT MARKETABLE SECURITIES Ownership, by maturity

Par value; millions of dollars, end of period

Type of holder	1976	1977	19	78	1976	1977	19	78
			Nov.	Dec.			Nov.	Dec.
		All ma	iturities	-		1 to 5	years	
I All holders	421,276	459,927	493.337	487.546	141,132	151,264	168,795	162,886
2 U.S. government agencies and trust funds		14,420 101,191	1 12.776 113.305	12.695 109.616	6,141 $31,249$	4,788 27,012	3.310 31,608	3.310 31.283
4 Private investors. 5 Commercial banks. 6 Mutual savings banks. 7 Insurance companies. 8 Nonfinancial corporations. 9 Savings and loan associations. 10 State and local governments. 11 All others.	78,262 4,072 10,284 14,193 4,576 12,252	344,315 75,363 4,379 12,378 9,474 4,817 15,495 222,409	367,256 69,332 3,642 11,732 8,731 4,173 19,146 250,500	365,235 68,890 3,499 11,635 8,272 3,835 18,815 250,288	103,742 40,005 2,010 3,885 2,618 2,360 2,543 50,321	119,464 38,691 2,112 4,729 3,183 2,368 3,875 64,505	133,876 40.042 1.997 4.806 3.523 2.464 4.281 76.763	128,293 38,390 1,918 4,664 3,635 2,255 3,997 73,433
		Total, wit	thin 1 year			5 to 10) years	
12 All holders	211,035	230,691	228,284	228.516	43,045	45,328	50,402	50,400
13 U.S. government agencies and trust funds		1,906 56,702	1.488 56.304	1.488 52.801	2,879 9,148	2,129 10,404	1,989	1.989 14.809
15 Private investors. 16 Commercial banks 17 Mutual savings banks. 18 Insurance companies. 19 Nonfinancial corporations. 20 Savings and loan associations. 21 State and local governments. 22 All others.	31,213 1,214 2,191 11,009 1,984 6,622	172,084 29,477 1,400 2,398 5,770 2,236 7,917 122,885	170.492 19.342 863 1.799 14.686 1.540 8.366 133.895	174,227 20,608 817 1,838 4,048 1,414 8,194 137,309	31,618 6,278 567 2,546 370 155 1,465 19,637	32,795 6,162 584 3,204 307 143 1,283 21,112	33,695 7,408 507 2,894 292 90 1,557 20,946	33,607 7,490 496 2,899 369 89 1,588 20,671
		Bills, wit	hin 1 year		i - 	10 to 2	0 years	
23 All holders	. 163,992	161,081	161,548	161,747	11,865	12,906	19,912	19,800
24 U.S. government agencies and trust funds	449	42,004	45.985	42.397	3,102 1,363	3,102 1,510	3.957 2.077	3.876 2.088
26 Private investors. 27 Commercial banks 28 Mutual savings banks. 29 Insurance companies. 30 Nonfinancial corporations. 31 Savings and loan associations. 32 State and local governments. 33 All others.	17,303 454 1,463 9,939 1,266 5,556	1/9,035 11,996 484 1,187 4,329 806 6,092 94,152	115.561 4.431 161 766 2.083 278 5.876 101.966	179,348 5,707 150 753 1,792 262 5,524 105,161	7,400 339 139 1,114 142 64 718 4,884	8,295 456 137 1,245 133 54 890 5,380	13,879 1,067 143 1,463 70 60 1,365 9,710	73,836 956 143 1,460 86 60 1,420 9,711
		Other, wi	thin 1 year			Over 2	0 years	
34 All holders	47,043	69,610	66,736	66,769	14,200	19,738	25,944	25,944
35 U.S. government agencies and trust funds		1,874 14,698	1.487	1,487	2,350 3,642	2,495 5,564	2.032 8.599	2.031 8.635
37 Private investors. 38 Commercial banks. 39 Mutual savings banks. 41 Insurance companies. 41 Nonfinancial corporations. 42 Savings and loan associations. 43 State and local governments. 44 All others.	13,910 760 728 1,070 718	53,039 15,482 916 1,211 1,441 1,430 71,825 28,733	54,931 14,911 702 1,033 2,603 1,262 2,490 31,929	54,879 14,901 666 1,084 2,256 1,152 2,670 32,149	8,208 427 143 548 55 13 904 6,120	11,679 578 146 802 81 16 1,530 8,526	15,314 1.473 1.31 770 159 17 3.577 9,186	75.278 1.446 126 774 135 17 3.616 9.164

Norr, Direct public issues only, Based on Treasury Survey of Ownership from *Treasury Bulletin* (U.S. Treasury Department).

Data complete for U.S. government agencies and trust funds and Federal Reserve Banks, but data for other groups include only holdings of those institutions that report. The following figures show, for each category, the number and proportion reporting as of Dec. 31, 1978:

^{(1) 5,463} commercial banks 464 mutual savings banks, and 726 insurance companies, each about 80 percent; (2) 435 nonlinancial corporations and 485 savings and loan associations, each about 50 percent; and (3) 492 state and local governments, about 40 percent.

"All others," a residual, includes holdings of all those not reporting in the Treasury Survey, including investor groups not listed separately.

1.44 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Transactions

Par value; averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

					:			W	ek endim	. Wedneso	lay	
Item	1975	1976	1977	. 19	78 :	1979		19	- 78		19	79
		ļ		Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Dec 6	Dec. 13	Dec. 20	Dec. 27	Jan. 3	- Jan. 10
1 U.S. government securities	6,027	10,449	10,838	11.844	8,837	10,778	8,079	9,083	9.227	8,954	9,824	10,139
By maturity: 2 - Bills. 3 - Other within 1 year. 4 - 1 5 years. 5 - 5 - 10 years. 6 - Over 10 years.	3.889 223 1.414 363 138	6.676 210 2.317 1.019 229	6.746 237 2.320 1.118 388	6.573 449 2.301 1.207 1.314	5.336 400 1.676 7.38 687	6,016 464 2,344 813 1,141	4.977 285 1.347 705 766	5.723 459 1.157 888 856	5.214 371 2.075 854 711	5.239 488 2.296 485 447	6,502 622 1,569 542 589	6.008 355 1.714 772 1.289
By type of customer U.S. government securities dealers. U.S. government securities brokers. All others!	885 1.750 1.451 1.941	1,360 3,407 2,426 3,257	1.267 3.709 2.295 3.568	908 5,321 1,834 3,780	954 3, 303 1,514 3,066	1.038 4.525 1.599 3.616	666 3.547 1.255 2.612	923 3.648 1.437 3.075	972 3,586 1,613 3,056	1.270 2.754 1.631 3.300	915 3,307 1,745 3,858	1.033 4.094 1.599 3.413
11 Federal agency securities	1,043	1,548	1,729	2,208	2,325	2,479	2,300	2,313	2,541	2,136	2,005	2,239

¹ Includes, among others, all other dealers and brokers in commodities and securities, foreign banking agencies, and the Lederal Reserve System.

Transactions are market purchases and sales of U.S. government securities dealers reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The figures exclude allorments of, and exchanges for, new U.S. government securities, redemptions of called or matured securities, or purchases or sales of securities under repurchase, reverse repurchase (resale), or similar

1.45 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Positions and Sources of Financing

Par value: averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

Item	1975	1976	1977	19	78	1979		1978,	week endir	ng Wedne	sday	
:			ļ	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Nov 15	Nov. 22	Nov. 29	Dec. 6	Dec. 13	Dec. 20
-						Posi	tions ²					
1 U.S. government securities.	5,884	7.592	5,172	2,417	2,134	3,549	2,548	1,894	2,364	1,853	2,620	2,495
2 Bills 3 Other within 1 year 4 1 5 years 5 5 10 years 6 Over 10 years 7 Federal agency securities	4.297 265 886 300 136 939	6. 290 188 515 402 198 729	4.772 60 92 693	1.958 60 228 413 213	1,922 97 73 211 24 370	3.045 239 115 15 134 609	1.880 181 491 673 305	1,690 -110 326 393 247 325	2.229 183 117 217 218 271	2.133 301 274 195 99	2.704 54 347 241 76 296	2.458 215 -367 236 48 289
	i	, i	<u>.</u> i			 Sources of	financing	! د	_			
8 All sources	6,666	8.715	9.877	11.396	11,918	13,157	10,881	11,355	- 11,427	11,590	12,465	12,878
Commercial banks; 9 New York City. 10 Outside New York City. 11 Corporations! 12 All others.	1.621 1.466 842 2.738	1.895 1.660 1.479 3.681	1.313 1.987 2.423 4.155	347 2.032 3.007 6.010	638 2.210 2.890 6.179	2.136 2.367 2.756 5.898	348 1.930 3.051 5.553	304 2,134 3,000 5,916	39 2.195 3.144 6.049	1 2,067 2,897 6,625	802 2.430 2.852 6.382	1,256 2,338 3,065 6,220

⁴ All business corporations except commercial banks and insurance

turns and dealer departments of commercial banks against U.S. governnruis and deater departments of commercial banks against U.S. govern-ment and federal agency securities (through both collateral loans and sales under agreements to repurchase), plus internal funds used by bank dealer departments to finance positions in such securities. Borrowings against securities held under agreement to resell are excluded where the borrowing contract and the agreement to resell are equal in amount and maturity, that is, a matched agreement.

Not1. Averages for positions are based on number of trading days in the period; those for financing, on the number of calendar days in the period.

Note. Averages for transactions are based on number of trading days in the period.

All business corporations except commercial banks and monaction companies.

New amounts (in terms of par values) of securities owned by nonbank dealer firms and dealer departments of commercial banks on a comminment, that is, trade-date basis, including any such securities that have been sold under agreements to repurchase. The maturities of some repurchase agreements are sufficiently long, however, to suggest that the securities involved are not available for trading purposes. Securities owned, and hence dealer positions, do not include securities purchased under agreements to reself.

Total amounts outstanding of funds borrowed by nonbank dealer

1.46 FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES Debt Outstanding Millions of dollars, end of period

Agency	1975	1976	1977	1		19	78		
				July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1 Federal and federally sponsored agencies	97,680	103,325	109,924	122,638	123,297	125,397	127,468	129,139	131,982
2 Federal agencies 3 Defense Department 1 4 Export-Import Bank 2.3. 5 Federal Housing Administration 4 6 Government National Mortgage Association	19,046	21,896	22,760	23,286	22,505	23,139	23,279	23,073	23,488
	1.220	1,113	983	916	906	897	897	876	868
	7.188	7,801	8.671	8,596	8.274	8,709	8,704	8.392	8,711
	564	575	581	603	603	601	598	594	588
participation certificates 5	4,200	4,120	3,743	3,666	3,166	3,166	3,166	3,166	3.141
	1,750	2,998	2,431	2,364	2,364	2,364	2,364	2,364	2.364
	3,915	5,185	6,015	6,785	6,835	7,045	7,195	7,325	7.460
	209	104	336	356	357	357	355	356	356
10 Federally sponsored agencies. 11 Federal Home Loan Banks. 12 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. 13 Federal National Mortgage Association 14 Federal Land Banks. 15 Federal Intermediate Credit Banks. 16 Banks for Cooperatives. 17 Student Loan Marketing Association ⁷ . 18 Other.	78,634	81,429	87,164	99,352	100,792	102,258	104,189	106,066	108,494
	18,900	16,811	18,345	23,430	24,360	25,025	25,395	26,777	27,563
	1,550	1,690	1,686	1,937	1,937	2,063	2,063	2,062	2,262
	29,963	30,565	31,890	36,900	37,518	38,353	39,776	39,814	41,080
	15,000	17,127	19,118	20,198	20,198	20,198	20,360	20,360	20,360
	9,254	10,494	11,174	11,392	11,482	11,555	11,554	11,548	11,469
	3,655	4,330	4,434	4,788	4,570	4,317	4,264	4,668	4,843
	310	410	515	705	725	745	775	835	915
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MEMO ITEMS: 19 Federal Financing Bank debt ^{6,8} Lending to federal and federally sponsored	17,154	28,711	38,580	45,550	46,668	48,078	49,212	49,645	51,298
agencies: 10 Export-Import Bank 3. 21 Postal Service 6. 22 Student Loan Marketing Association 7. 23 Tennessee Valley Authority. 24 United States Railway Association 6.	4,595	5,208	5,834	6.132	6,132	6,568	6,568	6,568	6.898
	1,500	2,748	2,181	2,114	2,114	2,114	2,114	2,114	2.114
	310	410	515	705	725	745	775	835	915
	1,840	3,110	4,190	4,960	5,010	5,220	5,370	5,500	5.635
	209	104	336	356	357	357	355	356	356
Other lending:9 25 Farmers Home Administration	7,000	10,750	16,095	21,580	22.275	22,275	23,050	23,050	23.825
	566	1,415	2,647	3,684	3,919	4,192	4,407	4,489	4.604
	1,134	4,966	6,782	6,019	6,136	6,607	6,573	6,733	6.951

¹ Consists of mortgages assumed by the Defense Department between 1957 and 1963 under family housing and homeowners assistance programs. ² Includes participation certificates reclassified as debt beginning Oct. 1, 1976. ³ Off-budget Aug. 17, 1974, through Sept. 30, 1976; on-budget thereafter

⁹ Unlike other federally sponsored agencies, the Student Loan Marketing Association may borrow from the Federal Financing Bank (FFB) since its obligations are guaranteed by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

⁸ The FFB, which began operations in 1974, is authorized to purchase or sell obligations issued, sold, or guaranteed by other federal agencies. Since FFB incurs debt solely for the purpose of lending to other agencies, its debt is not included in the main portion of the table in order to avoid double counting.

⁹ Includes FFB purchases of agency assets and guaranteed loans; the latter contain loans guaranteed by numerous agencies with the guarantees of any particular agency being generally small. The Farmers Home Administration item consists exclusively of agency assets, while the Rural Electrification Administration entry contains both agency assets and guaranteed loans.

 ³ Off-budget Aug. 17, 1974, through Sept. 30, 1976; on-budget thereafter.
 ⁴ Consists of debentures issued in payment of Federal Housing Administration insurance claims. Once issued, these securities may be sold privately on the securities market.
 ⁵ Certificates of participation issued prior to fiscal 1969 by the Government National Mortgage Association acting as trustee for the Farmest Home Administration; Department of Health. Education, and Welfare; Department of Housing and Urban Development; Small Business Administration; and the Veterans Administration.
 ⁶ Off-budget.

1.47 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of State and Local Governments

Millions of dollars

	Type of issue or issuer,	1976	1977	1978			197	78		
	or use		ı		July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1	All issues, new and refunding 1	35,313	46,769	48,607	3,923	6,416	2,330	3,244	4,328	3,694
2 3 4 5	By type of issue General obligation. Revenue. Housing Assistance Administration ² . U.S. government loans.	18,040 17,140	18,042 28,655	17.854 30.658	1.065 2.855	2.161 4.246	703 1.620	1.148 2.083	1,168 3,152	1,698 1,992
6 7 8	By type of issuer State. Special district and statutory authority Municipalities, counties, townships, school districts	7,054 15,304 12,845	6,354 21,717 18,623	6,632 24,156 17,718	650 ; 2.171 1.098	919 3,120 2,369	85 1,599 639	552 1.616 1.061	343 2.848 1.129	497 2,148 1,043
9	Issues for new capital, total	32,108	36,189	37,629	3,497	3,365	2,266	3,160	4,216	3.379
10 11 12 13 14 15	By use of proceeds Education Transportation Utilities and conservation Social welfare Industrial aid Other purposes.	9,594 6,566 483	5,076 2,951 8,119 8,274 4,676 7,093	5.003 3.460 9.026 10.494 3.526 6.120	499 292 941 1.241 244 280	277 632 689 967 344 456	397 302 695 526 105 241	314 422 831 1.169 249 175	463 259 1.241 817 323 1.113	319 337 705 1,126 276 616

Source, Public Securities Association.

1.48 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of Corporations

Millions of dollars

	Type of issue or issuer,	1975	1976	1977			197	78		
	or use		<u> </u>	:	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.
1	All issues 1	53,619	53,488	54,205	5,215	4,226	3,311	3,832	3,654	3,207
2	Bonds	42,756	42,380	42,193	3,810	3,718	2,529	2,905	2,496	2,481
3		32,583 10,172	26.453 15,927	24,186 18,007	1,744 2,066	2,177 1,541	1,497 1,032	1,610 1,295	1,631 865	1.608 873
5 7 8 9		16,980 2,750 3,439 9,658 3,464 6,469	13,264 4,372 4,387 8,297 2,787 9,274	12,510 5,887 2,033 8,261 3,059 10,438	1,105 562 225 815 344 761	675 417 235 768 326	485 414 115 521 546 448	823 454 135 912 205 375	385 487 67 819 290 446	805 112 96 384 456 627
11	Stocks	10,863	11,108	12,013	1,405	508	782	927	1,158	726
12			2,803 8,305	3,878 8,135	586 819	57 451 .	157 625	127 i 800	47 1.111	149 577
14 15 16 17 18	Commercial and miscellaneous. Transportation Public utility. Communication.		2.237 1.183 24 6.121 776 771	1,265 1,838 418 6,058 1,379 1,054	366 245 38 429 5 320	167 167 40 31 27 76	236 110 0 354 6 75	148 168 12 426 10 164	90 111 0 800 0 156	35 111 12 377 1 190

¹ Figures, which represent gross proceeds of issues maturing in more than one year, sold for cash in the United States, are principal amount or number of units multiplied by offering price. Eveludes offerings of less than \$100,000, secondary offerings, undefined or exempted issues as defined in the Securities Act of 1933, employee stock plans, investment

¹ Par amounts of long-term issues based on date of sale. ² Only bonds sold pursuant to the 1949 Housing Act, which are secured by contract requiring the Housing Assistance Administration to make annual contributions to the local authority.

companies other than closed-end, intracorporate transactions, and sales to foreigners.

Source: Securities and Exchange Commission,

1.49 OPEN-END INVESTMENT COMPANIES Net Sales and Asset Position Millions of dollars

						19	78			1979
	ltem	1977	1978	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
	INVESTMENT COMPANIES excluding money market funds									
1 2 3	Sales of own shares ¹	6,401 6,027 357	6.645 7.231 - 586	474 645 181	638 882 -244	519 673 —154	463 607 144	587 439 148	602 545 57	647 607 40
4 5 6	Assets ³ . Cash position ⁴ . Other.	45,049 3.274 41.775	r44,980 r4,507 r40,473	47,975 4,285 43,690	49,299 3,948 45,351	48,151 3,703 44,448	43,462 3,793 39,669	44,242 4,299 39,943	r44,980 r4.507 r40,473	46,587 4.618 41,969

Includes reinvestment of investment income dividends. Excludes reinvestment of capital gains distributions and share issue of conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
 Excludes share redemption resulting from conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
 Market value at end of period, less current liabilities.

Note. Investment Company Institute data based on reports of members, which comprise substantially all open-end investment companies registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Data reflect newly formed companies after their initial offering of securities.

1.50 CORPORATE PROFITS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates,

Account	1975	1976	1977		19	77			1978	
				Qt	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
1 Profits before tax,	120.4	155.9	173.9	164.8	175.1	177.5	178.3	172.1	205.5	205.4
2 Profits tax liability	49.8	64.3	71.8	68.3	72.3	72.8	73.9	70.0	85.0	86.2
	70.6	91.6	102.1	96.5	102.8	104.7	104.4	102.1	120.5	119.2
4 Dividends	31.9	37.9	43.7	41.5	42.7	44.1	46.3	47.0	48.1	50. l
	38.7	53.7	58.4	55.0	60.1	60.6	58.1	55.1	72.4	69. l
6 Capital consumption allowances	89.2	97.1	106.0	102.0	105.0	107.6	109.3	111.3	113.3	115.4
	127.9	150.8	164.4	157.0	165.1	168.2	167.4	166.4	185.7	184.5

Source, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce.)

⁴ Also includes all U.S. government securities and other short-term debt securities.

1.51 NONFINANCIAL CORPORATIONS Current Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, except for ratio

Account	1974	1975	1976		197	7	i		1978	
Account	.,,,	.,,,	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	QI	Q2	Q3
1 Current assets	734.6	756.3	823.1	842.0	856.4	880.3	900.1	924.2	953.5	992.4
Cash. U.S. government securities Notes and accounts receivable. Inventories. Other	73.0 11.3 265.5 318.9 65.9	80.0 19.6 272.1 314.7 69.9	86.8 26.0 292.4 341.4 76.4	80.8 26.8 304.1 352.1 78.3	83.1 22.1 312.8 358.8 79.6	83.4 21.5 326.9 367.5 81.0	94.2 20.9 325.7 375.0 84.3	88.5 20.9 338.3 389.7 86.8	90.9 19.7 356.8 399.1 87.0	91.4 18.6 377.8 415.5 89.0
7 Current liabilities	451.8	446.9	487.5	502.6	509.5	528.9	543.2	570.4	590.8	624.5
8 Notes and accounts payable	272.3 179.5	261.2 185.7	273.2 214.2	280.2 222.4	286.8 222.7	297.8 231.1	306.8 236.3	317.2 253.2	331.3 259.4	349.9 274.6
10 Net working capital	282.8	309.5	335.6	339.5	346.9	351.4	357.0	353.8	362.7	367.9
H MEMO: Current ratio ¹ ,	1.626	1.693	1.688	1.675	1.681	1.664	1.657	1.620	1.614	1.589

¹ Ratio of total current assets to total current liabilities.

Source, Federal Trade Commission,

1.52 BUSINESS EXPENDITURES on New Plant and Equipment

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

			_		77			19	078		
Industry	1977	19782	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
1 All industries	135.72	152.28	130.16	134.24	140.38	138.11	144.25	150.76	155.13	158.98	
Manufacturing Durable goods industries	27.75 32.33	31.53 36.23	26.30 30.13	27.26 32.19	29.23 33.79	28.19 33.22	28.72 32.86	31.40 35.80	32.11 36.54	33.89 39.72	
Nonmanufacturing 4 Mining Transportation:	4.49	4.78	4.24	4.49	4.74	4.50	4.45	4.81	4.80	5.07	
5 Railroad	2.82 1.63 2.55	3.28 2.45 2.27	2.71 1.62 2.96	2.57 1.43 2.96	3.20 1.69 1.96	2.80 1.76 2.32	3.35 2.67 2.44	3.09 2.08 2.23	3.64 2.97 2.37	3.05 2.08 2.05	
Public utilities: 8 Electric	21.57 4.21 15.43 22.95	24.49	21.19 4.16 14.19 22.67	21.14 4.16 15.32 22.73	21.90 4.32 16.40 23.14	22.05 4.18 15.82 23.27	23.15 4.78 17.07 24.76	23.83 4.62 18.18 24.71	25.04 4.22 } 43.44	25.94 4.28 42.90	

Includes trade, service, construction, finance, and insurance.
 Anticipated by business.

agriculture; real estate operators; medical, legal, educational, and cultural service; and nonprofit organizations.

Not). Estimates for corporate and noncorporate business, excluding Source, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Dept. of Commerce).

Not1. For a description of this series see "Working Capital of Non-financial Corporations" in the July 1978 BULLITIN, pp. 533-37.

1.521 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, end of period

Account	1973	1974	1975	1976	19	77			78	
					Q3	Q4	į QI	Q2	Q3	Q4
ASSETS			1				1			
Accounts receivable, gross Consumer. Business. Total. LESS: Reserves for uncarned income and losses Accounts receivable, net. Cash and bank deposits. Securities. All other.	35.4 32.3 67.7 8.4 59.3 2.6 .8 10.6	36.1 37.2 73.3 9.0 64.2 3.0 .4 12.0	36.0 39.3 75.3 9.4 65.9 2.9 1.0 11.8	38.6 44.7 83.4 10.5 72.9 2.6 1.1 12.6 89.2	42.3 50.6 92.9 11.7 81.2 2.5 1.8 14.2	44.0 55.2 99.2 12.7 86.5 2.6 .9 14.3	44.5 57.6 102.1 12.8 89.3 2.2 1.2 15.0 107.7	47.1 59.5 106.6 14.1 92.6 2.9 1.3 16.2	49.7 58.3 108.0 14.3 93.7 2.7 1.8 17.1	52.6 63.3 116.0 15.6 100.4 3.5 1.3 17.3
LIABILITIES								: 		
10 Bank loans	7.2 19.7	9.7 20.7	8.0 22.2	6.3 23.7	5.4 25.7	5.9 29.6	5.8 29.9	5.4 31.3	5.4 29.3	6.5 34.5
12 Short-term, n.e.c	4.6 24.6 5.6	4.9 26.5 5.5	4.5 27.6 6.8	5.4 32.3 8.1	5.4 34.8 13.7	6.2 36.0 11.5	5.3 38.0 12.9	6.6 40.1 13.6	6.8 41.3 15.2	8.1 43.6 12.6
15 Capital, surplus, and undivided profits	11.5	12.4	12.5	13.4	14.6	15.1	15.7	16.0	17.3	17.2
16 Total liabilities and capital	73.2	79.6	81.6	89.2	99.6	104.3	107.7	112.9	115.3	122.4

Note. Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

1.522 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Business Credit

Milfions of dollars, seasonally adjusted except as noted

	Accounts receivable		ges in acc receivable			Extension	; 	R	epayment	s
Турс	outstand- ing Dec. 31, 19781		1978 -			1978		-	1978	
		Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1 Total	63,348	704	1,210	1,271	15,078	16,293	17,680	14,374	15,083	16,409
2 Retail automotive (commercial vehicles) 3 Wholesale automotive. 4 Retail paper on business, industrial, and farm equipment 5 Loans on commercial accounts receivable 6 Factored commercial accounts receivable 7 All other business credit.	12.744 16.759	214 103 160 -202 291 138	229 591 226 - 49 209 4	245 551 20 262 32 161	1,237 6,171 1,041 3,233 1,543 1,853	1.260 6.946 1.159 3.310 1.776 1.842	1,308 6,967 1,790 4,110 1,550 1,955	1.023 6,068 881 3,435 1,252 1,715	1.031 6,355 933 3.359 1,567 1.838	1.063 6.416 1.770 3.848 1.518 1.794

¹ Not seasonally adjusted,

1.53 MORTGAGE MARKETS

Millions of dollars; exceptions noted.

	İ			!			1978		!	1979
	Item	1976	1977	1978	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
				Terms and	d yields in p	orimary an	d secondar	/ markets		
	PRIMARY MARKETS			- : :						
Co	onventional mortgages on new homes			' (ĺ		ĺ	ļ	1	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Terms: 1 Purchase price (thous, dollars)	48.4 35.9 74.2 27.2 1.44 8.76	54.3 40.5 76.3 27.9 1.33 8.80	62.6 45.9 75.3 28.0 1.39 9.30	63.6 46.4 75.3 28.0 1.43 9.45	64.6 46.7 74.1 27.8 1.36 9.50	66.8 48.6 74.4 28.0 1.37 9.60	65.1 47.5 74.4 27.9 1.40 9.63	68.1 49.6 75.1 28.1 1.49 9.76	71.9 52.0 74.7 28.6 1.56 9.92
7 8	Yield (percent per annum): FHLBB series ³	8.99 8.99	9.01 8.95	9.54 9.68	9.70 9.80	9.73 9.80	9.83 9.95	9.87 10.10	10.02 10.30	10.18 10.30
	SECONDARY MARKETS			:						
9 10	Yields (percent per annum): FHA mortgages (HUD series) ⁵	8.82 8.17	7.96 8.04	8.08 8.98	9.78 8.95	9.78 9.04	9.93 9.25	9,99 9,39	10.16 9.54	10.17 9.97
11 12	Government-underwritten loans	8.99 9.11	8.73 8.98	9.77 10.01	9.81 10.11	9.78 10.02	10.03 10.19	10.30 10.56	10.50 10.85	10.70 11.07
					Activity is	n secondar	y markets		·	
	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION									
13 14 15 16	ortgage holdings (end of period) Total FHA-insured VA-guaranteed Conventional	18.916	34,370 18.457 9.315 6.597	43,311 21,243 10,544 11,524	40,325 20,034 10,535 9,752	41,189 20,325 10,575 10.289	41,957 20.625 10,565 10,767	42,590 20,929 10.535 11,126	43,311 21,243 10,544 11,524	44,329 21,967 10,606 12,046
17	ortgage transactions (during period) Purchases. Sales.	3,606 86		12,303	1,230	1,132	1,053	920	974 ()	1,280
19	ortgage commitments;8 Contracted (during period)	6,247 3,398	9.729 4,698	18.960 9.201	527 9,419	882 9,068	1,900 9,547	1,275 9.525	1.051 9.201	n.a. n.a.
21 22	action of 4-month commitments to buy- Government-underwritten loans; Offered 9	2,787.2	:	12,978 6.747.2	499.1 277.2	717.9 335.9	1.964.8 832.4	788.0 321.8	627.0 319.6	304.9 155.4
23 24	Conventional loans: Offered®. Accepted	2,595.7 1,879.2	5,675.2 3,917.8	9,933,0	224.7 128.5	484.7 283.7	1.156.8 495.6	861.4 386.8	417.4 220.9	113.5 58.1
	MORTGAGE CORPORATION							İ		
25 26 27	ortgage holdings (end of period) ¹⁰ Total	4,269 1,618 2,651	3,276 1,395 1,881	3,064 1,243 1,822	2,448 1.304 1.144	2,486 1,287 1,199	2,867 1,594 1,273	3,022 1,257 1,766	3,064 1,243 1,822	n.a. n.a. n.a.
28	ortgage transactions (during period) Purchases	1,175 1,396	3.900 4.131	6.524 6.211	742 299	670 594	791 369	763 581	596 540	n.a. n.a.
30	ortgage commitments; ¹¹ Contracted (during period) Outstanding (end of period)	1,477	5.546	7.451 1.410	838 2,142	760 2.130	547 1,716	706 1,617	455 1.410	n.a. n.a.

⁴ Weighted averages based on sample surveys of mortgages originated by major institutional lender groups. Compiled by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board in cooperation with the Federal Deposit Insurance

securities, assuming prepayment in 12 years on pools of 30-year FHA/VA mortgages carrying the prevailing ceiling rate. Monthly figures are unweighted averages of Monday quotations for the month.

7 Average gross yields (before deduction of 38 basis points for mortgage servicing) on accepted bids in Lederal National Mortgage Association's auctions of 4-month commitments to purchase home mortgages, assuming prepay ment in 12 years for 30-year mortgages. No adjustments are made for FNMA commitment fees or stock related requirements. Monthly figures are unweighted averages for auctions conducted within the month.

8 Includes some multifamily and nonprofit hospital loan commitments in addition to 1- to 4-family loan commitments accepted in FNMA's free market auction system, and through the FNMA-GNMA tandem plans.

Loan Bank Board in cooperation with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

2 Includes all fees, commissions, discounts, and "points" paid (by the borrower or the seler) in order to obtain a loan.

3 Average effective interest rates on loans closed, assuming prepayment at the end of 10 years.

4 Average contract rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages, rounded to the nearest 5 basis points; from Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

5 Average gross yields on 30-year, minimum-downpayment, Lederal Housing Administration-insured first mortgages for immediate delivery in the private secondary market. Any gaps in data are due to periods of adjustment to changes in maximum permissible contract rates.

6 Average net yields to investors on Government National Mortgage Association-guaranteed, mortgage-backed, fully-modified pass-through

Plans.

9 Mortgage amounts offered by bidders are total bids received.

10 Includes participations as well as whole loans.

11 Includes conventional and government-underwritten loans.

MORTGAGE DEBT OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period

	Type of holder, and type of property	1974	1975	1976	1977		19	78	
	Type of noncer, and type of property	17/4	1773	1770		QI	Q2	Q3	Q4"
1	All holders. I- to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial. Farm	742,512	801,537	889,327	1,023,505	r1,051,908	r1,092,451	1,133,122	1,169,522
2		449,371	490,761	556,557	656,566	r676,573	r706,230	734,097	759,617
3		99,976	100,601	104,516	111.841	r113,915	r116,419	119,207	121,928
4		146,877	159,298	171,223	189,274	r193,355	r198,926	206,045	211,810
5		46,288	50,877	57,031	65,824	r68,065	r70,876	73,773	76,167
6	Major financial institutions. Commercial banks ¹ 1- to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial Farm	542,560	581,193	647,650	745.011	764,614	7794,009	822,184	846,788
7		132,105	136,186	151,326	178,979	[84,423]	7194,469	205,445	213,845
8		74,758	77,018	86,234	105,115	108,699	7115,389	121,911	126,896
9		7,619	5,915	8,082	9,215	9,387	79,925	10,478	10,906
10		43,679	46,882	50,289	56,898	58,407	760,950	64,386	67,019
11		6,049	6,371	6,721	7,751	7,930	78,205	8,670	9,024
12 13 14 15	Mutual savings banks 1- to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial. Farm.	74,920 49,213 12,923 12,722 62	77,249 50,025 13,792 13,373 59	81,639 53,089 14,177 14,313 60	88,104 57.637 15,304 15,110 53	89,800 58,747 15,598 15,401 54	91,535 59,882 15,900 15,698	93,403 61,104 16,224 16,019 56	95,044 62,178 16,509 16,300 57
17	Savings and loan associations.	249,307	278,590	323,730	381,163	r392,428	7407,965	420,971	432,922
18	1- to 4-family.	200,987	223,903	260,895	310,686	r320,064	7334,164	345,232	355,291
19	Multifamily.	23,808	25,547	28,436	32,513	r33,592	734,351	35,446	36,452
20	Commercial	24,506	29,140	33,799	37,964	r38,772	739,450	40,293	41,179
21	Life insurance companies. I to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial. Farm.	86,234	89, 168	91,555	96,765	97,963	100,040	102,365	104,971
22		19,026	17,590	16,088	14,727	14,476	14,129	14,189	14,550
23		19,625	19,629	19,178	18,807	18,851	18,745	18,803	19,284
24		41,256	45,196	48,864	54,388	55,426	57,463	59,268	60,782
25		6,327	6,753	7,425	8,843	9,210	9,703	10,105	10,361
26	Federal and related agencies	58,320	66,891	66,753	70,006	72,014	73,991	78,672	82,086
27		4,846	7,438	4,241	3,660	3,291	3,283	3,560	3,670
28		2,248	4,728	1,970	1,548	948	922	897	910
29		2,598	2,710	2,271	2,112	2,343	2,361	2,663	2,700
30	Farmers Home Admin. 1- to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial. Farm.	1,432	1,169	7,064	7.353	1,179	618	7,384	1,084
31		759	208	454	626	202	124	460	360
32		167	215	218	275	408	102	240	188
33		156	190	72	149	218	104	251	197
34		350	496	320	303	351	288	433	339
35	Federal Housing and Veterans Admin	4,075	4,970	5,150	5,2/2	5.279	5,225	5,295	5,365
36	I- to 4-family	2,009	1,990	1,676	1,627	1.585	1,543	1,565	1,587
37	Multifamily	2,006	2,980	3,474	3,585	3,634	3,682	3,730	3,778
38	Federal National Mortgage Assn	29,578	31,824	32,904	34,369	36,029	38,753	41,189	43,311
39	I- to 4-family	23,778	25,813	26,934	28,504	30,208	32,974	35,437	37,579
40	Multifamily	5,800	6,011	5,970	5,865	5,821	5,779	5,752	5,732
41	Federal Land Banks	13,863	16,563	19,125	22,136	22,925	23,857	24,758	25,658
42	1- to 4-family	406	549	601	670	691	727	819	849
43	Farm	13,457	16,014	18,524	21,466	22,234	23,130	23,939	24,809
44	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp	4,586	4,987	4,269	3,276	3.371	2,255	2,486	3,058
45	I- to 4-family	4,217	4,588	3,889	2,738	2,785	1,856	1,994	2,453
46	Multifamily	369	399	380	538	586	399	492	605
47	Mortgage pools or trusts ²	23,799	34,138	49,801	70,289	74,080	78,602	82,153	86,747
48	Government National Mortgage Assn	11,769	18,257	30,572	44,896	46,357	48,032	50,844	54,347
49	1- to 4-family	11,249	17,538	29,583	43,555	44,906	46,515	49,276	52,732
50	Multifamily	520	719	989	1,341	1,451	1,517	1,568	1,615
51	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp	757	7,598	2,671	6,610	7.471	9,423	9, <i>934</i>	10,125
52	1- to 4-family	608	1,349	2,282	5,621	6.286	7,797	8,358	8,519
53	Multifamily	149	249	389	989	1,185	1,626	1,576	1,606
54 55 56 57 58	Farmers Home Admin. 1- to 4-family. Multilamily. Commercial Farm.	11,273 6,782 116 1,473 2,902	14,283 9,194 295 1,948 2,846	16,558 10,219 532 2,440 3,367	78,783 11,379 759 2,945 3,682		21,147 12,742 1,128 3,301 3,976		22,275 13,392 1,163 3,510 4,210
59	Individuals and others ³	117,833	119,315	125,123	138,199	141,200	145,849	150,113	153,901
60		53,331	56,268	62,643	72,115	74,741	77,466	80,004	82,321
61		24,276	22,140	20,420	20,538	20,327	20,904	21,119	21,390
62		23,085	22,569	21,446	21,820	21,603	21,960	22,459	22,823
63		17,141	18,338	20,614	23,726	24,529	25,519	26,531	27,367

¹ Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies but not bank trust

Note, Based on data from various institutional and government sources, with some quarters estimated in part by Federal Reserve in conjunction with the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and the Department of Commerce, Separation of nonfarm mortgage debt by type of property, if not reported directly, and interpolations and extrapolations where required, are estimated mainly by Federal Reserve. Multifamily debt refers to loans on structures of five or more units.

¹ Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies out not bank trust departments.
2 Outstanding principal balances of mortgages backing securities insured or guaranteed by the agency indicated.
3 Other holders include mortgage companies, real estate investment trusts, state and local credit agencies, state and local retirement funds, noninsured pension funds, credit unions, and U.S. agencies for which amounts are small or separate data are not readily available.

1.55 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT¹ Total Outstanding, and Net Change▲ Millions of dollars

Holder, and type of credit	1976	1977	1978		-	19	78			1979
Trider, and type of even			 	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov,	Dec.	Jan.
				Amoun	ts outstand	ing (end of	period)			
1 Total	193,977	230,829	275,640	253,897	259.614	263,387	265,821	269,445	275,640	275,346
By major holder 7 Commercial banks 1 Finance companies 2 Credit unions. 2 Retailers 2 Savings and loans 3 Gasoline companies. 4 Mutual savings banks.	38,919 31,169 19,260 6,246 2,830	112,373 44,868 37,605 23,490 7,354 2,963 2,176	136,189 54,309 45,939 24,876 8,394 3,240 2,693	126,619 49,502 42,355 21,828 7,793 3,309 2,491	129,622 50,558 43,499 22,093 7,947 3,354 2,541	131,403 51,280 44,325 22,302 8,055 3,416 2,606	132,702 51,984 44,635 22,464 8,177 3,276 2,583	133,908 53,099 45,305 23,006 8,291 3,173 2,663	136.189 54.309 45.939 24.876 8.394 3.240 2.693	136,452 55,004 45,526 23,962 8,427 3,338 2,637
By major type of credit Automobile Commercial banks Indirect paper	22,072 17.549 15,238	82,911 49,577 27,379 22,198 18,099 15,235	102,468 60,564 33,850 26,714 21,976 19,937	95,289 57,071 31,907 25,164 20,254 17,964	97,687 58,453 32,667 25,786 20,801 18,433	99,062 59,085 33,067 26,018 21,196 18,781	100,159 59,778 33,415 26,363 21,344 19,037	161,565 60,347 33,709 26,638 21,664 19,554	102,468 60,564 33,850 26,714 21,967 19,937	102,890 60,682 33,928 26,754 21,769 20,439
15	14,359	39,274 18,374 17,937 2,963	47,051 24,434 19,377 3,240	40,553 20,566 16,678 3,309	41,629 21,314 16,961 3,354	42,420 21,935 17,069 3,416	42,579 22,165 17,138 3,276	43,523 22,724 17,626 3,173	47,051 24,434 19,377 3,240	46,5/6 24,677 18,501 3,338
19 Mobile home. 20 Commercial banks. 21 Finance companies. 22 Savings and loans. 23 Credit unions.	8.737 3.263	15,141 9,124 3,077 2,538 402	16,042 9,553 3,152 2,848 489	15,663 9,483 3,085 2,644 451	15,799 9,539 3,101 2,696 463	9,591 3,114	15,925 9,548 3,127 2,775 475	16,017 9,572 3,150 2,813 482	16.042 9.553 3.152 2.848 489	76,004 9,511 3,149 2,859 485
24 / Other. 25 Commercial banks 26 Finance companies. 27 Credit unions. 28 Retailers. 29 Savings and loans. 30 Mutual savings banks.	22,808 15,599 19,260	93,503 35,298 26,556 19,104 5,553 4,816 2,176	110,079 41,638 31,220 23,483 5,499 5,546 2,693	102,392 39,499 28,453 21,650 5,150 5,149 2,491	104,499 40,316 29,024 22,235 5,132 5,251 2,541	105,995 40,792 29,385 22,657 5,233 5,322 2,606	107,158 41,211 29,820 22,816 5,326 5,402 2,583	108,340 41,265 30,395 23,159 5,380 5,478 2,663	110,079 41,638 31,220 23,483 5,499 5,546 2,693	109, 936 41, 582 31, 416 23, 272 5, 461 5, 568 2, 637
			' · - ·	Ne	t change (d	uring perio	d)3	'		'
31 Total	21,647	35,278	45,066	3,466	3,632	3,680	3,374	4,099	4,400	3,061
By major holder Commercial banks. 33 Finance companies. 44 Credit unions. 55 Retailers 1. 65 Savings and Joans. 67 Gasoline companies. 68 Mutual savings banks.	2,946 5,503 1,059 1,085 124	18,645 5,948 6,436 2,654 1,111 132 352	24.058 9,441 8,334 1,386 1,041 276 530	2,100 671 513 144 10 -19 47	1,785 736 613 342 107 1 50	1,714 847 639 328 94 9	1,617 863 644 115 127 16 8	1,925 1,018 779 186 88 1	2,080 1,098 773 196 115 96 42	1,330 1,341 360 90 67 100 47
By major type of credit 39	2,742 3,592 2,497	15,204 9,956 5,307 4,649 2,861 2,387	79.557 10.987 10.987 4.516 3.868 4.702	7,771 1,041 626 415 275 395	1,604 957 515 442 287 360	7,532 848 517 331 313 371	7,375 759 354 405 301 315		1.780 845 530 315 391 544	633 387 246 187 860
45 Revolving. 46 Commercial banks. 47 Retailers. 48 Gasoline companies.	2,170 2,046	6,248 4,015 2,101 132	7,776 6,060 1,440 276	600 498 121 —19	737 358 380 -1	622 380 233 9	346 337 7 16	665 556 110 1	869 610 163 96	433 375 - 42 100
49 Mobile home 50 Commercial banks. 51 Finance companies. 52 Savings and loans. 53 Credit unions.	146 70 - 182 192 60	565 387 - 189 297 70	897 426 74 310 87	83 65 11 2 5	79 20 7 46 6	72 31 6 27 8	- 25 - 25 - 2 46 6	75 19 15 34 7	71 21 11 30 9	40 12 7 19 2
54 Other 55 Commercial banks. 56 Finance companies. 57 Credit unions. 58 Retailers. 59 Savings and loans. 60 Mutual savings banks.	1,494 2,946 1,059 893	13,261 4,287 3,750 3,505 553 814 352	16.836 6.585 4.665 4.379 54 731 530	1,072 496 265 233 23 8 47	1,212 450 369 320 - 38 61 50	1,454 455 470 318 95 67 49	1,628 546 550 337 122 81 - 8	1,604 511 451 408 76 54 104	7,680 604 543 373 33 85 42	908 310 474 171 48 48 -47

¹ The board's series cover most short- and intermediate-term credit extended to individuals through regular business channels, usually to finance the purchase of consumer goods and services or to refinance debts incurred for such purposes, and scheduled to be repaid (or with the option of repaying in two or more installments).

² Includes auto dealers and excludes 30-day charge credit held by travel and entertainment companies.

³ Net change equals extensions minus liquidations (repayments, chargeoffs, and other credits); figures for all months are seasonally adjusted.

Noti. Total consumer noninstallment credit outstanding - credit scheduled to be repaid in a lump sum, including single-payment loans, charge accounts, and service credit - amounted to \$64.3 billion at the end of 1978, \$58.6 billion at the end of 1975, \$54.8 billion at the end of 1976, and \$50.9 billion at the end of 1975. Comparable data for Dec. 31, 1979 will be published in the February 1980 Bellion.

A Consumer installment credit series have been revised from 1943, effective Dec. 7, 1978. Information is available from Mortgage and Consumer Finance Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

1.56 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT Extensions and Liquidations Millions of dollars

_	Millions of dottars	1976	1977	ļ 1978			[9	78			1979
	Holder, and type of credit	1976	: 1977	1976	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
					1	Extens	ions ²				
1	Total	211,028	254,071	298,574	25,022	25,669	25,536	25,785	26,214	26,500	25,544
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	By major holder: Commercial banks Finance companies Credit unions. Retailers! Savings and loans Gasoline companies Mutual savings banks.	97,397 36,129 29,259 29,447 3,898 13,387 1,511	117,896 41,989 34,028 39,133 4,485 14,617 1,923	142,965 50,483 40,023 41,619 5,050 16,125 2,309	12,187 4,261 3,271 3,477 327 1,299 200	12,255 4,348 3,379 3,725 435 1,317 210	12,123 4,372 3,360 3,718 403 1,346 215	12,182 4,605 3,401 3,518 566 1,335 151	12,476 4,512 3,530 3,571 489 1,376 260	12.521 4,679 3.526 3,612 516 1,451 195	12.153 4.547 3.241 3.565 481 1.440 117
9 10 11 12 13 14	By major type of credit: Automobile Commercial banks Indirect paper Direct loans Credit unions. Linance companies.	63,743 37,886 20,576 17,310 14,688 11,169	75,641 46,363 25,149 21,214 16,616 12,662	88, 986 53, 028 29, 336 23, 692 19, 486 16, 472	7,652 4,639 2,554 2,085 1,629 1,384	7,744 4,660 2,562 2,098 1,632 1,452	7,542 4,479 2,519 1,960 1,641 1,422	7,501 4,345 2,384 1,961 1,643 1,513	7,787 4,503 2,422 2,081 1,718 1,566	7,833 4,443 2,451 1,992 1,738 1,652	7,545 4,286 2,318 1,968 1,635 1,624
15 16 17 18	Revolving	43,934 30,547 13,387	\$6,756 28,256 33,883 14,617	104.587 51.531 36.931 16,125	8,700 4,320 3,081 1,299	9,028 4,346 3,365 1,317	9,006 4,457 3,203 1,346	8,846 4,475 3,036 1,335	9,176 4,702 3,098 1,376	9.424 4,814 3,159 1,451	9,417 4,799 3,178 1,440
19 20 21 22 23	Mobile home. Commercial banks Finance companies Savings and loans, Credit unions.	4,859 3,064 702 929 164	5,425 3,466 643 1,120 196	6.067 3.704 886 1.239 238	509 335 78 78 18	531 310 75 127 19	494 297 77 100 20	604 352 73 154 25	486 280 77 108 21	502 295 74 111 22	369 235 33 88 13
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Other. Commercial banks Finance companies Credit unions. Retailers. Savings and loans. Mutual savings banks.	98, 492 25, 900 24, 258 14, 407 29, 447 2, 969 1,511	86,249 29,811 28,684 17,216 5,250 3,365 1,923	98, 934 34, 702 33, 125 20, 299 4,688 3,811 2,309	8, 161 2, 893 2, 799 1, 624 396 249 200	8,366 2,939 2,821 1,728 360 308 210	8,495 2,890 2,873 1,699 515 303 215	8,807 3,010 3,019 1,733 482 412 151	8,765 2,991 2,869 1,791 473 381 260	8,747 2,969 2,953 1,766 453 405 195	8,213 2,833 2,890 1,593 387 393 117
						L.ˈquida	tions2				
31	Total	189,381	218,793	253,508	21,556	22,037	21,857	22,384	22,115	22,100	22.483
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	By maior holder Commercial banks Finance companies Credit unions Retailers Savings and loans Gasoline companies Mutual savings banks	86,605 33,183 23,756 28,388 2,813 13,263 1,373	99,251 36,041 27,592 36,479 3,374 14,485 1,571	118,907 41,042 31,689 40,233 4,009 15,849 1,779	10,087 3,590 2,758 3,333 317 1,318 153	10,470 3,612 2,766 3,383 328 1,318 160	10,409 3,525 2,721 3,390 309 1,337 166	10,565 3,742 2,757 3,403 439 1,319 159	10,551 3,494 2,751 3,385 401 1,377	10,441 3,581 2,753 3,416 401 1,355 153	10,823 3,206 2,881 3,655 414 1,340 164
39 40 41 42 43 44	By major type of credit Automobile Commercial banks Indirect paper Direct loans Credit unions Finance companies	53,278 31,552 17,834 13,718 12,191 9,535	60,437 36,407 19,842 16,565 13,755 10,275	69.429 42.041 22.865 19,176 15,618 11,770	5,941 3,598 1,928 1,670 1,354 989	6,740 3,703 2,047 1,656 1,345 1,092	6,010 3,631 2,002 1,629 1,328 1,051	6, 126 3,586 2,030 1,556 1,342 1,198	6,032 3,664 1,982 1,682 1,354 1,014	6,053 3,598 1,921 1,677 1,347 1,108	5,865 3,653 1,931 1,722 1,448 764
45 46 47 48	Revolving. Commercial banks Retaders. Gasoline companies	41,764 28,501 13,263	80,508 34,241 31,782 14,485	96,811 45,471 35,491 15,849	8,100 3,822 2,960 1,318	8,297 3,988 2,985 1,318	8,384 4,077 2,970 1,337	8,500 4,138 3,043 1,319	8,511 4,146 2,988 1,377	8,555 4,204 2,996 1,355	8,984 4,424 3,220 1,340
49 50 51 52 53	Mobile home. Commercial banks. Finance companies. Savings and loans. Credit unions.	4,779 2,994 884 737 04	4,860 3,079 832 823 126	5,170 3,278 812 929 151	426 270 67 76 13	452 290 68 81 13	422 266 71 73 12		411 261 62 74 14	431 - 274 - 63 - 81 - 13 - 1	329 223 26 69 11
54 55 56 57 58 59 60	Other	89,620 23,558 22,764 11,461 28,388 2,076 1,373	72,988 25,524 24,934 13,711 4,697 2,551 1,571	82,098 : 28,117 28,460 15,920 4,742 3,080 1,779	7,089 2,397 2,534 1,391 373 241 153	7,154 2,489 2,452 1,408 398 247 160	7,041 2,435 2,403 1,381 420 236 166	7,179 2,464 2,469 1,396 360 331 159	7,161 2,480 2,418 1,383 397 327 156	7,061 2,365 2,410 1,393 420 320 153	7,305 2,523 2,416 1,422 435 345 164

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Includes auto dealers and excludes 30-day charge credit field by travel and entertainment companies. $^{\rm 2}$ Monthly figures are seasonally adjusted.

[▲] Consumer installment credit series have been revised from 1943, effective Dec. 7, 1978. Information is available from Mortgage and Consumer Finance Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

1.57 FUNDS RAISED IN U.S. CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

	Transaction category, or sector	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	19	76	19	77	19	78
	2		,			į		Н1	112	H1	H2	H1,	Н2
						N	onfinanc	ial sector	's				
1 2	Total funds raised	203.8 196.1	188.8 184.9	208.1 198.0	272.5 261.7	340.5 337.4	389.4 387.4	259.6 245.9	285.6 277.5	302.2 301.0	378.9 373.8	378.2 376.8	400.7 398.0
3 4 5 6 7	By sector and instrument: U.S. government. Public debt securities. Agency issues and mortgages. All other nonfinancial sectors. Corporate equities.	.4 195.5	11.8 12.0 2 177.0 3.8	85.4 85.8 4 122.7 10.1	69.0 69.1 .1 203.5	56.8 57.6 .9 283.8 3.1	53.7 55.1 -1.4 335.8 2.1	73.5 73.4 .1 186.0 13.6	64.5 64.9 3 221.0 8.1	42.6 43.1 6 259.6 1.2	71.0 72.2 -1.2 307.9 5.1	58.7 59.7 9 319.4	48.6 50.5 -1.9 352.1 2.7
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Debt instruments. Private domestic nonfinancial sectors. Corporate equities. Debt instruments. Debt capital instruments. State and local obligations. Corporate bonds.	187.9 189.3 7.9 181.4 105.0 14.7	173.1 161.6 4.1 157.5 98.0 16.5 19.7	112.6 109.5 9.9 99.6 97.8 15.6 27.2	192.6 182.8 10.5 172.3 126.8 19.0 22.8	280.6 271.4 2.7 268.7 181.1 29.2 21.0	333.7 310.1 2.6 307.5 194.8 29.6 20.1	172.4 168.5 13.3 155.2 117.8 19.3 22.2	213.0 197.2 7.7 189.5 135.9 18.7 23.5	258.5 252.1 .5 .251.6 163.4 .29.3 16.0	302.8 290.7 4.9 285.8 198.9 29.0 26.0	318.0 302.2 2.2 300.0 185.6 28.5 19.0	349.3 318.0 3.0 314.9 204.0 30.8 21.2
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mortgages: Home. Multifamily residential. Commercial. Farm. Other debt instruments. Consumer credit. Bank loans n.e.c Open market paper.	18.9 5.5 76.4	34.8 6.9 15.1 5.0 59.6 10.2 29.0 6.6 13.7	39.5 * 11.0 4.6 7.8 9.4 1-14.0 -2.6 9.0	63.7 1.8 13.4 6.1 45.5 23.6 3.5 4.0 14.4	96.4 7.4 18.4 8.8 87.6 35.0 30.6 2.9	101.4 10.1 23.1 10.3 112.7 50.5 37.1 4.9 20.2	56.9 .6 13.8 4.9 37.4 22.9 -2.7 5.6 11.6	70.5 3.1 12.9 7.3 53.6 24.3 9.6 2.4 17.3	88.5 6.4 14.2 8.9 88.2 35.7 34.0 3.5 15.0	8.4 22.6 8.7 86.9 34.4 27.2 2.4 23.0	99.3 9.2 20.3 9.3 114.5 49.8 41.4 5.2 18.0	103.6 11.1 26.0 11.4 110.9 51.3 32.7 4.5 22.4
24 25 26 27 28 29	Other. By borrowing sector. State and local governments. Households. Farm. Nonfarm noncorporate. Corporate	189.3 13.2 80.9 9.7	161.6 15.5 49.2 7.9 7.4 81.8	109.5 13.2 48.6 8.7 2.0 37.0	182.8 18.5 89.9 11.0 5.2 58.2	271.4 25.9 139.6 14.7 12.6 78.7	310.1 24.9 161.3 17.2 17.2 89.5	168.5 17.6 82.7 9.9 4.0 54.3	197.2 19.5 97.1 12.1 6.4 62.2	252.1 22.7 131.2 15.5 12.8 69.8	290.7 29.0 148.0 13.8 12.3 87.6	302.2 21.7 155.0 14.6 20.3 90.6	318.0 28.1 167.5 19.9 14.2 88.2
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Foreign . Corporate equities . Debt instruments . Bonds . Bank loans n.e.c Open market paper . U.S. government loans .	6.4 1.0 2.8	15.3 15.6 2.1 4.7 7.3 1.5	13.2 .2 .13.0 .6.2 .3.7 .3 2.8	20.7 .3 20.4 8.5 6.6 1.9 3.3	12.3 .4 11.9 5.0 1.6 2.4 3.0	25.7 .5 .26.2 4.3 12.0 6.6 3.3	17.5 .3 17.2 7.4 5.4 1.5 2.9	23.8 .3 23.5 9.7 7.9 2.4 3.6	7.5 .6 6.9 4.4 -3.2 2.7 3.1	17.2 .2 /7.0 5.6 6.4 2.2 2.9	17.2 8 /8.0 4.9 6.2 3.6 3.3	34.1 .3 34.4 3.7 17.7 9.6 3.4
			·				Financia	ıl sectors					
37	Total funds raised	57.6	36.4	11.7	29.2	58.8	93.8	27.9	30.5	61.5	56.2	102.9	84.6
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	U.S. government related. Sponsored credit agency securities. Mortgage pool securities. Loans from U.S. government. Private financial sectors. Corporate equities. Deht instruments. Corporate bonds. Mortgages. Bank loans n.e.e. Open market paper and RPs. Loans from FHLBs.	37.7 1.5 36.2 3.5	23.1 16.6 5.8 .7 13.3 .3 13.0 2.1 -1.3 4.6 .9 6.7	13.5 2.3 10.3 9 -1.9 -2.5 2.9 2.3 -3.6 1 -4.0	18.6 3.3 15.7 4 10.6 1.0 9.6 5.8 2.1 3.7 7.3 2.0	26.3 7.0 20.5 -1.2 32.6 .6 .32.0 10.1 3.1 *	39.0 22.6 16.5 54.7 1.1 53.7 7.7 9 1.2 31.3 12.5	18.2 4.1 14.2 * 9.7 2 10.0 6.4 1.5 -2.6 6.2 -1.5	19.0 2.6 17.2 7 11.5 2.3 9.2 5.2 2.7 -4.8 8.5 2.5	25.0 9.5 17.9 -2.3 36.5 .5 36.0 10.1 3.3 -2.3 21.4 3.4	27.5 4.4 23.1 0 28.7 28.0 10.1 2.9 2.3 7.4 5.2	41.5 24.9 16.6 0 61.4 1.1 60.3 8.4 2.4 .5 34.9	36.5 20.2 16.3 0 48.0 1.0 47.0 6.9 5 1.9 27.8 10.9
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	By sector: Sponsored credit agencies. Mortgage pools. Private financial sectors. Commercial banks. Bank affiliates. Savings and loan associations. Other insurance companies. Finance companies. REITS. Open-end investment companies. Money market funds.	6.0 .5 9.4 6.5 -1.2	36.4 17.3 5.8 73.3 -5.6 3.5 6.3 .9 6.0	11.7 3.2 10.3 -7.9 -1.4 .3 -2.2 1.0 .6 1.4 -1.1	29.2 2.9 15.7 10.6 7.5 8 * 9 6.4 2.4 -1.0	58.8 5.8 20.5 32.6 4.8 1.3 11.9 -2.4 1.0 .2	93.8 22.6 16.5 54.7 8.2 8.2 16.4 1.1 19.7 -1.3 -6.9	27.9 4.0 14.2 9.7 9.0 -1.3 .1 .9 6.0 -2.1 -2.4	30.5 1.8 17.2 17.5 6.0 3 1 .9 6.9 -2.7 .4	61.5 7.1 17.9 36.5 10.0 1.3 10.6 .9 17.4 -2.5 8	56.2 4.4 23.1 28.7 4 1.2 13.1 1.0 16.4 -2.2 -1.2	102.9 24.9 16.6 67.4 12.2 5.8 19.7 1.0 18.7 -1.3 5.9	84.6 20.2 16.3 48.0 4.2 2.8 13.1 1.1 20.6 -1.3 .4 8.0
							All se	ectors					
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Total funds raised, by instrument Investment company shares Other corporate equities Debt instruments U.S. government securities State and local obligations Corporate and foreign bonds Mortgages Consumer credit Bank loans n.e.c. Open market paper and RPs. Other loans	261.4 -1.2 10.4 252.3 28.3 14.7 13.6 79.9 23.8 51.6 21.2	225.1 7 4.8 221.0 34.3 16.5 23.9 60.5 10.2 38.3 14.8 22.6	219.8 1 10.8 209.1 98.2 15.6 36.4 57.2 9.4 -13.9 -2.4 8.7	301.7 -1.0 12.9 289.8 88.1 19.0 37.2 87.1 23.6 6.4 13.3 15.3	399.4 -1.0 4.8 395.6 84.3 29.2 36.1 134.0 35.0 32.2 19.8 25.1	483.2 -53.6 480.1 92.8 29.8 32.1 145.9 50.5 50.2 42.8 36.1	287.5 -2.4 15.8 274.1 91.9 19.3 36.1 77.7 22.9 .1 13.3 12.9	316.0 .4 .9.9 .305.7 .84.3 .18.7 .38.4 .96.4 .24.3 .12.6 .13.3 .17.7	363.7 	435.0 -1.2 7.0 429.2 98.6 29.0 41.7 146.7 34.4 35.9 11.9 31.0	481.1 6 3.1 478.6 100.4 28.5 28.5 140.3 49.8 48.2 43.7 35.4	485.3 4.2 481.5 85.2 30.8 31.8 151.5 51.3 52.2 41.9 36.8

1.58 DIRECT AND INDIRECT SOURCES OF FUNDS TO CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars, except as noted; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

	Transaction entagence are encour	1973	1974	1975 !		1977	1978	t9	76	19	77	1978	
	Transaction category, or sector	1973	. 1974	1975 :	1.5\0 j	19//	17/6 I	HI	н2	HI HI	112	1117	H2
1	Total funds advanced in credit markets to nonfinancial sectors	196.1	184.9	198.0	261.7	337.4	387.4	245.9	277.5	301.0	373.8	376.8	398.0
2 3 4 5 6	By public agencies and foreign: Total net advances U.S. government securities. Residential mortpages FHLB advances to S&Ls. Other loans and securities. Totals advanced, by sector	34.1 9.5 8.2 7.2 9.2	52.6 11.9 14.7 6.7	44.3 22.5 16.2 4.0 9.5	54.5 26.8 12.8 - 2.0 16.9	85,4 40,2 20,4 4,3 20,5	102.8 43.1 24.6 12.5 22.6	49.7 24.4 11.8 - 1.5 15.0	59.3 29.3 13.7 2.5 18.8	69.3 27.2 20.0 3.4 18.6	101.6 53.2 20.9 5.2 22.4	103.5 42.7 23.5 14.1 23.3	102,0 43,6 25,7 10,9 21,8
7 8 9 10	U.S. government Sponsored credit agencies Monetary authorities Foreign Agency borrowing not included in line 1	2,8 21,4 9,2 .6 19,9	9.7 25.6 6.2 11.2 23.1	15.1 14.5 8.5 6.1 13.5	8.9 20.6 9.8 15.2 18.6	11.8 26.9 7.1 39.5 26.3	. 18.3 44.0 7.0 33.5 39.0	6.3 20.0 13.7 9.7 18.2	11.5 21.2 6.0 20.6 19.0	6.1 26.7 10.2 26.4 25.0	17.6 27.2 4.1 52.7 27.5	19.2 44.9 12.9 26.4 41.5	17.4 43.2 1.0 40.5 36.5
12 13 14 15 16 17	Private domestic funds advanced Total net advances. U.S. government securities. State and local obligations. Corporate and foreign bonds. Residential mortgages Other mortgages and loans. Lass: FHLB advances.	182.0 18.8 14.7 10.0 48.4 97.2	155.3 22.4 16.5 20.9 26.9 75.4 6.7	767.3 75.7 15.6 32.8 23.2 16.1 4.0	225.7 61.3 19.0 30.5 52.7 60.4	278.2 44.1 29.2 22.3 83.2 103.7 4.3	323.6 49.7 29.6 23.4 86.9 146.6 12.5	214.4 67.5 19.3 28.6 45.6 51.9	237.1 55.1 18.7 32.3 59.7 68.9 -2.5	256.8 42.8 29.3 17.2 74.9 96.0 3.4	299.7 45.4 29.0 27.3 91.6 111.5	3/4,8 57,7 28,5 22,4 84,9 135,4 14,1	332.5 41.6 30.8 24.3 88.9 157.8
19 20 21 22 23	Private financial intermediation Credit market funds advanced by private financial institutions. Commercial banking Savings institutions. Insurance and pension funds. Other finance.	165.4 86.5 36.9 23.9 18.0	126.2 64.5 26.9 30.0 4.7	119.9 27.6 52.0: 41.5	191,2 58.0 71.4 51.7 10.1	249.6 85.8 84.8 62.0 16.9	289.6 119.2 79.1 71.4 19.9	174.4 46.6 70.5 53.2 4.2	207.9 69.4 72.4 50.2 15.9	241.1 81.1 85.3 60.3 14.5	258.0 90.5 84.3 63.7 19.4	283.7 120.4 177.2 69.4 16.6	295.5 117.9 81.0 73.4 23.2
24 25 26	Sources of funds Private domestic deposits Credit market borrowing	165.4 86.6 36.2	126.2 69.4 13.0	779.9 90.6 - 2.5	191,2 121,5 9,6	249.6 136.0 32.0	289.6 124.5 53.7	174.4 108.3 10.0	207.9 134.6 9.2	241.1 127.0 36.0	258.0 145.0 28.0	283.7 119.4 60.3	295.5 129.6 47.0
27 28 29 30 31	Other sources Foreign funds Treasury balances Insurance and pension reserves Other, net	42.5 5.8 1.0 18.4 19.4	43.8 16.8 5.1 26.0 6.0	31.9 .9 -1.7 29.6 3.1 i	60.1 5.1 .1 34.8 20.3	81.6 11.6 4.3 48.0 17.8	111.4 15.7 9.7 57.0 29.0	56.1 .7 2.3 35.8 17.2	64.1 9.5 -2.5 33.8 23.4	78.2 .7 1.8 45.5 33.7	85.1 22.4 10.4 50.4 1.9	104.0 4.0 .7 55.9 44.9	778.9 27.5 20.1 58.2 13.1
32 33 34 35 36 37	Private domestic nonfinancial investors Direct lending in credit markets. U.S. government securities. State and local obligations. Corporate and foreign bonds. Commercial paper. Other.	52.8 19.2 5.4 1.3 18.3 8.6	42.2 17.5 9.3 4.7 2.4 8.2	44.9 23.0 8.3 8.0 8 6.4	44.1 19.6 6.8 2.1 4.1 11.5	60.6 24.6 9.1 1.1 9.5 16.2	87.7 33.1 8.8 9 27.8 18.8	50.0 25.0 7.6 2.9 4.8 9.7	38.4 14.1 6.0 1.3 3.4 13.5	51.6 14.1 8.2 .4 13.0 15.9	69.6 35.2 10.1 1.8 6.0 16.5	91.4 36.3 10.8 2.6 28.8 18.2	84.0 30.0 6.8 .8 26.9 19.5
38 39 40 41 42	Deposits and currency. Time and savings accounts. Large negotiable CDs. Other at commercial banks. At savings institutions.	90.6 76.1 18.1 29.6 28.5	75.7 66.7 18.8 26.1 21.8	96.8 84.8 -14.1 39.4 59.4	128,8 112,2 14,4 58,1 68,5	144.3 120.1 9.3 41.7 69.1	133.8 117.8 13.8 42.8 61.3	114,3 99.5 -19.8 52.0 67.3	143.3 125.0 9.1 64.3 69.8	132.6 110.5 4.4 45.3 69.6	156.0 129.7 22.9 38.2 68.7	129.5 110.2 10.3 45.0 54.9	138.0 125.5 17.3 40.5 67.7
43 44 45	Money Demand deposits. Currency.	14.4 10.5 3.9	8.9 2.6 6.3	12.0 5.8 6.2	16.6 9.3 7.3	24.2 15.9 8.3	15.9 6.6 9.3	14.8 8.9 6.0	18.3 9.6 8.6	22.1 16.5 5.6	26.3 15.3 11.0	19.3 9.2 10.1	12.5 4.1 8.5
46	Total of credit market instruments, deposits and currency		. 117.8	141.6	172.9	204.9	221.5	164.3	181.6	184.2	225.6	220.9	222.0
47 48 49	Public support rate (in per cent) Private financial intermediation (in per cent) Total foreign funds		28.5 81.3 28.0	22.4 71.7 7.1	20.8 84.7 20.3	89.7	26.5 89.5 49.2	20.2 81.3 10.4	21.4 87.7 30.1	23.0	27.2 86.1 75.1	27.5 90.1 30.4	25.6 88.9 68.0
51 52 53	Mimo: Corporate equities not included above Total net issues. Mutual fund shares. Other equities. Acquisitions by financial institutions. Other net purchases.	9.2 1.2 10.4 13.3	4.1 7 4.8 5.8 1.6	10.7 1 10.8 9.7 1.0	11.9 1.0 12.9 12.5 7	3.8 1.0 4.8 6.2 -2.4	3.1 .5 3.6 4.9 1.7	13.4 -2.4 15.8 13.1	10.4 .4 9.9 12.0 1.6	1.7 8 2.5 6.1 -4.4	5.8 1.2 7.0 6.3 5	2.5 .6 3.1 1.7 .8	3.8 .4 4.2 8.0 4.2

Notes by List NUMBER.

1. Line 2 of p. A-44.
2. Sum of lines 3-6 or 7-10.
6. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
11. Credit market funds raised by federally sponsored credit agencies, and net issues of federally related mortgage pool securities. Included below in lines 3, 13, and 33.
12. Line 1 less line 2 plus line 11. Also line 19 less line 26 plus line 32. Also sum of lines 27, 32, 39, and 44.
1. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
25. Sum of lines 39 and 44.
26. Excludes equity issues and investment company shares. Includes line 18.

line 18. Foreign deposits at commercial banks, bank borrowings from foreign branches, and liabilities of foreign banking agencies to foreign affiliates.

29. Demand deposits at commercial banks.
30. Excludes net investment of these reserves in corporate equities.
31. Mainly retained earnings and net miscellaneous liabilities.
32. Line 12 less line 19 plus line 26.
33. 37. Lines 13. 17 less amounts acquired by private finance. Line 37 includes mortgages.
45. Mainly an offset to line 9.
46. Lines 32 plus 38, or line 12 less line 27 plus line 45.
47. Line 27line 1.
48. Line 19/line 12.
49. Sum of lines 10 and 28.
50. 52. Includes issues by financial institutions.
Note. Full statements for sectors and transaction types quarterly, and annually for flows and for amounts outstanding, may be obtained from Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

2.10 NONFINANCIAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY Sclected Measures

1967 = 100; monthly and quarterly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

_	Measure	1976	1977	1978*				78			19	79
					July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
-1	Industrial production	129.8	137.1	145.2	146.1	147.1	147.8	148.7	149.6	150.8	150.8	151.2
2 3 4 5 6 7		129.3 127.2 136.2 114.6 137.2 130.6	137.1 134.9 143.4 123.2 145.1 136.9	144.3 141.4 147.4 133.1 155.3 146.5	145.0 142.2 147.7 134.7 155.6 147.9	146.2 143.3 148.4 136.3 156.4 148.6	146.5 143.7 149.0 136.4 157.0 149.7	147.0 144.1 149.2 137.0 158.0 151.4	147.7 144.5 149.7 137.3 159.3 152.7	148.9 145.5 150.7 138.5 161.6 153.6	149.1 145.6 150.5 138.9 162.6 153.4	149,6 146,0 150,5 139,8 162,9 153,8
8	Industry groupings: Manufacturing	129.5	137.1	145.6	146.7	147.6	148.7	149.5	150.4	151.8	151.9	152.4
9 10		80.2 80.4	82.4 81.9	84.2 84.9	84.7 85.7	85.0 85.9	85.3 86.3	85.5 87.1	85.8 87.6	86.3 88.0	86.0 87.5	86.0 87.6
11	Construction contracts ²	190.2	r160.5	174.3	173.0	, 177.0	r182.0	r193, 0	173.0	184.0	181.0	n.a.
12 13 14 15 16	Manufacturing, production-worker	120.7 100.2 97.7 95.3 131.9	125.0 104.2 101.0 98.6 136.4	130.3 108.9 104.5 102.1 142.1	130.8 109.4 104.4 101.8 142.5	130.9 109.2 104.3 101.6 142.8	131.0 109.3 104.3 101.6 142.9	131.6 110.1 105.1 102.4 143.4	132.3 111.0 105.9 103.5 144.0	133.5 111.7 106.6 104.3 144.2	132.9 112.0 107.0 104.8 144.4	133.4 112.2 107.4 105.3 145.0
17 18 19	Personal income, total ⁴ . Wages and salary disbursements. Manufacturing.	220.4 189.3 177.1	244.0 230.1 198.6	272.5 257.5 223.6	274.4 259.2 224.9	276.3 260.0 224.5	278.4 262.0 226.4	282.2 266.1 230.3	285.0 268.8 234.8	288.5 271.5 238.0	289.7 274.3 239.7	n.a. n.a. n.a.
20	Disposable personal income	217.5	239.3	266.5		269.7			277.7			n.a.
21	Retail sales5	203.5	224.4	248.0	244.9	251.7	253.5	257.5	262.0	265.3	266.3	n.a.
22 23		170.5 170.3	181.5 180.6	195.4 194.6	196.7 196.0	197.8 195.3	199.3	200.9 199.7	202.0	202.9 202.4	204.7 205.3	n.a. 207.4

¹ Ratios of indexes of production to indexes of capacity. Based on data from Federal Reserve, McGraw-Hill Economics Department, and Department of Commerce.

² Index of dollar value of total construction contracts, including residential, nonresidential, and heavy engineering, from McGraw-Hill Informations Systems Company, F. W. Dodge Division.

³ Based on data in Employment and Farmings (U.S. Department of Labor). Series covers employees only excluding expended in the Armed.

NOTE. Basic data (not index numbers) for series mentioned in notes 3, 4, and 5, and indexes for series mentioned in notes 2 and 6 may also be found in the Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce). Figures for industrial production for the last two months are preliminary

and estimated, respectively.

2.11 OUTPUT, CAPACITY, AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION

Seasonally adjusted

Series		19	78			19	78		1978				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4 ^r	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4 r	
	0	utput (19	967 = 10	0)	Capacity	(percen	of 1967	output)	Utilization rate (percent)				
I Manufacturing	139.8	144.4	147.7	150.6	170.3	172.0	173.7	175.4	82.1	84.0	85.0	89.5	
Primary processing	148.2 135.4	154.1 139.3	158.2 142.1	162.0 144.5	176.8 166.9	178.5 168.5	180.2 170.2	181.9 171.8	83.8 81.1	86.3 82.7	87.8 83.5	89.0 84.1	
4 Materials	139.2	145.1	148.7	152.6	170.4	171.7	173.0	174.2	81.7	84.5	86.0	87.6	
5 Durable goods. 6 Basic metal. 7 Nondurable goods. 8 Textile, paper, and chemical. 9 Textile. 10 Paper. 11 Chemical. 12 Energy.	163.1 115.3 136.5 194.9	144.0 117.5 163.2 167.7 117.1 139.7 201.4 125.5	150.4 124.6 163.2 168.4 117.3 134.8 204.4 127.0	155.3 129.5 166.8 172.1 119.4 136.9 209.5 128.5	174.0 145.8 182.3 190.8 143.5 153.6 226.6 147.2	175.2 146.1 184.4 193.1 144.1 154.8 230.1 147.8	176.3 146.5 186.5 195.4 144.7 155.8 233.5 148.4	177.4 146.8 188.5 197.5 145.2 156.9 236.8 148.9	79.3 75.8 86.7 85.5 80.3 88.9 86.0 80.9	82.2 80.4 88.5 86.8 81.2 90.3 87.5 84.9	85.3 85.1 87.5 86.2 81.0 86.5 87.5	87.5 88.2 88.5 87.2 82.2 87.2 88.5 86.3	

Labor). Series covers employees only, excluding personnel in the Armed

¹ Based on data in Survey of Current Business U.S. Department of Commerce). Series for disposable income is quarterly.

2 Based on Bureau of Census data published in Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).

6 Data without seasonal adjustment, as published in Monthly Lubor

Review (U.S. Department of Labor). Seasonally adjusted data for changes in the price indexes may be obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

7 Beginning Jan. 1978, based on new index for all urban consumers.

8 Beginning with the November 1978 BULLTIN, producer price data in this table have been changed to the BLS series for producer finished goods. The previous data were producer prices for all commodities.

2.12 LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT

Thousands of persons; monthly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

Category	1976	1977	1978		-		1979						
				Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.			
					Household	survey data							
1 Noninstitutional population 1	156,048	158,559	161,058	161,348	161,570	161,829	162,033	162,250	162,448	162,633			
Labor force (including Armed Forces) ¹ . Civilian labor force. Employment: Nonagricultural industries ²	94,773	99,534 97,401 87,302	102,537 100,420 91,031	102,785 100,663 91,372	103,097 100,974 91,604	103,199 101.077	103,745 101.628 92.476	103,975 101,867	104,277 102,183	104,621 102.527 93,335			
5 Agriculture Unemployment: 6 Number 7 Rate (percent of civilian labor force)	3,297 7,288 7.7	3,244 6,855 7.0	3.342 6.047	3.351 5.940 5.9	3,406 5,964 5,9	3.374 5.836 5.8	3.275 5.877 5.8	3.387 6.012 5.9	5.883 5.8	3.311 5.881 5.7			
8 Not in labor force	59,130	59,025	58,521	58,563	58,473	58,630	58,288	58,275	58,170	58,012			
	Establishment survey data ⁴												
9 Nonagricultural payroll employment ³ 10 Manufacturing. 11 Mining. 12 Contract construction. 13 Transportation and public utilities. 14 Trade. 15 Finance. 16 Service. 17 Government.	79,382 18,997 779 3,576 4,582 17,755 4,271 14,551 14,871	82,256 19,647 809 3,833 4,696 18,492 4,452 15,249 15,079	#85,763 #20,332 #837 #4,212 #4,859 #19,394 #4,676 #15,979 #15,476	86,149 20,278 887 4,298 4,846 19,523 4,707 16,074 15,536	86,163 20,286 887 4,298 4,855 19,546 4,719 16,127 15,445	86,573 20,436 893 4,341 4,922 19,632 4,737 16,169 15,443	87,036 20.601 903 4,368 4,947 19,701 4,774 16,270 15,472	r87,281 r20,729 r904 r4.397 r4.967 r19,697 r4.789 r16.327 r15.471	"87,465 "20,812 "908 "4,379 "4,962 "19,797 "4,811 "16,315	"87,766 "20.885 "916 "4.348 "4.998 "19.927 "4.820 "16.378 "15,486			

¹ Persons 16 years of age and over. Monthly figures, which are based on sample data, relate to the calendar week that contains the 12th day; annual data are averages of monthly figures. By definition, seasonality does not exist in population figures. Based on data from *Employment and Earnings* (U.S. Dept. of Labor).

² Includes self-employed, inpaid family, and domestic service workers.

³ Data include all full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period that includes the 12th day of the month, and exclude proprietors, self-employed persons, domestic servants,

unpaid family workers, and members of the Armed Forces. Data are adjusted to the February 1977 benchmark. Based on data from Employment and Eurnings (U.S. Dept. of Labor).

4 The establishment survey data in this table have been revised to conform to the industry definitions of the 1972 Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Manual and to reflect employment benchmark levels for March 1977. In addition, seasonal factors for these data have been revised, based on experience through May 1978.

2.13 INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION Indexes and Gross Value

Monthly data are seasonally adjusted.

-	Consider	1967 pro-	1978	1977			_	19	78	_			19	179
	Grouping	por- tion	aver- age/	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan. p	Feb.
_	MAJOR MARKET		-				Index	(1967 =	· 100)				I	
1	Total index	100.00	145.2	139.7	138.8	139.2	146.1	147.1	147.8	148.7	149.6	150.8	150.8	151.2
2 3 4 5 6 7	Products Final products Consumer goods Equipment Intermediate products Materials	47.82 27.68 20.14	144.3 141.4 147.4 133.1 155.3 146.5	137.6 145.8 126.2	138.5 134.9 141.8 125.4 151.6 139.2	136.4 143.8 126.2	142.2 147.7 134.7 155.6	146.2 143.3 148.4 136.3 156.4 148.6	143.7 149.0 136.4 157.0	144.1 149.2 137.0 158.0	149.7 137.3 159.3		145.6 150.5 138.9 162.6	146.0 150.5 139.8 162.9
8 9 10 11 12	Consumer goods Durable consumer goods. Automotive products Autos and utility vehicles. Autos. Autos. Auto garts and allied goods.	2.83	158.9 178.6 172.5 148.5 194.0	165.5	146.5 157.5 145.5 127.4 187.8	151.2 162.8 153.9 131.5 185.3	160.9 182.2 176.7 152.7 196.1	182.1 175.6 151.1 198.0	178.3 170.0 144.4	180.5 154.2	189.0	161.9 185.1 179.3 151.8 200.1	181.2 173.8	171.0
13 14 15 16 17	Home goods. Appliances, A/C, and TV. Appliances and TV. Carpeting and furniture. Miscellaneous home goods.	5.06 1.40 1.33 1.07 2.59	147.8 132.5 134.5 164.3 149.3	146.6 132.8 134.6 161.5 147.7	140.3 116.1 117.4 159.1 145.9		136.8	135.6 167.9	134.4	129.9 168.0	123.4 124.4 164.9	148.9 129.1 129.8 166.8 152.0	126.4 127.3 168.1	149.8 128.0
18 19 20 21	Nondurable consumer goods. Clothing. Consumer staples. Consumer foods and tobacco.	4.29 15.50	142.8 147.6 140.1	137.9	139.9 118.3 145.9 136.5	121.1 146.3 138.3	140.2	147.8	144.4 128.9 148.8 141.2	128.3 148.8	149.2		150.9	146.6
22 23 24 25 -26	Nonfood staples. Consumer chemical products. Consumer paper products. Consumer energy products Residential utilities.	2.62	156.2 187.1 118.1 153.2	155.2 186.5 119.8 149.7 158.5	187.4 121.4 151.5	155.8 184.3 118.8 154.5 167.6	117.5	155.9 188.0 117.3 152.0 160.1	157.4 190.1 118.2 153.3 160.9	158.5 191.9 116.7 155.4 162.8	190.7 117.6 156.7	117.2	195.1 119.6	161.3
27 28 29 30 31	Equipment Business. Industrial Building and mining Manufacturing. Power	6.77 ¹ 1.44 3.85	162.0 149.9 223.4 121.9 151.0	143.0 208.3 118.2	144.3	154.2 144.6 214.9 117.7 145.8	151.9 228 9	165.4 152.8 228.1 123.9 154.6	152.7 226.3 124.4	152.9	151.8 223.8 124.2	168.6 152.2 222.3 124.7 155.6	153.3 221.3	127.5
32 33 34 35	Commercial transit, farm	5.86 3.26 1.93 .67	175.9 208.5 133.6 138.9	166.9 198.8 121.1 144.5	162.2 198.5 111.1 131.4	165.5 200.9 115.9 134.8	134.9	212.2	214.1 138.6	215.1 142.6	214.9 147.5	216.6 151.2	217.1 148.4	187.2 218.8 146.9
36	Defense and space	7.51	84.5	79.5	79.7	79.2	85.9	87.1	87.1	86.7	87.2	87.9	88.6	89.5
37 38 39	Intermediate products Construction supplies Business supplies Commercial energy products	6.47	153.3 157.2 166.3	148.3 152.6 165.6	149.2 153.8 165.5	148.6 154.2 165.6	153.5 157.6 164.1	154.7 158.2 167.4	155.6 158.4 169.9	159.2	159.0 159.9 168.8		163.2	162.1
40 41 42 43 44	Materials Durable goods materials. Durable consumer parts. Equipment parts. Durable materials n.e.c. Basic metal materials.	4.58 5.44 10.34	146.9 140.3 159.1 143.4 120.4	149.2 134.3	138.2 133.0 148.7 134.9 110.2	137.0 131.1 146.6 134.6 111.0	148.7 142.0 161.7 144.7 121.7	162.9 147.6	144.8 164.6	147.3	167.6;	148.4	147.2 170.8 152.8	146.9
45 46 47 48 49	Nondurable goods materials. Textile, paper, and chemical materials Textile materials. Paper materials. Chemical materials.	10.47 7.62 1.85 1.62 4.15	162.9 167.9 117.2 136.9 202.6	155.3 159.3 117.3 130.2 189.5	160 71	158.5 162.8 115.8 136.8 194.2	160 2	162.7 167.0 116.0 131.5 203.7	164.4 170.0 118.7 137.7 205.5	165.7 171.0 118.7 137.3 207.6	167.8 173.3 120.4 137.6 210.7	167.0 172.1 119.0 135.7 210.3	173 4	174 2
50 51 52 53 54	Primary energy	1 14	133 1	129 9	123 6	178 9	135 7	134 81	131 0	134.5 128.0 115.9	165.6 135.5 128.4 117.4 141.8	135.3	134.6 128.3	
55 56 57 58	Products	9.35 12.23 3.76 8.48	137.6 135.0 157.2 125.2	137.5 129.7 154.5 118.7	130.2. 132.5 155.8 122.2	133.8 130.0 157.9 117.7	138.0 136.4 155.6 127.9	139.2 136.1 156.7 127.0	140.3 135.9 158.3 126.0	139.1 137.6 159.3 128.0	138.5 138.2 160.4 128.4	140.3 138.7 160.7 129.0	140.3 138.1 160.1 128.3	140.4 137.5

For Noti, see opposite page,

2.13 Continued

	Grouping	SIC	1967 pro-	1978	1977				19	78			_	19	79
	, 0	code	por- tion	aver- age"	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan."	Feb.
	MAJOR INDUSTRY						In	dex (19	67 -= 10	00)		· · ·		'	_
1 2 3 4	Mining and utilities. Mining. Utilities. Electric.		12.05 6.36 5.69 3.88	124.2	133.9 113.4 156.7 175.9	115.0 162.3	114.4 163.5	159.9	126.0	162.3	127.6 162.4		127.5 163.9		122.1
5 6 7	Manufacturing. Nondurable. Durable.		87.95 35.97 51.98	154.8	140.5 150.9 133.4	138.7 149.8 131.1	139.4 150.6 131.5	155.0	155.6	157.1	157.4	158.5	151.8 159.4 146.5	160.3	160.8
8 9 10 11	Mining Metal. Coal. Oil and gas extraction. Stone and earth minerals.	11, 12	.51 .69 4.40 .75	115.8	74.6	54.8 121.1		131.7 126.8	124.9 126.2	114.7 124.9	144.0 124.5	124.9	123.9 146.8 123.7 134.2	117.6 123.0	103.0
12 13 14 15 16	Nondurable manufactures Foods. Tobacco products. Textile mill products Apparel products. Apparel products.	21 22 23	8.75 .67 2.68 3.31 3.21	119.1 140.0	120.6 143.7 125.8	113.4 137.1 118.6	117.7 136.4 121.1	120.8 141.0 124.5	118.6 139.5 127.2	120.6 142.2 130.9	119.0 142.1 130.6	121.5	121.7	144.4	
17 18 19 20 21	Printing and publishing	28 29 30	4.72 7.74 1.79 2.24	190.7 144.2 254.7	127.5 183.0 139.3 240.1 77.3	139.7	139.0 240.0	192.3 144.3 259.1	261.1	147.1 263.1	195.9 147.9 264.1	197.6 148.9 264.2	133.0 197.9 149.9 265.6 74.0	201.1 148.6 266.5	147.3
22 23 24 25	Durable manufactures Ordnance, private and government. Lumber and products. Furniture and fixtures. Clay, glass, stone products.	19, 91 24 25 32	3.64 1.64 1.37 2.74	138.9 154.7	138.1 146.6	138.5 146.4	150.1	158.1	159.0		160.9	142.5	74.0 146.3 156.7 167.7	146.5	
26 27 28 29 30	Primary metals. Iron and steel. Fabricated metal products. Nonelectrical machinery. Electrical machinery.	331, 2	6.57 4.21 5.93 9.15 8.05	113.2 142.6 155.6	103.8 136.4 151.7	99.5 136.9 150.1	96.3 136.9 150.1	119.0 144.0 156.1	120.9 145.8 157.3	123.2 146.3 158.7	146.0 160.3	124.1 146.9 160.3		115.2 150.8 162.5	151.5
31 32 33	Transportation equipment	37 371 372-9	9.27 4.50 4.77	130.5 168.3	122.2 161.8 84.9	116.2 146.6 87.6			133.4 171.0 98.3	168.9		180.8	139.4 179.5	174.4	172.2
34 35	Instruments	38 39	2.11	171.6	164.7 152.5	163.4		172.2	175.4	174.6	175.3		179.5		182.5
	MAJOR MARKET			· — · · -	Gı	oss val	ue (billi	ons of 1	972 do	 llars, an 	nual ra	tes)		·-	- ·
36 37 38 39	Products, total	İ	1507.4 139().9 1277.5 1113.4	324.1	458.7 320.4	311.2	454.4 318.6	469.6	472.2 324.7		478.8 328.1	330.8	484.8 332.4	629.9 483.0 330.7 152.1	483.9
40	Intermediate		1116.6	140.4	135.9	136.7	137.0	140.7	141.4	141.9	142.6	144.0	146.0	146.9	146.7

^{1 1972} dollars.

NOTE. Published groupings include some series and subtotals not

shown separately. For description and historical data, see *Industrial Production—1976 Revision* (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System: Washington, D.C.), December 1977.

2.14 HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION

Monthly figures are at seasonally adjusted annual rates except as noted.

		1976	1977	1978	1978								
Item		i			July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov	Dec. r	Jan.		
			· ———		Private	residential (thousand	real estate s of units)	activity		<u></u> -			
NEW UNITS													
1 Permits authorized 2 1-family 3 2-or-more-family		7,296 894 402	71,677 71,126 7551	7.658 1,078 581	1,632 1,035 597	7,563 1,020 543	1.73/ 1.092 639	71,729 71,135 592	1.724 1,114 610	1,664 1,149 515	1,363 864 499		
4 Started		1,538 1,163 377	7,986 1,451 535	2,019 1,433 586	r2,104 11,455 666	72,004 71,431 585	12,024 1,432 612	12,054 11,436 636	72,107 71,502 597	2,062 1,529 533	1,656 1,136 520		
7 Under construction, end of 8 1-family		1.147 655 492	1,442 829 613	1,355 1,378 553	71,301 7781 7520	*1.303 *786 *517	71,311 784 7526	7.320 -781 -539	71,337 7791 7545	1,355 802 553	1,378 821 556		
10 Completed		1.362 1.026 336	7,652 1,254 398	1,866 1,368 498	71,928 11,320 7608	71,948 11,363 1584	71,900 11,370 530	71.883 11.414 468	71,885 71,375 7510	1,872 1,405 467	1,814 1,314 500		
13 Mobile homes shipped		246	277	276	232	283	272	286	, 280	303	303		
Merchant builder activity 1-family units: 14 Number sold		639 433	819 407	817 423	7829 7417	7778 418	, 796 , 417	*900 *407	/811 /412	 814 415	776 414		
16 Units sold 17 Units for sale		44.2 41.6	48.9 48.2	55.9 n.a.	54.8 n.a.	56.1 n.a.	57.3 n.a.	58.3 n.a.	58.8 n.a.	59.9 n.a.	60.3 n.a.		
Average: 18 Units sold		48.1	54.4	62.7	62.9	63.0	64.4		66.2	67.2	68.0		
EXISTING UNITS (1-	-family)					ĺ							
19 Number sold Price of units sold (thous dollars): ²		3.002	3,572	3,905	3,890	4,080	3,950	4.290	4,350	4,160	3,710		
20 Median		38.1 42.2	42.9 47.9	48.7 55.1	49.4 56.5	50.3 57.5	50.2	50.1 57.3	50.7 57.4	50.9 58.1	52 0 59.8		
					Va	lue of new (millions	constructio	n 4					
CONSTRUCTIO	N	j				j					1		
22 Total put in place		148,778	172,552	201,538	210,192	208,724	209,227	r209,815	212,764	7215,741	208,110		
23 Private		//0,4/6 60,519 49,897	134.723 80,957 53.766	156,801 92,658 64,143	161,804 95,888 65,916	760,562 95.011 65,551	161,258 94,249 67,009	r161,909 r93,568 68,341	'164,851 '95,377 69,474	168, 162 196, 945 171, 217	162,239 92,582 69,657		
26 Industrial		7.182 12.757 6,155 23,803	7,713 14,789 6,200 25,064	10,763 18,308 6,661 28,411	11,170 19,463 7,036 28,247	12,043 18,835 6,721 27,952	12,634 18,926 6,686 28,763	12,627 19,410 6,667 29,637	12,529 20,294 6,877 29,774	r13,273 r20,049 r6,922 r30,973	12,719 19,893 6,675 30,370		
30 <i>Public</i>	lopnient	38,312 1,521 9,439 3,751 23,601	37,828 1,517 9,280 3,882 23,149	44,737 2,181 8,627 3,697 23,503	48,388 1,493 9,833 4,989 32,073	43,162 1,520 11,427 5,231 29,984	47,970 1,615 10,862 5,660 29,833	747,970 71,426 11,428 3,851 31,211	47,913 1,431 n.a. n.a. n.a.	747,579 71,458 n.a. n.a. n.a.	45,871 1,572 n.a. n.a. n.a.		

Nort. Census Bureau estimates for all series except (a) mobile homes which are private, domestic shipments as reported by the Manufactured Housing Institute and seasonally adjusted by the Census Bureau, and (b) sales and prices of existing units, which are published by the National Association of Realtors. All back and current figures are available from originating agency. Permit authorizations are for 14,000 jurisdictions reporting to the Census Bureau.

<sup>Not at annual rates.
Not seasonally adjusted.
Beginning Jan. 1977 Highway imputations are included in Other.
Value of new construction data in recent periods may not be strictly comparable with data in prior periods due to changes by the Burean of the Census in its estimating techniques. For a description of these changes see Construction Reports (C-30-76-5), issued by the Bureau in July 1976.</sup>

Percentage changes based on seasonally adjusted data, except as noted.

	12 mon	ths to	3 mon	ths (at ai	nnual rat	e) to		1	month to			Index
Item	1 1978	. 1979		19	78			19	78		1979	level Jan. 1979
	Jan.	Jan.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec,	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	(1967 (00)2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	İ					Consum	er prices -		-			•
f All items	. 6.8	9.3	8.9	10.7	8.5	8.5	. 9	. 8	6	. 6	. 9	204.7
2 Commodities 3 Lood, 4 Commodities less food, 5 Durable, 6 Nondurable.	8.6 5.0 4.8	9.3 12.4 7.9 9.2 6.2	8.5 14.9 5.8 7.9 3.8	70.5 18.3 7.2 9.0 5.5	7.3 4.8 8.3 9.1 6.9	9.6 10.2 9.6 11.3 6.7	. S . / . 9 . 9 . 6	. 9 .7 .8 .5	.7 .6 .7 1.0	1.0 1.8 .8 .8	7.7 1.4 .9 .9	795. 8 223.9 181.9 182.0 180.3
7 Services 8 Rent	. 6.2	9.5 7.2 9.8	9.7 6.5 9.5	11.0 8.2 11.3	7.3 10.8	7.7 7.1	.9 .7 .9	.6	.5 .7 .4	.4 .6 .4	.5	227.7 170.3 230.4
Other groupings: 10 All items less food	6.4	8.7 8.6 12.4	7.6 6.3 11.4	8.9 10.4 13.2	9.3 9.7 14.6	8.5 7.7 10.9	.8 1.0 1.3	. 8 . 8 1.4	.7	.6 .4 .4	.8 .5 .8	199.8 197.0 241.6
	ļ [.]			Pro	ducer pri	ces, forn	erty Wh	olesale p	rices		-	
13 Finished goods	. 6.8	9.8	8.7	10.3	7.4	10.1	. 8	7.8	(.9	. 8	1.3	205.3
14 Consumer. 15 Foods. 16 Excluding foods. 17 Capital equipment.	7.4	10.4 12.9 9.0 8.4	9.5 16.8 5.3 7.1	10.6 11.4 10.5 9.1	7.5 4.9 8.8 7.0	10.8 15.3 8.4 8.8	.9 1.5 .5 .5	1.8 1.6 1.4 1.6	1.9 1.9 1.9 1.7	1.0 .8 .7	1.4 1.8 1.2 1.0	203.6 220.1 193.3 209.2
18 Materials		10.9 8.7	411.0 8.1	7.2	77.5 6.9	/13.0 10.8	.9 .6	1.5 1.1	7.9 7.8	. 7	1.4	232.5 226.4
Crude: 20 Nonfood		16.4 20.1	10.7 25.1	14.9 26.6	16.9 2.8	19.6 21.0	1.6 1.8	41.7 3.7	/1.6 /1.1	1.2	1.7	311.6 232.9

 ¹ Excludes intermediate materials for food manufacturing and manufactured animal feeds,
 2 Not seasonally adjusted,

Source, Bureau of Labor Statistics,

⁴ Beginning Jan. 1978 figures for consumer prices are those for all urban

consumers.

The Consumer Price Index has been revised back to 1974.

2.16 GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AND INCOME

Billions of current dollars except as noted; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

	1976	1977	1978	19	77		19	78	
Account			.,,,	Q3	Q4	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4
				Gross	national pr	oduct			·
1 Total	1,700.1	1,887.2	2,106.9	1,916.8	1,958.1	1,992.0	2,087.5	2,136.1	2,212.1
By source: 2 Personal consumption expenditures 3 Durable goods 4 Nondurable goods 5 Services	156.6	1,206.5 178.4 479.0 549.2	1.340.4 197.5 526.5 616.4	1,214.5 177.4 479.7 557.5	1,255.2 187.2 496.9 571.1	1,276.7 183.5 501.4 591.8	1,322.9 197.8 519.3 605.8	1,356.9 199.5 531.7 625.8	1,405.1 209.2 553.5 642.5
6 Gross private domestic investment	232.8 164.6 57.3 107.3 68.2	297.8 282.3 190.4 63.9 126.5 91.9 88.9	344.6 329.1 222.1 77.6 144.5 107.0 103.8	309.7 287.8 193.5 65.4 128.1 94.3 91.2	313.5 300.5 200.3 67.4 132.8 100.2 97.5	322.7 306.0 205.6 68.5 137.1 100.3 97.3	345.4 325.3 220.1 76.6 143.5 105.3 102.1	350.1 336.5 227.5 80.9 146.6 109.0 105.7	360.1 348.5 235.2 84.6 150.7 113.3 110.0
Change in business inventories Nonfarm		15.6 15.0	15.5 16.4	21.9 22.0	13.1 10.4	16.7 16.9	20.1 22.1	13.6 14.6	11.6 12.2
15 Net exports of goods and services 16 Exports	163.2	-11.1 175.5 186.6	-12.0 204.8 216.8	-7.0 180.8 187.8	$\begin{array}{c c} -23.2 \\ 172.1 \\ 195.2 \end{array}$	-24.1 181.7 205.8	205.4 210.9	-10.7 210.1 220.8	- 7.8 222.0 229.7
18 Government purchases of goods and ser 19 Federal	129.9	394.0 145.1 248.9	434.0 153.7 280.2	399.5 146.8 252.7	412.5 152.2 260.3	416.7 151.5 265.2	424.7 147.2 277.6	439.8 154.0 285.8	454.6 162.3 292.3
By major type of product: 21 Final sales, total 22 Goods 23 Durable 24 Nondurable 25 Services 26 Structures	304.6 455.7 778.0	1,871.6 832.6 341.3 491.3 862.8 191.8	2.091.4 917.6 376.3 541.3 962.7 226.6	1,894.9 844.7 346.5 498.2 875.3 196.8	1,945.0 859.6 347.4 512.2 893.6 204.9	1,975.3 861.8 351.2 510.6 926.4 203.8	2,067.4 912.2 375.8 536.4 952.0 223.4	2,122.5 927.3 380.1 547.2 973.7 235.0	2.200.5 969.3 398.3 571.0 998.8 244.0
27 Change in business inventories 28 Durable goods 29 Nondurable goods		15.6 8.4 7.2	15.5 11.6 4.0	21.9 11.9 10.0	13.1	16.7 14.8 1.9	20.1 10.8 9.3	13.6 10.2 3.4	11.6 10.3 1.3
30 MEMO: Total GNP in 1972 dollars	1,271.0	1,332.7	1,385.3	1,343.9	1,354.5	1,354.2	1,382.6	1,391.4	1,413.0
				Na	itional inco	me			
31 Total	1,359,2	1,515.3	1,704.1	1,537.6	1,576.9	1,603.1	1,688.1	1,728.4	n.a,
32 Compensation of employees	890.1 prises 187.6 702.5	1,153.4 983.6 200.8 782.9 169.8	1,301,4 1,100,9 216,1 884,8 200,5	1,165.8 993.6 201.7 791.9 172.2	1,199.7 1,021.2 208.1 813.1 178.4	1,241.0 1,050.8 211.4 839.3 190.2	1,287.8 1,090.2 213.9 876.3 197.6	1,317.1 1,113.4 216.8 896.6 203.6	1,359.6 1,149.2 222.3 926.9 210.4
Find Strain Stra	69.7 77.0	79.4 90.4	94.5 105.9	79.9 92.2	82.4 96.1	90.2 100.0	93.6 104.0	95.7 107.9	98.6 111.8
39 Proprietors' income ¹	88.6 70.2 18.4	99.8 79.5 20.3	112.9 87.8 25.1	97.2 80.8 16.5	107.3 82.3 25.1	105.0 83.1 21.9	110.1 86.1 24.0	114.5 89.6 25.0	122.1 92.6 29.5
42 Rental income of persons ²	22.5	22.5	23.4	22.4	22.7	22.8	22.2	24.3	24.4
43 Corporate profits 1	155.9	173.9	160.2 202.6 -24.3 18.1	154.8 177.5 -7.7 -15.0	148.2 178.3 -14.8 -15.3	132.6 172.1 -23.5 -16.1	163.4 205.5 -24.9 -17.2	165.2 205.4 20.9 - 19.3	n.a. n.a. 27.9 19.9
47 Net interest	į		106.2	97.3	99.0	101.7	104,6	107.4	111.1

¹ With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments, ² With capital consumption adjustments.

Source, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Dept. of Commerce).

³ For after-tax profits, dividends, and the like, see table 1.50.

2.17 PERSONAL INCOME AND SAVING

Billions of current dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates. Exceptions noted,

	1976	1977	. 1978	19	77		19	78	
Account	,			Q3	Q4	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4
				Persona	l income an	d saving	· ··-	<u> </u>	'
1 Total personal income	1,380.9	1,529.0	1,707.6	1,543.7	1,593.0	: 1,628.9	1,682.4	1,731.7	1,787.3
Wage and salary disbursements. Commodity-producing industries. Manufacturing. Distributive industries. Service industries. Government and government enterprises.	890.1 307.5 237.5 216.4 178.6 187.6	983,6 343,7 266,3 239,1 200,1 200,8	1,100.9 390.2 299.8 268.8 225.8 216.1	993.6 348.3 269.3 241.2 202.3 201.7	1,021.2 357.1 277.3 247.5 208.5 208.1	1,050.8 365.9 286.9 257.0 216.5 211.4	1,090.2 387.0 296.1 266.4 222.8 213.9	1,113.2 396.4 302.0 271.6 228.5 216.7	1,149,2 411,3 314,3 280,3 235,3 222,3
8 Other labor income	77.0	90.4	105.9	92.2	96.1	100.0	104.0	107.9	. 111.8
9 Proprietors' income ¹	88.6 70.2 18.4	99.8 79.5 20.3	7/2.9 87.8 25.1	97.2 80.8 16.5	107.3 82.3 25.1	105.0 83.1 21.9	110.1 86.1 24.0	114.5 89.6 25.0	/22./ 92.6 29.5
12 Rental income of persons ² ,	22.5	22.5	23.4	22.4	22.7	22.8	22.2	24.3	24.4
13 Dividends	37.9	43.7	49.3	44.1	46.3	47.0	48.1	50.1	51.9
14 Personal interest income	126.3	141.2	158.9	143.6	146.0	151.4	156.3	161.7	166.2
15 Transfer payments	193.9	208.8 105.0	226.0	211.9 108.5	215.9	219.2 112.1	220,6 113,7	230.4 121.1	235.8 122.7
17 LESS: Personal contributions for social insurance	55.5	61.0	69,7	61.4	62.6	67.2	69.2	70.5	72.0
18 EQUALS: Personal income	1,380,9	1,529.0	1,707.6	1,543.7	1,593.0	1,628.9	1,682.4	1,731.7	1,787.3
19 LESS: Personal tax and nontax payments	196.5	226,0	256.2	224,6	233.3	237.3	249.1	263,2	275.0
20 EQUALS: Disposable personal income	1,184.4	1,303.0	1,451.4	1,319.1	1,359.6	1,391.6	1,433,3	1,468.4	1.512.3
21 Less: Personal outlays	1,116.3	1,236.1	1.375.2	1,244.8	1,285.9	1,309.2	1,357.0	1,392.5	1.442.1
22 EQUALS: Personal saving	68.0	66.9	76.2	74.3	73.7	82.4	76.3	76.0	70.2
Memorrems: Per capita (1972 dollars): Gross national product. Personal consumption expenditures Disposable personal income. Saving rate (per cent).		6,144 3,954 4,271 5,1	6.340 4,082 4,419 5.3	6,191 3,953 4,293 5,6	6,226 4,030 4,365 5.4	6,215 4,009 4,370 5.9	6,334 4,060 4,399 5.3	6,359 4,091 4,428 5.2	6,446 4,163 4,480 4.6
			. — · — · -		Gross savin	g			
27 Gross private saving	270.7	290.8	318.5	310.7	304.3	305.4	319.9	325.7	n.a.
28 Personal saving 29 Undistributed corporate profits 1 30 Corporate inventory valuation adjustment	68.0 24.8 14.5	66.9 28.7 -14.8	76.2 26.8 24.3	74.3 38.0 7.7	73.7 28.0 -14.8	82.4 15.6 23.5	76.3 30.3 24.9	76.0 29.0 -20.9	70.2 n.a. - 27.9
Capital consumption allowances: 31 Corporate. 32 Noncorporate 33 Wage accruals less disbursements.	111,5	120.9 74.3	132.5 84.4	122.6	124.6	127.4 79.9	130.5	134.7 86.1	137.4 89.0
34 Government surplus, or deficit (-), national income and product accounts	$ \begin{array}{c} -33.2 \\ -53.8 \\ 20.7 \end{array} $	-18.6 -48.1 29.6	7.4 29.7 28.3	-25.2 -56.4 31.2	29.6 58.6 29.0	- 21.1 -52.6 31.5	6.2 - 23.6 29.8	- 22.8	n.a. n.a. n.a.
37 Capital grants received by the United States, net			<u> </u>		} '	.	i 	·	
38 Investment	241.7 243.0 1.2	276.9 297.8 20.9	319.4 344.6 - 25.2	292.6 309.7 -17.1	279.5 313.5 -34.1	286.4 322.7 -36.3	326.6 345.4 -18.9	326.6 350.1 -23.5	337.9 360.1 22.2
41 Statistical discrepancy	4.2	4.7	.9	7.1	4.8	2,2	.5	.4	n.a.

¹ With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments. 2 With capital consumption adjustment.

Source, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Dept. of Commerce).

3.10 U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS Summary

Millions of dollars; quarterly data are seasonally adjusted except as noted. (

•	Item credits or debits	1975	1976	1977	197	7		1978	
	non erans or agent.	1		l	Q3	Q4	Q1 i	Q2	Q3
1 2 3	Merchandise exports, Merchandise imports Merchandise trade balance ² .	107,088 98,041 9,047	114,694 124,047 -9,353	120,576 151,706 -31,130	31,009 38,277 -7,268	29,461 39,664 -10,203	30,664 41,865 -11,201	35,067 42,869 - 7,802	36,930 44,975 -8,045
4 5 6	Military transactions, net. Investment income, net ³ . Other service transactions, net.	12,795 2,095	312 15,933 ₁ 2,469	1,334 17,507 1,705	467 4 609 583:	$\frac{5^{1}}{3,813}$	4,877 538	4,583 842	177 4,550 761
7	Balance on goods and services 3,4	23,060	9,361	- 10,585	1,609	~-5,903	-5,576	-1,785	-2,557
8	Remittances, pensions, and other transfers	1,721 ¹ 2,894	1,878 3,145	-1,932: $-2,776$	490; ·787	- 473 ¹ - 591	- 504 - 778	-536 781	-493 -774
10	Balance on current account ³	18,445	4,339	- 15,292	- 2,886 -5,196	6,967 5,245	- 6,858] - 6,382	- 3,102 -2,656	$-3,824 \\ -6,341$
12	Change in U.S. government assets, other than official reserve assets, net (increase, -)	-3,470	- 4,213	- 3,679	-1,098	- 838	896	-1,176	-1,494
	Change in U.S. official reserve assets (increase, -)	-607	2,530	231 118	151	ان	2461	329	180
14 15 16 17	Gold. Special Drawing Rights (SDRs). Reserve position in International Monetary Fund (IMF). Foreign currencies.	466	78 - 2,212 240	121 294 302	133 27	- 60 29 42 47	324 - 62	-104 437 4	43 165 58
18	Change in U.S. private assets abroad (increase, -)3	-35,368	- 43,865	30,740	5,668	- 13,862	14,386	-5,287	-9,692
19	Bank-reported claims	13,532	-21,368	11,427	1,779 [!]	- 8,750	- 6,270	503	-7,137
20 21 22 23 24	Nonbank-reported claims. Long-term. Short-term. U.S. purchase of foreign securities, net. U.S. direct investments abroad, net ³ .	-991 6,235i	2,030 5: 2,035 - 8,852 11,614	-1,725 $-5,398$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,389 \\ 205 \\ 1,184 \\ -2,165 \\ -3,113 \end{array} $	- 1,184 - 279 905 731 3,197	2,222 57 2,165 949 4,945	267 _i 80 _i 187 -1,103 -3,948 _i	275 -11 286 -467 -2,363
25 26 27 28 29 30	Change in foreign official assets in the United States (increase, 1). U.S. Treasury securities. Other U.S. government obligations. Other U.S. government liabilities 5. Other U.S. liabilities reported by U.S. banks. Other foreign official assets6.	905	18,073 9,333 573 4,993 969 2,205	2,308 1,644 773	8,246 6,948 627 332 163 502	15,543 12,900 973 390 909 371	12,965 117 804 1,456	$ \begin{array}{r} -5,685 \\ -5,728 \\ 211 \\ -312 \\ -493 \\ 637 \end{array} $	4,904 3,146 443 350 881 84
31	Change in foreign private assets in the United States (increase, +)3	8,643	18,897	13,746	6,005	4,522	2,336	6,090	9,708
32	U.S. bank-reported liabilities	628	10,990	6,719	2,640	3,143	-314	1,836	8,044
33 34 35 36	U.S. nonbank-reported liabilities	319 406 87	-507 958 451	620	590 18 572	425 -242 667	495 38 457	248 68 316	482 91 391
37 38	Foreign private purchases of U.S. Treasury securities, net Foreign purchases of other U.S. securities, net Foreign direct investments in the United States, net ³	2.503	2,783 1,284 4,347	2,869 3,338	1,251 513 1,012	803	. 462	847 1,308 1,852	-1,053 442 1,793
39 40 41 42		5,449	9,300	9271	- 4,751 - 2,229	1,602 2,276		8,830	
4-	adjustment	5,449	9,300	- 927	2,522	674	3,638	8,831	2,629
43 44 45	Foreign official assets in the United States (increase, +) Changes in Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) official assets in the Unites States (part		-2,530 13,080	35,480	151 7,914		1	329 $-5,373$	180 4,554
46	of line 25 above). Transfers under military grant programs (excluded from	7,092	,	1	1,438	1,024	· .	2,838	
,	lines 1, 4, and 9 above)		373	194	31	71	j 75 ¹	57	69

Not1). Data are from Bureau of Teonomic Analysis, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).

<sup>Seasonal factors are no longer calculated for lines 13 through 46.
Data are on an international accounts (1A) basis. Differs from the census basis primarily because the IA basis includes imports into the U.S. Virgin Islands, and it excludes military exports, which are part of line 4.
Includes reinvested earnings of incorporated affiliates.
Differs from the deficition of "net exports of goods and services" in the national income and product (GNP) account. The GNP definition</sup>

excludes certain military sales to Israel from exports and excludes U.S. government interest payments from imports.

§ Primarily associated with military sales contracts and other transactions arranged with or through foreign official agencies.

§ Consists of investments in U.S. corporate stocks and in debt securities of private corporations and state and local governments.

3.11 U.S. FOREIGN TRADE

Millions of dollars; monthly data are seasonally adjusted.

Item	i 1976	1977	1978			197	78 ^r	_		1979
] !			July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
1 EXPORTS of domestic and foreign merchandise excluding grant-aid shipments	115,156	121,150	143.575	11,661	12,294	13.274	12,901	13.451	13.282	13.133
2 GENERAL IMPORTS including merchandise for immediate con- sumption plus entries into bonded warehouses.	121.009	147,685	172,026	14,545	14.133	14.820	14.852	14.825	15.032	16,231
3 Trade balance	5,853	26,535	28,451	2,883	- 1,839	1,545	1,950	1,374	1,749	3,098

Note: Bureau of Census data reported on a free-alongside-ship (f.a.s.) value basis. Effective January 1978, major changes were made in coverage, reporting, and compiling procedures. The international-accounts-basis data adjust the Census basis data for reasons of coverage and timing. On the export side, the largest adjustments are: (a) the addition of exports to Canada not covered in Census statistics, and (b) the exclusion of military exports (which are combined with other military transactions

and are reported separately in the "service account"). On the *import side*, the largest single adjustment is the addition of imports into the Virgin Islands (largely oil for a refinery on St. Croix), which are not included in Census statistics.

Source, FT 900 "Summary of U.S. Export and Import Merchandise Trade" (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census).

3.12 U.S. RESERVE ASSETS

Millions of dollars, end of period

·			j			1978			19	79
Туре	1975	1976	1977	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Leb.
1 Total	16,226	18,747	19,312	18,783	18,850	18,935	17,967	18,650	20,468	3 21,641
2 Gold stock, including Exchange Stabilization Fund 1	11,599	11,598	11,719	11,679	11,668	11,655	11.642	11.671	11,592	11.544
3 Special Drawing Rights ²	2,335	2,395	2,629	2,885	2,942	3.097	1,522	1,558	2.661	32.672
4 Reserve position in International Monetary Fund	2,212	4,434	4,946	4,196	4,214	4.147	1,099	1,047	1.017	 31.120
5 Convertible foreign currencies 4	80	320	18	23	26	36	3,704	4,374	5.198	6.305

¹ Gold held under earmark at Federal Reserve Banks for foreign and internationa, accounts is not included in the gold stock of the United States; see table 3.24.
² Includes allocations by the International Monetary Fund of SDRs as follows: \$867 million on Jan. 1, 1970; \$717 million on Jan. 1, 1971; \$710 million on Jan. 1, 1972; and \$1,103 million on Jan. 1, 1979; plus net transactions in SDRs.

⁵ Beginning July 1974, the 1MF adopted a technique for valuing the SDR based on a weighted average of exchange rates for the currencies of 16 member countries. The U.S. SDR holdings and reserve position in the IMF also are valued on this basis beginning July 1974.

⁴ Beginning November 1978, valued at current market exchange rates.

3.13 FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS Balance Sheet Data

Millions of dollars, end of period

	Asset account	1975	! 1976	1977				19782			_
					June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec."
_				<u>'</u>	·	All foreig	n countries		!	<u>-</u>	_
1	Total, all currencies	176,493	219,420	258,897	271,696	269,542	r274,929	287,369	r292,305	295,984	305,590
2 3 4	Claims on United States Parent bank Other	6,743 3,665 3,078	7,889 4,323 3,566	11,623 7,806 3,817	10,891 6,750 4,141	9,254 5,096 4,158	'10,024 '5,818 4,206	14,976 10,693 4,283	712.169 7.879 74.290	13.375 9.017 4,358	16,660 12,153 4,507
5 6 7 8 9	Claims on foreigners. Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Public borrowers ¹ . Nonbank foreigners.	163,391 34,508 69,206 5,792 53,886	204,486 45,955 83,765 10,613 64,153	238,848 55,772 91,883 14,634 76,560	251,783 55,357 96,638 22,654 77,134	250,700 55,236 94,659 23,288 77,517	7254,782 58,746 792,811 723,354 779,871	262,063 63,493 95,222 23,896 79,452	7269, 121 767, 654 798, 198 723, 936 779, 333	271,446 r68,016 r100,987 22,696 78,847	277,612 70,216 102,797 23,664 80,935
10	Other assets	6,359	7,045	8,425	9,022	9,588	10,123	10,330	11,015	11,163	11,318
11	Total payable in U.S. dollars	132,901	167,695	193,764	202,792	198,205	r200,779	212,063	r210,939	218,266	224,109
12 13 14	Claims on United States Parent bankOther	6,408 3,628 2,780	7,595 4,264 3,332	7,692 3,357	10,107 6,580 3,527	8,473 4,906 3,567	19,219 15,628 3,591	14,168 10,535 3,633	7,688 7,688 7,640	12,471 8,840 3,631	15,702 11,967 3,735
15 16 17 18 19	Claims on foreigners. Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Public borrowers ¹ . Nonbank foreigners.	123,496 28,478 55,319 4,864 34,835	156,896 37,909 66,331 9,022 43,634	178,896 44,256 70,786 12,632 51,222	188,590 43,544 74,842 19,674 50,530	185,425 43,447 71,592 20,291 50,095	7187,041 46,326 769,560 720,255 750,900	193,457 50,880 71,892 20,505 50,180	7194,882 52,887 772,644 720,301 749,050	200.788 '55.080 '76,335 19,367 50,006	203,347 55,288 78,381 19,853 49,825
20	Other assets	2,997	3,204	3,820	4,095	4,307	74.519	4,438	4,729	5,007	5.060
		- 	<u> </u>	!		United	Kingdom		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
21	Total, all currencies	74,883	81,466	90,933	93,538	92,989	93,333	99,084	101,887	102,032	106,605
22 23	Claims on United States	2,392 1,449	3,354 2,376	4,341 3,518	3,142 2,279	2,615	12,624	2,940 2,014	3,119 2,230	3,706 2,779	5.370 4,448
24	Other	943	978	823	863	1,100	1,029	926	889	927	922
25 26 27 28 29	Claims on foreigners Other branches of parent bank Banks Public borrowers ¹ Nonbank foreigners	70,331 17,557 35,904 881 15,990	75,859 19,753 38,089 1,274 16,743	84,016 22,017 39,899 2,206 19,895	87,808 19,944 43,044 4,559 20,261	87,479 20,438 42,462 4,637 19,942	21.661 40,350 4,583 721,178	93,364 24,691 42,677 4,549 21,447	95,774 26,422 44,020 4,692 720,640	95,220 26,190 44,174 4,237 20,619	98, 149 27, 830 45, 013 4, 522 20, 784
30	Other assets	2,159	2,253	2,576	2,588	2,895	72,937	2,780	2,994	3,106	3,086
31	Total payable in U.S. dollars	57,361	61,587	66,635	67,016	65,452	64,449	70,008	70,209	71.761	75,860
32 33 34	Claims on United States Parent bank Other	2,273 1,445 828	3,275 2,374 902	4,100 3,431 669	2,870 2,178 692	2,321 1,386 935	72,335 71,481 854	2,598 1,895 703	2,877 2,187 690	3.475 2.727 748	5,113 4,386 727
35 36 37 38 39	Claims on foreigners. Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Public borrowers ¹ . Nonbank foreigners.	54,121 15,645 28,224 648 9,604	57,488 17,249 28,983 846 10,410	61,408 18,947 28,530 1,669 12,263	63,043 17,025 30,686 3,525 11,807	61,938 17,438 29,455 3,660 11,385	760,910 18,305 27,268 3,544 711,793	66.242 20.934 29,859 3.471 11.978	66,132 21,377 29,680 73,595 711,480	67,031 21,585 30,386 3,227 11,833	69,416 22,838 31,482 3,317 11,779
4()	Other assets	967	824	1,126	1,103	1,193	1,204	1,168	1,200	1.255	1,331
						Bahamas a	nd Cayman	is			
41	Total, all currencies	45,203	66,774	79,052	84,692	82,145	85,654	88,755	r86,291	89,560	90,885
42 43 44	Claims on United States Parent bank Other	3,229 1,477 1,752	3,508 1,141 2,367	5,782 3,051 2,731	6,441 3,449 2,992	5,132 2,381 2,751	5,620 2,751 2,869	10,053 7,090 2,963	77,247 4,255 2,992	7,461 4,399 3,062	8,982 5,771 3,211
45 46 47 48 49	Claims on foreigners Other branches of parent bank Banks. Public borrowers ¹ . Nonbank foreigners.	41,040 5,411 16,298 3,576 15,756	62,048 8,144 25,354 7,105 21,445	71,671 11,120 27,939 9,109 23,503	76,282 10,803 30,307 12,394 22,778	74,988 10,292 29,302 12,599 22,795	77,949 12,134 29,749 12,461 23,605	76,651 12,348 29,472 12,362 22,469	76,868 12,618 730,317 712,094 21,839	79,890 13,433 33,025 11,534 21,898	79,579 12,776 33,646 11,505 21,652
50	Other assets		1,217	1,599	1,969	2,025	2.085	2,051	2,176	2,209	2,324
51	Total payable in U.S. dollars	41,887	62,705	73,987	79,277	76,494	79,701	83,007	80,223	83,568	84,586

For notes see opposite page,

3.13 Continued

	Liability account	1975	1976	197 7				19782			
	zacini, areani				June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec."
	 .			'	'. <u>-</u>	All foreign	countries	'	'	'	'
52	Total, all currencies	176,493	219,420	258,897	271.696	269,542	7274,929	287,369	r292,305	295,984	305,590
53 54 55 56	To United States Parent bank Other banks in United States Nonbanks	12,165	32,719 19,773 12,946	44,154 24,542 19,613	50.534 25,199 10,371 14,964	51,583 27,722 8,608 15,253	752,441 28,923 7,659 715,859	49,325 24,590 10,064 14,671	r51,506 27,619 r8,362 15,525	57,030 31,788 9,089 16,153	58,478 29,841 12,670 15,967
57 58 59 60 61	To foreigners. Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Official institutions. Nonbank foreigners.	749,815 34,111 72,259 22,773 20,672	179,954 44,370 83,880 25,829 25,877	206,579 53,244 94,140 28,110 31,085	213,670 53,547 93,413 r31,420 r35,290	209,810 53,788 r88,561 r31,640 r35,821	7213,974 56,955 89,234 731,461 736,324	228,733 61,599 97,629 r33,086 r36,419	r231,152 65,104 r95,956 r32,246 r37,846	229,230 65,762 94,098 31,222 38,148	236,959 68,064 97,787 30,581 40,527
62	Other liabilities	6,456	6,747	8,163	7,492	8.149	⁷ 8,514	9,311	r9.647	9,724	10,153
63	Total payable in U.S. dollars	135,907	173,071	198,572	207.117	202,407	°204,938	215,496	7215,518	222,887	229,978
64 65 66 67	To United States	19,503 11,939 7,564	31,932 19,559 12,373	42,881 24,213 18,669	48,820 24,477 10,078 14,265	49,668 26,951 8,286 14,431	750,325 28,031 7,286 715,008	47,171 23,640 9,724 13,807	749,273 726,684 78,008 14,581	55,079 30,959 8.818 15.302	56,344 28,815 12,416 15,113
68 69 70 71 72	To foreigners. Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Official institutions. Nonbank foreigners.	28,217	137,612 37,098 60,619 22,878 17,017	151,363 43,268 64,872 23,972 19,251	154,513 42,682 62,434 r26,593 r22,804	148,630 42,852 756,405 726,717 722,656	7150,478 45,620 55,285 726,184 723,389	163,626 49,978 63,271 r27,367 r23,010	7161,542 52,052 758,912 726,341 724,237	162,832 53,370 58,835 25,451 25,176	168,406 53,950 63,072 25,049 26,335
73	Other liabilities	3,526	3,527	4,328	3,784	4,109	4,135	4.699	*4,703	4,976	5,228
					'. <u>-</u>	United F	Lingdom	-	!		
74	Total, all currencies	74,883	81,466	90,933	93,538	92,989	193,333	99,084	101,887	102,032	106,605
75 76 77 78	To United States	5,646 2,122 } 3,523	5,997 1,198 4,798	7,753 1,451 6,302	8,174 1,822 3,273 3,079	8,011 1,959 2,987 3,065	6,978 1,905 2,290 2,783	8,033 1,872 3,150 3,011	8,347 2,176 2,949 3,222	9,053 2,367 3,234 3,452	10,235 2,669 4,395 3,171
79 80 81 82 83	To foreigners Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Official institutions. Nonbank foreigners.	67,240 6,494 32,964 16,553 11,229	73,228 7,092 36,259 17,273 12,605	80,736 9,376 37,893 18,318 15,149	82,703 9,700 36,856 20,074 16,073	81,847 10,098 34,859 20,666 16,224	82,991 11,708 35,293 19,863 16,127	87,678 12,006 37,677 21,493 16,502	789,979 12,269 739,277 21,193 717,240	89,347 13,153 38,167 20,182 17.845	92,709 12,928 40,704 20,181 18,896
84	Other liabilities	1,997	2,241	2,445	2,661	3,131	r3,364	3,373	3,561	3,632	3,661
85	Total payable in U.S. dollars	57,820	63,174	67,573	67,936	65,671	764,918	70,227	71,158	72,812	77,030
86 87 88 89	To United States Parent bank Other banks in United States Nonbanks	5,415 2,083 } 3,332	5,849 1,182 4,667	7,480 1,416 6,064	7,852 1,794 { 3,176 2,882	7,652 1,926 2,904 2,822	6,606 1,852 2,209 2,545	7,650 1,805 3,092 2,753	7,985 2,116 2,902 2,967	8,666 2,321 3,178 3,167	9,833 2,618 4,307 2,908
90 91 92 93 94	To foreigners Other branches of parent bank. Banks. Official institutions. Nonbank foreigners	51,447 5,442 23,330 14,498 8,176	56,372 5,874 25,527 15,423 9.547	58,977 7,505 25,608 15,482 10,382	58,856 7,259 23,472 16,866 11,259	56,636 7,696 r20,659 r17,265 11,016	57,015 9,163 20,601 16,113 11,138	61,231 9,317 22,936 17,659 11,319	761,802 9,301 123,260 17,106 12,135	62,631 10,302 23,044 16,317 12,968	65,711 9,764 26,062 16,309 13,576
95	Other liabilities	959	953	1,116	1,228	1,383	1,297	1,346	11,371	1.515	1,486
		•		•	I	Bahamas an	d Cayman		!		<u>.</u>
96	Total, all currencies	45,203	66,774	79,052	84,692	82,145	85,654	88,755	r86,291	89,560	90,885
97 98 99 100	To United States Parent bank Other banks in United States Nonbanks	11,147 7,628 } 3,520	22,721 16,161 6,560	32,176 20,956 11,220	35, 185 19,078 5,514 10,593	37,041 21,755 4,587 10,699	39,532 23,187 4,509 11,836	34,378 18,410 5,511 10,457	735,676 20,179 74,415 11,082	40.557 24.008 4.857 11.692	39,048 20,804 6,401 11,843
101 102 103 104 105	To foreigners Other branches of parent bank Banks Official institutions Nonbank foreigners	32,949 10,569 16,825 3,308 2,248	42,899 13,801 21,760 3,573 3,765	45,292 12,816 24,717 3,000 4,759	48,088 11,657 25,752 4,589 6,090	43,649 11,165 21,951 74,227 76,306	44,597 11,436 21,884 4,604 6,673	52,574 14,762 27,372 74,477 75,963	48,955 15,635 22,471 4,449 6,400	47,321 14,715 22,002 4,349 6,255	50,017 16,115 22,861 4,139 6,902
106	Other liabilities	1,106	1,154	1,584	1,419	1,455	1,525	1,803	1,660	1,682	1,820
107	Total payable in U.S. dollars	42,197	63,417	74,463	80,650	78,131	81,314	84,317	781,324	84,878	86,182

¹ In May1978 a broader category of claims on foreign public borrowers, including corporations that are majority owned by foreign governments, replaced the previous, more narrowly defined claims on foreign official institutions.

² In May 1978 the exemption level for branches required to report was increased, which reduced the number of reporting branches.

3.14 SELECTED U.S. LIABILITIES TO FOREIGN OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS

Millions of dollars, end of period

Item	1975	1976	1977			19	78			1979
	 	:		July '	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec."	Jan."
				·	A. B	y type				• •
1 Total ¹	82,572	95,634	131,097	144,222	146,168	145,293	152,463	156,261	162,174	162,536
2 I iabilities reported by banks in the United States ² . 3 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ³	16,262 34,199	17,231, 37,725	18,003 47,820	19.516 56.842		19,822 55,014			22.957 67.650	
4 Marketable	6.671 19.976	11.788 20.648	32.164. 20.443	34,162 19,214		35.577 20.304	36,153 21,426	36,222 20,993	35.877 20.970	
6 U.S. securities other than U.S. Treasury securities	5.464	8,242	12,667	14.488	14.501	14.576	14.617	14.716	14.720	14.663
!					В. В	у агеа				
7 Total	82,572	95,634	131,097	144,222	146,168	145,293	152,463	156,261	162,174	162,536
8 Western Europe ¹ . 9 Canada 10 Latin America and Caribbean 11 Asia. 12 Africa. 13 Other countries ⁶ .	45.701 3.132 4.461 24.411 2.983 1.884;	45,882 3,406 4,926 37,767 1,893 1,760	70.748 2.334 4.649 50.693 1.742 931	75.740 2.490 4.631 58.156 2.220 985		80,268 1,497 3,899 56,883 2,006 740	85.294 2.619 4.611 57.016 2.184 739	88.389 2.446 4.495 57.835 2.301 795	2,486 4,990 58,618	58.966 2.299

North, Based on Treasury Department data and on data reported to the Treasury Department by banks (including Federal Reserve Banks) and securities dealers in the United States.

For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 Bt LLITIN, p. 612.

<sup>I Includes the Bank for International Settlements.
Principally demand deposits, time deposits, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable time certificates of deposit, and borrowings under repurchase agreements.
Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness (including those payable in foreign currencies through 1974) and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
Fixeludes notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies, Includes bonds and notes payable in foreign currencies.</sup>

Debt securities of U.S. government corporations and federally sponsored agencies, and U.S. corporate stocks and bonds.
 Includes countries in Oceania and Fastern Europe.

3.15 LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in U.S. dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

	Item	1975	1976	1977			191	78			1979
	!				July r	Aug. r	Sept. r	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.p
				<u>'</u>	A. By	holder an	d type of l	iability			
	All foreigners					140,535	144,116	150,584	158,421	166,318	163,80
2 3 4 5 6	Banks' own liabilities Demand deposits. Time deposits 1 Other 2 Own foreign offices 3.	13,564 10,267	16,803 11,347	18,996 11,521	61,424 17,953 11,921 6,872 24,679	63,931 16,104 12,634 7,234 27,960	68,593 17,204 12,154 6,697 32,538	71,102 17,557 12,279 9,756 31,511	75,166: 18,264: 12,514: 8,645: 35,744	19,203 12,295 9,766	74.10 17.78 12.16 8.99 35.16
	Banks' custody liabilities 4. U.S. Treasury bills and certificates 5. Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments 6. Other.				75,867 57,629	76,604 57.264	75,523 56,665	79.482 59.077	83,255 63,434	88,469 68,434	89,70 69,19
0	instruments ⁶	[.]	!		15.964 2,274	17,198 2,142	16,492 2,366	17,619 2,786	17,424 2,397	17,501 2,535	18,10
	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations 7		5,714	3,274	2,678	2,823	3,406	2,929	2,225	2,617	2,29
12 13 14 15	Banks' own liabilities. Demand deposits. Time deposits¹. Other².	139 148	290 205	231 139	1,017 257 116 644	808 142 97 569	767 144 99 523	336 133 116 87	417 153 102 161	916 330 94 492	76. 33 8 34
6 7 8	Banks' custody liabilities 4. U.S. Treasury bills and certificates Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments 6. Other	2,554	2,701	706	1,662 228 1,432	2.014 368 1,645	2,639 1,036 1,603	2,593 403 2,189	1,809 183 1,625	1,701 201 1,499	1,53 18 1,34
9					1,432	1,043	1,603	2,107	1,023	1,499; 1	1,34
0	Official institutions 8		54,956	65,822	76,357	76,419	74,836	80,267	84,329	90,606	90,93
21 22 23 24	Banks' own liabilities. Demand deposits. Time deposits ¹ . Other ² .	2,644 3,423	3,394 2,321	3.528 1.797	9,422 3,473 2,277 3,673	9,085 2,643 2,595 3,848	9,455 3,307 2,563 3,585	3,046 2,399 6,030	2.345	11,681 3,388 2,329 5,963	10.48 2.70 2,28 5,49
5	Banks' custody liabilities 4 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates 5 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments 6 Other	34,199	37,725	47,820	66.935 56.842	67,334 56,299	65,381 55,014	68,793 57.967	73,510 62,635	78,925 67,650	80,44 68,42
28	Other.		· · · · · · · · ·		9,962	10,831	10,122 245	10.616	10,768 107	11,105 170	11,83
9	Banks ⁹	29,330	37,174	42,335	42,916	45,532	50,515	51,379	55,273	57,039	54,51
30 31 32 33 34	Banks' own liabilities	7,534 1,873	9,104 2,297	10,933 2,040	38,354 13,675 10,240 1,321 2,114	41,028 13,068 9,229 1,390 2,449	45,744 13,206 9,713 1,269 2,223	46,425 14,914 10,156 1,552 3,206	50,440 14,696 10,068 1,735 2,893	52,219 15.634 11,239 1,491 2,904	49,77 14,60 10,38 1,49 2,73
5	Own foreign offices 3			i	24,679	27,960	32.538	31,511	35,744	36,585	35,16
36 37 38	Banks' custody liabilities ⁴ U.S. Treasury bills and certificates Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ⁶ Other	335	119	141	4,562	4.504 296	4.771 307	4.955 381	4.834 371	4,819 300	4,74 30
19	instruments ⁶ Other				2.418 1.875	2.382 1,827	2.536 1.928	2.447 2,126	2.561 1,902	2,417 ₁ 2,103	2,42 2.01
0	Other foreigners		;		15,340	15,761	15,359	16,008	16,593	16,056	16,00
11 12 13	Banks' own liabilities. Demand deposits. Time deposits ¹ . Other ² .	3,248 4,823	4,015 6,524	4,304 7,546	12,631 3,983 8,208 441	13.009 4.090 8.552 368	12,627 4,039 8,222 365	12,867 4,222 8,213 432	13,490 4.628 8.331 531	13,032 4,246 8,380 406	13.08 4.36 8.29 42
5 6 7	Banks' custody liabilities ⁴ U.S. Treasury bills and certificates Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ⁶ Other	325	198	240	2.708 290	2.752	2.732	3.141	3,103	3,024 282	2.98
48	instruments6Other		• • • • • • • • •		2.153 265	2.341	2,231	2,367 448	2,471 387	2.480 262	2,50 19
19	MEMO: Negotiable time certificates of deposit held in custody for foreigners				9.592	10,181	10,043	10.977	10.803	10,895	11.01

Principally bankers acceptances, commercial paper, and negotiable time certificates of deposit.
 Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and the Inter-American and Asian Development Banks.
 Foreign central banks and foreign central governments and the Bank for International Settlements.
 Excludes central banks, which are included in "Official institutions."

¹ Excludes negotiable time certificates of deposit, which are included in "Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments."
2 Includes borrowings under repurchase agreements.
3 U.S. banks: includes amounts due to own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks; principally amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign branches, agencies or wholly-owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank.
4 Financial claims on residents of the United States, other than long-term securities, held by or through reporting banks.
5 Includes monmarketable certificates of indebtedness (including those payable in foreign currencies through 1974) and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.

NOTE. Data for time deposits prior to April 1978 represent short-term

only.

For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BULLETIN, p. 612.

3.15 Continued

2 Fe 3 E 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		1975	1976	1977							
2 Fe 3 E 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12				į	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.p	Jan."
2 Fe 3 E 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12					В.	By area	and count	' :ry			
2 Fe 3 E 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	: otal	95.590	110.657	126,168	 r137.291	7140,535	 144,116	150.584	158.421	166.318	163.808
3 E 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	oreign countries	i				,137,712	,	· ·		163,701	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		44,072	47,076	60,295		167,340	169,157	73,408			
6 7 8 9 10 11	urope	759	346	318	372	424	431	473	78,418 514	85.005 506	555
7 8 9 10 11 12	Belgium-Luxembourg	2,893 329	2,187 356	2,531 770	2,277 1,542	2,174 ¹ 1,593i	2,368 1,673	2,464 1,734	2,471 1,827	2.557 1.946	2,465 2,036
9 10 11 12	Finland,	391:	416	323	407	416	415	424,	388	346	381
10 11 12	France	7,726 4,543	4,876 6,241	5,269 7,239	7,353 9,727	7,989	8,060 11,206	8,421 13,345	8,817 15,652	8,631 17,448	8,386 15,800
12	Greece	284	403	603	646	826	865	887	907	826	65.
	Italy	1,059	3,182	6,857	7,036	8,055	7,394	7,346	7,761	7,674	8,724
13	Netherlands	3,407 994	3,003 782	2,869 944	3,078 1,737	3,240 1,516	2,756 1,208	2,523 1,210	2,518 1,102	2,402 1,271	2,536 1,411
14	Portugal	193	239	273	227	324	521	386	379	330	255
15	Spain	423 2,277	1,692	619 2,712	709 3,340	752 3,355	765 3.341	702 3,187	885	778	759 2,955
16 17	Sweden	8,476	9,460	12,343	711,883		12,997	14,314	3,216 15,810	3,131 18,974	20,189
18	Turkey	118	166	130	147	137	226	164	163	156	141
19 20	United KingdomYugoslavia	6,867 126	10,018 189	14,125 232	11,770 192	10,956 149	^r 11,807	12,438. 158	12,826	14,211 254	13,120
21	Other Western Europe ¹	2,970	2,673	1,804	1,941	'2.427'	2,631	2,887	2,719:	3,156	3,119
22 23	U.S.S.R Other Eastern Europe ²	40 197	236	98: 236	750 222	46. 210	65 262	82 ¹ 262	73 198	82 325	150 152
	anada	2,919	4,659	4,607	5,623	5,890	75,101	7,418	8,001	6.911	6,522
				!							
26	atin America and Caribbean	15,028 1,146	19,132 1,534	23,670 1,416	1,550	r27,261	729,216 1,393	28,470 1,650	31,111 1,504	31,432 1,498	
27	Bahamas	1,874	2,770	3,596	3,629	4,601	77,251	4,880	6,309	6,615	7,308
28 29	Bermuda	184 1,219	218 1,438	321 1,396	383 1,295	372 ¹ 1,382	1.275	387 1,441	425 1,234	428 1,130	386 1,102
30	British West Indies	1,311	1,877	3,998	4,009		5,380	5.919	6,692	5,974	5,670
31 32	Chile	319	337	360 1,221	380 1,429	346 1.486	351		341	399	
33	Colombia	417	1,021	6.	1,429	10	1,431	1,483	1,612	1,756 13	
34	Feuador	120	320	330	378	347	405	369	348,	322	321
35 36	Guatemala ³ Jamaica ³		· · · · · · · ·		415 75	419! 59	347! 78	368 57	357 43	416 52	352 72
37	Mexico	2,070	2,870	2,876	2,921	3,171	3,112	3,101	3,413	3,359	3,167
38 39	Netherlands Antilles ⁴	129	158 1,167	196 2,331	435 2,639	288 2,628	317 ₁ 2,741	352 2,396	368) 2,808	308 2,992	
40	Peru	243	257	287!	309	311	321	323	337	363	321
41 42	Uruguay	172 3,309	245. 3,118	243 2,929	218 3,231	/3,210	197	210 3,696	211 3,550	233 3,809	223 3,337
43	Other Latin America and Caribbean	1,393	1,797	2,167	1,530		1,639	1,496	1,553	1,763	1,551
44 A	ala .				35.171	22 462	733,501	34,630	24 043		
45	sia	22,384 123	29,766 48	30,488 53	47	33,463 44	46	49	34,843 57	36,390 67	36,624 65
46	China (Taiwan)	1,025	990	1,013	1,195	1.262	1,280	1,319	1,247	498	545
47 48	Hong KongIndia	605 115	894 638	1,094 961	1,191 798	762	71,250 833	1,368	1,189	1,256 790	1,398 803
49	Indonesia	369	340	410	597	309	348	575	439	447	575
50 51	Israel	10,207	392 14,363	559 14,616	519 20,374	440! 19.755	432 19,933	453 19,937	469 21,355;	674 21,956	668 21,407
52	Korea	390	438	602	714	736	776	790		795	772
53	Philippines	700	628	687	640		623	594	578	639	612
54 55	Middle East oil-exporting countries 5	252 7,355	9,360	264' 8,979	320 7,267	296 6,719;	290 6,350	352 ₁ 6.911	279 6,381	427 7,416	379 8,121
56	Other Asia	856	1,398	1,250	1,510	1.364	1,341	1.384	1,256	1,426	1,279
57 4	frica	3,369	2,298	2,535	3,013	2,578	2,645	2,540	2.636	2,886	2.695
58	Egypt	342	333	404	594	463	417	322	312	403	337
5 9 60	Morocco. South Africa.	68	87 141	66. 174 _i	28 175	67 160	74 238	84 266	.30- 2941	32 168	29 180
61	Zaire	166 62	36	39	73	52	45	39	43	43	48
62	Oil-exporting countries6	2,240	1,116	1,155 ⁱ	1,365	. I,198	1,270	1,230	1,335	1,525	1,379
63	Other Africa	491	585	698	778		601	600	622	715	721
	ther countries	$2,119^{!}$	2,012	1,297	1,315		1,090!	1.189	1,187	1,077	859
65 66	Australia	2,006	1,905 107	1,140 158	1,158	1,051	899 191.	975 213	950 236	838. 239	655 204
		113	107	150	137	1.70	'''	21.7	230	2.19	204
67 N	onmonetary international and regional organizations	5,699	5,714	3,274	2,678	2,823	3,406	2,929	2,225	2,617	2,292
		-									
68 69	InternationalLatin American regional	5.415	5,157 267	2,752 278	2,027 411	2,157 437	2,339 799	1,789 856	1,033 870	1,485 808	1,210 804
70	Other regional ⁷	188 96	290 ₁	245	241	228	269	284,	323	324	279

¹ Includes the Bank for International Settlements, Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
2 Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
3 Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978.
4 Includes Surinam through December 1975.
5 Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Atabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

Ocomprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
Asian, African, Middle Eastern, and European regional organizations, except the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western Europe."

Note. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BULLETIN, p. 612.

3.16 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars Millions of dollars, end of period

2 Foreign countries. 3 Europe. 4 Austria 5 Belgium-Luxembou 6 Denmark. 7 Finland. 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chie. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille. 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indionesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Middle East oil-exp. 56 Other Asia.		58,308	79,301	<u> </u>	July r	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.p	1
3 Europe. 4 Austria. 5 Belgium-Luxembou 6 Denmark. 7 Finland. 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 49 India. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia		58,308	70 301			1					Jan."
3 Europe 4 Austria. 5 Belgium-Luxembou 6 Denmark. 7 Finland. 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 21 Other Western Euro. 22 U.S. R. 23 Other Eastern Euro. 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 44 Schall Selection of Guatemala. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille. 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Middle East oil-exp. 55 Middle East oil-exp. 56 Other Asia.			77,301	90,206	88,388	92,269	794,620	96,820	105,337	114,216	105,791
4 Austria 5 Belgium-Luxembou 6 Denmark 7 Finland 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala 3. 36 Jamaica 3. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 India. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.		58,275	79,261	90,163	88,353	92,231	94,581	96,779	105,291	114,160	105,751
5 Belgium-Luxembou 6 Denmark. 7 Finland. 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 Loss. R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 40 Israel. 51 Japan. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		11,109	14,776	18,114	16,567	17,172	18,390	19,327.	20,504	24,128	20,727
6 Denmark. 7 Finland. 8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 U.S.R. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille. 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 49 India. 49 India. 40 Indonesia. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.	urg	35 286	63 482	65 561	110 780	107 847	95 7964	1.052	1,232	147 1,192	147 1,504
8 France. 9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy. 12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro. 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro. 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca. 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies. 31 Chie. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille. 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines. 54 Middle East oil-exp. 55 Middle East oil-exp. 56 Other Asia.		104	133	173	129	146	147	061	193	244.	172
9 Germany. 10 Greece. 11 Italy		180	199	172	187	216	221	232	260	305	281
10 Greece. 11 Italy		1,565 380	1,549 ¹ 509	2,082 644	2,226 706j	2,573	r2,831. 742.	2,752 808	2,716 838	3,737 898	2,627 840
12 Netherlands. 13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		290	279	206	190	125	126	161:	134	164	162
13 Norway. 14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 49 India. 49 India. 49 India. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		443!	993	1,334	1,093	1,037	1,016	1,355	1,453	1,504	1,411
14 Portugal. 15 Spain. 16 Sweden. 17 Switzerland. 18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Feuador. 35 Guatemala. 34 Feuador. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	305: 131	315 136	338 162	436 208	403 163	379 263	494 238	602 282:	680 299	683 251
16 Sweden 17 Switzerland 18 Turkey 19 United Kingdom 20 Yugoslavia 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S. R 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina 27 Bahamas 28 Bermuda 29 Brazil 30 British West Indies 31 Chile 32 Colombia 32 Colombia 33 Cuba 34 Ecuador 35 Guatemala 36 Jamaica 37 Mexico 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama 40 Peru 41 Uruguay 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan) 47 Hong Kong 48 India 49 India 49 India 41 Japan 52 Korea 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt		30	88	175	132	105	991	106	180	171	169
16 Sweden 17 Switzerland 18 Turkey 19 United Kingdom 20 Yugoslavia 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S. R 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina 27 Bahamas 28 Bermuda 29 Brazil 30 British West Indies 31 Chile 32 Colombia 32 Colombia 33 Cuba 34 Ecuador 35 Guatemala 36 Jamaica 37 Mexico 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama 40 Peru 41 Uruguay 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan) 47 Hong Kong 48 India 49 India 49 India 41 Japan 52 Korea 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt		424	745	722	684	676.	r735	929	980	1,099	905
18 Turkey. 19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S. R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 48 India. 49 India. 49 India. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		198	206	218'	244	290	325	348	465	537	445
19 United Kingdom. 20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R		199. 164	379 249	564 360	771! 313	1,013	871 305	781: 293i	1,045 ¹ 283	1,282	1,050
20 Yugoslavia. 21 Other Western Euro 22 U.S.S.R 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil 30 British West Indies 31 Chile 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba 34 Ecuador 35 Guatemala 36 Jamaica 37 Mexico 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama 40 Peru 41 Uruguay 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America. 44 Asia 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong 48 India 49 India 49 India 40 India 41 Japan 51 Japan 52 Korea 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp. 56 Other Asia		5,170	7.033	8,964	7,015	7,206	77.890	8,065	8,356	10,118	8,426
22 U.S.S.R. 23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada. 25 Latin America and Ca 26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin America 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 40 India. 41 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Phillippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		210	234	311	301	281	/307	293	302	363	393
23 Other Eastern Euro 24 Canada		76	85	86 413	165	125 343	128 370:	147	107	122	135
24 Canada	ope 2	406 513	485 613	566	313 566	564	575	387 617	321 612	364 629	327 620
26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.	······	2,834	3,319	3,355	3.136	3.349	'3,451	3,586	4.552	5,141	
26 Argentina. 27 Bahamas. 28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.	aribbean	23,863	38,879	45,850	47,047	49,216	149.482	49.267	54.341	56,286	52.784
28 Bermuda. 29 Brazil		1,377	1,192	1,478	1.572	1,566	1,690	1,447;	1,698	2,258	2,134
29 Brazil. 30 British West Indies 31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 India. 40 India. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		7,583	15,464	19,858	19,541	21,825	719,110	19,208	23.541	21,115	20,890
30 British West Indies Chile		104 3,385	150 4,901	232 4,629	145! 4,612	194! 4,838	141 5,252	352 5,596	$\frac{141}{6,137}$	6,148	185 6 249
31 Chile. 32 Colombia. 33 Cuba. 34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala. 36 Jamaica. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.	\$	1,464	5,082	6.481	6,994	7,019	8,397	7,170	6,432	9,215	5,285
33 Cuba 34 Ecuador 35 Guatemala 35 Jamaica 36 Jamaica 37 Mexico 38 Netherlands Antille 38 Panama 40 Peru 41 Uruguay 42 Venezuela 43 Other Latin Americ 45 China (Mainland) 46 China (Taiwan) 47 Hong Kong 48 India 49 Indonesia 50 Israel 51 Japan 52 Korea 53 Philippines 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia		´494'	597;	675	745	809 ₁	742j	832	862	962;	1,012
34 Ecuador. 35 Guatemala 3. 36 Jamaica 3. 37 Mexico. 38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia.		751	675	671	649	687	727	793	936	990	1,051
35 Guatemala 3. 36 Jamaica 3. 37 Mexico		14 252	13° 375	10 517	546	560	1' 646	621.	680	697	675
36 Jamaica 3. 37 Mexico . 38 Netherlands Antille 9 Panama . 40 Peru . 41 Uruguay . 42 Venezuela . 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia . 45 China (Mainland) . 46 China (Taiwan) . 47 Hong Kong . 48 India . 49 Indonesia . 50 Israel . 51 Japan . 52 Korea . 53 Philippines . 54 Thailand . 55 Middle East oil-exp Other Asia . 56 Other Asia . 57 Africa .		, 202			831	86	79	85	89	94	87
38 Netherlands Antille 39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.					49	44	46	45	49.	40	37
39 Panama. 40 Peru. 41 Uruguay. 42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.		3,745	4,822 140	4,909 224	5,099	5,016 198	75,010 230	4,927 212	5,255 242	5,357	5,453 259
40 Peru		1,138	1,372	1.410	2,281	1,631	2.280	2.480.	2.531	3,061	3.655
42 Venezuela. 43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel 51 Japan 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt		805	933	962.	919	930	1967	945	931	887	873
43 Other Latin Americ 44 Asia. 45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.		57	42	2 280	2,338	56 2,513	12,746	63 3,105	58. 3,367	2 47	50
45 China (Mainland). 46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong 48 India 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt	ca and Caribbean	1,319	1,828	2,318 1,394	1,214	1,245	1,367	1,386:	1,388	3,468 1,487	3,323 1,564
46 China (Taiwan). 47 Hong Kong. 48 India		17,706	19,204	19,236	18,468	19,256	120,037	21,358	22,691	25,417	24,223
47 Hong Kong. 48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 66 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.		22	31	1.719	1,183	31	1,241	10	1.356	35	21
48 India. 49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia. 57 Africa. 58 Egypt.		1,053	1,344 316	543	698	1,177	903	1,368	1,385	1,421 1,572	1,405 1,620
49 Indonesia. 50 Israel. 51 Japan. 52 Korea. 53 Philippines. 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 67 Africa. 58 Egypt.		57	69	5.3	46	7.3	76	- 66j	46	54	61
51 Japan. 52 Korea 53 Philippines 54 Thailand. 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt		246	218	232	139	125	152	144	188	143	138
52 Korea		721 10.944	755 ₁ 11.040	584 9,839	9.790	504 ₁ 9,853	544 10.260	555¹ -10,568°	719	871! 12.699	996 12,563
 53 Philippines 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt 		1,791	1,978	2,336	1,936	1,925	1,933:	1,788	1,741	2,238	2,236
 54 Thailand 55 Middle East oil-exp 56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt 		534	719	594	638	728	730-	732	717	678	605
56 Other Asia 57 Africa 58 Egypt		520	442	633	723	685	633	734	758	753	751
58 Egypt	porting countries,	744 785	1,459 863	1,746 947	$\frac{1,650}{1,216}$	2,099 1,265	r2.200 r1.357	2,097 2,012	2,188 1,592	3,119 1,833	2,332 1,497
58 Egypt		1,933	2,311	2,518	2,136	2,264	2.158	2,219.	2,163	2,216	2,145
		1,933	2,311: 126	2,378	2,130	2.204	67	56	2,7031 68	2,216	2,143 82
59 Morocco		8	27	43	38	42	38	40	36.	82	97
60 South Africa		657.	957	1,066	1.036	1,058	1.022	990	906	860	838
		181 382	112 524	98 510	79 341 _i	458	82 406	161 438 ₁	162! 439	162 449	156 444
	TERREO	581	565	682	563	565	544	534	331 ₁	556	527
64 Other countries	tries •						4	1 (13.1)	1.041	97.2	914
65 Australia		830	772	1,090	999	974	1.0631	1,023			
66 All other		700	597	905	833	829	894	879	894	870	799
67 Nonmonetary international organizations 7			772 ¹ 597 175	1,090 905 186				7,023 879 145		870 102	799 115

Note. Data for period prior to April 1978 include claims of banks' domestic customers on foreigners. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BULLETIN, p. 612.

Includes the Bank for International Settlements, Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern Luropean countries not listed in line 23, 2 Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
 3 Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978.
 4 Includes Surinam through December 1975.
 5 Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

⁶ Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
⁷ Excludes the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western Europe."

3.17 BANKS' OWN AND DOMESTIC CUSTOMERS' CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in U.S. Dollars Millions of dollars, end of period

Type of claim	1975	1976	1977	_		1979				
				July'	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.		Dec."	Jan. p
1 Total	58,308	79,301	90,206			103,736		- 	125.226	
2 Banks' own claims on foreigners	'	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		88.388	92,269	94,620	96.820.	105.337	114.216	105.791
Foreign public borrowers. Own foreign offices¹ Inaffiliated foreign banks. Deposits. Other All other foreigners			·············	19.045	7,591 37,537 27,500 4,595 22,905 19,641	35.001 31.448 4.688 26.760 20.165	8.051 36.357 31.080 3.965 27.115 21.332	40.412 33.461 4.370 29.090	40.892 40.009 5.714 34.295	38.335 34.530 4.695 29.835
9 Claims of banks' domestic customers ²		, .				9.116 ¹ .			11.009	
10 Deposits 11 Negotiable and readily transferable instruments 12 Outstanding collections and other claims					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	500°.			4.762	
13 Memo: Customer liability on acceptances						12.747			14.837	

¹ U.S. banks: includes amounts due from own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: principally amounts due from head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign branches, agencies, or wholly-owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank.

² Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the account of their domestic customers.

³ Principally negotiable time certificates of deposit and bankers acceptances.

4 Data for March 1978 and for period prior to that are outstanding

collections only.

Note: Beginning April 1978, data for banks' own claims are given on a monthly basis, but the data for claims of banks' domestic customers are available on a quarterly basis only.

For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BULLITIN, p. 612.

3.18 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

Maturity; by borrower and area	1978	[979		1978		1979			
			June	Sept."	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	
1 Total			55,128	59,516	73.250				
By borrower: 2 Maturity of 1 year or less ¹ . 3 Foreign public borrowers. 4 All other foreigners.			43,682 2,919 40,763	46,684 3,640 43,044	57.982 4.497 53.486				
5 Maturity of over 1 year 1. 6 Foreign public borrowers		[11,445 3,162 8,283	12,832 3,928 8,904	15.268 5.315 9.952				
By area:			9,532 1,615 17,036 13,515 1,461 523	10,386 1,943 18,518 13,712 1,535 591	14,934 2,662 20,813 17,500 1,512 562				
Maturity of over 1 year1			2.979 330 5.979 1.782 629 247	3.104 793 6.843 1.305 577 211	3,163 1,426 8,444 1,393 629 214				

Note. The first available data are for June 1978. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BUILLITIN, p. 612.

3.19 LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in Foreign Currencies Millions of dollars, end of period

Item	1975	1976	1977	1978					
				Mar.	June	Sept."	Dec."		
1 Banks' own liabilities. 2 Banks' own claims! 3 Deposits. 4 Other claims. 5 Claims of banks' domestic customers ² .	560 1,459 656 802	781 1,834 1,103 731	925 2,356 941 1,415	986 2,383 948 1,435	1,704 3,153 1,290 1,863 809	1,981 3,530 1,386 2,144 446	2,055 3,612 1,797 1,815 400		

¹ Includes claims of banks' domestic customers through March 1978, ² Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the accounts of their domestic customers.

NOTE. Data on claims exclude foreign currencies held by U.S. monetary authorities.

For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1978 BULLITIN, p. 612.

Remaining time to maturity.
 Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.

3.20 MARKETABLE U.S. TREASURY BONDS AND NOTES Foreign Holdings and Transactions Millions of dollars

	Country or area	1977	1978	1979			19	78			1979
				Jan	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.p	Jan. p
					Но	ldings (en	d of perio	d) 4		'	
1	Estimated total ¹	38,640	44,933		⁷ 41,153	,41,578	r42,217	r43,627	43,852	44,933	46,166
2	Foreign countries 1	33,894	39,812		⁷ 36,311	r37,124	r37,830	⁷ 38,476	38,474	39,812	41,297
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Europe 1. Belgium-Luxembourg. Germany 1. Netherlands. Sweden. Switzerland. United Kingdom. Other Western Europe. Eastern Europe.	13,936 19 3,168 911 100 497 8,888 349 4	17,072 19 8.705 1,358 285 977 5.373 354		14,226 19 5,531 1,113 200 590 6,403 370	14,154 19 5,761 1,278 210 636 5,862 387	14,689 19 6,157 1,306 211 694 5,909 393	15,260 19 6.645 1,356 231 731 5.915 365	15,654 19 7.102 1,351 266 915 5.674 327	17,672 19 8,705 1,358 285 977 5,373 354	18,360 19 8,864 1,433 320 1,818 5,489 417
12	Canada	288	152		275	276	276	151	151	152	150
13 14 15 16	Latin America and Caribbean	551 199 183 170	416 144 110 162		485 174 149 162	545 244 139 162	445 144 139 162	426 144 119 162	416 144 109 162	416 144 110 162	395 144 88 162
17 18	AsiaJapan	18.745 6.860	21,483 11,528		r20.836 9.927	r21,652 10,791	^{721,924} 11,096	r21,942 11,560	21,565 11,483	21,483 11,528	21,704 12.226
19	Africa	362	691		491	491	491	691	691	691	691
20	All other	11	-3		- 3	7	5	6	- 3	- 3	- 3
21	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations	4,746	5,121		4,842	4,454	r4,387	75,151	5,378	5,121	4,869
22 23	International	4.646 100	5.089		4.809 33	4,421	4.354	5,118	5.345	5.089	4.837
			<u>-</u>	Transact	ions (net	purchases	, or sales	(—), durin	g period)	'	!
24	Total	22.843	6,292	1,233	490	425	639	1,410	225	1,081	1,233
25	Foreign countries 1	21,130	5,916	1,485	1,342	813	706	646	3	1,338	1,485
26 27	Official institutions		3.712 2,205	111	1.313	710 103	704	577 69	69 -72	346 1.683	111 1,374
28	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations	1,713	375	-252	-852	-387	-67	764	227	256	252
29 30	MEMO: Oil-exporting countries Middle East 2	4,451 -181	-1.785 329	-461	-85	-31	-31	-401 200	-241 -1	-127	-461

¹ Includes U.S. Treasury notes publicly issued to private foreign ¹ Includes U.S. (1648a) 1000, permiterishents.

² Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

³ Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.

3.21 FOREIGN OFFICIAL ASSETS HELD AT FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

Millions of dollars, end of period

Assets	1975	1976	1977			1979				
		<u> </u> 		Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb."
1 Deposits.	353	352	424	309	325	305	379	367	338	343
Assets held in custody: 2 U.S. Treasury securities 1		66,532 16,414	91,962 15,988	102,902 15,572	102,699 15,553	107,934 15,548	112,434 15,525			114,005

Marketable U.S. Treasury bills, certificates of indebtedness, notes, and bonds; and nonmarketable U.S. Treasury securities payable in dollars and inforeign currencies.

The value of carmarked gold increased because of the changes in par value of the U.S. dollar in May 1972 and in October 1973.

⁴ Estimated official and private holdings of marketable U.S. Treasury securities with an original maturity of more than 1 year. Data are based on a benchmark survey of holdings as of Jan. 31, 1971, and monthly transactions reports. Excludes nonmarketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes held by official institutions of foreign countries.

NOTE. Excludes deposits and U.S. Treasury securities held for international and regional organizations. Earmarked gold is gold held for foreign and international accounts and is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

3.22 FOREIGN TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES

Millions of dollars

	Transactions, and area or country	1977	1978	1979			197	'8			1979
	Transactions, and area of country	1977	12:0	Jan./	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec."	Jan.
-	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'		· <u>-</u>	U.:	S. corpora	te securiti	es !	'		
1 2	Stocks Foreign purchases,	14,155 11,479	20.130 17.723	1.361	r1.306	/2.446 /2.680	2.357	1.509	1.461	1.438 1.102	1.361 1.301
3	Net purchases, or sales ()	2,676	2,408	60	9	235	241	- 14	103,	336	60
4	Foreign countries	2,661	2,454	61	9	235 _j	244	15	102	336	61
5 6 7 8 9	Europe. France. Germany. Netherlands. Switzerland. United Kingdom.	1.006 40 291 22 152 613	1.271 47 620 -22 -585 1.218	7 5 - 18 35 - 30 85	- 15 17 9 - 52 50	152 54 22 - 184 110	33. 21 24 7 115. 54	91 4 30 7 118- 58	10. 1 8 6 88 67	264 38 264 -9 23 74	7 5 18 35 30 85
11 12 13 14 15 16	Canada Latin America and Caribbean Middle East ¹ Other Asia Africa Other countries	65 127 1.390 59 5 5	74 151. 781 187 13 3	7 34 16 49 2 4	- 16 - 35 - 69 5 - 1	18 48 134 34 12	117 1 120 35 5	22 13 42 4 2 2	109 109 1	38 16 4. 15 1	7 34 16 49 2 4
17	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations.	15	46	1	*		3	1	ı	*	1
18 19	Bonds ² Foreign purchases Foreign sales	7.739 3.546	7.955 5.453	641 704	1.029 596	, 868 490	610 550	727 530	437 388	884: 558	641 704
20	Net purchases, or sales (-)	4,192	2,502	63	433	379	['] 60	197	49	326	6.3
21	Foreign countries	4,096	2,093	54	411	326	^{'62}	137	39	134	54
22 23 24 25 26 27	Europe France Germany Netherlands Switzerland United Kingdom	1.863 - 34 - 20 - 72 - 94 1.703	97 <u>2</u> 30 119 19 - 100 936	39 18 42 4 8 54	387 13 18 11 74 416	137 6 38 18 20 89	80, 21, 5, 19, 43	89 10 12 4 9 110	25 3 6 1 9	152 17 10 6 39	39 18 42 4 8 54
28 29 30 31 32 33	Canada Latin America and Caribbean Middle East ¹ Other Asia Africa Other countries	141 64 1,695 338 6	102 78 810 131 1	11 23 34 * * *	14 8 135 116 *	24 17: 99 *	16 11 73 28 *	5 13 19 60 * *	* 1 8 23 *	6 5 21 5 3	11 23 34 16 *
34	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations.	96	409	118	22.	531	3	60	10	192	118
					!	oreign secu	į	. "!	-	-	
							1		·· -	· · · 1	
36 37	Stocks, net purchases, or sales (··)		527 3.666 3.139	265 254	333 323	752 7383 331	69 261 330	19 299 318	163 360 197	12 232 244	265 254
38 39 40	Bonds, net purchases, or sales ()	8.040	3,970 11,044 15,013	555 783 1.337	7 300 7926 71.225	, 205 ,990 ,1.195	762 726	677 941 1,618	446 856 1.302	121 1.020 900	555 783 1.337
41	Net purchases, or sales (~) of stocks and bonds	5,506	3,443	544	290	153	4 33	696	283	109	544
42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Foreign countries Europe Canada Latin America and Caribbean Asia Africa Other countries	3,949 1,100 2,404 7,82 97 2 267	3,265 40 3,237 201 397 441 146	517 124 305 55 - 141 3	7 - 292 171 146 77 737 - 25	157 94 161 17 46 123	7 67 86 -41 -12 -72 1	507 13 747 17 236 1	303 -102 246 18 21 1	67 53 24 * 32 * 5	517 124 305 55 141 3
49	Nonmonetary international and regional organizations	1,557	177	27	2	5	34	189.	20	41	· 2 7

¹ Comprises oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

² Includes state and local government securities, and securities of U.S. government agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments abroad.

3.23 SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Concerns in the United States

Millions of dollars, end of period

Type, and area or country	197	77		1978		197	7	1978		
.,,,,	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.
		Liabilitie	es to foreig	gners			Claims o	on foreigne	ers	
l Total	7,243	7,910	8,361	8,792	9,645	15,223	16,221	18,399	18,162	18,260
By type: 2 Payable in dollars	6.386	7,109	7,477	7,967	8,794	14,120	14,803	16,636	16,598	16,29
Payable in foreign currencies Deposits with banks abroad in reporter's name		801	884	825	851 ⁻	1,104 414	1,418 613	7.763 783	1,564 673	1,969 804
5 Other			 	!		690	805	980	890	1,16
By area or country: 6 Foreign countries	7,089 2,3/7	7,695 2,491	8,214 2,820	8,661 2,993	9,521 3,759	15,222 5,062	16,220 5,764	18,397 5,508	18,160 5,273	18,258 5,88
8 Austria	19 126	21 116	26 171 ;	26 167	33 165	24 226	24 211	21 187	28 155	. 2: 17:
10 Denmark	16 11:	14:	23	22 3	17 4:	44 59	56°	47 13	40 ¹ 53.	3. 50
12 France	170	238	273 335	302° 356	260 391	430	513	545	533	622
14 Greece	226 78	284 85 ₁	108;	82.	71	395 52	450 41	420 42	436 40	534 44
15 Italy	107. 180	128 232	104 253	156; 220	188 222	351 161	387 166	381. 184.	451 192	40 17
17 Norway	12	7	7	18. 25		38 34	40 69	40 27	45! 54	4
19 Spain	74	77,	94	105	110	307	387	408	376	3 35
20 Sweden 21 Switzerland	41 257	28 263	37 211	38 282	51 308	91 146	117: 220:	117! 202	78 285:	8 34
22 Turkey	97¦ 765	108 735	93 937	92 962i	102.	32 2,479	39 2,795.	35 2.619	29 2,338	2,81
24 Yugoslavia	92	90:	82,	84	76	201	20	24	27	2
26 U.S.S.R	11;	10 24	8 15	18'	17 ¹ 27,	15 62	25 55	33 44	24 37	2:
27 Other Eastern Europe	14	12	23	17	25	96	135	121	51	4:
28 Canada	451	504	<i>530</i> !	524 ₁		2.649	2,681	3,428	3,502	3,72
29	7,038 501	1,201 40	1,353	1,421 74	1,532;	4,619	4,467	5,943 53.	6,001 61	5,14.
31 Bahamas	248 ¹ 76	329 49	327	321	353; 87	1,963	2,019	3,122	3,081	2,35
32 Brazil	1.3	17	62 14,	63 23 ₁	14	414 40	493 45	482 40	479 37	41:
34 Colombia	• 24	* 42	* 26	4 2i	* 42	* 85	* 84	* 80	79°	69
36 Mexico	103: 12	114 22	169	185	235 59	302	314	312	331	38.
37 Panama	13	15	12 22	71 17j	19	222 30-	91 32	175 30	97 30	2:
39 Uruguay	4° 210	3 216	264	185	232	251	5 269	6 ¹ 306	4 309	28
41 Other Latin American republics	122	118	107	101	121	257	281	268	229.	22
42 Netherlands Antilles		25 209	41 250	30 299	19- 213 ₁	989 989	768	1,045	19 1,245	1,18
44 Asia	2.583	2,835	2,814	3,008	3,517	2,398	2,777	2,970	2,810	2,90.
45 China, (Mainland)	1 152	8 156	1 167	1 170	4 176	12. 139	157	22 144	21 173	15
47 Hong Kong	25.	40	32	30	61	7.3	98	85	92	12
48 India	44 60	37 56	26 57!	10° 59	23 49	42 184	38 375	85 185	93 152	- 8 16
50 Israel	58 604	63 695	68	59 807	68	46 1,026	38	47	43	8
51 Japan	75	103	761 99	107	865 ₁ 103 ₁	153	1,068	1,379	1,142	1,15
53 Philippines	78 17	74 17	95 11	107 27	157 43	111 24	99 23	94:	96 30	10
54 Thailand	1,469	1,588	1,498	1,631	1,968	587	702	764	800	80-
56 Africa	588	571	594	603	661	340	386	402	430	44.
57 Egypt	45 105	13 112	130	25! 148!	34 145	18	34 21	31	36 16	29
59 South Africa	29	20	30	36	34:	7.5	75	22 71	88	74
60 Zaire	48 361	46 380 _.	360 360	57 338	56 391	19 218	15 241	11 268	16 274	12 311
	111	93	104	111	85	153	146	145	143	15
63 Australia	93	75	89	97:	72	113	111	111	109:	118
64 All other	18	18	14	14	14:	41	35	34	.34	40
65 Nonmonetary international and regional	154	215	147	132	125	1	1	1	2.	2
organizations	1.54	213		1.72		٠.	•	' :	-	-

Not1. Reported by exporters, importers, and industrial and commercial concerns and other nonbanking institutions in the United States.

Data exclude claims held through U.S. banks and intercompany accounts between U.S. companies and their affiliates.

3.24 SHORT-TERM CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Large Nonbanking Concerns in the United States Millions of dollars, end of period

					1978						
Type and country	1974	1975	1976	1977 *	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
1 Total	3,357	3,799	5,720	7,136	8,949	10,098	8,635	10,503	11.223	9.515	
By type: 2	2,660 2,591 69	3,042 2,710 332	4.984 4.505 479	6.121 5,703 418	7.643 7.172 471	8.282 536	7,409 6,985 424	9,240 8,688 552	9,987 9,362 619	8.264 7.744 520	
5 Payable in foreign currencies	697 429 268	757 511 246	735 404 331	1,015 547 468	7.305 689 616	7 , 280 660 620	7.225 730 495	1.263 789 474	7.247 771 470	7.252 873 379	
By country: 8 United Kingdom. 9 Canada. 10 Bahamas. 11 Japan. 12 All other.	967 391	1,306 1,156 546 343 446	1,838 1,698 1,355 133 716	2,120 1,777 1,896 153 1,190	1.878 2.537 3.217 279 1.038	1,869 3,013 3,543 276 1,397	2.246 2.452 2.247 250 1.440	2.949 2.858 2.819 234 1.643	3.137 2.833 3.033 249 1.971	2,728 2,144 2,519 203 1,921	

¹ Negotiable and other readily transferable foreign obligations payable on demand or having a contractural maturity of not more than 1 year from the date on which the obligation was incurred by the foreigner.

Not). Data represent the assets abroad of large nonbanking concerns in the United States. They are a portion of the total claims on foreigners reported by nonbanking concerns in the United States and are included in the figures shown in table 3.26.

3.25 LONG-TERM LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Concerns in the United States

Millions of dollars, end of period

Area and country :	19	77		1978			1977		1978	
i İ	Sept.	: Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.
		Liabili	ties to fore	rigners			Clain	s on forei	gners	
1 Total	3,331	3,175	3,149	3,077	3,122	4.719	5,077	5.143	5.067	5,007
2 Europe. 3 Germany. 4 Netherlands 5 Switzerland 6 United Kingdom.	2.555 407 272 224 1.237	2.425 255 287 241 1.222	2.498 295 292 241 1.228	2.422 282 266 236 1.214	2.471 290 275 246 1.253	833 79 81 42 282	864 74 82 49 310	937 75 81 48 332	943 71 76 55 363	927 76 74 58 341
7 Canada	67	62	58	56	65	1.462	1.776	1.792	1.811	1.779
8 Latin America. 9 Bahamas. 10 Brazil . 11 Chile . 12 Mexico .	289 151 7 1 30	284 148 7 1	248 142 6 1 27	248 141 7 1 26	234 138 7 1 29	1.367 36 134 201 187	1.402 40 144 203 177	1.387 42 154 194 183	1.298 143 190 188	1.283 2 144 176 217
13 Asia	358 319	342 305	284 250	290 255	289 254	829 94	817 66	810 83	803	812 70
15 Africa	3	2	2	2 i	įį	165	161	156	154	149
16 All other 1,	59	60	60	60	61	6.3	59	60 1	59	56

¹ Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations,

3.26 DISCOUNT RATES OF FOREIGN CENTRAL BANKS

Percent per annum

	Rate on Feb. 28, 1979		Rate on	Feb. 28, 1979		Rate on	Feb. 28, 1979
Country	Per- Month Country effective		Per- cent	Month effective	Country	Per- cent	Month effective
Argentina	3.75 Jan. 1979 6.0 July 1978 33.0 Nov. 1978 11.25 Jan. 1979	France Germany, Fed. Rep. of. Italy Japan Mexico Netherlands	10.5 3.5 4.5	Aug. 1977 Dec. 1977 Sept. 1978 Mar. 1978 June 1942 Oct. 1978	Norway. Sweden. Switzerland United Kingdom. Venezuela.	6.5 1.0 14.0	Feb. 1978 July 1978 Feb. 1978 Feb. 1979 Oct. 1970

NOTE. Rates shown are mainly those at which the central bank either discounts or makes advances against eligible commercial paper and/or government securities for commercial banks or brokers. For countries with

more than one rate applicable to such discounts or advances, the rate shown is the one at which it is understood the central bank transacts the largest proportion of its credit operations.

3.27 FOREIGN SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum, averages of daily figures

Country, or type	1976	1977	1978	i	1978				79
				: Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	l'eb.
1 Eurodollars	5.58 11.35 9.39	6.03 8.07 7.47	9.18	9.12 9.29 9.08	10.12 10.44 9.68	11.51 12.00 10.37	11.62 12.28 10.44	11.16 12.61 10.87	10.79 13.28 10.94
4 Germany 5 Switzerland 6 Netherlands. 7 France	4.19 1.45 7.02 8.65	4.30 2.56 4.73 9.20	0.74 6.53	3.67 0.58 6.91 7.40	3.90 0.24 11.23 7.37	3.81 0.20 8.86 7.06	4.09 0.22 10.25 6.59	3.85 0.05 8.69 6.55	4, 13 0, 13 7, 42 6, 83
8 Italy 9 Belgium	16.32 10.25 7.70	14.26 6.95 6.22	7,14	10.94 7.24 4.51	10.99 8.55 4.44	11.17 9.19 4.78	11.24 9.28 4.76	11.12 8.93 4.52	11.38 8.23 4.50

Noti. Rates are for 3-month interbank loans except for - Canada, finance company paper; Belgium, time deposits of 20 million francs and

over; and Japan, loans and discounts that can be called after being held over a minimum of two month-ends.

3.28 FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

Cents per unit of foreign currency

Country/currency	1976	1977	. 1978		19	78		19	79
	: !			Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
1 Australia/dollar	122.15 5.5744 2.5921 101.41 16.546	110.82 6.0494 2.7911 94.112 16.658	114.41 6.8958 3.1809 87.729 18.156	115.29 7.0102 3.2207 85.739 18.411	116.87 7.4526 3.4503 84.546 19.584	114.53 7.1808 3.3389 85.244 19.025	114.15 7.2621 3.3637 84.763 19.063	114.04 7.3821 3.4276 84.041 19.487	113.12 7.3510 3.4153 83.638 19.423
6 Finland/markka	25.938 20.942 39.737 11.148 180.48	24.913 20.344 43.079 11.406 174.49	24.337 22.218 49.867 12.207 191.84	24.586 22.909 50.778 12.445 195.95	25.454 23.767 54.430 12.643 200.75	24.932 22.958 52.508 12.458 196.08	24.957 23.178 53.217 12.174 198.61	25.252 23.570 54.056 12.185 200.53	25. 186 23. 395 53. 862 12. 124 200. 42
11 Italy/lira	39.340	.11328 .37342 40.620 4.4239 40.752	.11782 .47981 43.210 4.3896 46.284	.12050 .52656 43.603 4.3907 46.733	.12317 .54478 45.627 4.3904 50.017	.11857 .52066 45.415 4.3881 48.512	.11863 .51038 45.524 4.3950 49.120	.11955 .50571 45.487 4.4038 50.082	.11899 .49877 45.488 4.3952 49.856
16 New Zealand/dollar	99.115 18.327 3.3159 114.85 1.4958	96.893 18.789 2.6234 114.99 1.3287	103.64 19.079 2.2782 115.01 1.3073	105.58 19.189 2.1948 115.00 1.3605	107.37 20.325 2.2342 115.00 1.4317	105.41 19.736 2.1510 115.04 1.4051	105.45 19.574 2.1472 115.01 1.4085	105.64 19.730 2.1358 114.96 1.4293	105.32 19.610 2.1065 116.76 1.4427
21 Sri Lanka/rupee	22.957	11.964 22.383 41.714 174.49	6.3834 22.139 56.283 191.84	6.3855 22.592 63.765 195.95	6.3757 23.349 65.117 200.75	6.4695 22.856 59.766 196.08	6.4700 22.808 59.703 198.61	6,4491 22,987 59,840 200,53	6.4439 22.898 59.699 200.42
MFMO: 25 United States/dollar ¹	105.57	103.31		89.51	86.04	88.86	88.52	87.77	88.25

 $^{^{1}}$ Index of weighted average exchange value of U.S. dollar against currencies of other G-10 countries plus Switzerland. March 1973 $\approx 100,$ Weights are 1972–76 global trade of each of the 10 countries. Series revised as of August 1978. For description and back data, see "Index of

the Weighted-Average Exchange Value of the U.S. Dollar: Revision" on page 700 of the August 1978 BULLETIN.

NOTE. Averages of certified noon buying rates in New York for cable transfers.

4.10 SALES, REVENUE, PROFITS, AND DIVIDENDS -Large Manufacturing Corporations Millions of dollars

Industry		1976	1976		1977				1978		
			Į	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3 1	Q4	QI	Q2	Q3
1 2 3 4 5 6	Total (170 corps.) Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MFMO: PAT unadjusted Dividends.	667,821 676,596 71,885; 34,707 36,016;		180,462, 181,546 18,587, 8,113, 9,340, 4,371;	177,430 179,496 ₁ 18,874 9,056 ₂ 9,107 ₁ 3,840	190,302 192,996; 21,468 10,472 10,553 4,269;	180,384 182,488 18,146 9,337 8,656 3,985	200,641 203,033 20,421 8,989 10,075 5,438	/194,193 /196,611 /19,707 -9,693 -9,684 -4,306	722,684 11,599 11,585	207.767 210,962 20,817 10,422 10,521 4,471
7 8 9 10 11 12	Nondurable goods industries (86 corps.); ² Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes MIMO: PAT unadjusted ¹ . Dividends.	308,184	404,141; 409,601; 45,906 22,284; 19,768; 8,944	99,926; 100,174; 10,793 4,058; 4,868; 2,094;	95,836 ¹ 96,948 11,074 4,837 4,880 ₁ 2,185	101,035 102,807 12,064 5,160 5,224 2,227	97,144° 98,232 11,195 5,144 5,234 2,268°	110,126 111,614 11,573 4,430 5,249 2,264		12 178	111,760 113,607 12,146 5,517 5,536 2,481
13 14 15 16 17 18	Durable goods industries (84 corps.): 3 Sales. Total revenue Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MEMO: PAT unadjusted 1 Dividends.	308 412	344,616 348,412; 33,003 18,283 17,804 8,588	80,536 81,372; 7,794; 4,055; 4,472; 2,277	81,594- 82,548 ₁ 7,800- 4,219 4,227 1,655	89,267 90,189 9,404 5,312 5,329 2,042	83,240 84,256 6,951 4,193 3,422 1,717	90,515 91,419 8,848 4,559 4,826 3,174	r90,734	7101,236 7102,108 710,506 5,870 5,844 2,137	96,007 97,355 8,671 4,905 4,985 1,990
19 20 21 22 23 24	Selected industries: L Food and kindred products (28 corps.): Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. Mt Mo: PAT unadjusted 1 Dividends.	62,568 63,142 5,750 2,890 3,013 1,259	68,422 69,168 6,040 3,172 3,309 1,433	16,701 16,533 1,310 630 734 318	15,903 16,155 1,448 739 746 342	16,776 17,136 1,560 825 835 352	16,947 17,239 1,526 826 836 364	18,796 18,638 1,506 782 892 375	17,470 17,860 1,535; 839 840 397	18,763 19,180 1,767 967 975 400	19,361 19,490 1,802 982 983 409
25 26 27 28 29 30	Chemical and allied products (22 corps.): Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MFMO: PAT unadjusted 1. Dividends.	64,125 64,837 8,197 4,511 4,622 1,918	70,251 70,906 8,530 4,604 4,831 2,186	16,410 16,612 1,893 929 1,081 548	17,103 17,271 2,112 1,192 1,181 514	17,347 17,526 2,290 1,288 1,289 539	17,586 17,743 2,062: 1,184 1,178 553	18,215 18,366 2,066 940 1.183 580	18,930 19,117 2,353 1,334 1,317 567	19,981 20,143 2,459 1,403 1,382 587	19,880 20,086 2,478 1,406 1,389 592
31 32 33 34 35 36	" Petroleum refining (15 corps.): Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MFMO: PAT unadjusted 1. Dividends.	196,154 199,688 25,857 9,555 10,168 4,089	221,694 225,338 28,144 10,072 10,684 4,615	56,510 56,649; 6,834 2,085 2,617 1,065	52,344 52,891 6,746 2,498 2,546 1,163	55,903 57,096 7,396 2,655 2,708 1,160	51,593 ¹ 52,130 6,818. 2,694 2,756 1,166	61.854 63.221 7.184 2.225 2.674 1,126	56.996, 57.695 6.832 6.615 2.627 1.247	58,419 59,195 7,020 2,828 2,847 1,239	60,130 61,418 7,248 2,846 2,861 1,282
37 38 39 40 41 42	© Primary metals and products (23 corps.): Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MEMO: PAT unadjusted 1. Dividends.	54,044 54,825 2,834 1,652 1,947 926	58,713 59,488 1,476 1,579 1,474 1,088	13,119 13,313 576 127 400, 251	13,773 13,963 460 260 274 234	15,573 15,769 100 536 553 246	14,454 14,636 239 493 287 266	14,913 15,120 677 290 360 342	15,459 15,681 '372 173 183 226	17,560 17,822 11,275 794 810 239	17,348 17,693 1,128 661 711 242
43 44 45 46 47 48	(Machinery (27 corps.): Sales Total revenue. Profits before taxes Profits after taxes MEMO: PAT unadjusted Dividends.	87,274 88,519 11,320 6,181 6,202 2,383	96.820 98.380 13.158 7,158 7,204 3.495	24,059 24,460 3,370 1,837 1,864 663	22,727 23,051 2,900 1,573 1,571 712	24,380 24,702 3,318 1,805 1,804 767	24.317 24.767 3.264 1.771 1.782 702	25,396 25,860 3,676 2,009 2,047 1,314	25,472 25,831 3,209 1,749 1,745 823	27,857 27,977 3,996 2,270 2,254 892	27,848 28,374 3,458 1,974 2,015 821
49 50 51 52 53 54	Motor vehicles and equipment (9 corps.): Sales. Total revenue. Profits before taxes. Profits after taxes. MEMO: PAT unadjusted Dividends.	107,563 108,394 8,909 4,870 4,918 2,062	127,049 127,816 10,738 5,747 5,861 2,607	28,208 28,250 2,087 1,166 1,219 983	31,069i 31,350 2,988 1,599 1,603 392	33,502 33,716 3,489 1,914 1,926 698	28,835 29,104 1,575 892 898: 413	33,643 33,646 2,686 1,342 1,434 1,104	732,834 733,127 2,986 1,654 1,648 473	738,055 738,301 3,178 1,640 1,637 620	31,982 32,298 1,665 901 903 477

¹ Profits after taxes unadjusted are as reported by the individual companies. These data are not adjusted to eliminate differences in accounting treatments of special charges, credits, and other nonoperating items.
² Includes 21 corporations in groups not shown separately.
³ Includes 25 corporations in groups not shown separately.

Note. Data are obtained from published reports of companies and reports made to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Sales are net

of returns, allowances, and discounts, and exclude excise taxes paid directly by the company. Total revenue data include, in addition to sales, income from nonmanufacturing operations and nonoperating income. Profits are before dividend payments and have been adjusted to exclude special charges and credits to surplus reserves and extraordinary items not related primarily to the current reporting period. Income taxes (not shown) include federal, state and local government, and foreign.

Previous series last published in June 1972 BUILITIN, p. A-50.

Federal Reserve Board of Governors

G. WILLIAM MILLER, *Chairman* HENRY C. WALLICH

PHILIP E. COLDWELL
J. CHARLES PARTEE

Office of Board Members

JOSEPH R. COYNE, Assistant to the Board KENNETH A. GUENTHER, Assistant to the Board JAY PAUL BRENNEMAN, Special Assistant to the Board

Frank O'Brien, Jr., Special Assistant to the Board

JOSEPH S. SIMS, Special Assistant to the Board DONALD J. WINN, Special Assistant to the Board

LEGAL DIVISION

NEAL L. PETERSEN, General Counsel ROBERT E. MANNION, Associate General Counsel

Allen L. Raiken, Associate General Counsel Charles R. McNeill, Assistant to the General Counsel

Office of the Secretary

THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary
GRIEFTIH L. GARWOOD, Deputy Secretary
*EDWARD T. MULRENIN, Assistant Secretary
RICHARD H. PUCKETT, Manager, Regulatory
Improvement Project

DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Janet O. Hart, Director Nathaniel E. Butler, Associate Director Jerauld C. Kluckman, Associate Director Anne Geary, Assistant Director

Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation

JOHN E. RYAN, Director
FFREDERICK C. SCHADRACK, Deputy Director
FREDERICK R. DAHL, Associate Director
WILLIAM W. WILES, Associate Director
JACK M. EGERTSON, Assistant Director
DON E. KLINE, Assistant Director
ROBERT S. PLOTKIN, Assistant Director
THOMAS A. SIDMAN, Assistant Director
SAMUEL H. TALLEY, Assistant Director
WILLIAM TAYLOR, Assistant Director

†On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Office of Staff Director for Monetary and Financial Policy

STEPHEN H. ANILROD, Staff Director EDWARD C. ETTIN, Deputy Staff Director MURRAY ALIMANN, Assistant to the Board PETER M. KEIR, Assistant to the Board STANLEY J. SIGEL, Assistant to the Board NORMAND R. V. BERNARD, Special Assistant to the Board

DIVISION OF RESEARCH AND STATISTICS

James L. Kichline, Director Joseph S. Zeisel, Deputy Director John H. Kalchbrenner, Associate Director John J. Mingo, Senior Research Division Officer

ELEANOR J. STOCKWELL, Senior Research Division Officer

James M. Brundy, Associate Research Division Officer

ROBERT A. EISENBEIS, Associate Research Division Officer

Jared J. Enzier, Associate Research Division Officer

J. CORTLAND G. PERFT, Associate Research Division Officer

MICHAEL J. PREIL, Associate Research Division Officer

HELMUL F. WENDEL, Associate Research Division Officer

ROBERT M. FISHER, Assistant Research Division Officer

Frederick M. Struble, Assistant Research Division Officer

Stephen P. Taylor, Assistant Research Division Officer

LEVON H. GARABEDIAN, Assistant Director

Division of International Finance

EDWIN M. TRUMAN, Director ROBERT F. GEMMILL, Associate Director GEORGE B. HENRY, Associate Director CHARLES J. SIEGMAN, Associate Director SAMUEL PIZER, Senior International Division Officer

JEFFREY R. SHAFER, Associate International Division Officer

Dale W. Henderson, Assistant International Division Officer

LARRY J. PROMISEL. Assistant International Division Officer

RALPH W. SMITH, JR., Assistant International Division Officer

and Official Staff

NANCY H. TEETERS

Office of Staff Director for Management

JOHN M. DENKLER, Staff Director ROBERT J. LAWRENCE, Deputy Staff Director JOSEPH W. DANIELS, SR., Director of Equal Employment Opportunity HARRY A. GUINTER, Program Director for Contingency Planning

DIVISION OF DATA PROCESSING

CHARLES L. HAMPTON, Director BRUCE M. BEARDSLEY, Associate Director UYLESS D. BLACK, Assistant Director GLENN L. CUMMINS, Assistant Director ROBERT J. ZEMEL, Assistant Director

Division of Personnel

DAVID L. SHANNON, Director JOHN R. WEIS, Assistant Director CHARLES W. WOOD, Assistant Director

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

JOHN KAKALEC, Controller

Division of Support Services

DONALD E. ANDERSON, Director
JOHN L. GRIZZARD, Associate Director
WALTER W. KREIMANN, Associate Director
JOHN D. SMITH, Assistant Director

Office of Staff Director for Federal Reserve Bank Activities

WILLIAM H. WALLACE, Staff Director

Division of Federal Reserve Bank Examinations and Budgets

ALBERT R. HAMILION, Director
CLYDE H. FARNSWORTH, JR., Associate
Director
CHARLES W. BENNETT, Assistant Director
P. D. RING, Assistant Director
RAYMOND L. TEED, Assistant Director

Division of Federal Reserve Bank Operations

James R. Kudlinski, Director Walter Althausen. Assistant Director Brian M. Carey, Assistant Director Harry A. Guinter, Assistant Director Lorin S. Meeder, Assistant Director

FOMC and Advisory Councils

FEDERAL OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE

G. WILLIAM MILLER, Chairman

PAUL A. VOLCKER, Vice Chairman

JOHN BALLES Robert Black

PHILIP E. COLDWELL

Monroe Kimbrei. Robert Mayo J. CHARLES PARTEE NANCY H. TEETERS HENRY C. WALLICH

MURRAY ALTMANN, Secretary
NORMAND R. V. BERNARD, Assistant Secretary
NEAL L. PETERSEN, General Counsel
JAMES H. OLTMAN, Deputy General Counsel
ROBERT E. MANNION, Assistant General Counsel
STEPHEN H. AXILROD, Economist
HARRY BRANDI, Associate Economist
RICHARD G. DAVIS, Associate Economist
EDWARD C. ELLIN, Associate Economist

GEORGE B. HENRY, Associate Economist Peter M. Keir, Associate Economist Michael Keran, Associate Economist James L. Kichline, Associate Economist James Parthemus, Associate Economist Karl Scheld, Associate Economist Edwin M. Truman, Associate Economist Joseph S. Zeisel, Associate Economist

ALAN R. HOLMES, Manager, System Open Market Account Peter D. Sternlight, Deputy Manager for Domestic Operations Scott E. Pardee, Deputy Manager for Foreign Operations

FEDERAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

RICHARD H. VAUGHAN, NINTH DISTRICT, Vice President J. W. MCLEAN, TENTH DISTRICT, President

HENRY S. WOODBRIDGE, FIRST DISTRICT WALTER B. WRISTON, SECOND DISTRICT WILLIAM B. EAGLESON, JR., THIRD DISTRICT MERLE E. GILLIAND, FOURTH DISTRICT J. OWEN COLE, FIFTH DISTRICT Frank A. Plummer, sixth district Roger E. Anderson, seventh district Clarence C. Barksdale, eighth district James D. Berry, eleventh district Chauncey E. Schmidt, twelfth district

HERBERT V. PROCHNOW, Secretary WILLIAM J. KORSVIK, Associate Secretary

CONSUMER ADVISORY COUNCIL.

WILLIAM D. WARREN, Los Angeles, California, Chairman Marcia A. Hakai A. Omaha, Nebraska, Vice Chairman

ROLAND E. BRANDET, San Francisco, California James L. Brown, Milwaukee, Wisconsin Mark E. Budnitz, Boston, Massachusetts John G. Butt, Fort Lauderdale, Florida Robert V. Bullock, Frankfort, Kentucky Carl Felsenfeld, New York, New York Jean A. Fox, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Richard H. Hollon, Berkeley, California Edna DeCoursey Johnson, Baltimore, Maryland

RICHARD F. KERR, Cincinnati, Ohio ROBERT J. KLEIN, New York, New York HARVEY M. KUHNLEY, Minneapolis, Minnesota PERCY W. LOY, Portland, Oregon R. C. MORGAN, El Paso, Texas FLORENCE M. RICE, New York, New York RALPH J. ROHNER, Washington, D. C. RAYMOND J. SAULNIER, New York, New York HENRY S. SCHECHTER, Washington, D. C. E. G. SCHUHARI II, Amarillo, Texas Blair C. Shick, Cambridge, Massachusetts THOMAS R. SWAN, Portland, Maine Anne Gary Taylor, Alexandria, Virginia RICHARD A. VAN WINKLE, Salt Lake City, Utah RICHARD D. WAGNER, Simsbury, Connecticut Mary W. Walker, Monroe, Georgia

Federal Reserve Banks, Branches, and Offices

			
FEDERAL RESERVE BANK, branch, or facility Zip	Chairman Deputy Chairman	President First Vice President	Vice President in charge of branch
BOSTON* 02106	Robert M. Solow Robert P. Henderson	Frank E. Morris James A. McIntosh	
NEW YORK* 10045	Robert H. Knight Boris Yavitz	Paul A. Volcker Thomas M. Timlen	
Buflalo	Frederick D. Berkeley	Thomas W. Timien	John T. Keane
PHILADELPHIA 19105	John W. Eckman Werner C. Brown	David P. Eastburn Richard L. Smoot	
CLEVELAND* 44101	Robert E. Kirby Arnold R. Weber	Willis J. Winn Walter H. MacDonald	
Cincinnati 45201 Pittsburgh 15230	Lawrence H. Rogers, II G. Jackson Tankersley	waiter n. Maci Maid	Robert E. Showalter Robert D. Duggan
RICHMOND* 23261	E. Angus Powell Maceo A. Sloan	Robert P. Black George C. Rankin	
Baltimore	1. E. Killian Robert E. Elberson	George C. Kankin	Jimmie R. Monhollon Stuart P. Fishburne
Culpeper Communications and Records Center , 22701			Albert D. Tinkelenberg
ATLANTA 30303	Cliftord M. Kirtland, Jr. William A. Fickling, Jr.	Monroe Kimbrel Kyle K. Fossum	
Birmingham 35202 Jacksonville 32203 Miami 33152 Nashville 37203 New Orleans 70161	William H. Martin, Jr. Copeland D. Newbern Castle W. Jordan Cecelia Adkins Levere C. Montgomery	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Hiram J. Honea Charles B. East F. J. Craven, Jr. Jeffrey J. Wells George C. Guynn
CHICAGO*60690 Detroit48231	Robert H. Strotz John Sagan Jordan B. Tatter	Robert P. Mayo Daniel M. Doyle	William C. Conrad
ST. LOUIS63166	Armand C. Stalnaker	Lawrence K. Roos	
Little Rock 72203 Louisville 40201 Memphis 38101	William B. Walton G. Larry Kelley James F. Thompson Frank A. Jones, Jr.	Donald W. Moriarty	John F. Breen Donald L. Henry L. Terry Britt
MINNEAPOLIS 55480 Helena 59601	Stephen F. Keating William G. Phillips Patricia P. Douglas	Mark H. Willes Thomas E. Gainor	John D. Johnson
KANSAS CITY 64198	Harold W. Andersen Joseph H. Williams	Roger Guffey Henry R. Czerwinski	
Denver 80217 Oklahoma City 73125 Omaha 68102	A. L. Feldman Christine H. Anthony Durward B. Varner	Tiemy IV. Czerwiiski	Wayne W. Martin William G. Evans Robert D. Hamilton
DALLAS 75222	Irving A. Mathews Gerald D. Hines	Ernest T. Baughman Robert H. Boykin	
El Paso	A. J. Losee Gene M. Woodfin Pat Legan		Fredric W. Reed J. Z. Rowe Carl H. Moore
SAN FRANCISCO 94120	Joseph F. Alibrandi	John J. Balles John B. Williams	
Los Angeles 90051 Portland 97208 Salt Lake City 84125 Seattle 98124	Cornell C. Maier Caroline L. Ahmanson Loran L. Stewart Wendell J. Ashton Lloyd E. Cooney	Joint B. Williams	Richard C. Dunn Angelo S. Carella A. Grant Holman Gerald R. Kelly

[^]Additional offices of these Banks are located at Lewiston, Maine 04240; Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096; Cranford, New Jersey 07016; Jericho, New York 11753; Utica at Oriskany, New York 13424; Columbus, Ohio 43216; Columbia, South Carolina 29210; Charleston, West Virginia 25311; Des Moines, Iowa 50306; Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

Federal Reserve Board Publications

Available from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Where a charge is indicated, remittance should accompany

The Federal Reserve System—Purposes and Functions, 1974, 125 pp.

ANNUAL REPORT.

- FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN. Monthly, \$20.00 per year or \$2.00 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$18.00 per year or \$1.75 each. Elsewhere, \$24.00 per year or \$2.50 each.
- BANKING AND MONETARY STATISTICS, 1914-1941. (Reprint of Part 1 only) 1976. 682 pp. \$5.00.
- Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1941-1970, 1976, 1,168 pp. \$15.00.
- ANNUAL STATISTICAL DIGEST, 1971-75, 1976, 339 pp. \$4,00 per copy for each paid subscription to Federal Reserve *Bulletin*. All others, \$5,00 each.
- Annual Statistical Digest, 1972-76, 1977, 388 pp. \$10.00 per copy.
- Annual Statistical Digest, 1973-77, 1978, 361 pp. \$12,00 per copy.
- FEDERAL RESERVE CHARL BOOK. Issued four times a year in February, May, August, and November Subscription includes one issue of Historical Chart Book. \$7.00 per year-or \$2.00 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico. Else where, \$10.00 per year or \$3.00 each.
- HISTORICAL CHART BOOK. Issued annually in Sept. Subscription to Chart Book includes one issue. \$1.25 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more to one address, \$1.00 each. Elsewhere, \$1.50 each.
- CAPITAL MARKET DEVELOPMENTS. Weekly, \$15,00 per year or \$.40 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$13,50 per year or \$.35 each. Elsewhere, \$20,00 per year or \$.50 each.
- SELECTED INTEREST AND EXCHANGE RATES—WEEKLY SERIES OF CHARTS. Weekly, \$15,00 per year or \$.40 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$13.50 per year or \$.35 each. Elsewhere, \$20.00 per year or \$.50 each.
- THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACT, as amended through December 1976, with an appendix containing provisions of certain other statutes affecting the Federal Reserve System. 307 pp. \$2.50.
- REGULATIONS OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
- Published Interpretations of the Board of Governors, as of June 30, 1978, \$7.50.
- INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION -1976 EDITION: 1977, 304 pp. \$4.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$4.00 each.

request and be made payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Remittance from foreign residents should be drawn on a U.S. bank. (Stamps and coupons are not accepted.)

- Bank Credit-Card and Check Credit Plans, 1968, 102 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- SURVEY OF CHANGES IN FAMILY FINANCES. 1968. 321 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- REPORT OF THE JOINT TREASURY-FEDERAL RESERVE STUDY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES MARKET, 1969, 48 pp. \$.25 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.20 each.
- JOINT TREASURY-FEDERAL RESERVE STUDY OF THE GOVERNMENT SECURITIES MARKET: STAFF STUDIES—PART 1, 1970, 86 pp. \$.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.40 each, Part 2, 1971, 153 pp. and Part 3, 1973, 131 pp. Each volume \$1.00; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- OPEN MARKET POLICIES AND OPERATING PROCEDURES -STAFF STUDIES, 1971, 218 pp. \$2.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$1.75 each.
- REAPPRAISAL OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISCOUNT MECHANISM. Vol. 1, 1971, 276 pp. Vol. 2, 1971, 173 pp. Vol. 3, 1972, 220 pp. Each volume \$3.00; 10 or more to one address, \$2.50 each.
- THE ECONOMETRICS OF PRICE DETERMINATION CON-FERENCE, October 30-31, 1970, Washington, D.C. 1972. 397 pp. Cloth ed. \$5.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$4.50 each. Paper ed. \$4.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.60 each.
- FEDERAL RESERVE STAFF STUDY: WAYS TO MODERATE FLUCTUATIONS IN HOUSING CONSTRUCTION. 1972. 487 pp. \$4.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.60 each.
- LENDING FUNCTIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS, 1973, 271 pp. \$3.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.00 each.
- IMPROVING THE MONETARY AGGREGATES (Report of the Advisory Committee on Monetary Statistics). 1976. 43 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE TABLES (Truth in Lending—Regulation Z) Vol. I (Regular Transactions), 1969, 100 pp. Vol. II (Irregular Transactions), 1969, 116 pp. Each volume \$1.00, 10 or more of same volume to one address, \$.85 each.
- FEDERAL RESERVE MEASURES OF CAPACITY AND CA-PACITY UTILIZATION, 1978, 40 pp. \$1.75 each, 10 or more to one address, \$1.50, each.
- THE BANK HOLDING COMPANY MOVEMENT TO 1978; A COMPENDIUM, 1978, 289 pp. \$2.50 each, 10 or more to one address, \$2.25 each.
- IMPROVING THE MONETARY AGGREGATES: STAFF PAPERS, 1978, 170 pp. \$4.00 each, 10 or more to one address, \$3.75 each.
- 1977 CONSUMER CREDIT SURVEY, 1978, 119 pp. \$2.00 each.

CONSUMER EDUCATION PAMPHLETS

(Short pamphtets suitable for classroom use. Multiple copies available without charge.)

CONSUMER HANDBOOK TO CREDIT PROTECTION LAWS THE EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT AND . . . AGE THE EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT AND CREDIT RIGHTS IN HOUSING

THE EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT AND DOCTORS, LAWYERS, SMALE RETAILERS, AND OTHERS WHO MAY PROVIDE INCIDENTAL CREDIT

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Women

FAIR CREDIT BILLING

A GUIDE TO FEDERAL RESERVE REGULATIONS

HOW TO FILE A CONSUMER CREDIT COMPLAINT

IF YOU BORROW TO BUY STOCK

IF YOU USE A CREDIT CARD

TRUTH IN LEASING

U.S. CURRENCY

WHAT TRUTH IN LENDING MEANS TO YOU

STAFF STUDIES

Studies and papers on economic and financial subjects that are of general interest.

Summaries Only Printed in the Bulletin

(Limited supply of mimeographed copies of full text available upon request for single copies.)

STRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCE STUDIES IN BANKING: A SUMMARY AND EVALUATION, by Stephen A. Rhoades, Dec. 1977, 45 pp.

An Analysis of Federal Reserve Attrition Since 1960, by John T. Rose, Jan. 1978, 44 pp.

PROBLEMS IN APPLYING DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS IN CREDIT SCORING MODELS, by Robert A. Eisenbeis. Jan. 1978. 28 pp.

EXTERNAL CAPITAL FINANCING REQUIREMENTS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS: 1977-81, by Gerald A. Hanweck and John J. Mingo. Feb. 1978. 34 pp.

Mortgage Borrowing Against Equity in Existing Homes: Measurement, Generation, and Implications for Economic Activity, by David F. Seiders. May 1978. 42 pp.

THE BEHAVIOR OF MEMBER BANK REQUIRED RESERVE RATIOS AND THE EFFECTS OF BOARD ACTION, 1968-77, by Thomas D. Simpson, July 1978, 39 pp.

FOOTHOLD ACQUISITIONS AND BANK MARKET STRUCTURE, by Stephen A. Rhoades and Paul Schweitzer, July 1978. 8 pp.

Interest Rate Ceilings and Disintermediation, by Edward F. McKelvey. Sept. 1978, 105 pp.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RESERVE RATIOS AND THE MONETARY AGGREGATES UNDER RESERVES AND FEDERAL FUNDS RATE OPERATING TARGETS, by Kenneth J. Kopecky. Dec. 1978, 58 pp.

TIE INS BETWEEN THE GRANTING OF CREDIT AND SALES OF INSURANCE BY BANK HOLDING COMPANIES AND OTHER LENDERS, by Robert A. Eisenbeis and Paul R. Schweitzer, Feb. 1978, 75 pp.

Printed in Full in the Bulletin

Staff Studies shown under "Reprints."

REPRINTS

(Except for Staff Papers, Staff Studies, and some leading articles, most of the articles reprinted do not exceed 12 pages.)

Measures of Security Credit. 12/70.

REVISION OF BANK CREDIT SERIES: 12/71.

Assets and Liabilities of Foreign Branches of U.S. Banks. 2/72.

BANK DEBITS, DEPOSITS, AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER -- REVISED SERIES, 7/72.

YIELDS ON NEWLY ISSUED CORPORATE BONDS: 9/72. RECENT ACTIVITIES OF FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS: 10/72.

REVISION OF CONSUMER CREDIT STATISTICS. 10/72.

One-Bank Holding Companies Before the 1970 Amendments, 12/72.

YIELDS ON RECENTLY OFFERED CORPORATE BONDS, 5/73.

RATES ON CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS: 9/73.

New Series for Large Manufacturing Corporations, 10/73.

U.S. ENERGY SUPPLIES AND USES, Staff Economic Study by Clayton Gehman. 12/73.

THE STRUCTURE OF MARGIN CREDIT, 4/75.

New Statistical Series on Loan Commitments at Selected Large Commercial Banks, 4/75,

RECENT TRENDS IN FEDERAL BUDGET POLICY: 7/75.
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS: 10/75.

MINNIE: A SMALL VERSION OF THE MIT-PENN-SSRC ECONOMETRIC MODEL, Staff Economic Study by Douglas Battenberg, Jared J. Enzler, and Arthur M. Havenner. 11/75.

AN ASSESSMENT OF BANK HOLDING COMPANIES, Staff Economic Study by Robert J. Lawrence and Samuel H. Talley. 1/76.

INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC POWER USE: 1/76.

REVISION OF MONEY STOCK MEASURES, 2/76.

SURVEY OF FINANCE COMPANIES, 1975. 3/76.

REVISED SERIES FOR MEMBER BANK DEPOSITS AND AGGREGATE RESERVES. 4/76.

Industrial Production - 1976 Revision, 6/76,

FEDERAL RESERVE OPERATIONS IN PAYMENT MECHANISMS: A SUMMARY, 6/76.

RECENT GROWTH IN ACTIVITIES OF U.S. OFFICES OF BANKS, 10/76.

New Estimates of Capacity Utilization: Manufacturing and Materials, 11/76,

BANK HOLDING COMPANY FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENTS IN 1976, 4/77.

SURVEY OF TERMS OF BANK LENDING -- NEW SERIES: 5/77.

THE COMMERCIAL PAPER MARKET. 6/77.

CONSUMPTION AND FIXED INVESTMENT IN THE ECONOMIC RECOVERY ABROAD, 10/77.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS, 4/78.

THE FEDERAL BUDGET IN THE 1970'S, 9/78.

SUMMARY MEASURES OF THE DOLLAR'S FOREIGN EXCHANGE VALUE, 10/78.

SURVEY OF TIME AND SAVINGS DEPOSITS AT ALL COM-MERCIAL BANKS, JULY 1978, 11/78.

REDEFINING THE MONETARY AGGREGATES, 1/79.

THE ECONOMY IN 1978, 1/79.

CHECK PROCESSING AT FEDERAL RESERVE OFFICES, 2/79.

Index to Statistical Tables


References are to pages A-3 through A-69 although the prefix "A" is omitted in this index


```
Demand deposits:
ACCEPTANCES, bankers, 11, 25, 27
Agricultural loans, commercial banks, 18, 20-22, 26
                                                                 Adjusted, commercial banks, 13, 15, 19
                                                                 Banks, by classes, 16, 17, 19, 20-23
Ownership by individuals, partnerships, and
Assets and liabilities (See also Foreigners)
  Banks, by classes, 16, 17, 18, 2
Domestic finance companies, 39
                                                                    corporations, 25
  Federal Reserve Banks, 12
                                                                 Subject to reserve requirements, 15
                                                                 Turnover, 13
  Nonfinancial corporations, current, 38
                                                               Deposits (See also specific types of deposits):
Banks, by classes, 3, 16, 17, 19, 20–23, 29
Automobiles:
   Consumer instalment credit, 42, 43
  Production, 48, 49
                                                                 Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 12
                                                                 Subject to reserve requirements, 15
                                                                  Turnover, 13
BANKERS balances, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22
                                                               Discount rates at Reserve Banks (See Interest rates)
  (See also Foreigners)
                                                               Discounts and advances by Reserve Banks (See Loans)
Banks for cooperatives, 35
                                                               Dividends, corporate, 37, 69
Bonds (See also U.S. Government securities):
  New issues, 36
                                                               EMPLOYMENT, 46, 47
   Yields, 3
                                                               Euro-dollars, 27
Branch banks:
  Assets and liabilities of foreign branches of U.S.
                                                               FARM mortgage loans, 41
     banks, 56
                                                               Farmers Home Administration, 41
  Liabilities of U.S. banks to their foreign
                                                               Federal agency obligations, 4, 11, 12, 13, 34
     branches, 23
                                                               Federal and Federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
Business activity, 46
                                                               Federal finance:
Business expenditures on new plant and
                                                                 Debt subject to statutory limitation and
  equipment, 38
                                                                 types and ownership of gross debt, 32 Receipts and outlays, 30, 31
Business loans (See Commercial and industrial
                                                              Treasury operating balance, 30
Federal Financing Bank, 30, 35
Federal funds, 3, 6, 18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 30
CAPACITY utilization, 46
Capital accounts:
  Banks, by classes, 16, 17, 19, 20
                                                               Federal home loan banks, 35
  Federal Reserve Banks, 12
                                                               Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., 35, 40, 41
                                                               Federal Housing Administration, 35, 40, 41
Central banks, 68
Certificates of deposit, 23, 27
                                                               Federal land banks, 35, 41
Commercial and industrial loans:
                                                               Federal National Mortgage Assn., 35, 40, 41
  Commercial banks, 15, 18, 23, 26
Weekly reporting banks, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24
                                                               Federal Reserve Banks:
Commercial banks
                                                                  Condition statement, 12
   Assets and liabilities, 3, 15-19, 20-23
                                                                  Discount rates (See Interest rates)
   Business loans, 26
                                                                  U.S. Government securities held, 4, 12, 13, 32, 33
                                                               Federal Reserve credit, 4, 5, 12, 13
Federal Reserve notes, 12
  Commercial and industrial loans, 24, 26
  Consumer loans held, by type, 42, 43
  Loans sold outright, 23
Number, by classes, 16, 17, 19
                                                               Federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
                                                               Finance companies
  Real estate mortgages held, by type of holder and
                                                                  Assets and liabilities, 39
                                                               Business credit, 39
Loans, 20, 21, 22, 42, 43
Paper, 25, 27
Financial institutions, loans to, 18, 20–22
property, 41
Commercial paper, 3, 24, 25, 27, 39
Condition statements (See Assets and liabilities)
Construction, 46, 50
Consumer instalment credit, 42, 43
                                                               Float, 4
Consumer prices, 46, 51
                                                               Flow of funds, 44, 45
Consumption expenditures, 52, 53
Corporations:
                                                                  Currency operations, 12
                                                                  Deposits in U.S. banks, 4, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22
   Profits, taxes, and dividends, 37
                                                                  Exchange rates, 68 Trade, 55
   Sales, revenue, profits, and dividends of large
      manufacturing corporations, 69
   Security issues, 36, 65
Cost of living (See Consumer prices)
Credit unions, 29, 42, 43
                                                                  Claims on, 60, 61, 66, 67
                                                                  Liabilities to, 23, 56-59, 64-67
Currency and coin, 5, 16, 18
Currency in circulation, 4, 14
                                                               GOLD:
                                                                  Certificates, 12
Stock, 4, 55
Customer credit, stock market, 28
DEBITS to deposit accounts, 13
                                                                Government National Mortgage Assn., 35, 40, 41
                                                               Gross national product, 52, 53
Debt (See specific types of debt or securities)
```


HOUSING, new and existing units, 50	REAL estate loans:
INCOME, personal and national, 46, 52, 53 Industrial production, 46, 48 Instalment loans, 42, 43 Insurance companies, 29, 32, 33, 41 Insured commercial banks, 17, 18, 19 Interbank deposits, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22 Interest rates: Bonds, 3 Business loans of banks, 26 Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 8 Foreign countries, 68 Money and capital markets, 3, 27 Mortgages, 3, 40	Banks, by classes, 18, 20-23, 29, 41 Life insurance companies, 29 Mortgage terms, yields, and activity, 3, 40 Type of holder and property mortgaged, 41 Reserve position, basic, member banks, 6 Reserve requirements, member banks, 9 Reserves: Commercial banks, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22 Federal Reserve Banks, 12 Member banks, 3, 4, 5, 15, 16, 18 U.S. reserve assets, 55 Residential mortgage loans, 40 Retail credit and retail sales, 42, 43, 46
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26 Time and savings deposits, maximum rates, 10	SALES, revenue, profits, and dividends of large manufacturing corporations, 69 Saving:
International capital transactions of the United States, 56–67 International organizations, 56–61, 64–67 Inventories, 52 Investment companies, issues and assets, 37 Investments (<i>See also specific types of investments</i>): Banks, by classes, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 29 Commercial banks, 3, 15, 16, 17, 18 Federal Reserve Banks, 12, 13 Life insurance companies, 29 Savings and loan assns., 29	Flow of funds, 44, 45 National income accounts, 53 Savings and loan assns., 3, 10, 29, 33, 41, 44 Savings deposits (See Time deposits) Savings institutions, selected assets, 29 Securities (See also U.S. Government securities): Federal and Federally sponsored agencies, 35 Foreign transactions, 65 New issues, 36 Prices, 28
LABOR force, 47 Life insurance companies (See Insurance companies) Loans (See also specific types of loans): Banks, by classes, 16, 17, 18, 20-23, 29 Commercial banks, 3, 15-18, 20-23, 24, 26 Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12, 13 Insurance companies, 29, 41 Insured or guaranteed by United States, 40, 41 Savings and loan associations, 29	Special Drawing Rights, 4, 12, 54, 55 State and local govts.: Deposits, 19, 20, 21, 22 Holdings of U.S. Government securities, 32, 33 New security issues, 36 Ownership of securities of, 18, 20, 21, 22, 29 Yields of securities, 3 State member banks, 17 Stock market, 28 Stocks (See also Securities): New issues, 36 Driggs 28
MANUFACTURING: Capacity utilization, 46 Production, 46, 49 Margin requirements, 28 Member banks: Assets and liabilities, by classes, 16, 17, 18 Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks, 5, 12 Number, by classes, 16, 17, 19	Prices, 28 TAX receipts, Federal, 31 Time deposits, 3, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 Trade, foreign, 55 Treasury currency, Treasury cash, 4 Treasury deposits, 4, 12, 30 Treasury operating balance, 30
Reserve position, basic, 6 Reserve requirements, 9 Reserves and related items, 3, 4, 5, 15 Mining production, 49 Mobile home shipments, 50 Monetary aggregates, 3, 15 Money and capital market rates (See Interest rates) Money stock measures and components, 3, 14 Mortgages (See Real estate loans) Mutual funds (See Investment companies) Mutual savings banks, 3, 10, 20-22, 29, 32, 33, 41	UNEMPLOYMENT, 47 U.S. balance of payments, 54 U.S. Government balances: Commercial bank holdings, 19, 20, 21, 22 Member bank holdings, 15 Treasury deposits at Reserve Banks, 4, 12, 30 U.S. Government securities: Bank holdings, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 29, 32, 33 Dealer transactions, positions, and financing, 34 Federal Reserve Bank holdings, 4, 12, 13, 32, 33
NATIONAL banks, 17, 19 National defense outlays, 31 National income, 52 Nonmember banks, 17, 18, 19	Foreign and international holdings and transactions, 12, 32, 64 Open market transactions, 11 Outstanding, by type of security, 32, 33 Ownership, 32, 33
OPEN market transactions, 11	Rates in money and capital markets, 3, 27 Yields, 3 Utilities, production, 49
PERSONAL income, 53 Prices:	VETERANS Administration, 40, 41
Consumer and wholesale, 46, 51 Stock market, 28 Prime rate, commercial banks, 26	WEEKLY reporting banks, 20–24 Wholesale prices, 46
Production, 46, 48 Profits, corporate, 37, 69	YIELDS (See Interest rates)

The Federal Reserve System

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts and Their Branch Territories







LEGEND

- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts
- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Branch Territories
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
- Federal Reserve Bank Cities
- Federal Reserve Branch Cities
- · Federal Reserve Bank Facility

Guide to Tabular Presentation and Statistical Releases

GUIDE TO TABULAR PRESENTATION

Symbols and Abbreviations

c	Corrected	0	Calculated to be zero
e	Estimated	n.a.	Not available
p	Preliminary	n.e.c.	Not elsewhere classified
r	Revised (Notation appears on column head-	IPCs	Individuals, partnerships, and corporations
	ing when more than half of figures in that	REITs	Real estate investment trusts
	column are changed.)	RPs	Repurchase agreements
*	Amounts insignificant in terms of the last	SMSAs	Standard metropolitan statistical areas
	decimal place shown in the table (for		Cell not applicable
	example less than 500,000 when the		••

General Information

Minus signs are used to indicate (1) a decrease, (2) a negative figure, or (3) an outflow.

smallest unit given is millions)

"U.S. government securities" may include guaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies (the flow of funds figures also include not fully guaranteed issues) as well as direct obligations of the Treasury. "State and local government" also includes municipalities, special districts, and other political subdivisions.

In some of the tables details do not add to totals because of rounding.

STATISTICAL RELEASES

List Published Semiannually, with Latest Bulletin Reference		
Anticipated schedule of release dates for individual releases	Issue December 1978	Page A-76